

The Fullness of His Stature

Reply to Johnathan,

26-Feb-07

The Fullness of His Stature

Dear Johnathan,

The extent of your rebellion against the Word of God, merely to sustain the extra-biblical voices which whisper to you, places you and Jeannie beyond the sphere of my ministry.

“And when they shall say unto you, seek unto them that have familiar spirits, and unto wizards that peep, and that mutter, Should not a people seek unto their God? For the living to the dead?”
—Isa 8: 19

My efforts are directed to those who seek, not after deceased prophets such as VTH and EGW as living people seeking after the dead, nor is it directed to those who rely upon the spiritual mutterings which they hear from familiar spirits. My work is directed towards those who trust the Bible and who “tremble at God’s Word” —Isa 66:2— those ‘people who seek unto their God’. These are the people to whom the mystery of God will be unfolded to as they uncover greater knowledge, not of the Holy Spirit, but of Christ. Both you and Jeannie have become so boldly defiant against the Bible, ever anxious to elevate your idol, the female holy spirit, to the degree that you would change the Lord’s very own deployment of pronouns, is indeed grievous to the Holy Spirit. And all this because the “pretentious” and pseudo experts of a 2000-year-old, dead language, Hebrew, tell you that all nouns in Hebrew are genderized and the noun for spirit is female.

You have been shown from Scripture that the Hebrew language was not the language of Heaven as evidenced by Moses’ direct testimony. He said, in *Deut 30*, that the Law was given to them in a language —“not in heaven”— but nigh unto them and in their mouth, a language which they knew ‘off by heart’. Such a language spoken directly after hundreds of years of Egyptian slavery could have only been merely the Egyptian tongue or some amalgamation of it. So I ask you, what heavenly privilege was afforded to the Egyptians, the nation symbolized in the Bible to depict sin and slavery and a people of idolatry and sun worship, so that they would know to accurately assign the genders which exist in Heaven? If, as the experts presents, all nouns of the Hebrew tongue were assigned gender, then such an assignment could have only been the product of arbitrary guess work. To illustrate, the Lord told Peter, *“upon this rock will I build my church”*, the word rock is a noun, but today we understand that it is neither male nor female. Therefore only the silliest of Bible students would seek to feminize that term because it may have been represented as such in ancient Hebrew. Then that vain sin would be multiplied several fold for any, especially men of highest theology who have been schooled in the *Rod* and warned against such excesses, men such as you and your *Branch* cohorts, to change the Lord’s pronouns used to describe the rock so as to build a doctrine based upon some bogus, Egyptian-inspired genderization of that noun. Do you believe that rocks have a gender? Do they recreate themselves through conjugal episodes with some other inanimate object, perhaps stones? If you answer, “no” then you understand the fallacy of relying on human assignments of gender to “all nouns”. If you answer yes, then your spiritual problem is much more extensive than I first suspected. If you answered “not sure”, then before you attempt to master the spiritual things of Heaven, you need to first seek greater mastery of the physical world in which you live on earth.

Such presumptions, seeking to recreate heaven after your image of earth and ascribing gender to the spirit world, is an offense against the Holy Spirit because His mission, according to John 14: 26, is —not to alter nor adjust, but—to remind us of those things which the Lord has said.

The Mustard Seed Advent

Your demeanor pertaining to salvation, the need to trust in man and make flesh your arm, the need to rely upon man's ability to comprehend the ancient and extinct languages, has been proven to be heresy. It has been shown that such an appeal is erroneous for, among other reasons, it challenges the Lord's promise to us in *Isa 28*. In that text, the Lord promised humanity a judgment to establish His Kingdom, a judgment which is to measure His church by a righteous, Holy-Spirit inspired message that will discern good and evil, a message symbolized by the deployment of "the plummet", a building tool which defines perfect ascension. It is a tool to test our maturity or our stature against the "***the stature of the fullness of Christ***" —See Eph 4: 12

“...Therefore while Christians are urgently requested to pray and agonize for the Spirit of Truth at all times, they are even more urgently instructed to pray for it now!”

