

Partial Prophecy and the Single Eye, Part I

18-Oct-09

Dear Emilio,

I was on my way to church today, 17-Oct-2009, until Vinnette showed to me Walt's E-mail to you. I cannot herein quote it all, but I feel compelled to immediately respond and share my reply with the field. There are dimensions to my reply which may be repetitive with past letters; however, it gives the MSA another opportunity to fulfill its commission and show the wisdom and the love of God. I apologize that it took me until now to reply.

Walt is attempting to obscure the plain and simple truths which you have been shown, to convince you that the Spirit of the Lord did not mean exactly what He said when Paul, through His impress, pronounced that, until the perfect day, NT prophecies shall fail. There can be no mistake, Paul was clearly inspired to say,

"...whether there be prophecies they shall fail; whether there be tongues, they shall cease; whether there be knowledge, it shall vanish away. For we know in part, and we prophesy in part. But when that which is perfect is come, then that which is in part shall be done away...For now we see through a glass, darkly: but then face to face: now I know in part; but then shall I know even as also I am known" —1Cor 13: 8-12.

I agree that, to the carnal mind, a mind which is not educated to choose the good and refuse the evil, such a tactic of revealing partial truth seems to be duplicitous, treacherous, and unfaithful. This is the thinking of Walt, and this manifests the carnal thoughts which dominate his thinking and expose him as a tare amidst the wheat. For he and I have wrestled with this issue before; yet he still refuses to accede to the solid-Bible doctrine that, even though light actually did fall from heaven as God's provisions, yesterday's manna has no efficacy or benefit for today. Beyond the date of its intended use, it is no longer beneficial; it becomes stale and "stank" (Exod 16:20) making it food for the agents of decomposition and death, worms. Affirming this multi-dimensional tactic of heaven's revelations, Christ declares, *"Let the WICKED forsake his way, and the UNRIGHTEOUS man his thoughts: and let him return unto the Lord (let him become righteous)...For my thoughts are not your thoughts, neither are your ways my ways...For as the heavens are higher than the earth, so are my ways higher than your ways, and my thoughts than your thoughts, For as the rain cometh down...So shall my word be that goeth forth out of my mouth: it shall not return unto me void..."* —Isa 55: 7-11. These Texts segments two categories of men: those who have thoughts and ways which contradict the Lord's —they are called the wicked— and those who embrace the Lord's way, His biblically expressed promises, plans, and thoughts —the righteous. It exposes the character of the tares, the agents of death for tares amongst the wheat are useful, like the worm in a carcass, only to facilitate death. Consequently, as promised, we can discern the wheat from the tares: The tares, the wicked, are those who reject the Lord's biblically-defined thoughts as they hold tenaciously to their own ideas.

We know that God desires to teach us by use of partial prophecies; He expressed it in His word, and He has no empty or idle words. He has many empty people professing to serve Him, but no vain words. He told us, *"...as the rain cometh down, and the snow from heaven and...watereth the earth, and maketh it bring forth and bud...So shall my word be that goeth forth out of my mouth: it shall not return unto me void...it shall prosper in the thing whereto I sent it."* —Isa 55: 10, 11. The lesson from *Isa 55* cannot be disputed: The Word is defined as "God's ways and His thoughts" to us, His lessons showered upon us from heaven. He raised, last-days, NT prophets, WM Miller, EGW, and VTH merely to point us to His word, not to add to it or subtract from it. Paul expressed the Lord's ways and His thoughts by telling us that during the NT epoch, all prophets prophesy in part until the perfect day. For any to dismiss the efficacy of that tactic, is to manifest for themselves that their thinking is in disharmony with God's thinking defining

