

28-Sep-2011

Proof that God Exists

E-----,

I read your letter, and it is replete with judgments, assumptions, and conclusions about God and Christianity which, to the shallow analyst, may seem substantial. It is good that you submitted these issues to me for analysis for you can greatly benefit from the clarity of thought that the Lord has vested in my ministry. Rather than a point-by-point reply, I have excerpted from your letter the major thrust, that which envelops your entire misunderstanding, by citing the below section. Once the answer to your below assertions is given, then you, and all who read, will be armed with more wisdom so as to allow you to rethink your conclusion under a higher standard of logic. In a letter to me as a follow-up to a direct conversation with your mother, you wrote the following:

*“I am proud to have seen that you are in better health as that was a concern for me. Mom informed me on Sunday of your concerns about me, my beliefs, and my life, and I am writing now to answer questions and clear the air... I am, though, staunch in my stance that God does not exist, and also, the Bible itself is false... The reasons why I have rejected Christianity as a whole and its tenets is because none of it makes sense. It doesn't make sense that all of the suffering we see -- past and present -- can occur if an all knowing, all powerful, Omni-benevolent force is out there, sitting on the sidelines watching/fueling the bloodshed. Also, the biblical contradictions... are too numerous to justify to declare it "divinely inspired."... For Instance: Is lying a sin? We all know the mandates of Exodus 20 (and also Deuteronomy), "Thou shalt not bear false witness."... Yet, in 1 Kings 22:23 we see that "the Lord hath put a lying spirit in the mouth of all these thy prophets, and the Lord hath spoken evil concerning thee." So God caused his prophets to lie, thus causing them to break his own commandments?... But I will address some of the issues mom presented to me in the car. Firstly, you said your prayers healed Natalie and I during our sicknesses, and probably so. However, this phenomena is biologically and physiologically explained by Dr. Bruce Lipton and others in his book *Biology of Belief* (strongly recommend it!), as there are many different cultures and societies that experience this phenomena within their own belief systems... if prayers were as effective as you say, then why haven't the millions of prayers for suffering to end been answered? Why is it that god will answer your prayer for safety on the road, and not an African child's prayer for food and water? Surely the nearly 11 million people in Africa praying for water/rain need to be heard over you request to not receive a ticket! And I say that, not to be snarky or demeaning, but its true: the asinine things people pray for (good grades, not getting pulled over, etc.) are granted, yet things that people need go unanswered. Again, if he can protect you from the cops pulling you over, he can make it rain in Africa. Also, if you're prayers are as effective as you claim, instead of praying for more members, why not pray for all the issues facing us to be resolved? Better yet, why not hold a study in Somalia after having provided water and food to those desperate individuals? Why not, instead of praying for bigger numbers, pray for the suffering of sex slaves to end globally?”* —E-mail, E-----, Tuesday, September 06, 2011 4:07 PM.

Bible inconsistencies are one concern that you share with many others. Indeed, all who challenge Christianity, even as they seek to scarf for themselves every benefit which that faith has bestowed to the world these past 2000 years, seem to ungratefully resent its lofty status at the head of the “charity hand-out post”. Sad it is that you have joined that throng and have violated your own thesis by soliciting assistance from your mother, a Christian who attributes all of her benefits from Christ, while you seek to malign her and my faith. Rather than yearning for relief from God for the

Proof that God Exists

millions of presumed destitute and presumed innocent whose cases you do not know, why not borrow from your mother —not her money, but— her formula for success which is faith in Christ? Or, even more consistent with your logic, why ask your mother and me for financial aid to meet your obligations, why not instead ask us to contribute donations to the “11 million people in Africa praying for water”? You impeach the thrust of Christian accomplishments, but I am still searching the planet and its history to see the benefits given to the world by those who advance alternate religious teachings including the teaching that God does not exist. If God is not real, then the world would do wise to do as the Christians has done these past 2000 years and embrace Him as a fairy tale for it sure yielded great benefits for them. Despite this fact, since the beginning of Christianity, the “loving and harmless, innocent” people of the world in such great need of charity whom you extol have not been so charitable themselves. In almost every culture, as an effort to stop the Christian call to end human sacrifices, they welled up with great hostility and burdened themselves with the mission to kill the Christians —remarkably, they sought to kill the hand that was to feed them. In the face of that display of ugliness and hostility, a small handful of disciples, while surviving the onslaught of genocidal maniacs —who were in essence, the spiritually starved children of the world— exploded to become head of the planet. This fact, in itself, is proof that there is a God and He endorses Christianity, and He does so even with all their faults. Thus, the wise rejoice that the Lord’s faith has proliferated in the earth. To this, your eyes are blinded because one of the fallacies of your logic is that you measure consistency by the things that you have been told about God. This you do under the

False Presumption of God’s Identity~~~~~For example, if an adopted child from Barkley was all their life told that his father was a bank broker from Brooklyn, then at age 30, upon first encounter with his real father, the child is given the truth, that his dad is really a ballyhooed, bullfighter from Barcelona, then confusion would naturally result. The child, thinking himself educated and wise about his lineage would, contrary to that presumption, foolishly reject his true father because of the “inconsistency” of his story. All the while, the child instinctively assumes that their adoptive parent was credible, honest, and informed —never prone to assumptions, false conclusions, and honest mistakes. Emperor Constantine, in the fourth century, inherited leadership of the church; he became its adoptive parent. From that vantage point, he gave the world, formerly steeped in paganism, their current view about God. So, if the Christian concept of God is a fairy tale, they inherited it from him. Such does not matter so long as they grow in maturity to eventually learn of His correct identity. Even though the Constantinian viewpoint of God was honest and thoughtful, it was still wrong; yet, it shaped the church and its presentation to the world about their Father. God predicted this misunderstanding —indeed, He encouraged it— because it provided the perfect formula to defeat the enemy of man and save all the starving children of the world. He knew that they had more pressing problems and reserved the day of His true identity for a time of their maturity. This you cannot possibly understand unless I change the subject away from your original concerns and give further clarification. Perhaps as I close, the wisdom of Father’s tactics will dawn upon you. For now, understand that you cannot discern the moves of a Master Chess player if Chinese Checkers bedazzles you. The Author whom you referenced, Bruce Lipton, could not possibly have understood this because the *mustard seed*, your father, is the first one to unfold “the fullness of Christ” and has done so from Scripture. Consider some of the below evidences to prove humanity’s ignorance about God:

- The Lord said, “...**no man knoweth who the Son is, but the Father; and who the Father is, but the Son, and to whom the Son will reveal**” —Luke 10: 22. Unless your lauded author claims to be the man to whom the Son promised to reveal —he could only do so by believing in the Son and the Father— then, he cannot intelligently appraise you of God’s existence, His wisdom, His actions to save, etc. Instead, as a fool, he seeks to define his Father just as does the mythical, adopted child from Barkley. He does so, not on the word of his Father but upon the misunderstandings of the misinformed, his adopted parent.
- Showing that God hid His identity to save, Isaiah expresses this theme also. Speaking of the light revealed in this hour by the *mustard seed*, He said, “...**I have shewed thee new things from this time, even hidden things, and thou didst not know them. They are created now, and not from the beginning; even before the day when thou heardest them not; lest thou shouldest say,**

The Mustard Seed Advent, 28-Sep-2011

Behold, I knew them. Yea, thou heardest not; yea, thou knewest not; yea, from that time that thine ear was not opened: for I knew that thou wouldest deal very treacherously, and was called a transgressor from the womb” —Isa 48: 6-8. Humanity deals treacherously with the truth, as evidenced by your renowned author, thus the Lord exposes them as fools, because, had they known the truth they would give credit to devils, their idols. Rich people and poor people, starving people in Somalia, and well-fed people in America all have a predisposition to deal treacherously; thus, their educational syllabus must be crafted accordingly.