“As in the natural state of things, the latter rain comes not only to make the wheat grow but also to bring it to its full maturity, so, by spiritual analogy, this Teacher of Righteousness must bring the saints to the full stature of men in Christ Jesus (Eph.4:13)—fit them for the Kingdom.”

—VTH, 1A, p 90; ***parenthesis belong***

The Golden Bowl continues to express the need for this perfect measuring standard, this ever-living Spirit of Prophecy to teach us more, more about Christ. This plummet to measure our full stature is that which we have looked for since the passing of VTH. It is a unifying standard under the aegis of greater knowledge about Christ, a goal that has evaded the church for 6000 years until today:

“Those who fail to keep pace with the Truth as Inspiration unfolds It, can never, of course, come to ‘the unity of the faith,’ and to a full ‘knowledge of the Son of God, unto a perfect man, unto the measure of the stature of the fullness of Christ.’ Such will forever be ‘children, tossed to and fro, and carried about with every wind of doctrine, by the sleight of men...’Eph 4:13.14”

“Plainly, then, religion itself is something living and unfolding, but the tragedy is that not all are keeping pace with it.”* —1TG, 51, p. 8; ***ellipsis (...) belong to reference**

It is vital to realize that this measuring standard of righteousness, this plummet message, is promised to be communicated to us now, today, the day of judgment. Equally so should we understand that it is to come to us in this hour, not requiring us to look back to yesterday's language, but to examine its gems of truth in another tongue —see *Isa 28*, a Bible chapter which is devoted to spiritual growth through doctrinal advances. This tongue will be more mature; it will not seek to discern all of the world's objects through human sexual genderization. Thus, combining *Isa 28* with *Eph 4*, it becomes clear that to reflect the Lord's perfect stature, not only means that we must increase our knowledge to discern the full nature (stature) of Christ, but we must also grow up to stand tall like Him; we must become united or one as He and the Father are One —see John 17:11. We must not change the words of His testimony, the themes which the Father has waited to relay to humanity since the days of Sinai all the way until the anointing. We must cherish all of the words of Christ's ministry which He, while hidden upon Jesus as the Dove, taught to us—even the pronouns. To aid us today in this mission, He promised to send to us the Comforter to remind us of all His exact words. Therefore, you are working at cross purposes to the Holy Spirit, the Father, and Christ by seeking to alter such a message.

***“It is always safe to be meek and lowly and tenderhearted, but at the same time we are to be as firm as a rock to the teachings of Christ. His words of instruction are to be strictly heeded. Not one word is to be lost sight of. The truth will abide forever, we are not to place our trust in any lie or pretense.”* —EGW, Testimony for the Church, Vol. 9, p 266**

Yet, rather than confess your sin, you continue to advance your faithlessness and presumptuous hostility to the Word. Worse still, you and Jeannie believe that Christ, the Master of language (*Isa 45:19*) failed in His task of communicating the simplest of words to His church, words such as "he" & "him". Such a charge does not glorify Christ —another commission of the Holy Spirit (*John 16:14*)— to the contrary, it validates Lucifer's claim against Christ and places you squarely in his, Lucifer's, inglorious camp:

“Whenever men choose their own way, they place themselves in controversy with God. They will have no place in the kingdom of heaven, for they are at war with the very principles of

The Mustard Seed Advent

heaven. In disregarding the will of God, they are placing themselves on the side of Satan, the enemy of God and man. Not by one word, not by many words, but by every word that God has spoken, shall man live. WE CANNOT DISREGARD ONE WORD, HOWEVER TRIFLING IT MAY SEEM to us, and be safe. There is not a commandment of the law that is not for the good and happiness of man, both in this life and in the life to come.” —EGW, *Reflecting Christ*, p 69:5. See also *Mount of Blessing*, p 51, 52. Emphasis added.

