

The Mustard Seed Advent

The Calculus of Scripture

11-Sep-07

Dear *Candle-hiding Outlaw*,

You replied to the MSC without revealing your identity; obviously, you do not desire to take ownership of your ideas. If this is indeed the case, why waste the forum's time by sending them incognito? After all, it's your little light; let it shine in your hand as the Lord commands. Below is a second reply to your *Testimony-of-Jesus-disobeying, darkened-candle, hidden-under-the-bushel* purveying warfare against maturity. You make the following statement:

“As we can see by careful inspection, if the trinity (triune Godhead) is a false doctrine derived from popery, then $40 \times 3 = 120$ is invalid. If this is true then what do we do with the remaining Biblical numerics revealed by Victor Houteff on the Harvest chart (Matt. 13)? Throw it away? God forbid. According to Mr. West $40 \times 4 = 160$ if we are to maintain mathematical exactness. This is inspiration from down under the pits of hell. Words can be twisted, but symbols and numbers never lie.”—Tuesday, September 11, 2007 12:46 AM

(Bro/Sis) *Candle-hiding Outlawed*, the numeric explanations of the *Rod*, according to the chart on p. 77 of 3T, unfold the emergence of the 120 disciples, at Pentecost; yet, unlike the Papal theme of Tri-Unity, that number was already clearly stated in Scripture. Consequently, VTH's deployment of numerology serves as nothing more than proverbial decorations on the already baked, layered, and iced bible-revelation cake. His numbers do not establish the 120 disciples, they just reaffirm that which the Scriptures have revealed. Consequently, before addressing your query further, you must be asked, if you can believe VTH's partial-prophetic (1 Cor. 13:8-12 & 3A, 59), “milk” (Heb 5:12, 14T, 36) revelations of Bible doctrines expressed to us through numerology, that is, mathematical additions, divisions, and multiplications, then why can you not believe those other themes, concepts pointing to new light which are directly expressed in the Bible and likewise echoed by inspiration? You and all must remember that, according to the *Rod's* citation of Rev 12:17, it will be the saints who keep the *Testimony of Jesus*, the Commandments of God revealed through Him, who will receive the seal and escape the slaughter. That is the full essence of *Righteousness by Faith*, or *Christ our Righteousness*; it is the “mind of Christ”, the total submission to Father's will and word as Christ does: it is believing that He is superior in judgment and His commands are superior to those of our own. The Bible shows absolutely no promise that numerics can win for us Father's favor and preserve us from death—hence VTH now rest in the grave. It seems clear that your problem is that of honoring rank (Lucifer versus Christ), and discerning between good and evil (numerology versus Bible prophecy). If you can embrace *Rod* themes of numerology, then why not embrace directly-cited Bible themes such as the following:

“For every one that useth milk is unskillful in the word of righteousness: for he is a babe. But strong meat belongeth to them that are of full age, even those who by reason of use have their senses exercised to discern both good and evil. Therefore leaving the principles of the doctrine of Christ, let us go on unto perfection...”—See Heb 5: 13, 14, 14 Tr. P. 37-38

VTH's use of the Trinity and numerology, two concepts that he borrowed and did not originate, perfectly illustrate His Holy-Ghost inspired recitation of the Bible concept of milk, a doctrine which will sustain us until the time comes to grow in Bible knowledge. When reading the *Rod*, we all have been impressed by VTH's skill at multiplication, and even though we never understood how he knew, from Scripture, when to add or subtract, or multiply, it aided us, like milk does to a baby, in our progress in truth. It brought joy to us—just like a kindergarten teacher with attention-catching riddles and rhymes as well as large and colorful, learning props—helping in our elementary learning experience. Yet, the day came when we left behind stories about *jack & Jill going up the hill*, about *Dick and Jane*; we no longer sing the alphabet song, we