- Even God’s patriarchal heroes of old were fed with only a partial knowledge of God. The Bible says, **“And God spake unto Moses, and said unto him, I am the Lord: And I appeared unto Abraham, unto Isaac, and unto Jacob, by the name of God almighty, but by my name JEHOVAH was I not known to them”** —Exod 6: 2, 3. If He did not reveal His full identity to His inheritance, then you can be certain that your favored authors are a 100 fold more ignorant.
- To make a long story short, Father knew that the population of the world would explode in our day, today, the “last day”, a time when men will have vented all of their false theories so that afterwards, the light about God, by His elect, will expose them as fools and give God the opportunity to “feed” the world —not just with literal bread, but “manna” from heaven, truth— the truth, uncontradicted by the discredited men of treachery. He said, **“No man can come to me, except the Father which hast sent me draw him: and I will raise him up at the last day. It is written in the prophets, And they shall be all taught of God...Your fathers did eat manna in the wilderness, and are dead. This is the bread which cometh down from heaven, that a man may eat thereof, and not die”** — John 6: 44, 45, 49, 50. Only people, who live in the last day will be taught of God. The status of those beforehand is meted out in the resurrection which is a much traveled subject precluded from commentary in this answer.
- Additionally, God knows that men are treacherous; sad to say, even starving people have this nature. They love to steal; especially do they love to steal glory which is honor and praise that is not due to them. To preclude this grand larceny, He reveals His light to His, the Lord’s elect, the one whom He promised to raise and teach of the Father and the Son in the “last day”. He says, **“Behold my servant, whom I uphold; mine elect, in whom my soul delighteth; I have put my spirit upon him: he shall bring forth judgment to the Gentiles...I am the Lord: that is my name: and my glory will I not give to another, neither my praise to graven images. Behold, the former things are come to pass, and new things do I declare: before they spring forth I tell you of them”** —Isa 42: 1, 8, 9. Again, the Lord does not want secret-society devils, people perhaps like your favored author, who worship in their secret lodges graven images, to receive the glory that belongs to Him. If you are worried about those who suffer in the earth, then know that the Lord promises the conveyance to them of fairness and justice. This will come “in the last day”, today, from His elect for he **“shall bring forth judgment to the Gentiles”**. Wise indeed then, that he, being the judge, should not get the speeding ticket!
- Wisdom also demands that this singular servant, the “elect”, pray for bigger numbers because only in such a way can the Lord vest to the world all of the “care packages” of relief-aid to quench human suffering. Thus did He command, **“The harvest truly is plenteous, but the labourers are few; PRAY YE THEREFORE the Lord of the harvest, that he will send forth labourers into his harvest”** — Matt 9: 37, 38. The disciples, a small group, were commanded to pray to Father, to win more helping hands so as to bring relief to a larger group, the world. In this I must reject your counsel on how to pray for your simple prayers will do the opposite of your intentions —it would further the spread of human suffering.

You mention another issue which deserves to be addressed by wisdom. You desire to measure God and His grace by gauging the amount of suffering which exists in the world. The Bible proves that when God has endowed men with great favor and grace, they have used such gifts of endearment to give glory to the enemy of God and man, the one who seeks to efface God’s justice, the one called Satan in the Bible. Ignoring this fact causes you to become deluded with the

Misconception of Benevolence~~~~~If you challenge the existence of God on the earth by citing suffering, hardship, and hunger —correctly believing those things to be evil— then you must be convinced of the presence of Satan, the Bible-defined source of all evil. To disbelieve his existence using parity of logic, you would have to argue, “How can any teach that there is a devil when there is so much peace, happiness, and harmony on earth?” Assuming that wisdom has now smitten you with this logic, then, your question must be clarified to devolve around God’s ability, being Almighty, to successfully defeat the devil —not whether God actually

Proof that God Exists

exists. If you are sincere, this must become the foundation of your frustration with the Bible unless you are being pretentious and disingenuous. That is, you must accept this rephrasing of your question because you are being a fair minded, thoughtful, and a logical student instead of being merely a youthful and rebellious daughter who is seeking to punish her parents with shock by her obstinacy. Conceding the existence of the devil and being genuinely concerned for human suffering, you must reconsecrate yourself to his defeat for only in this way can you ever realize the end to human suffering which troubles you so greatly. This can only be done by uniting with the Almighty whom we can now agree, having simplified your question, truly exists.

The truth of the matter is that the devil desires to convince the world that God is unjust, unfair, and tyrannical. Satan wants us to worship him which, in essence, means that Satan desires us to enshroud him with God's glory so as to win our praise and honor as we extol him to be a more righteous and beneficent ruler. He already has baffled you to assume that God is not concerned about human suffering. Once this is accomplished, then he can defeat God in this proverbial chess match. This he can do by proving to the universe that humanity, given a chance to join his team, will leave God and unite under his, Satan's leadership. The world, being the only place in the universe that has "fallen" is the perfect microcosm and theater for this debate because we have been exposed to both sides of governance. Of course you may think that Satan's plan is flawed since God is superior in power. As such, He can easily defeat Satan. Satan has already inculcated that formula into his calculus for he knows that, should God choose the power-play option before proving His righteousness, then He would make Satan a martyr and lend credence to his point for only cruel despots force patriotism by their power. To understand this point, think for a moment of the regime of the late Saddam Hussein, the former ruler of Iraq. As you do so, forget about your objections to America's intervention and war in Iraq; you know that it was a war that I, like you, did not support. Beforehand, Saddam, to show the world media his support, would use his minions to compel the masses under his administration to flood the streets in celebration of his leadership. They did so out of fear, and this was the essence of forced patriotism for the people feared the penalty of reprisal should they repress their enthusiasm of him. This is the portrait of God which Satan desires to purvey. The same holds true with God's servants; this is the reason why the people who have influenced your thinking about God will never give any credence to the contributions of Christianity in the world and its success in eliminating human suffering. Again, they do this as they seek to scarf to themselves all of the blessings which this nation has given to curtail human suffering. By this tactic of discredit, Satan has won the title of "the accuser of the brethren". Revelation reveals,

"And there was war in heaven: Michael (Christ) and his angels fought against the dragon; and the dragon fought and his angels, And prevailed not; neither was there place found any more in heaven. And the great dragon was cast out, that old serpent, called the Devil, and Satan, which deceiveth the whole world: he was cast out into the earth, and his angels were cast out with him....Now is come salvation, and strength, and the kingdom of our God, and the power of his Christ: for the accuser of our brethren is cast down, which accused them before our God day and night"—Rev 12: 7-10.