With this SOP barometer in hand, validated by the corresponding Bible gauge of Matt 4:4, all can readily see who is right. They can see the message of Immanuel; it is certain that God is with us, the MSA. This revelation is clear because, unlike all others on the forum, especially the *Branch* adherents, the MSC does not infer, imply, or suggest that we dishonor even one word or letter of Scripture. You can guarantee therefore, that Satan would never advocate such a ministry of exacting fidelity to the Bible as is that of the MSC! For this reason, the point deserves repetition: according to the Words of Christ recorded in *John 14*, statements which were not His own but messages directly relayed to Him by the Father, we are to know that the Holy Spirit’s mission was scheduled — to not speak of Himself, but— to remind us of those things which the Lord has spoken during His 3 ½ year ministry. You may decide to continue to “disregard” this fact at your own peril; you may also choose to unite with Jeannie by adding the “S” before the pronoun “He” making it to read (S)he the spirit of truth; however, I feel confident that you have been warned. Yesterday, you suffered under your delusion in ignorance, and due to that ignorance, you were covered by the Lord’s graces. But today, having refused the Lord’s ministry to you and having blasphemed His Spirit, your salvation, should you not change immediately, will be left in the hands of your phony, paganistic, non-biblical female goddess. You must immediately cease and desist from blowing your blue smoke of deception in the face of Davidia. Should you refuse to do so, then you will remember this past year of patient warnings from the MSC when you cry to Christ for relief and deliverance, and He ignores your pleas just as you have ignored His.

As far as wasting my time with you, another of your charges, I have done no such thing. Evidently your egotism and perhaps the supernatural voices in your head, have failed to secure for your vision the bigger picture. The MSC’s efforts were painstakingly exhausted to produce a document which will turn the tide of the enemy’s sophistry and provide a dossier which rationally-minded people can examine in order to avoid falling into the trap which has hopelessly entangled both you and Jeannie. I praise the Lord because that effort has been a great success. Now, any honest seeker of truth can simply inquire about the nature of the Holy Spirit and can benefit from those divinely revealed E-mail messages. I know this to be true because some have already expressed to me appreciation for my work. They saw the power of your sophistry and were joyfully shocked when they witnessed the ease with which your deceptions were defeated by the Bible. Did you really think, for a moment, that winning your patronage was the central theme or the exclusive purpose of my focus?

You make the following statement:

First of all, if the Spirit is not the same as the Father and Son, then the Spirit can not be a "He or Him" either, for the Spirit is not the Father nor the Son. —Your 21-Feb-07, 08:36, PM E-mail

Being keenly desirous to keep the E-mail short, I will not waste any words attempting to unravel your human-centered, carnally-minded failed attempt at logic. I will merely follow EGW and VTH’s recommendation and point you and those who read this E-mail to Scripturally revealed divine wisdom: **“the Secret things belong unto the Lord our God: but those things which are revealed belong unto us and to our children forever, that we may do all the words of this Law”** —Deut 29: 29. The Holy Spirit, just as was Moses, has been and continues to be in the business of teaching humanity to honor the Law and to do the things which it demands. Remember the lesson of John 16: 8: He **“reproves the world of sin, of righteousness, and of judgment”**. The *Branch*, clearly inspired by a fallen angel, evidently has a different commission; one that conflicts with the Holy Spirit’s commission and therefore cannot be