The Mustard Seed Advent

can add in our heads without the use of fingers or blocks all because we have learned the greater educational lessons. The same growing experience applies to using numerology to buttress Bible prophecies. Yet the efficacy of doctrinal math does still have its place in advanced theology; thus, all should now know that general arithmetic is not the highest level. Those of us who have progressed in our growth of that discipline are well aware that, to be an engineer in truth, one who can apply his light to discern between good and evil, you must grow to learn *the Calculus of the Word*. You must learn differentiation and integration. That is the numerics of discernment, of looking at the SOP, the Bible, the *Testimony of Jesus* and discerning which is greater than or less than (<,>) and knowing that all angels of light, just like Lucifer before the rebellion, ranked under Christ. Integral and differential theology, the Calculus of the Bible, is the theological science of exploring the *Testimony of Jesus*, and applying or integrating into your life the very subtle commandments of God. An example of which is how the Father commanded us to deploy our light that the house may see and not hide it under a bushel (Matt 5:16). Multitudes of laborer in today's job market have their high-school diplomas and can add, divide, and multiply with reasonable accuracy; however, the leaders —those who have dominion over them— they have advanced credentials affording them the knowledge of integration and differentiation. They are better fit to lead and make thoughtful decisions; they are more skilled to “choose the good and refuse the evil”. When, for example, you read the *Rod* and the SOP and see that they both esteem the Bible above their works and show that mastery of its principles will win for you Father's approbation, and yet, you still quote VTH, EGW, or for that matter, Lucifer, to counter solid, Bible doctrines, then you have a problem with theological integration and differentiation. This is a higher level of sophistication, for all things that we automatically esteem as evil require careful integration as well. To illustrate, all MSC students, instead of being negative, are becoming expert at recognizing the benefit of the years of Papal reign —according to *Dan eight*, it was the dirt which the Lord employed to grow His church— however, rather than wallowing in the mud of Tri-Unitarianism, they have heeded Paul's command, echoed by VTH, and have left the principles of the doctrine of Christ and have gone on unto perfection. They rank Christ over the Pope. Yesterday in our simplicity, everything was either good or evil. Today we recognize that every stumbling block which frustrates the light-progressive saints is a stepping stone. Thus, with just a little more to learn, MSA Davidians resultantly anticipate the day when the Lord will employ them as professors and masters in His Kingdom making them rulers over cities, giving them first dominion.

The MSC teaches exactly what the Bible explains, that both Jesus and *John-the-Baptist* were conceived in the wombs of Mary and Elizabeth respectively. The numerology of those equations are simple: **One Holy Seed + one human egg = One only begotten of the Father** and according to Luke 1:5 & 6 pertaining to John, **one** righteous human seed + **one** righteous human egg = **one** great prophet. This is the numerology of the New Testament, expressed in the clearest of language; it proves that neither Jesus nor *John the Baptist* —two men from different conceptions, both of which were described by Gabriel in the same context—pre-existed their conception. How is it that you reject this Bible theme, believing the Pope's testimony and VTH's —two men who rank under Gabriel—but not that of Father's heavenly messenger, Gabriel's? You desperately need to learn high level math, the differentiation and integration of Inspiration. All should now take care to note one very subtle point: The MSC has never challenged the concept of the Trinity if such is to use to identify the only theme in which the Spirit who inspired VTH could have meant: the existence of three eternal, pre-existent beings, Father, Christ, and the Comforter, beings without beginning of days. That number still stands in greater clarity today than it has ever stood before. Did not the MSC rise to the challenge when no other *Rod* supporters could —after almost 30 years— and thoroughly, destroyed, with KJV Bible evidence, the *Branch* theme that the Holy Spirit was a female? The MSC, when referring to the Papal dirt, always speaks condemningly of the theme of TRI-UNITY ---**Not Trinity!!**. The Papacy's concept of the Tri-Unity teaches that Christ and the Comforter are the same person, God, and

The Mustard Seed Advent

that they are merely different splits in Father's personalities (read prior E-mail, *Murky Marsh Frogs*). Denying this does not require that one reject the Trinity concept of the Eternal Father, Son, and Comforter. Care and study, differentiation and integration, must be taken to discern the MSA's position. The Bible speaks of those Three; thus when inspiration mentions Trinity, it refers to that concept. After all, Jesus instructed His disciples to Baptize in the name of the Father, Son, and Holy Ghost giving no specific formula for differentiation. He, in harmony with Father and Christ, wanted to merely seize from the devil his vast, world-wide kingdom by use of the sincere milk of the Word. This is just as explained in the ceremonial law: no leaven in your house during Passover; however during the next ceremony, the one pertaining to the harvest, two loaves baked with leaven were to be offered. [Formula 1: leaven = doctrine of Christ (see *John 17*); Formula 2: Christ is the bread of life (see *John six*)]. [Assignment for Davidic students of higher education: **INTEGRATE** these formulas into your understanding of Christ]. Today, the last day, is the time to put the leaven in your bread so as to give full glory to Christ and Jesus or to make the two loaves RISE—see *Lev 23*. “**When ye have lifted up the Son of man, then shall ye KNOW that I am [-], and [-] I do nothing of myself: but as my Father hath taught me, I speak these things**”—*John 8:28* {[-]supplied words omitted}. Notice, Christ points us to a day in which neither the Pope nor VTH realized, the day when we were to know that He — Christ, the speaker—is, or exists. Thus, VTH's work of multiplication to discern the disciples at Pentecost, or $40 \times 3 = 120$, the three representing the Father, the Son (Christ), and the Comforter, the Three generic personalities with which Jesus command us to baptize without full KNOWledge of them, can perfectly represent the milk of the Word. Especially is this true when revealed to represent Christ's men at Pentecost, even today in light of the MSC, this lesson rings true. But, as we approach the fulfillment of the ceremonial Passover in this last day, all future leaders must now move onward toward the second Pentecost, or they must prepare to receive the same fate of the Jews who rebelled 2000 years ago. Thus we need a new formula.