Armed with this new perspective, the courtroom battle waged by Satan's accusations now launched against the faithful, those like your mother and I whom you likewise renounce, we must be educated in our appraisal of true benevolence as we integrate into our understanding the full scope and reality pertaining to this verbal exchange between Christ and Satan. All benevolence must be assessed by this new parameter, one that you and your author have completely ignored. Your ignorance manifest that you have misconceived the realities of charity and relief. To achieve a higher wisdom, we must inculcate into our study the concept of

Celestial Litigation~~~~~Discern that there are rules of engagement which causes God, though eager to prevail, to voluntarily curtail the use of His might and to first win by an alternate tactic. The goal of divine victory has, with this letter, been given a new dimension: It is to first defeat Satan's verbal barrage of accusations. Instead of using power to silence, to kill, and even to save from affliction, it is now used to win arguments for to win yields

The Mustard Seed Advent, 28-Sep-2011

total salvation. After all, even in our court system, before we use our power to punish the accused, we must first, by argument, find him guilty. This litigious battle for salvation is just as real as our own court-room battles for Satan would not waste his time accusing our brethren “day and night” if, by his arguments, he did not win some appeals. Believe it or not, God invalidates the pleas for relief of some people by acceding to the validity of Satan’s claims. Think for example of a corrupt priest attempting to cast out a demon when he himself, perhaps in secrecy, is part of Satan’s team. Father, by argument, must, in the presence of heavenly witnesses, hear the list of that priest’s sins and determine if the priest deserves affirmative answers to his petitions. Think of the disciples, during the Lord’s ministry, they were sent with the power to do miracles (see Matt 17: 15-21), but they could not cast out one demon; Jesus had to intercede. How could such success be granted to them by Father while Judas, a secret sinner, was amongst them? Certainly, their failure was due to Satan’s appeal to Father claiming: **“Judas is on my team; he works for me; how then can he justly cast me out? Is he not my angel planted amongst yours? If so, then should not the success of the disciples be curtailed because of his hands?”** To preclude failure and to win successful judgments in this litigation points to the very reason why we were given the *Testimony of Jesus*; it is Father’s counsel to gain courtroom victory over the accuser of the brethren. Jesus said as much: **“I have many things to say and to judge of you: but he that sent me is true; and I speak to the world those things which I have heard of him...Verily, verily, I say unto you, If a man keep my saying, he shall never see death”** —John 8: 26, 51. Father allows arguments before He displays power, for good or for bad, because being Almighty, it is in the best interest of the universe that He retains the validated reputation of justice that is unimpeachable. Only in this way can His subjects avoid the anguish which Saddam Hussein imposed upon his and can, instead, joy in His government. Even in our very own court proceedings we too recognize the necessity that the judge be held in high esteem. This is made manifest when he enters the courtroom, the bailiff issues the command, “All rise.” The people rise so as to manifest their respect for the judges high standard of justice. Thus Satan accuses us before God day and night with the full understanding that his charges need validation by Father’s unimpeachable reputation; he knows that legitimate charges, even though they come from him (as shown below), will not be dismissed willy-nilly. For example, he may appeal to God the following:

“...How can you justly protect Emily and answer her prayers when she openly denies your existence? If you protect her, then fairness demands that you protect my sympathetic angels who formerly warred against your government in heaven as well as you protect her. We too sought to eliminate the reality of your existence. You must extend your power to save and to protect them and the atheists who likewise blaspheme your name, for they also deny your justice, and to do otherwise would show your partiality. A judge who shows partiality is not fit to rule; after all, this was my original claim when I went to war against your authority. Your claim to dominion is your sense of equity and fairness; yet, you have deemed us to suffer punishment which, if parity does not prevail, she will avoid; such is not justice and equity!”

Father has an answer that I have published in prior publications (See *Atonement and Amazingly Sufficient Grace*, 3.1). I will not, for the sake of brevity, now relay it. For this reply, if we assume that God honors Satan’s attack upon you as he, Satan, performs his biblically-defined job of accusing the brethren, then he, Satan, assuming that his arguments prevail, will have impeached your reputation with Father’s stamp of justice, and then you, unbeknownst to your own concept of justice—that which you have inherited from Constantine— become the very reason to explain your own suffering. Your prayers are not honored because justice demands that you suffer. Your suffering may even extend to the babies that you hold in your arms, should you have children, for any prayers that you offer for relief must be adjudicated by a standard of justice. Therefore, when people see your children suffer, God is not to blame; you are. It will have been proven that you voluntarily removed yourself from divine protection and sealed your case, even against the petitions to you from your loved ones, making your plight the verdict of justice and fairness. Similarly would this be the case if you were warned that a storm was coming, say Katrina, and you not only ignored the warning, but blatantly and presumptuously put your children in harm’s way. Sure the voices of hell,

Proof that God Exists

eager to impeach the Throne of Mercy, will assail Father's reputation of love, but His court will identify another culprit and that decision will be praised and validated by all informed observers in the heavenly courts. Such a sinner must ignore Satan's repines against God and acknowledge the responsibility for their own plight. Resultantly, we can explain your question and show how a just God can exist and allow humans to suffer. Ancient Israel exemplifies this point for they refused hundreds of years of God's reformatory petitions to them; they consequently, placed themselves squarely on Satan's side, by his appeals to a just God for nonintervention, and they have suffered under Satan's parameters of justice ever since. This may indeed explain the suffering children of Somalia to which you refer. The Bible shows Israel's history of rebellion even before their Egyptian liberation all the way to their dispersion some 1000 years later and reveals their refusal to receive divine help and their insistence to worship, give glory which belongs to God, to their idols, the angels of Satan, and the punishment which they received:

“But I wrought for my name's sake, that it should not be polluted before the heathen, among whom they were...wherefore I caused them to go forth out of the land of Egypt, and brought them into the wilderness...But the house of Israel rebelled against me in the wilderness...Nevertheless mine eye spared them from destroying them...But I said unto their children in the wilderness, Walk ye not in the statutes of your fathers, neither observe their judgments, nor defile yourselves with their idols: I am the Lord your God; walk in my statutes...Notwithstanding the children rebelled against me...when I had brought them into the land, for the which I lifted up mine hand to give it to them,...they offered there their sacrifices, and there they presented the provocation of their offering...Wherefore say unto the house of Israel...As I live, saith the Lord God, surely with a mighty hand, and with a stretched out arm, and with fury poured out, will I rule over you” —Ezek 20: 9, 10, 13, 17-19, 21, 28, 30, 33.