The Mustard Seed Advent

inspired by Him. Apparently, the *Branch* is burdened to usurp the duties which the Father assigned to the Holy Spirit, to force humanity to embrace an alternate commission than that given to the Holy Spirit, and to resultantly violate Heaven's command and government. It is also to rebel against Christ as did Lucifer, and to make it appear that the Holy Spirit speaks—not of the saving virtues of the Word, but—of Himself. The *Branch* also evidently feels that it is their commission to reveal and explain the “secret things of God”, those things which have not been unfolded to us in His word, and to speak for Christ so as to add more descriptive meaning to His words, as evidenced by your twist on the word “image”. I repeat again, the Lord’s reference to the image on Caesar’s coin accurately gauges the level of precision intended by the Lord when His used that term in Genesis. Males and females resemble each other, they share the same image to a greater degree than the first century, Roman coin resembled Caesar. Yet the Lord acceded that the image on the coin was that of Caesar. This being true, then none can argue that the Lord’s expressed desire to make man after their image is not an venture which required that all variations in sex, race, features, or stature represents a separate member of the so called “Godhead”. Thus, the creation of man in the image of God does not give grounds nor a logic for a female god, an oriental god, a black god, a white god, a short god, a tall god, etc.

One thing is certain, if evidence is still required to identify David, then my work on the forum helps to resolve that issue. By boldly teaching the Word, others on the forum such as you, yourself, have followed the MSC’s example and have become likewise emboldened. The exposure of these false lights has been a real eye opener to Davidia overall as many a false brethren, formerly too timid to declare their positions, are now openly declaring their doctrines, theories which previously many were content to keep concealed. This includes Jeannie; Henry; Brother Denton; Johnathan; Eric, aka Vanguard Calling, and many others. True indeed is the statement from EGW which shows that through the influence of David, many will cease to be weak and hesitating and will become willing to do and to dare —see V5,p 81:2. Unfortunately for many of these brethren, she was speaking only of the righteous. This new and emboldening approach to religion is made manifest by your former desire to hide your belief in the female holy spirit —a doctrine worthy of concealment. You may recall, when I first accused you of embracing the doctrine of the female holy spirit, you wanted to conceal your convictions on that issue calling my exposure of your beliefs, “a cheap shot”. Such a reaction should lead one to ponder, what other dark and hidden doctrines do you and your *Branch* friends meditate upon in your anti-Bible séances. The only hope is that you will continue to follow David’s leadership: you will fully emulate David’s example and ‘become willing to do and dare’. Then having finally grown up spiritually to the degree that you heed the counsel which all parents demand of their small children, the demand to ignore the childish impulse to bow in obeisance to peer pressure, you will continue to shock Davidia, dazzle your close friends, family, and spiritual gurus, by standing firm on the “Rock” of truth. The Holy Spirit requires that you begin today by openly expressing your support for the Bible-revealed truths unfolded to this forum by the MSC. Some silly and thoughtless people, ever attempting to intensify peer pressure, will say, “**Derek has been trapped: he calls for Davidia to become like David.**” “**Should we not seek to become like Christ?**” To become like David is the only way for a human to become like Christ because David was a man after the Lord’s very own heart. To grow to this level of maturity today, is the only thing that can save Davidia.

Your E-mail makes another comment that needs to be addressed

MSC has made its rendition of the scriptures to mean, A Father and Three Sons; Jesus the procreated Son, thru Mary, Christ the Eternal Son who always was, Then the Holy Spirit who would have to be Another Son from some Mysterious Source, because it can not be the Father and Son at the same time. —Your 21-Feb-07, 08:36, PM E-mail

The MSC has never attempted to count the Sons of God. This has been your endeavor. For the MSC recognizes that we are all potential sons and daughters of God. Thus did Christ teach us to

