

The Mustard Seed Advent, 19-June-2014

June 19, 2014

Second Reply

Hapless Intensity

Dear T_____,

Originally you wrote seeking Scriptural evidence to prove my claim to be the son of David. I referred you to my website and to a series of audio studies therein under the header, *Authentication of Antitypical David*. In addition, I also gave to you a very short and compact answer, and I encouraged you to attend my upcoming seminar. Having done none of those recommended assignments, you replied as follows:

Dear Derek, This is what I am very curious about... "Regarding the fact that the Bible was written 2000 years ago making it seemingly impossible to decipher prophecies to discern who is the actual, promised, sin-overcoming son of David," Are you in anyway thinking or suggesting this is not Jesus? Perhaps you?

In this regard, you have to help me some here; bearing the straight testimony, I am compelled to advise that you must be more intense and thoughtful in your investigation. We are dealing with strong meat suggesting that this doctrine must be carefully masticated. It cannot be gulped down like a glass of milk.

As a matter of cursory reply, first you must know that much of the Bible was written by Moses and the prophets, and this was done—not 2000 years ago as you state but—nearly 3500 years ago which was 1500 years before the first Advent of the Lord. In spite of this fact, the Jewish scholars during the days of Jesus' ministry did not respond to it as do you: Amidst all of their objections to Jesus, never did they raise a concern of time context. None ever sought to challenge Jesus' avowal because Moses pre-existed Him by some 1500 years. Beyond that, Moses wrote the book of Genesis, and the events that he described therein also occurred thousands of years before his, Moses' birth. With this in mind, when you discount my work with a time-contextual augment, you are, in reality, doubting the wise authorship of God. Perhaps you should—in a day of straight testimony—be challenged on your sincerity: You must consider that you, perhaps unwittingly, have dissembled the real reason for your skepticism of my work changing it from an actual faithlessness in God to a distrust in His *mustard seed*. This possibility, I urge you to consider for if this is the real reason, no Bible study will convince you.

As you more deeply assess your objections and your queries, please also bear in mind that ancient David, the focus of your question, himself lived nearly 1000 years before the NT era. He was promised a sin-overcoming son who would emerge to rule in the eternal Kingdom, and the MSC proves that that son, in the person of Derek West, is now on the scene. Such an avowal, I know, violates many societal stigmas, but Satan controls those prevailing opinions which have flooded the church, and I do not regard them as standards of righteousness. Especially do I, out of duty, ignore the prevailing stigma that a man should never introduce himself; hence, I, as did the Lord before me, boldly declare my connection to the gospel work. Prophecy must be fulfilled; the son of man must emerge, and my evidence that it pertains to me, Derek West, is unassailable to those who elevate the Bible with faith in Christ's righteousness as they seek to traverse above societal quirks and phony standards. Christ said, "...**every one which seeth the (son), and believeth on him, may have everlasting life: and I will raise him up at the last day**" John 6: 40. "...*He that receiveth whomsoever I send receiveth me*..." —John 13: 20.

Hapless Intensity

In your question, you mentioned the expression, “sin overcoming”; yet you apparently seek to place the prophecies which deploy that label upon Jesus. This is where I urge you to be more intense. When I used that expression in my short answer, I presumed that such would be a key word of focus that would automatically illuminate, in the mind of the reader, that it could not be a reference to Jesus. Do I need to add unnecessary words to my E-mail by reminding you that Jesus was sinless; He never sinned? He could never be described as a man who has sinned against the Lord. How then, therefore, could such a phrase be used as a reference to Him?

This, your approach to examining present truth, speaks to another issue: the reason why I respond to questions with such lengthy and thorough answers —an issue that you have not, but others have, raised. I do so because I must leave no wiggle room for if I so did, then it would create a long, back-and-forth dialog and none would be able to accordingly follow the complete teaching. Sadly, this means that I often am required to, in my discourse, remind people of facts that they already know or, at least, should recall as they examine this work with more intensity. Such an explanation of hapless intensity should not be an offense to anyone because we all have done poorly on tests due to lack of effort or lack of passionate fervor.

The point is, the son of David is a sinner who gains victory. This is merely one salient point among many that have been expressed over the long years of my ministry. Realizing your commitment to investigation and trusting that you will listen to the audio studies, I will merely further the argument by saying the following: The Bible speaks of the last messenger to the world’s highest church, Laodicea, as being one for whom the Lord is to execute judgment; it refers to him as a sin overcomer who has been heretofore maintained in obscurity. It says, “*Woe is me!...my soul desired the firstripe fruit... when I sit in darkness, the Lord shall be a light unto me. I will bear the indignation of the Lord, because I have sinned against him, until he plead my cause, and execute judgment for me: he will bring me forth to the light, and I shall behold his righteousness*” —Mic 7: 1, 8, 9.

You are being invited to bask in my Bible-proven light before the Lord brings me forth to center stage for when He does, none in the SDA church will then be able to avail themselves to the Lord’s saving grace.

Derek