When punishment is heaped upon a people, when they refuse to comply, then their starving children likewise are included in the pain. Even in our society, we do not forestall inflicting penalty upon parents, precluding them from going to jail, because they have children. This refusal to forestall punishment we do in spite of the fact which everybody knows: that children are cute and easily win our emotional support. Such is much akin to a baby lion. But, if you mature him to full power, you will see a different side to his “cute” persona. With this analysis comes a key and central finding to your question: You thought that the proverbial lion cub in his babyhood was benevolent to you because he was kind and gentle; in this do many misconceive the lion's benevolence. You did not recognize, speaking metaphorically, that his docile nature was due entirely to his impotency, his lack of power to force his will. Yesterday, he was friendly —not because he had a kind heart and a tame nature, but— because he needed you to feed him; today, he can kill and feed himself. In both extremes of his growth, he was selfish and cruel, but such things are only ascertained when he receives power. So we have another reason to exemplify why God allows people to suffer. To extend the metaphor further: The wise trainer will use tactics that may seem to be, as training methods exacted upon such a “cute” little cub, harsh and severe disciplines, to the naive observer,. He does so because he has God's wisdom on this issue: He knows the heart of the lion and manages it in anticipation of the day when it is empowered. This is exactly how God has managed Israel so that they may receive their throne today. Consequently, when you see them suffer, even their babies, you must reassess the assumptions of your sympathies. It is the height of convoluted folly to confuse powerlessness with righteousness. Yet, I say to you, we are all children, and our pain, regardless of age, is a grievance from which God desires to save us. But how can a just God condemn the rebellious angels of Satan who went to war against Him in heaven and not condemn humans who likewise furthered those satanic impulses of rebellion towards Him on earth? When Nazi Germany rebelled against their Christian roots and followed the occult under Hitler (I am sure that Hitler and his troops were, when they were little, all cute babies), when they sought to impose their tyranny upon humanity by ruthless warfare, when all of their young children grew older and joined that cause, should not a righteous government under Christ have dropped their bombs upon them all? Or, should they have lived pretentiously as you suggest and sent care packages to the criminals of the planet? —such would have been misconceived benevolence! The righteousness of the Christian cause was to stop the onslaught. This, the other nations were powerless to do, and this was our righteous benevolence for it preserved the world in relative civility.

The Mustard Seed Advent, 28-Sep-2011

In a court room, not everyone understands the proceedings or how decisions pertaining to clemency or punishment are adjudicated. Heaven's celestial litigation is no different. Although you may not understand, the principals, the Presiding Jurist, the defense and the prosecuting attorneys well understand the case that has to be made, the penalty that has to be exacted or avoided, the price for freedom, and the nature of the crime of the accused. Imagine, many criminals go to trial and do not even understand the intricate implications of their crimes nor the severity of their deeds. Consequently, none others —many times, not even the defendant— are given the chance to participate in the arguments advanced over issues of freedom or condemnation. Yet, you, with your limits of knowledge pertaining to judicial jurisprudence in worldly courts, seek to project yourself into the legal system of the even higher court of heaven. For any to so suffer from such loftiness in self estimation, for them to project themselves into the deliberations set forth to win man's peace, salvation, and freedom from all afflictions, they must know

The Parameters of Justice~~~~~Proof of this need comes by recognizing the very naivety of your assumption, that which was extrapolated from your logic, which assumed that there is a devil but not a God, a source and a force for evil but not a Superior force for good. To survive in such a legal arena under the limitations of your logic, would be tantamount to the accused relying solely upon the mercy of his enemy, the prosecutor, "the accuser of the brethren". None could survive under such tyranny; no relief efforts by well-meaning, Christian charities could help the afflicted. Indeed, we would not even have the media technologies to report to us the tragedies on earth as they occur. The devil, and the people who echo his claim that a just God does not exist, do not have a bone of charity in their bodies; yet, they dare to tempt us to ignore the sacrificial help and charity which Christianity has extended, under God's administration, these past 2000 years. The sum of the matter can be simply put: Before any dare to gauge God's justice, they must themselves first have good judgment. Examine your life and discern if you meet that criterion. None would have ever thought that Israel was evil for worshiping idols unless they knew that the object of their obeisance was Satan, one who was determined to eternally seal them from God's grace and mercy. The audacity of his evil boggles the mind as it is only now fully explained: He desires to cheat us from receiving the everlasting life which the Lord now proffers seducing us to accept merely 70 years of misery and pain; this he does even though he may have enjoyed millions of years of life before his fall from grace, before his war in heaven. In this battle for God's mercy, another major sticking point, unperceived by most all theologians, is that, according to the litigious rules of Atonement, Satan and his angels must burn for the sins of the redeemed whom they formerly tricked into rebellion. This adds the impetus to their zeal to accuse us before the Father and makes even clearer the folly committed when men join Satan in his lodges and extols his dubious glory. By the way, do the people whom you choose to trust fellowship in his, Satan's secret lodges? Do you distrust the judgment of your parents only to accede to the claims of honesty offered to you by strangers? That aside, being equipped with this knowledge, we can see why the Lord was so adamant to preclude Israel from worshiping idols, demons, who sought to destroy their chances for life. It adds more definition to that evil and clarifies the parameters of divine justice.

The book of Job further contributes to my answer to you. Speaking of suffering, he fell from a very high perch of contentment and began a time in his life when he suffered terribly. In fact, he was one of those suffering children from whom you lament and ask, where is God? True, he was elderly, but I am sure that you do not give preference to adult pain over that of babies. Job was favored of God; he was blessed with great wealth, many good friends, wonderful children, and great peace. The only thing that disrupted his joy is found in the concept of celestial litigation. Satan accused and attacked Job's, loyalty to God. God was conducting a celestial meeting of all the leaders of the different worlds, and Satan came, uninvited. He claimed ownership of the entire planet suggesting that all therein hated God and loved him. "**And the Lord said unto Satan,, Hast thou considered my servant Job...?"** —Job 1: 8. There you have it, heaven does not merely rule by power. If it did, then Satan would have been immediately expelled. Instead, it rules by principle; it

Proof that God Exists

has parameters of justice. Unless you know these guidelines, you can never gauge or assess God's behavior. **"Then Satan answered the Lord, and said, Doth Job fear God for nought?..."** —Job 1: 9. Here we see more of the parameter: Satan knows that our motives are measured; so, he sought to impeach Job's motives. He continued to say, **"Hast not thou made an hedge about him...thou hast blessed the work of his hands, and his substance is increased in the land. But put forth thine hand now...and he will curse thee to thy face..."** —Job 1: 10, 11. Satan advanced a tight argument to the Lord, one that is applicable to all. He advanced the parameter that grace cannot be given to a man who serves God if self-interest is the only motivating factor to honor God. He impeached God's justice in protecting Job. Evidently, it is not enough to be good, you must also be faithful to God in hard times. You, while in our home, had a similar challenge, and heaven wanted to know your level of honor to God when hardships befell you. "Will you curse Him to His face?" When separated from the home and sent to live amongst the wolves at the University of Pittsburgh, will you remain loyal to Him? If so, then you are promised His continued grace.