Partial Prophecy and the Single Eye, Part I

themselves as the wicked, people who are now urged to forsake their ideas. Instead of accepting Paul's *1Cor-13*-expressed tactic of salvation, Walt seeks to render it vain and void by using the dictionary to parse the simple words expressed by Paul. He gives multiple definitions for the following words: "prophecy", "fail", "done away", "cease", "perfect", etc, words commonly used throughout the Scripture without confusion. The end result does not diminish the MSA's correct interpretation of *1Cor 13*; it does not help his desire to change the meaning of that *Rod*-validated, Paulene message; instead, it only clouds the meaning behind excessive synonyms and words. It is an improper use of the Bible and the dictionary. Quite hypocritically, such tactics of obscuration are never deployed by men such as Walt when the plain renderings of Scriptures strike their fancy and affirm their doctrines. So, suffering the sting of disappointment which always accompanies a man's humiliation when shown his errors, he runs to the dictionary for cover. Like done with Eve in the garden, the serpent hopes to likewise divest you of your light, the illuminations that fill your body, and to instead rely upon his non-biblical, Word-of-God-defying sophistry, his soul-destroying worms. Yet, all can praise the Lord for His *mustard seed*: He never deploys such tactics to teach Scripture; instead, he takes the Bible (even the KJV) just as it reads to discern and interpret its meaning. When the dictionary is used to teach Scripture, it is for emphasis and to affirm the MSA's findings. Such is *Righteousness by Faith* in the Word; it exemplifies humanity forsaking their carnal thoughts. The bottom line is simple: Walt does not believe *1Cor 13* because he is settled and comfortable on what he has learned to date and does not desire that any should destabilize him from his perceived-to-be-lofty and comfortable perch. This is the central reason given from the *mustard seed*, one which has fully removed the beam from his vision so as to legally judge, legally identify the mote in his brother's eye. It shows the reason why Walt is straining over Paul's teachings: He desires to swallow the camel, the huge carcass of the unclean beast which teaches that EGW and VTH had sufficient light to lead us to the Kingdom. Hypocritically, he does not even believe in their work for he rejects their most dominant theme, the theme that harmonizes with Paul's claims of *1Cor 13*, *1 Cor three*, *Heb five*; *Eph four*, etc, that is, he rejects their demands that we measure all SOP doctrines by the Bible. Regretfully, I must re-emphasize that, instead of breaking his bread with Christ, he seeks to, like Judas beforehand, soften it by dipping his bread in their oil, the SOP. However, if the end result of his counsel, that we should be careful of prophets who have uttered themes that do not come to pass, is indeed genuinely his driving concern—a concern that the MSA does not dispute—then all the more should he recline in the light of the MSA for it is the only light which truly validates the SOP. It shows that, as NT saints, we should have anticipated their work to be partial; this gives allowance for any inconsistencies that may be perceived by their many detractors.

With even more hypocrisy, Walt, to foster and substantiate his rejection of Paul's, Holy-Spirit-inspired testimony, bypasses all the wealth in the Bible to clarify the gift of prophecy and he attempts to isolate to you merely one OT definition. To his great consternation, he uses a text that does not even make his point. He bypasses all the wealth of *Deut 18*, the revelations that show how Christ is to communicate to His church, and isolates the few words contained therein which speak of the gift of prophecy. The MSA must re-echo the trumpet blast: The Lord had many "thoughts" to clarify the role of the prophetic gift; He did not limit its definition to merely one text. We must realize that none of His word shall return unto Him void. Therefore, to properly study the gift of prophecy, we must examine all of His Bible-contained, Old and New Testament words, even that which was articulated in *Numbers 12*, *Luke 10: 22*, *John 16*, *John 17*, *Exod 16* (the eternal law of manna) as well as Paul's multiple references cited above. Hypocrisy on this point may be an imprecise judgment because, to fully comprehend the new marching orders of leadership and the new governance in this age of the gentiles, one needs to first distinguish between Christ and Jesus, and they need to know their separate, plan-of-salvation-defined, Bible-expressed commissions. These are the very themes that Walt seeks to reject; thus the label, "criminal co-conspirator with Satan", rather than hypocrite, is the more accurate judgment. Whatever the indictment, the point bears repetition: For any to understand, they first need to remove the blinding "beam" from their eyes. Remember, "...*if the blind lead the blind, both shall fall into the ditch*" —Matt 15: 14.