The Mustard Seed Advent

pray, “**our Father who art in Heaven...**” It likewise recognizes Adam to be a Son, and it acknowledges those multitudes defined in Job who attended the heavenly convention which Satan attempted to interrupt. They too were called, “sons of God”. In Genesis, speaking of even another group of people, Adam’s faithful and righteous children, it says, “**the sons of God saw that the daughters of men that they were fair...**” Therefore, your logic suffers another embarrassing defeat. The MSC has taken great pains to explain to you in the past that we must dig deeper beneath the surface to discern the different meanings for that term, son. It is employed by the Lord in the same way that we humans use that term, to have multiple meanings. You may recall in my former E-mail, whereby I illustrated this point by showing you that King Saul considered David his son; yet, David was clearly the son of Jessie! The term “son” is a homonym: a word that has the same spelling but multiple meanings —refer to your elementary, grade-school, language studies. Thus does the Bible, even though it calls Jesus the son of Joseph (David), it distinguish Jesus to be “the Only Begotten of the Father”. The MSC takes this very plain statement from the Bible and uses it to show that the Father, though He has many sons, only has One who was begotten. It further shows, by using a different definition to that term that Christ is the Eternal Son. Since the Holy Spirit has not qualified His relationship to the Father in this vein, He has honored His Christ-mandated commission and has not spoken of Himself, the MSC has not boldly ventured forward to define the Holy Spirit’s connections to God. Yet, given the assignment to proclaim the name of the Lord, the MSC fully explains the Sonship of both Jesus and Christ more fully in 4.1, *Journey Through the Murky Bogs*. You have had your copy for a year, but you refuse to read it. If you need further clarification, then I suggest you honor your Bible duty and read the book.

You make the following argument in your E-mail:

Now let's turn to the Bible for one verse, and the Rod for one statement to see what it say's about the One and only Son Jesus Christ. Micah 5:2 But thou, Bethlehem Ephratah, though thou be little among the thousands of Judah, yet out of thee shall he come forth unto me that is to be ruler in Israel; whose goings forth have been from of old, from everlasting. By this verse above, we know Jesus was born in Bethlehem, but hear what God is saying about where this Person is coming from, and I quote, "yet out of thee shall he come forth unto me that is to be ruler in Israel; whose goings forth have been from of old, from everlasting.

If Jesus is not Christ but the Spirit of Christ that abodes on Jesus as MSC contends, then How does God say that He is to be ruler in Israel; whose goings forth have been from of old, from everlasting. How can The Begotten Son who didn't pre-exist according to MSC—Your 21-Feb-07, 08:36, PM E-mail

I sent several E-mails last year correcting you on one simple statement: the MSC has never stated that the “Spirit of Christ” abode upon Jesus. Why do you continue to bear false witness? Beyond that, *Micah five* is a favorite text which, when ripped from the preceding 14 verses ---it’s true context--- has caused many to think that it refutes the Christmas story, the story of Jesus’ beginning or His conception. Book 4.1, starting around page 79, clearly and easily addresses your query regarding *Micah Five* in merely a few paragraphs. All who desire an answer to this issue should read the book, distributed free of charge, and check back with me or any of my ministers, if you are still confused. As you read, you will see that the “going forth” of the one to become “ruler in Israel”, though it has been understood by the Father from everlasting, was an event to take place ---not at the anointing or at Calvary as taught by Constantinian theology, but—must take place in the last days. *Micah 5:5* shows that ‘he is to be the peace when the Assyrian shall come into our land’. Christ, showing that this man referred to the Son of David and not to Jesus, commanded that we, “**think not that (He was) come to send peace on the earth**” —see Matt 10: 34 And, with this E-mail, the Comforter is performing His divine commission by reminding you of those words. Additionally, the last days are defined to be a time after the ascension and after the destruction of Jerusalem in AD70. It is the time when