If God had ignored Satan's trumpeted claim, the lie that Job only serves God because he was bribed by wealth and protection, then it would have given glory to the enemy of peace and destroyed heaven's credibility. Imagine Satan's audacious insult: God needed to pay people for loyalty. This shows that trouble often comes to earth because of the rules of engagement, and we cannot fully assess the struggle until we understand all parameters thereto pertaining. But the world owes a huge debt to Job; he, by proving Satan a liar, preserved us from Satan's unimpeded dominion over the planet, a dominion that is opposite to the Christian relief-aid mantra, a dominion that promotes rather than squelches human suffering. Such dominion, according to the full implications of the Text, would have been accomplished merely if Satan could have tortured Job into cursing God. The question which all must ask themselves is, "Do you have a pressure point beyond which you will defame God?" God has elected, in His mercy, to prove to the uninformed that He is just, and because yesterday, Adam —free will and all— relented to the pressure by preferring the allure of Eve over Christ (See Gen 3: 17), he volunteered our planet to be the testing battle ground: He elected for us the hard path of learning to choose the good and refuse the evil. This decision he made and it caused his children, you and I, the seemingly innocent babies, to suffer. Until the Lord's elect is empowered, true it is that, **"The fathers have eaten sour grapes, and the children's teeth are set on edge"** —Ezek 18: 2. This applies even to the seemingly innocent —more correctly defined, "powerless"— starving children for whom you languish.

The lesson has even more depth and greater pertinence to our discussion: Job was righteous for the Bible says, **"There was a man in the land of Uz, whose name was Job; and that man was perfect and upright, and one that feared God, and eschewed evil."**—Job 1: 1. Yet, in his high standing with God, he was still defined as ignorant or unknowledgeable. By this, we must realize that the authors who have forged your opinion, indeed you yourself for trusting in them, must accept the conclusion that they/you are at least equally as unknowledgeable. Such is a kind synonym for the term, "stupid". Should you take offense, calm your hurt by realizing that you and a great man like Job, indeed all of apostate humanity with him, share the same malady; this they do because they do not know God. Job, just as you have done, questioned God's willingness to allow suffering to afflict the innocent. This is no different than your questions as to why God allows the innocent and pure to suffer. In fact, so discouraged was Job that, though he did not curse God, he cursed the day of his birth. **"After this opened Job his mouth, and cursed his day. And Job spake, and said, Let the day perish wherein I was born...Because it shut not up the doors of my mother's womb, nor hid sorrow from mine eyes. Why died I not from the womb? Why did I not give up the ghost when I came out of the belly?"** —Job 3: 1-3, 10, 11. Do you think that some today who suffer pain and affliction feel the same way? In regard to their case, do you still desire to challenge God's existence because you cannot discern the full parameter of His justice? It got worse for Job: Though recovered from his maladies, he went to his grave never understanding the reason for his persecution. Why was this so? It is just as explained above, in the last day, today, we are scheduled to be taught of God; in no other day was such a lesson to be included on our divine syllabus. The Lord does not have to stoop to explain Himself to man; instead, when it is time

The Mustard Seed Advent, 28-Sep-2011

for our education, the call is made to humanity, “All rise”. Today, I am that bailiff who broadcasts that cry; I prepare the way of the Lord. Remember the promise, “**No man can come to me, except the Father which hath sent me draw him: AND I WILL RAISE HIM UP AT THE LAST DAY. It is written in the prophets, and they shall be all taught of God**”—John 6: 44, 45. Pertaining to this concept of knowledge, God, upon His visit to restore Job, asked Job, in response to his befuddlement, many perplexing questions —none of which Job could explain. “**Then the Lord answered Job out of the whirlwind, and said, Who is this that darkeneth counsel by ♦♦♦WORDS WITHOUT KNOWLEDGE♦♦♦? Gird up now thy loins like a man; for I will demand of thee, and answer thou me. Where wast thou when I laid the foundations of the earth? declare, if thou hast understanding.**” —Job 38: 1-4. In final analysis, knowledge answers all of our ponderables about the Lord’s dealings with the innocent and the afflicted. Let this be a lesson for all, no matter how intellectually accomplished they may be, one cannot gauge the Lord’s behavior unless they learn the parameters of justice. This point is made manifest by

The Lord’s Purpose for the Law~~~~~You charge that the Bible is full of inconsistencies, and as a classic example, you cite *1Kings 22*. This conclusion you derive from the Bible’s very own account of the Lord’s actions —proving its honesty. You said, “**...Yet, in 1 Kings 22:23 we see that “the Lord hath put a lying spirit in the mouth of all these thy prophets, and the Lord hath spoken evil concerning thee.” So God caused his prophets to lie, thus causing them to break his own commandments?...**” In this conclusion you are performing as a substandard student: You are not forging a conclusion from a careful rendering of what the Bible says. In a short synopsis, the Texts says,

“And it came to pass in the third year, that Jehoshaphat the king of Judah came down to the king of Israel...Then the king of Israel gathered the prophets together, about four hundred men, and said unto them, Shall I go against Ramoth gilead to battle, or shall I forbear? And they said, Go up...And Jehoshaphat said, IS THERE NOT HERE A PROPHET OF THE LORD BESIDES, THAT WE MIGHT ENQUIRE OF HIM? And the king of Israel said unto Jehoshaphat, There is yet one man, Micaiah...but I hate him: for he doth not prophesy good concerning me, but evil. And Jehoshaphat said, Let not the king say so...And (Micaiah) said, Hear thou therefore the word of the Lord: I saw the Lord sitting on his throne, and all the host of heaven standing by him...and the Lord said, Who shall persuade Ahab, that he may go up and fall at Ramoth-gilead?...And there came forth a spirit, and stood before the Lord, and said, I will persuade him...I will go forth, and I will be a lying spirit in the mouth of all his prophets. And he said, Thou shalt persuade him, and prevail also: go forth, and do so. Now therefore, behold, the Lord hath put a lying spirit in the mouth of all these thy prophets, and the Lord hath spoken evil concerning thee” —1Kings 22: 2, 6-8, 19, 20-23.