The Mustard Seed Advent, 18-Oct-2009

Furthermore, if, as Walt suggests, we need to be careful of new light when it seems to counter yesterday's manna, the SOP, and if this were a genuine concern of his, then logic stands firm for the non-pretentious: We should revert back to the *Testimony of Jesus* and not fail, as did yesterday's traitor, by dipping our bread in SOP. Jesus' testimony came, according to His very word—not from Himself, nor the prophets, not even the Comforter, but—from the Father, the Highest. Father is higher than Paul, EGW, and VTH. Christ said that Father is even greater than is He. Father's very word's were cited by Christ to show that the source of His words came from One who is greater. He said, "**For I have not spoken of myself; but the Father, which sent me, he gave me a commandment, what I should say and what I should speak.**" —John 12: 49. Also, He said, "**...I go unto the Father: for my Father is greater than I**" —John 14: 28. These facts kept in mind, consider Walt's caution to you:

We should indeed go to the Bible for a clearer understanding of what the Bible states of God's word through His prophets, and not the MSA interpretations of what God is saying. Really now, let all check out the MSA with what the Bible is saying on the issue of prophets, and His modes of communication. The Bible clearly upholds that validity of God's channels of communication with His people, in no unmistakable terms. —Walt's E-mail, 10-17-09, 9:48AM, EST. Emphasis belong

Interpretation: Walt wants the Bible to validate the New-Testament, SOP revelations over the Bible. EGW and VTH represented their work to be subordinate to the Bible; yet, Walt thinking himself to be more wise and more prudent, assumes that, because they are NT prophets, their work must be included as an integral part of that Holy Writ. Thus he says, "***we should indeed go to the Bible for a clearer understanding of what the Bible states of God's words through His prophets...***" Is not this a mighty circular argument as we shall see? This, Walt has the audacity to conclude even after ignoring and obscuring Paul's inspired, deeper editions of the gift of prophecy given in, *1Cor 13*. In the Old Testament, Christ defines for Israel the gift of prophecy, and His definitions are not disputed by the MSA. However, He was not finished uttering His "thoughts" and His "ways" on that important issue. If the Lord desired for the NT church to recline exclusively on the theme of prophecy expressed in the OT, then Christ and Paul—not willing to void the word—would have merely repeated those themes or said nothing at all. Instead, with the advent of Christ, just as promised in *Duet 18*, the context of the church changed requiring advances in the thesis of prophecy. Rather than Walt choosing wisdom and harmonizing his views with the NT church, being a member of that same body and a student of its advancing prophets, he used the dictionary to strain and obscure Paul's words but deploys no such microscopic approach regarding the following OT text which he "blindly" presumes helps his case: "**the prophet, which shall presume to speak a word in my name, which I have not commanded him to speak, or that shall speak in the name of other gods, even that prophet shall die.**" —Deut 18: 20. Notice, the text promises death to all prophets who misrepresent His word—the very thing which only Jesus' testimony cures (John 8: 51, *John six*, John 12: 49-51, etc). Just as Israel was told that all men, save Caleb and Joshua, over 20 who left Egypt would die in the wilderness, and some presumed that it excluded Moses and Aaron, just as those words were not voided—both died in fulfillment of the prophecy—just so must we not be quick to "void" the specific words of Deut 18: 20. It says, "even that prophet shall die". The Text makes no mention of status in the judgment, forgiveness through the Atonement, resurrection in the Kingdom, etc. Therefore, men of righteousness, those who seek not to void the Word, we must now count: How many prophets, since Jesus, have actually not died? Derek West, the *mustard seed*, is the only one. Consequently, the answer to the point of Deut 18: 20 manifests that we needed a deeper analysis of this law, the analysis which Christ, Paul, and now the *mustard seed* delivers, the lights which Walt rejects.