The Mustard Seed Advent

Israel is regathered, exactly as Micah 5:2 explains, a time of the “regeneration”, a time 3000 years after the period when Christ gave them up —see 1 Kings 14: 16. With respect to brevity, one other point must be made here: Popular, Constantinian theology teaches that Jesus pre-existed, that He existed before being sent from God to be born of Mary. After the crucifixion, they correctly understand that Jesus was to return to God. If *Micah Five* referred to Jesus, then it would need to reflect this theme of arrival and departure. Christians have never taught the fullness of the stature of Christ, that He was the Dove hidden upon Jesus, during His 3 ½ year ministry. They have failed to understand that Christ pre-existed, and Jesus was begotten. This doctrine has been originated or revealed by the MSC via inspiration. Thus, just as was the case with Caesar’s coin, your own evidence defeats you. *Micah five*, upon careful inspection, does not reflect the traditional theme which you aspire to advance. It says that the ruler in Israel, in the last days is to “come forth unto me (Christ)”; yet, He is to be rooted in David; he comes out of Bethlehem. He ranges from David until David. Meaning, the city of David, the place of His lineage, the place where David was born and many of his posterity such as perhaps even Joseph, was to produce this ruler in Israel. If Jesus pre-existed and was Christ, the God of the Old testament, then before David was born in Bethlehem, Jesus would have been in Heaven. The text would need to read, out of Heaven shall he come forth and shall return unto me that is to be ruler in Israel. The text clearly reads differently.

Finally you make the following point:

See Timely Greetings, Vol. 1, No. 26 18 There is no doubt that this verse predicts the birth of Christ, the Judge of Israel, "Whose goings forth" have been from everlasting. This statement leaves no room for a Jesus and/or Christ as MSC imagines. First Derek you have to defeat Micah 5:2 then Timely Greetings, Vol. 1, No. 26 18 I THINK FATHER KNOWS BEST AS OPPOSE TO MY THREE SONS —Your 21-Feb-07, 08:36, PM E-mail

Quoting VTH here does not make your case. Of course he would say Christ was born; the names Jesus and Christ were taught to be synonymous. For this reason are we commanded by him to prove all things in his work. VTH did not offer a doctrine about the duality of Jesus; thus he recognized no need to distinguish between the name of the Prophet, and the Power Who rested, clandestinely upon the Prophet. Instead, he taught the standard theme canonized by the church for 1700 years. He taught that which Paul called “milk”; it was “the principle doctrine of Christ”, a doctrine which VTH, by quoting Paul, foretold to us that we would someday need to abandon. The MSC in 2001, was the first to declare the name of the Lord, identifying by Scripture that Christ, the Word, the God of the Old Testament, was the invisible force who imperceptibly communicated to Jesus His ministry. Before that date, the MSC correctly advanced the theme which it originated and which the world has never understood: it taught that Jesus did not pre-exist. But it had not yet graduated that very concept to a higher level: it did not know to identify Jesus’ counterpart, the Dove, with the name Christ. This theme perfectly harmonizes with *Deut 18*, another text which you choose to ignore. More of this will be explained below. As stated already, the MSC clearly explains *Micah Five*. As far as your suggestion that it needs to defeat VTH comments in 1TG 26, I am required to do no such thing. The MSC and all of its adherents merely need to heed VTH’s command and follow the instructions given in the SOP to avoid deception. The most dominant theme contained therein is to establish all doctrines from the Bible. With this commission, the MSC has succeeded where you have failed. Examine below some of the powerful mandates from the *Rod* which clearly exhibit your failures:

“Although the doctrine of the Kingdom may not appear quite so complete under the lens of Sister White’s writings as under the lens of the Rod, one dare not thus superficially reject either. But must the more studiously compare both views of the doctrine under the super-lens of the Bible. He must keep in mind that we are not given license to harmonize the Bible with any other writings but are charged to measure all others by It.” —2A, p. 74

The Mustard Seed Advent

This VTH statement covers everything which he wrote and published. It is a sweeping statement about the rank of his work in Heaven's overall scheme of things. He does that which many in Davidia along with Lucifer before them have failed to do: He showed His supreme love for Christ by ranking his inspiration under that of the Word. Then, being a dutiful Christian, VTH commands that all harmonize his work on *Micah Five* by the Bible. Since you have not complied with this counsel, then why do you pretend to others that you respect the *Rod* when you ignore its counsels? VTH also made the following statement about the duty of all Davidians to subordinate their appraisal of the *Rod* to the Bible:

“And now the only safe and sane procedure is to read closely every page of the solemn message contained in The Shepherd’s Rod publication. Let not a line escape your attention. Study every word carefully and prayerfully. Be earnest and diligent in your perusal of Truth. And prove all things; hold fast that which is good.” 1 Thess. 5: 21.” —5A, p. 29

The MSC, unlike the *Branch* and most other Davidian organizations genuinely loves the *Rod*. This is not a pretense, a charade, a false face. Thus has it heeded VTH's inspired commands. It recognizes no compliment or flattery to VTH by using the tactic of elevating his work above the Bible. It recognizes such to be a grievous sin which would offend VTH and, more importantly, Christ. This is the very sin committed by Lucifer and His dark angels over 6000 years ago. They too believed in the Word; however, they simply desired to elevate Lucifer, their angel of light, above the throne of Christ. All who desire to escape Lucifer's hell must immediately abandon the notion that we must measure our doctrines by lights which are lesser than the Bible. They must not think that to establish a doctrine, we need to “defeat VTH”. This is true because VTH acknowledged, and history along with the Bible proves, that he prophesied in part. For such is the profession of Paul,

“Whether there be prophecies, they shall fail: whether there be tongues, they shall cease: whether there be knowledge, it shall vanish away. For we know in part, and we prophesy in part. But when that which is perfect is come, then that which is in part shall be done away. When I was a child, I spake as a child, I understood as a child, I thought as a child: but when I became a man, I put away childish things. For now we see through a glass darkly; but then face to face: now I know in part: but then shall I know even as also I am known” —1 Cor 13: 8-12.

VTH quotes this text in his work; therefore, it is a portion of the *Rod* which we are commanded, as safe and sane Davidians, to embrace as His teachings. This above statement by Paul, an affirmation that all New Testament prophets, until the perfect day, are to prophecy in part, clearly covers VTH's work since the man is deceased and imperfection and disunity still remains. The declaration of the Lord's name, Christ, and distinguishing it from Jesus, is the commission of the MSC. With this light we are ushering in the day of perfection. Beforehand, such was merely a dream to anticipate. Infact, Paul states that no other Gospel was to be preached during this time of partial prophecy. But beyond that let me manifest the presence of the Lord's Spirit by fulfilling His commission and reminding the readers of the very words of Christ. I know that such words have little to no meaning to you, but as stated before, I do not write for your benefit alone. Christ said,

“All things are delivered to me of my Father: and no man knoweth who the Son is, but the Father; and who the Father is, but the Son, and he to whom the Son will reveal him.” —Luke 10: 22

Peter, Paul, James, John, Wm Miller, EGW, VTH, all fall under the definition of “no man knoweth who the Son is”. This is manifested by many reasons, the least of which is that VTH offered no new definition or doctrine of Christ. Thus, when He explained *Micah Five*, and described it as showing the birth of Christ, he was using that term the same way all Christians, except the true ones, the MSA Christians, employ that term; he meant the birth of Jesus, who was as His title—not as His name—the Christ. The Lord explained above in *Luke 10* that the day would come when He would reveal His very own identity. And just as He hid it from Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob, but revealed it unto Moses—see Exod 6: 2 & 3—just so has Christ hid it from EGW and VTH, commanded the devils and the angels to conceal it—*Luke Four, Gal 1*, etc—Only

The Mustard Seed Advent

one person can reveal the name of the Lord, David, the one to whom Christ promised to reveal Himself. Thus does the Rod rightly say, “*the Lord’s voice crieth unto the city, and the man of wisdom shall see thy name...*” Since the Rod shows that the Lord’s name would be revealed someday after 1930 by a wise man, one who has heard the Rod message, and he would see the Lord’s name, then at least two facts stand out clearly: **One**): no human, only the angels and the devils knew beforehand, **two**): all others have been ignorant of it. VTH, by quoting the following text, affirms as much:

“*But strong meat belongeth to them that are of full age, even those who by reason of use have their senses exercised to discern both good and evil. Therefore leaving the principles of the doctrine of Christ, let us go on unto perfection...*” —Heb 5: 14, 6:1