The prophets who lied to Ahab were not those of the Lord’s. Hence, after hearing the prophetic message of all 400, Jehoshaphat protested and said, “**Is there not here a prophet of the Lord...**” The lesson here is that Jehoshaphat ruled two-tribe Judah and Ahab ruled the companion tribes of Israel. Ahab, whose wife was Jezebel, was a wicked king, one who worshiped the devil, the source of all human suffering as Ahab was to soon discover. Jehoshaphat was deemed righteous (1King 22: 43). The prophets of whom Ahab surrounded himself were the source of his deception. On the other hand, Jehoshaphat compelled Ahab to get his counsel from a prophet who speaks for the Lord, a thing which, being apostate, Ahab was unwilling to do. That prophet was Micaiah, the man who revealed to Ahab, indeed to all of us, the above story about the “lying spirit”. He revealed it; thus he told the full truth. As was the case with Job, the Lord again assembled a garrison of beings in heaven, and again a lying spirit joined that assembly. For the Text says, “**I saw the Lord...and all the host of heaven standing by him...and there came forth a spirit...and said, I will persuade him**”. With the background Text available, we cannot fully imagine all the details thereto pertaining; also, we only have limited insight into heaven’s assemblies in that stage of earth’s history. Were there some spirits then who, yet undecided for Christ, parlayed themselves between both sides until they were evicted from heaven? This extra-biblical explanation, I cannot affirm as my faith is vested in that which the Bible reveals and such a parameter of adjudication has not been, at this time, revealed. But that which is directly expressed by the Scriptures, when objectively and

Proof that God Exists

thoughtfully examined, does not teach that the Lord lied to Ahab. In fact, the prophets which Ahab assembled were the mouths through whom the lying spirit channeled himself to persuade Ahab to go to war. The record shows that he did so before Jehoshaphat demanded of Ahab an alternate channel so as to secure the counsel of a prophet from the Lord, from Micaiah. The message to be learned is that the Lord's righteous kings will purvey the truth as revealed by His prophets. True, the Lord permitted the lying spirit to do his own work, but He also permitted Satan to persecute Job. The latter we understand because we now have insight into parameters of justice, the former is attributable to the abstract realities of celestial litigation. But the bottom line cannot be ignored; it will defeat your claim that the Lord promoted lies. That is, the Lord's prophet, Micaiah unveiled the deception showing that the Lord's prophet told the full truth. The full truth was that God wanted to destroy Ahab in battle and it could not be done unless Ahab chose to war against Syria. Thus, He allowed the false prophets to deceive Ahab before exposing to him His desire to do the opposite and cause him to fall in battle. This was the truth which the Lord's prophet told. Proof of this is that Ahab did indeed die in battle just as Micaiah prophesied. Regardless of the fancy way that the answer was delivered, Ahab was given the correct and truthful answer by the Lord's prophet. Ahab's failure was that he, unlike Jehoshaphat, gave equal credence to prophets of the devil as he did, the prophets of God. In essence he felt, "400 prophets say the war campaign will be blessed; only one prophet says it will be cursed; I must play the numbers."

Sure the commandments of the Lord denounces lies, but this, like all of His commandments and statutes, were given with a purpose that includes the legal parameter in which the Law was given. The purpose of the law was that eternal companionship of inspired and wise judges to adjudicate behavior and compliance would be installed today. Such men, "elected" of God, are assigned to measure behavior to discern if it is a violation of the Law. You do not have justice until these men are installed and human behavior is thereby adjudicated. Such was Moses' duty (See *Exod 18*) and such is the reason why Jesus promised the same when He said, "**Do not think that I will accuse you to the Father: there is one that accuseth you, even Moses in whom ye trust**"—John 5: 45.

Also He said, "**He that rejecteth me, and receiveth not my words, hath one that judgeth him: the word that I have spoken, the same shall judge him in the last day**"—John 12: 48. Such people, "disciples indeed", are to be commissioned to legally qualify the full reach of all of the Laws, even the prohibition against telling lies. Is it a lie if, in mid testimony the witness (in this case Micaiah) reverses himself and tells the full story? —such is a judgment pertaining to the Law, a decision to be made by a righteous judge. Again, Jesus' testimony gives credence to this flexibility for He said, "**...When thou doest alms, let not thy left hand know what thy right hand doeth. That thine alms may be in secret: and thy Father which seeth in secret himself shall reward thee openly**" —Matt 6: 3, 4. A desire to comply with this commandment cancels the condemnation against telling lies. This could be the reason why you and your recommended author do not understand the virtues of Christian charity; only now is Father revealing the benefits of their historically endowed and quietly-given alms. Father is beginning to reward them openly. If Christian missionaries historically expressed their zeal to defeat idolatry and paganism and to convert the people to the Lord, many governments would have precluded and resisted their efforts creating more starving children. Truth be told, many of the starving masses result from such political strife, from a determined fight by governments to suppress the onslaught of Christianity. This Father sought to avoid even if they had to misrepresent their motives. The disciples to emerge today, a post which you seem to reject for yourself, are to be promoted so as to measure the reach of the Law. Hence were His disciples told, "**Judge not, that ye be not judged...first cast out the beam [the sophistry of emperor Constantine] out of thine own eye; and then shalt thou see clearly to cast out the mote out of thy brother's eye**" —Matt 7: 1, 5. The disciples, once they remove their false, age-old delusions about God and Christ, the fables of Him, once they remove the beclouding beam, they will correctly measure the world against the Law; the Lord's servant will judge the gentiles as shown above and again below.

In this regard, the Law is similar to the US Constitution in its appointed purpose. It requires the accompanying accommodation of a righteous judge to interpret its contingencies on a case by case