When the prophets get it right, in the perfect day, then death will cease with them and their students. But Deut 18: 20 does not contradict the *mustard seed* nor Paul as neither "presume" to speak an unauthorized word in Christ's name; both speak by authority of the Bible, and neither speak in the name of other gods. However, all who pray to the god of Constantine's own imagery and creation, defining Father as a "Tri-Una" God, do violate this text. Such men were required to

Partial Prophecy and the Single Eye, Part I

die and to seek their salvation, if applicable, through the *Judgment of the Dead* and by way of the first resurrection. They did not know better; therefore their hope rests in the fact that the Atoning sacrifice, the Goat, as revealed in prior studies, covers all unknown sins. The prophets in whom Walt trusts meet this definition as evidenced by the fact that they all died. Yet, because a prophet unfolds lessons that are intended to fail, as Paul warned and as VTH and EGW echoed, does not suggest presumption, for Father, in Jesus' Testimony promised that they would fail: He commanded Christ to say, "...**thou has hid these things from the wise and prudent, and has revealed them unto babes: even so, Father: for so it seemed good in thy sight**" —Luke 10: 21. To be a prophet, by the very definition of that term, means to be wise and prudent above all other people; it means to be a people upon whom Father promised to hide His full light, to mask them in either total or partial darkness. Again, the case of Moses unanticipated call to the grave before seeing the promise land, a funeral followed by Israel's great mourning shows God's consistency of this principle. To reiterate, prophets are classed among the wise and prudent; they have wisdom which exceeds the men on earth; yet, their full understanding of God and His salvation is purposefully obscured. This can be affirmed by another OT prophecy which Walt has chosen to ignore. It says, "...**Hear now my words: if there be a prophet among you, I the Lord will make myself known unto him in a vision, and will speak unto him in a dream. My servant Moses is not so, who is faithful in all mine house. With him will I speak mouth to mouth, even apparently, and not in dark speeches...**" —Num 12: 6-8. The Lord shows at least two types of prophets which refutes with Walt's claim of eternal manna, again, disagreements with which the dictionary cannot be used to hide his error. Moses, the highest form of a prophet, is given plain or "apparent" revelations, others being less faithful are given dark speeches. It is the dark, obscure themes, messages which come directly from heaven, that confuse us; these prophetic messages are what Paul refers to for all NT prophecies until the perfect day. He said, "...**For now we see through a glass, DARKLY; but then face to face: now I know in part; but then shall I know even as also I am known**" —1 Cor 13: 3-12. The perfect day is the day when dark speeches end; when the *prophet like unto Moses* arrives, and when we are united by his work. Consequently, Paul's rendition of prophecy is validated by both the Old and New Testament. Partial prophecies cease only now, in the perfect day.

The Lord likewise affirmed this testimony pertaining to the gift of prophecy. He said, "**These things have I spoken unto you in proverbs: but the time cometh, when I shall no more speak unto you in proverbs, but I shall shew you plainly of the Father.**" —John 16: 25. Why is this so? There are complexities which expand well beyond our imaginations, complexities that the *mustard seed* is only now unfolding. One reason is because of Father's great love: If He were to broadcast all of His light at once, then fewer people would join the church; fewer would take a bold stand for heaven above the hell to which they have become accustomed, and fewer could then benefit from Jesus' sacrifice. Jesus, in the *Judgment of the Dead* can manifest that the redeemed embraced His Kingdom by advancing from darkness to light, partial light, at a pace commensurate to their ability. The accuser of the brethren cannot assail this logic, if he indeed had a chance, because heaven's partial prophecies are brighter than his lies. After all, 3500 years ago at Sinai, heaven proved that humanity cannot absorb too much illumination. Thus, truth is given in part because we cannot bear its full blast of brilliance. Walt and the forum best exemplify this fact by use of all their shields, including his dictionary, to deflect this light. So Father can then legally resurrect people in great masses for eternal membership in His Kingdom, assign such "birds of the air" to the burgeoning *mustard seed* for higher education, and do so because He led them slowly and gently. He gets His way because Father loves people. There is one other reason that must now be disclosed to explain the wisdom of "partial prophecies": Heaven desires to elevate, as promised, the house of David. In so doing, David and his crew of disciples, along with Jerusalem, can bring into the Kingdom others of eternally lower rank and then educate them to righteousness under careful governance, so that when Jesus returns, He will have a church "without spot or wrinkle" to present to Father. After all, David's house is the only house given the assignment to declare the name of the Lord —any others who "**presume to speak a word in (His) name must die**" — David's house is a house promised to be the eternal light to the world as shown by the below Texts:

The Mustard Seed Advent, 18-Oct-2009

- “Howbeit the Lord would not destroy the house of David, because of the covenant that he had made with David, and as he promised to give a light to him and to his sons for ever” —2Chron 21: 7.
- “*Ho, every one that thirsteth, come ye to the waters, and he that hath no money; come ye...incline your ear, and come unto me: hear, and your soul shall live (live eternally, of course), and I will make an everlasting covenant with you, even the sure mercies of (not of Paul, or EGW, or VTH, but of) David. Behold, I have given him for a WITNESS TO THE PEOPLE, a leader and commander to the people*” —Isa 55: 1, 3, 4.
- “*And he said, it is a light thing that thou shouldest be my servant to raise up the tribes of Jacob, and to restore the preserved of Israel: I will also give thee for a light to the Gentiles*” —Isa 49: 6.
- “*And it came to pass that night, that the word of the Lord came unto Nathan, saying, Go and tell my servant David...when thy days be fulfilled, and thou shalt sleep with thy fathers, I will set up thy seed after thee, which shall proceed out of thy bowels, and I will establish his kingdom. HE SHALL BUILD AN HOUSE FOR *****MY NAME*****, and I will **STABLISH THE THRONE OF HIS KINGDOM FOREVER** (**COULD NOT BE A REFERENCE TO SOLOMON OR JESUS**)” —2Sam 7: 4, 5 12, -13.*
- “*Behold my servant, whom I uphold; mine elect, in whom my soul delighteth; I have put my spirit upon him: he shall bring forth judgment to the Gentiles...I the Lord have called thee in righteousness, and will hold thine hand, and will keep thee, and give thee for a covenant of the people, for a light of the Gentiles...my glory will I not give to another...*” —Isa 42: 1, 6, 8.
- “*I have made a covenant with my chosen, I have sworn unto David my servant. Thy seed will I establish forever, and build up thy throne to **ALL GENERATIONS** (this includes the resurrected)” —Ps 89: 3, 2Chron 21: 7.*

Reverting for a fleeting moment to the closing message a few paragraphs above these bulleted points, it was shown that the Father spoke to the world through Christ/Jesus and did so for the three-and-one-half years of Jesus’ testimony. Consequently, Father’s revelations through Jesus’ ministry pertaining to the manner in which His Kingdom is to emerge rings with even more resonance. It is not to blossom from a blinding light in the sky, from great balls of fire in judgment, or from a geographic relocation of the church; it is not from ghosts of deceased saints floating up to heaven, etc; instead, we are to expect it to begin from a single man, the “single eye”, Elijah the prophet, the *mustard seed*. Father commanded Christ to tell Walt that “*the Kingdom of heaven is like to a grain of mustard seed...which indeed is the least of all seeds.*” —Matt 13: 31. This depiction, along with the others given above, could be nothing more than synonyms describing none other than the Lord’s elect, the eternally reigning son of David, the one given the mission to enlighten the church and the world, the addition to the third angel of *Revelation 14* who likewise, in the last day, is to lighten the earth.

“...Then I saw another mighty angel commissioned to descend to the earth, to unite his voice with the third angel, and give power and force to his message. Great power and glory were imparted to the angel. And as he descended, the earth was lightened with his glory. The light which attended this angel penetrated everywhere... this message seemed to be an addition to the third message, joining it as the midnight cry joined the second angel’s message in 1844” —See EGW, *Early Writings*, p. 277:2.