Jonathan, the dark shadow whom you desire to hide behind, concedes that the *Testimony of Jesus*, the words spoken through Him by Christ, will indeed be especially emphasized as the doctrine of the last day. I quote him below:

“This right here should prove it all, Yes, about your so called hidden new light from the Lord; See that portion of scripture you Derek Wrote below, pay close attention to the word “AGAIN”, that word means, it was reveal before, yet it never gave anyone the Idea of a Jesus and /or Christ, not Christ as in christy.”

‘And this is the Father's will which hath sent me, that of all which he hath given me I should lose nothing, but should RAISE IT UP AGAIN AT THE LAST DAY.’ DO YOU SEE THAT BIG WORD “AGAIN” think about (it) Derek!’ —E-mail, 11-Sep-07, 1:05, AM, Emphasis (caps and underline) belong. Parenthesis added for clarity

In spite of this admission, Jonathan, in an obvious stab at sophistry, attempts, by this above quote, to evade the question put to him. He made the very dishonest and flagrant accusation that the MSC's doctrines of Christ are unscriptural. Now, instead of practicing true Christianity and confessing and apologizing for his deceptive charge against the Comforter's revelations, he apparently seeks to change the subject. Therefore, the MSC appeals to the monitor of this forum, Walt, to exercise responsibility by dutifully issuing a Scripture-based reprimand and a citation against Jonathan for using the privileges of this forum irresponsibly. Surely you, Walt, ever desiring to preserve the integrity of this forum, feel that all charges be either substantiated or retracted. The MSC does not appeal to you to silence Jonathan as it wrongfully attempted to do against the MSC; it merely request that his duplicity be brought to light, that all in the house can see his failed candle.

Putting that aside, the MSC will address Jonathan's newly-quoted sophistry, his implication that, by virtue of Christ raising His word again in the last day, this promise means that it refers to

The Mustard Seed Advent

the repetitions of commonly understood doctrines unveiled in prior history to VTH or EGW, the Pope, or some other “partially prophesying” (1 Cor 13: 8-12) NT pontificator. The truth of the matter is that the hidden presence of Christ resting upon Jesus was to be a concealed transaction, one that was not directly nor boldly proclaimed during His testimony. Instead of so doing, it was commanded of all, heaven, earth, and hell, to keep this fact hidden and silent. After all, this was part of Christ’s covenant with Israel, He guaranteed them that He would speak to them again, as they requested, by clandestinely concealing Himself upon a Prophet like unto Moses —See Deut 18: 15-18. Thus, it was the hidden words of Jesus, uttered during His ministry, that would be re-uttered again in greater clarity today. Those commands were indeed proclaimed by Christ and are now, just as promised during that testimony 2000 years ago, being reiterated. In addition, the promise that He, Christ, would more fully reveal himself in the Last day was made quite clear by His testimony. Also, the formula of eternal life, the fountain, was clearly described showing the need to discern between the Gift of God, Jesus, and the hidden speaker resting upon Jesus, Christ, those dual dimensions were clearly articulated then but hidden until now for the MSC to raise again today. Finally, the Lord left on record, in the clearest of terms during His testimony, that the only true believers on Him, the only true Christians, are those who believe on Him the way Moses —not the Pope nor VTH— taught of Him. Moses’ teaching on Christ are found in *Deut 18*, another text which Jonathan and all on the forum have left unanswered these past 20 months. Therefore, the distinction between Christ and Jesus were indeed made clear but obviously hidden from the world and the church until today, this last day, when the MSC has reclaimed them. Some examples of these can be cited in my prior E-mail to Jonathan —see my 08-Sep-07 reply, the one in which he attempts to dodge (copies are available upon request).

Now that the shadow in which you seek to hide, Jonathan, has been exposed for yet another time to the light, the MSC will continue to address you, the *Candle Hiding Outlaw* and your faith in the Papal doctrine of Tri-Unity. The following points prove that the Papacy’s teaching was wrong, that Christ, Father, and the Comforter are three separate and distinct personalities, and that Father is God while Christ is His perfect representative.