The Mustard Seed Advent, 28-Sep-2011

basis. This is similar to the duty of the Supreme Court; they measure cases appealed to them to discern the constitutionality of the deeds of their citizenry. As an example, though we have been given freedom of speech in the Constitution, the Supreme Court has deemed that such a freedom cannot allow us to falsely cry “Fire: in a crowded theater. Also consider the constitutional right of freedom of Religion: That law is stretched to preclude an employer from discriminating based upon religious beliefs, etc. All of this flexibility is graced to us because a jurist is combined with a law. Righteousness prevails when a righteous judge like Jehoshaphat denies legitimacy to unrighteous counsel. To eternally cure suffering, the Lord’s ‘well-trained lion’ will soon be empowered. We have been promised this very thing: **“Behold my servant, whom I uphold; mine elect, in whom my soul delighteth; I have put my spirit upon him: he shall bring forth judgment to the Gentiles”** —Isa 42: 1. Also we are told, **“...ye which have followed me, in the regeneration when the son of man shall sit in the throne of his glory, ye also shall sit upon twelve thrones, judging the twelve tribes of Israel”**—Matt 19: 28. The reason why many presume that there are inconsistencies in the Bible is the same reason why they assume the same in our constitutional government, they lack the wisdom and the judgment of a divinely appointed jurist. Like was Job and his friends, they are unknowledgeable —indeed stupid! For example, how can the Lord command Israel to not make unto themselves graven images, as clearly expressed in Exod 20: 4, and then command Moses, as expressed in Num 21: 8, 9 to do what seemed to be the exact opposite by instructing him to forge a brazen serpent? The simple presume, “inconsistency”! Or consider another example: How could the Lord command Moses to seemingly violate the same law to which you allude when He told him to, **“make two cherubims of gold, of beaten work shalt thou make them...”** —Exod 25: 18. Was it not unlawful to ‘make graven images’? One more example is appropriate: The Law demands no work on the Sabbath; how then did Jesus, as expressed in Matt 12: 11, give allowance and discretion to perform the enormously arduous task of extricating from a pit, a large animal that has therein fallen? Was He being “inconsistent”? The leaders of his day, in their diminutive ability to measure righteousness presumed Him to so be. I will not answer these ponderables for you because, like God, I know the treachery of the hearts of men. They will not rejoice in my answer; they will merely seek another hook upon which to hang their doubts. Instead, I will urge you to present these issues to your vaunted professors and authors, even to other highly-touted theologians, and you shall see that like Job, they will be speechless. As you watch them attempt to explain the righteous flexibility of the law, know then firsthand of their folly and also know that your father, and perhaps the disciples who study under him, can explain and make consistent, these issues. In other words, they can glorify the name of the Lord. Also know that, if a man/woman cannot even pass the exams given to them in the secular halls of learning, how then can they presume to have the wisdom to measure the works of God and the masterful purpose for the Law? Ultimately, the answer to your claim of inconsistency is that a righteous judge must examine a testimony and discern if it is in compliance to the Law which precludes lying lips.

I do take pity upon you because, in your struggles of life, as you seek to satisfy your yearnings of the basic needs of life, you have learned, just as you were warned when you ventured away from home against counsel, that making a living, apart from divine assistance, is a struggle against your own joy, and you cannot find consolation from anyone whom you thought you could trust. In frustration of this, you repine against God because you have enmeshed yourself in

Denial of the Lord’s Miracles~~~~~Indeed, ‘none are so blind as those who refuse to see.’ As it has been proven throughout the Bible, this axiom likewise holds true today with the human heart. People with a bias instinctively deny any evidence given if such evidence dashes their pride by invalidating their long-vaunted theory. Only in very exceptional cases, with men of great character, is this human frailty not the rule; such is the very reason why Jacob received the inheritance. History has proven that this problem is pandemic in the halls of learning, in the scientific community, and —sad to say— likewise in the churches. A good example can be seen with the evolutionists: They still hold to their beliefs of evolution regardless of the

Proof that God Exists

countervailing evidence. With Darwin, it started as one theory nearly 150 years ago, a theory that is laughable today even by the science world. Today the theories to explain human origin are countless and are all contradictory to each other. Such has resulted when generations of dishonest men who followed Darwin, lacking in humility, sought to conceal the errors of their thinking. When hard and objective, scientific evidence was put to them to expose their folly, they did not confess their error. This they failed to do—having erected a monumental house upon their immense egos and reputations—and instead sought to satiate their anti-Christian appetites by mentally conjuring alternate theories causing the number of half-cocked ideas to explode. Those scientists who have learned to swallow their pride did not conduct themselves thusly; instead, they wisely embraced creation; this they did even against much academic persecution. In furtherance of the point, we must now marry this analysis with an examination of the scientific reaction left on record from the *Voyager Space Mission* of 1977: When the space vehicle, Voyager, journeyed to the outer planets of our solar system, and sent pictures back to earth in the 1980s, television documentaries, complete with direct interviews, placed on record for us the reactions of the Nassau scientists. It showed their innocent, yet revealing, reaction upon first viewing Voyager's stunning photographic evidence. This was done outside of the context of debate pertaining to creation, but it still makes the point. Without exception, when viewing the pictures that Voyager sent home, whether it be of the planets Jupiter, Saturn, Neptune, including all their moons, the scientists expressed complete surprise. Not realizing the implication of their reaction, they were joyous, over their new findings, but in that atmosphere of jubilation coupled with surprise, a lesson has, until now, been missed. That is, that the best scientists in the world, with years of experience, are greatly lacking in their ability to discern the things that pertain in our expanded, geo-physical world, our solar system. How then can we trust them to define for us the realities of spiritual things? Beyond that, we should read between the lines and know that when such a reaction of surprise which grips the experts in the science realm is expressed, it is—perhaps subconsciously—simply a face-saving way to say that their prior theories have been dashed. Take a moment and think on this: How could they, for example, be surprised that Jupiter's moon named, Io, would be so marvelous? It, though merely a moon, was shown to be the most volcanically reactive in the entire solar system—this, they neither knew nor suspected beforehand. It is not as if they have failed to study the geological dynamics of volcanic eruptions! Why then could not such knowledge have been extrapolated to that moon, Io, as it was tethered by gravity to the planet Jupiter? These are men who fully knew beforehand the size and proximity of both celestial bodies, could not beforehand consider the natural reactions so as to gauge or estimate their geological consequences. This they could not do until they saw it with their own eyes through Voyager. If direct witness can dash a theory, then what confidence can we have in their presumptions about the origins of life, a thing which they acknowledge that they have not witnessed? I know humans are prone to mistakes, and, in a nut shell, that is the point: Our scientists need to truly promote their work within the parameters of that limitation. Good scientists require humility. It is too bad that their great accomplishment, the Voyager Mission, highlights their plaguing failure, their dependence upon false theories. Obviously, like their concept of the origins of life on earth, their theories, prior to Voyager, about the solar system was suppressed under the weight of unimaginative investigation, narrow thinking, and blind theories. How does this relate to your new beliefs about the nonexistence of God? If perchance, before the facts were exposed to all, one had the audacity to counter popular thinking and postulated that Io was volcanic or that Mars had polar, frozen-water ice caps, they would have been mocked by the very experts whom you liberally proffer your respect. Therefore, any evidence offered by non-Bible-oriented theorists about our connection to the spiritual world, must be received with great precaution and suspicion. You, being youthful and inexperienced in the ways of the corrupt human heart, failed miserably in this wise approach to the limits placed on truth in academia.