Thankfully, we have this partial prophecy which agrees with the Bible and was given to us by EGW, yet never understood until now. Yesterday, under EGW and VTH, this was a dark speech, one that is only now clarified by the MSA and revealed in its fullness. Thus, we have an example of Paul’s, *1Cor 13* message: The former meaning is dashed in the light of the new meaning. Doing away with a prophecy is therefore not a negative process; it simply indicates, oftentimes, the clearer meaning flashes forth causing us to rethink our understanding of it yesterday. The below, EGW reference is a similar such prophecy:

“The time has come for a thorough reformation to take place. When this reformation begins, the spirit of prayer will actuate every believer and will banish from the church the spirit of discord and strife. Those who have not been living in Christian fellowship will draw close to one another. ONE MEMBER working in right lines will lead other members to unite with HIM in making intercession for the revelation of the Holy Spirit. There will be

Partial Prophecy and the Single Eye, Part I

no confusion, because all will be in harmony with the mind of the Spirit. The barriers separating believer from believer will be broken down, and God's servants will speak the same things. The Lord will co-operate with His servants. All will pray understandingly the prayer that Christ taught His servants: 'Thy kingdom come. Thy will be done in earth, as it is in heaven.' Matthew 6:10." —See EGW, *Testimonies for the Church*, Vol. 8, p 251.

Notice the new light from the inspiration of these above, EGW texts: in the last one a reformation orchestrated by “**one member**” in the church, obviously the son of David, is promised. How could a reformation ever occur without changing ideas? Such is impossible. This could be none other than a synonymic (sin-ə-'nim-ik) affirmation of Paul's and Christ's promise that prophecies shall be done away since the greater preponderance of our ideas of truth are based upon the teaching that we have extrapolated yesterday from the SOP. Consider the inherited teachings of Christ, of Jesus' pre-existence, of vegetarianism, of singular leadership, of the Atonement, of the Kingdom within us, the 144,000, etc. Consider these and many others as validating examples to show the reformation which the MSA has orchestrated. After all, she does say that which was never appreciated beforehand: that the reformation, the perfect prophecy which banishes the old, is orchestrated by “one member”, a man. Consider again the first EGW reference from *Early Writings* above. How could the *Third (Angel's) Message* require an addition if Father did not conceal many things during the long years of its initial proclamation and if Father was not determined with Rock-solid and unbending zeal to give Christ's glory to none other than to the promised son of David? This indeed was Christ's prayer (see John 17: 14-23). These EGW references, along with many more prove the thesis of partial prophecy. Consequently, as Paul, EGW, and VTH affirmed, they have all prophesied in part until David emerges, until the perfect day. This angel, the *mustard seed*, does not speak presumptuously; to the contrary, he is commissioned to arise by Christ (OT) and Father and his rise is shown throughout the Bible many times. Because Walt does not believe the affirmation of David's emergence becomes an important point of distinction: His failure to believe is not an indictment against its eventual advent —not a devaluation of David's son's appointment— instead, it speaks to Walt's vast ignorance and his failure at faith in the Word.

Revisiting the source of Jesus' testimony, those recorded words were divested from both Jesus and Christ for Christ said, “**I have not spoken of mySELF but the Father which sent me, he gave me a commandment, what I should say, and what I should speak.**” I dare to say, no other disclaimer such as this is recorded in the Bible; they are not vain words. Christ has a **SELF** that can speak, and that Self is distinguished from Father. With this eye-opening revelation, one attributing Jesus' testimony to none other than Father Himself, we have clinching and overly-redundant proof to defy Walt's spurious assertion above. How so! —we see that a singular man was truly promised to emerge so as to open to all the Bible —Father so said, and like Christ, His words will not return unto Him void. This promised, singular man is to do exactly the opposite of Walt's contention: He is to give to the church the interpretation of what God is saying. Therefore, the age-old caution, understood darkly yesterday, to cease from man —though it may be applicable to all others— does not apply to this particular man, the light of Israel. He will not lead you to himself, but to Christ. You must sit in his class, Bible in hand, and attentively learn. “Cease ye from man” is a precaution which points you to David, the shield used by Christ. It is a caution which does not apply to the son of David because heaven, not wayward and fallen humanity, promised to us his advent. Anticipating his arrival manifests the highest faith in the Word! But suppose he is in error, and we become deceived? If his teachings are to be condemned, then could not the very Bible, the underpinning source of his “light”, the same Bible which has exposed all other charlatans, can it not do the very same to cross-examine David and his teachings? Why do you think that Walt has to resort to the dictionary to assail the MSA? —he cannot do so with Scripture. But his dodging efforts are a hazard, not to you, but to other potential saints on the forum. Through him, the serpent is suggesting that you should dodge and ignore Father and Christ's promise to send you a lighthouse, the promised *mustard seed*, and to reject his validated renditions/interpretations of the Bible. Walt says, “**We should indeed go to the Bible for a clearer understanding of what the Bible states of God's word through His prophets, and not the MSA interpretations of what God is saying.**” In so asserting, Walt is subtly tempting you to think that heaven's *mustard seed*, the MSA,