1. In John 7:16, Christ said, “**My doctrine is not mine but his that sent me**”. If the Lord’s doctrine was not His, then does that not clearly prove that which the MCS has taught all these months, that Christ is righteous because He entirely submits His will to Father/ Else, His doctrine would be righteous, yet, different from Father’s, an impossibility.
2. Matt 19: 17, “Christ told the rich young ruler: “**Why callest thou me good? There is none good but one, that is, God**”: If your “plaguing”, murky-marsh-frog psalm which yearns to elevate Papal dirt to the quality of heaven’s ground, its streets of gold, then would not Christ also be required to call Himself good?
3. If, in *John 17*, Christ could urge Father to make the disciples one, as He and the Father are one, then could He not just as easily have told the rich young ruler that He and the Father are both good, or, being modest, He could have just said nothing at all about His and the Father’s goodness?
4. *John 15*, If Christ was the same as the Father, then would not both Christ and Father be the Vine? Instead, Christ said, “**I am the true vine, and my Father is the husbandman...I am the vine, ye are the branches**” —John 15: 1-5. These two functions are completely different. The Husbandman manages the vine who in turn manages the branches.
5. *John five*, If Christ was the same as Father, then should not Christ know the things that the Father knows. Or if the Father needs to teach the Son because He is a split in His personality, then why does not the Son teach the Father some things as well? Christ taught differently, He said, “**The Son can do nothing of himself, but what he seeth the father do...for the Father loveth the Son, and sheweth him all things that himself doeth: and he will shew him greater works than these, that ye may marvel**” —John5:19-21
6. *John five* again, The above testimony carefully articulated by Jesus, shows, by use of the word, “Himself”, that both Father and Christ have a self proving that they cannot be the same person.
7. *John 16*, If the Father, Christ, and the Comforter were all the same being, then why does Christ, at the Father’s command, the One Who dictated to Christ what He should say, tell us

The Mustard Seed Advent

that the Comforter has a self. And that by discipline, He, the Comforter, will not present to us His very own ideas but only those themes which Christ commands Him to say. Christ promised: **“When he, the Spirit of truth, is come, he will guide you into all truth...he shall not speak of himself; but...He shall glorify me: for he shall receive of mine, and shall shew it unto you** —John 16: 12-16.

8. *John 16* again, If the Holy Spirit was the same as Christ and Father, then, when He glorifies Christ, would He not also, by definition, be glorifying Himself?

I will stop for now with just these points. But I urge all to consider that there are no statements in the *Testimony of Jesus*, the Testimony to be raised up in the last day, that substantiates the Popes claims. Some realizing the futility of their position, will, like Jonathan, fail to confess their errors, only to pin their fables on the shifting sands of mysticism. They will claim that such things were not intended to be understood, that we will study these things for the ceaseless ages of eternity. This, coming from a people who can barely bring themselves to read a 200 page MSC booklet, are kidding themselves. They have learned a life of ease and idleness and have not trained themselves to study anything. If taken to heaven, they will rebel against new light just as they do now. Their egos and not their study manifest that which they believe, and, like Lucifer before them, eternity will only enlarge their stubborn, refusal to say those simple word, I am wrong and Christ is right. Yet, consider another angle, if the nature of Christ and God are so difficult to understand requiring eternity, why is it that such excuse makers only deploy that argument when then find themselves defeated by Scripture. The reason is simple, they are slothful servants, men who would rather fight than switch.

Christ, in His testimony, echoed the Bible, and told us to anticipate —not the thesis of eternal ignorance, but— the contrary. He promises that All shall be taught of God in the last day, the day before we go to heaven and beginning even before we are transported into the Kingdom: He said, **“No man can come to me, except the Father which hath sent me draw him: and I will raise him up at the last day. It is written in the prophets, and they shall all be taught of God, every man therefore that hath heard, and hath learned of the Father, cometh unto me.”** —See John 6:45. We are likewise told that in the time of the seventh angel sounding trumpet, **“the mystery of God should be finished”** —Rev 10:7. Therefore, it should be clear, our knowledge of God was to be expanded in this day. It was this last day in which Father deemed men should learn of Him. The MSC has dutifully proclaimed His righteousness and much of His glory. All that is left is for Davidia to swallow their pride and abandon their bigotry and both differentiate and integrate the Lord’s testimony into their lives. You my brother/sister can begin by ceasing to hide your candle.

Sincerely,

Derek