You handle the miracles of healing in a similar way: Like the evolutionist, you simply deny any evidence, no matter how convincing, because your desire is to deny the folly of your path. First, I must reiterate your words:

The Mustard Seed Advent, 28-Sep-2011

*“... You said your prayers healed Natalie and I during our sicknesses, and probably so. However, this phenomena is biologically and physiologically explained by Dr. Bruce Lipton and others in his book *Biology of Belief* (strongly recommend it!), as there are many different cultures and societies that experience this phenomena within their own belief systems... if prayers were as effective as you say, then why haven't the millions of prayers for suffering to end been answered?”*

To acknowledge that I, your father, prayed your sister back to life and, also in your teens, I prayed you back to full, painless health, is tantamount to acknowledging —not only that there is a God, but— that God is with me. Instead, you seek to deny this. This you feel you must do out of desperation because, unique only to this hour in world history, you know that all my doctrines are scripturally unimpeachable. Yesterday, a different daughter under a different ministry, to rebel against her parents, would simply find alternate and competitive Texts to deny the teaching of her church. This, you cannot do; so, steeped in the blindness of your ego and fearful of divine reprisal and judgment, you calm your anxieties merely by imbibing the intoxicant that denies the validity of the Bible and the existence of God. Like the discredited evolutionist, you run from the unassailable evidence, and run to a simple and natural covering, to the rocks for shelter pleading that they “hide (you) from the face of him that sitteth on the throne” —Rev 6: 16. I am sure that you have plenty of company for, regardless of the immensity of the miracle, people will deny and refuse to honor the marvel of its affect if, to do otherwise, means they must swallow their pride. Speaking of the healing miracle, your mother sought to convince you of the Lord's presence in this home and of His existence by recalling it to your memory. Your mother, being a health professional, knows that the healing was not a common, human phenomenon, for she has witnessed others who have, despite prayer from their religious leaders, died from that very same ailment and have done so in a very short span of time —one case occurred in three days. Septicemia is a dreaded condition in the medical field. Your sister was in a coma; her body was thoroughly saturated and defeated by an invading agent. The doctors gave her only a 40% chance of survival, and if she ever recovered, they predicted, it would take her at least two months to return to full consciousness. I prayed to Father, in the name of Christ —not as defined by Constantine, but as newly revealed by the MSC— that very night that we were called to her bedside and discovered her sickness, immediately her decline was reversed, and she began to recover. Within the span of a week, she returned to her studies at the University of Pittsburgh. The entire hospital was jolted by that miracle. So you have your answer: To cure the sufferings of humanity, the *mustard seed* must pray that more harvesters, men/women who pray as taught by the MSC —in the name of Christ— be sent to the field. This is God's answer to relieve human suffering.

But I pose the question again, since human beings, like Job, lack knowledge, since they, unlike Job, often fall to Satan's temptations to discredit God's government, and since they yearn to protect their ego at all cost, even if it means discrediting the most obvious evidence, would not God be foolish to give them more miracles only to watch them launch themselves from the precipice of protection into the embrace of the enemy of God and man? Such a remedy of unwise deliverance “without knowledge” would draw the world further away from the only remedy that can preserve them from hardship. You asked “...if your prayers are as effective as you claim, instead of praying for more members, why not pray for all the issues facing us to be resolved? Better yet, why not hold a study in Somalia after having provided water and food to those desperate individuals?” I ask, “*Suppose the restored are like you and they, out of human pride, deny the Lord's phenomenal display of power and His generous delivery of miraculous relief?*” Next, “*Suppose they attribute His power to 'biological and physiological' anomalies? After all, you saw many astounding miracles, and yet, you cannot, in humility, deny the tug of your ego which compels you to never give to God the credit. Thus, why do you assume that suffering Somalian children would be less political and more gracious than are you?*” Indiscriminate healing by God as a medium to relieve suffering, without the requisite of spiritual conversion, the humiliating child-conversion experience, would cause men to inaccurately attribute to others the true source of their

Proof that God Exists

relief. This being the proved result, then men would next run to false sources, false gods, who would be inclined to steal the credit for God's work. Such would exponentially multiply human pain and suffering. This is why the first burden of the Christian is to teach the world about God and His *Plan of Salvation*. In so doing, humanity would learn what Ahab failed to appreciate: Flee from the counsel of lying spirits. Do not pray to Satan, the one who put them in their "pickle" by thanking him for God's relief. Wiser indeed it is to honor Father's tactic of subtlety in relief. He established surrogates, relief agencies on earth orchestrated by agents who, to varying degrees of commitment, honor the Father and the Son. In so doing, the world would do exactly as they now do—flee to the Christian nations for their happiness. Then, when the major disasters and catastrophes in the world which Adam elected for his own "starving babies" would occur, these dedicated agents of heaven could, by their Christian commitment to rescue others, rush to the aid of the afflicted and give to them relief. This way, God saves and Satan still loses. Indeed, this is the history of the Christian nations. So you see, Father has wisely and lovingly forged a plan to extend relief.

Have you ever wondered why Americans devote billions of dollars to create cures for diseases, advances in agriculture, military protection from despotism, aid packages to lands of natural disasters? It is not because they are smarter, whiter, more righteous, more greedy, better thieves, more wealthy, or more politically astute, or any other of the reasons which some may exclaim. It is simply because they, even amidst their human frailties, are God's angels of relief on earth. Sure, like all of His human agents of yesterday, they will falter. Some, in His service will even do as the "lying spirit" of *2Kings* described above so did by parlaying their services between heaven and hell. But when they do, they will be judged as individuals and as a nation, and when they do fall from His grace, their fall will be timed to accommodate God's schedule for the institution of His next relief agents. It is no coincidence that this planet, in just these last hours of human history, has swelled in population to nearly seven billion. It was less than one billion at the beginning of the Protestant, Christian era which started nearly 500 years ago, and it was only 200 million two thousand years ago at the beginning of the Christian age. (See http://pduinker.home.xs4all.nl/Problemen/Wereldbevolking/index_eng.html) You speak of human suffering; obviously, you have not put that concern into its proper perspective. Thus I must ask, how do we account for this proliferation, this exponential growth of our numbers accompanied by protection of human population from complete wipeouts by mass starvation, floods, wars, nuclear disasters, natural calamities, asteroid strikes, solar explosions, Earth's gravitational break away, etc.? Rather than pondering the reasons why God does not answer the prayers of the afflicted, you should wonder, "Why does He love and preserve people who hate His governance?" Or, "How did He, amidst scientific predictions of the opposite, protect us for so long?" God's *mustard-seed* teachers have learned one central lesson from the Bible: They always teach the Love of God. This is why your question was properly addressed to me. Yesterday's church failed to teach from this prospective, and this yields the very skepticism which you have been seduced by from the world. Jesus warned the church of this very thing when He said, "*Woe unto you, scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites! For ye pay tithes of mint and anise and cummin, and have omitted the weightier matters of the law, judgment, mercy, and faith, (and the love of God—Luke 11: 42)...*" —Matt 23: 23. Because of this, I disagree with you: I should pray that Father send to us more people who have this faith. More people need to understand and believe that, since we know that God loves people according to the Bible, this explosion of human life could only be due to His discrete and tactful graces, mercies, and provision unnoticed by humanity; it could be due to no other reason. Do not linger in faithless folly: Lend your hands to this effort and cease to discredit Father's miracles, His miraculous intervention in our behalf. Make this your burden instead and accept this conclusion pertaining to His power and His love along with all the other evidences described herein as proof that God exists.

Sincerely,

Dad

The Mustard Seed Advent, 28-Sep-2011