The Mustard Seed Advent, 18-Oct-2009

will not be graciously deployed to facilitate your investigation and that you are free to reject anything that does not meet your taste. Merely ask him to explain the promise of the *mustard-seed* Kingdom of *Matt 13*, again a promise from Father's throne, and you will see his slithering tactics. If man could, of himself, correctly interpret the Bible, then all would be Davidians today. The enemy desires that you do the ridiculous: that you trust in men who have died, the "partial prophets", and he insists that you do so, for a ridiculous reason, that they may show to you how to escape the grave! How can the inmates of the grave show any how to escape? Yet, Father gives the tactic which mandates the opposite: that all now begin to heed the counsel of the *mustard seed* as the only remedy to transit from death to life without seeing the grave. Does not Father know best? Can we hazard the risk of trusting the serpent in the garden the second time? Can we accept his sophistry and skill to again convolute the *Testimony of Jesus*? The church, in her very beginning some 3500 years ago, demanded of Christ that a man be deployed as their shield from heaven's brightness, their shadow of the Mighty Rock. And Christ, by covenant, agreed to so do; now the enemy seeks to take from you the very salvation that you, the church, have contracted with Christ. He seeks to remove from you your light, your provision to receive the Word revealed through the Prophet-like-unto Moses. He says that you should ignore the MSA's interpretations of what God is saying —suggesting that we have some other alternative.

Therefore, let us go back to basic and fundamental Christology, faith in Christ. If Walt is confused by Paul, by EGW, by VTH, the truth can be made simple; it does not require his dictionary-based convolutions. Christ —again not using His own words but those given to him by the Father— said that you cannot be a Christian unless you define Him and His work by Moses' testimony. He said, "***For had ye believed Moses, ye would have believed me: for he wrote of me. But if ye believe not his writings, how shall ye believe my words***" —John 5: 46, 47. Is not this the heart of the problem? Walt does not believe what Moses promised of Christ: that Christ would hide upon Jesus, the Prophet, and that His light would be communicated to the church accordingly. He pretends to believe Deut 18: 20; why does he refuse to believe the five prior verses? He does not because, simply put, Walt is not a true believer; he is not a Christian. Becoming true Christians has been our goal these past 2000 years. It was a goal that both EGW and VTH pointed to us. The MSA is the exception: Only it can be rendered, above all other commentators, all these past 2000 years, to correctly define Christ the way that the Highest demanded that we define Him, by Moses' testimony. Only the *mustard seed* therefore has declared the name of Christ making him the long-promised elect, the son of David, the light of Israel. This, above all other reasons, recommends to the forum and all of Davidia Derek West as the "single eye"; he is the one to rightly interpret "what God is saying". None others, in the history of the universe, have so done for humanity; therefore, none others can rightly divide for you the word of truth. Remember, man was created with binocular vision; however, unless he is cross-eyed or suffers from some form of myopia, he only sees one image, not two. The brain receives the dual images and converts them into a single form; this is the MSA's commission as it dispels all other myopic concepts of God. Examine Father's instructions to you through the whisperings of Christ and the lips of Jesus: "***The light of the body is the eye: therefore when thine eye is single, thy whole body also is full of light: but when thine eye is evil, thy body also is full of darkness***" —Luke 11: 34. Walt desires for you and the forum the path to hell, the path of the blind leading the blind. I praise the Lord that He has kept you and preserved you in His light.

Sincerely,

Derek.