VTH’s use of this text and his command to study every word of the Rod, manifest to those of us who are truly 100%-Rod believers that a day of perfection was anticipated by his work, and in that day we would be required to preach another Gospel of Christ, this by virtue of Paul’s Bible statement and VTH’s use of that statement. Imagine, the Holy Spirit, the power which you and Jeannie pretend to love so much, promised us here that we would leave the 2000-year doctrine of Christ to receive our perfection. Thus when VTH commented on *Micah Five*, he obviously commented during a time before the strong meat came. So I assert that if you truly love the Comforter, you would stop resisting the themes which He promotes, the themes of partial prophecy and the theme of leaving the principle doctrine of Christ. Consider another statement from Paul which was quoted in the Rod. It also described advances in the doctrine of Christ in the day of perfection:

“*And he gave some, apostles; and some prophets; and some, evangelists and some, pastors and teachers: for the perfecting of the saints, for the work of the ministry, for the edifying of the body of Christ. Till we all come in the unity of the faith, and of the knowledge of the Son of God, unto a perfect man, unto the measure of the stature of the fullness of Christ*” —Eph 4: 11-13

Here we have come back to the study which opened this E-mail: it is another powerful statement from the Holy Spirit. He states, in the canon of Scripture, and then reiterated in the Rod, that spiritual gifts would continue until the saints are perfect, a day obviously well beyond the ministry of VTH. And that such perfection would result in another gospel of Christ, it would increase our knowledge of the Son of God —the very concern of your E-mail— and it would give you a fuller understanding about Christ. Such understanding is to obviously come from the “man of wisdom” who has heard the Rod, the very singular individual whom Christ promised to reveal Himself. Consider the following corroborative statements from EGW:

“*No one can search the Scriptures in the spirit of Christ without being rewarded. When man is willing to be instructed as a little child, when he submits wholly to God, he will find the truth in His word. If men would be obedient, they would understand the plan of God's government. The heavenly world would open its chambers of grace and glory for exploration. Human beings would be altogether different from what they now are, for by exploring the mines of truth men would be ennobled. The mystery of redemption, the incarnation of Christ, His atoning sacrifice, would not be as they are now, vague in our minds. They would be not only better understood, but altogether more highly appreciated.*” —EGW, COL, 114:1

Notice, the Holy Spirit reveals through the pen of EGW that doctrines of the atoning sacrifice, redemption, and the incarnation of Christ are themes which are only vague in our minds. Each of these doctrines have been clarified by the MSC.

“*How are you to know that you are accepted of God? Study His word prayerfully. Lay it not aside for any other book. This book convinces of sin. It plainly reveals the way of salvation. It brings to view a bright and glorious reward. IT REVEALS TO YOU A COMPLETE SAVIOR, and teaches you that through His boundless mercy alone can you expect salvation...the hope of eternal life is not to be received upon slight grounds. It is a subject to be settled between God and your own soul --settled for eternity. A supposed hope, and nothing more, will prove your ruin. Since you are to stand or fall by the word of God, it is to that word you must look for testimony in your case. There you can see what is required of you to become a Christian*” —Vol, 163

The Mustard Seed Advent

Clearly, the Holy Spirit testifies again and affirms what the Lord said in Luke 10: 22, what Paul said in Hebrews 6: 1, and again in Eph 4:11-13, the church did not fully understand Christ; they did not know who He was; they were ignorant of the “fullness of Christ”. Until today, they have anticipated His peace but have only received His sword. Thus, instead of continued resistance, all should now celebrate that Micah’s promised, *Rod* hearing “man of wisdom” has uncovered the Lord’s identity, His name. Won’t you come to this fountain and cast of the vagaries of your perception of the Lord and learn of the complete Savior. Why not let David minister unto you and build a house for His name.

Sincerely,

Derek