

## *The Mustard Seed Advent*

---

### *Father's Day & the Tongue of Heaven*

Reply to Kathryn Brunken,

17-Jun-2007

**CAPTION ONE:** *“...Today, seeing that June 17<sup>th</sup> is Father's day here in America, the following conclusion to the above point must not be hastily nor glibly delivered; instead, it should be expressed and uttered with a Sinai-styled thunder, a resounding, mountain-jolting echo, and with a reverberating resonance: Unfortunately, we have only been given the still small voice of the MSC to declare that God knows His faithful and “devout” children, and He cares and provides for them. He is not a “dead-beat” dad, one who has carelessly abandoned his children forcing them to grope through darkness and hazard exposing his precious creation, humanity who was formed in his image, to make their way through this life of peril and danger without the ability to call upon Him in their native tongue. His word should be trusted especially because God is our Father.”*

**CAPTION TWO:** *“...Therefore, the irrefutable and overwhelming Bible evidence so far presented demands that all Davidians today, Father's day, begin to immediately believe the Lord's very own testimony and accept the name of ---not Yahweh, nor Jehovah, but--- Christ into their vernacular, and use it in your petitions to His and to your Father. For today, the truth has been unfolded in the clearest of light. All counter arguments have been defeated. Thus, with absolutely no excuse remaining, none can be so audacious as to assume that they can call upon Father to save them, to heal them, to preserve and protect them, while they diminish His Eternal Son by addressing Him with a name other than Christ. He demands that all honor Christ with the same respect that they honor Him ---see John Five--- and we can only do so today by accepting and respecting the name, Christ, which does that which Satan hates, validates Christ's heavenly anointing from Father to be worshipped, an honor that has been specified by His ministry and firmly validated by this document. ....”*

**The Below paper is a Dossier to clarify the Deception of Pseudo, language Scholars and their Profession about the “Sacred Names” of God**

Dear Brethren,

There is a new cancer in Davidia which none seem willing to resist and reverse its development. This Davidian E-mail forum provides an excellent podium for Davidians to answer all growing deceptions with clear and well constituted evidence. Especially, should those who aspire to leadership, like ancient David of old, seize the opportunity to slay the proverbial lion and the bear in order to manifest before the brethren the legitimacy of their divine calling. Rather than this course, all the branches of Davidia seem to be frozen in a timid, huddled, and a trembling posture ever hoping that Goliath will just go away. To be more specific, the deception is growing amongst us that our salvation hinges on speaking ancient Hebrew, the language which the Bible shows was delivered to Israel after their centuries of Egyptian slavery. The proponents of this philosophy, just as Goliath of old condemned the armies of Israel for their worship of the Lord, boldly charge the brethren with idolatry and paganism because they do not pronounce the Lord's name according to specifications which they have imagined and seek to impose. Yet,

## *The Mustard Seed Advent*

---

where are our leaders; where is Carmel, Bashan, and the myriad of leaders, men who proclaim to be David? Why have none been anxious to rise up, become “willing to do and to dare”, and engage these overblown voices of recrimination against Israel? In the several months in which the MSC has been on this forum, it has defeated many long-standing deceptions; yet, these other Davidian brethren, the ones who have inherited leadership without the Lord’s election, receive His tithe and offering merely to sit on their hands and shiver in fear while the people of God are being pelted with insults and His name is trampled underfoot. Today, the MSC will again boldly step forward to sound the alarm and defend the faith while all the pretenders to Davidic leadership stand by in wonderment about their own usefulness to the Lord. They will do so until they learn

***The Wisdom of the Samaritan***~~~~~The belief that we must address God the way the church did 2500 years ago, addressing Him verbally as Yahweh, Yahudah, Yahshua, etc, while calling those who use the KJV designations for God’s title paganistic idolaters, is a view forwarded by Brother and Sister Denton Brunken, by Brother Daniel Bernardin (AKA: Danyel habin), and by the ever-delusional Branch Davidians. I will refer to these as the *Holy Name Four*. It may be the view of many other misguided Davidians whom they influence as well. These brethren openly profess to have discerned God’s will and His preference on this issue. Such thinking exposes their immature appreciation of God’s love and His care over us; it exposes their disbelief in His management; and it challenges His wisdom and foreknowledge. This is true simply because it makes God to appear, by their implication, to be a mean and overly-sensitive master, a dictator, one who is driven by plans to severely castigate and punish His people, indeed His own elect, because they fail to speak the language of heaven and address Him by His proper name, one that He did not stipulate by His prophets. Such an exacting penalty will be imposed upon us, in their view, because we have not mastered the languages which, through no fault of our own, have died out centuries ago. Like an abusive and exacting parent who would senselessly throttle his young child for not knowing to fully pronounce, their name correctly, they envision our loving Father to be driven by the same unreasonable and outrageous temperament. And worse still, they base their appraisal of the Father’s demeanor — not on the direct words of Christ given to save us, nor upon some law revealed in the Bible, but—upon the words and credibility of Jewish (non-Christian) scholars, indeed anti-Christians. Such men (Jewish scholars) who were thoroughly denounced and condemned by Christ yesterday during the Lord’s personal ministry, today are now vaulted to the head of the Bible class. Such a promotion of men who hate the Lord, imply that today the Lord has by-passed His channel, divinely appointed, to teach the church Heaven’s truth, has ignored the Apostles and NT Prophets, and has elected Jewish scholars to be the channel of light for the church today.

**“No truth is more clearly taught in the Bible than that God by His Holy Spirit especially directs His servants on earth in the great movements for the carrying forward of the work of salvation. Men are instruments in the hand of God, employed by Him to accomplish His purposes of grace and mercy. Each has his part to act; to each is granted a measure of light, adapted to the necessities of his time, and sufficient to enable him to perform the work which God has given him to do.”** —GC 343, 344, emphasis added.

The Comforter is the only Power in heaven and in earth authorized to guide the church into all Bible truth, and He was promised ---not to the followers of Mohamed, nor to the students of Buddha, neither to the professors of language etymology, not even to the devotees of Judaism, but--- only to the Disciples of Christ. This was heaven’s comfort to them as expressed in John 14, 15,&16. Thus we must look to the Bible and then to the inspired testimony of the Lord’s servants to discern “*what doth the Lord require of (us)*” —Micah 6:8. Before examining the airtight evidence from the Bible to answer the claims of the *Holy Name Four*, let us now call to remembrance that which VTH, one of the inspired voices of the Comforter, revealed:

**“Let not the enemy trip you on this point by vain philosophy or theology. The Scriptures are perfect in themselves. The King James version is as dependable as any ‘good’ translation. Take**

## The Mustard Seed Advent

heed of explanations by translations which you yourself do not understand. Trust no man.” — VTH, *2 Shepherd’s Rod*, p, 118

“Let this counsel warn God’s people away from the precarious practice of hanging their doctrines and their faith on the gilded hooks of perverted interpretations and of renderings from tongues unknown to them (the Hebrew, the Greek, and this, that, or the other) and of interpretative translations that bolster up and serve the interest of theological preconceptions and predilections better than does the authorized version —the version which God, in His providence and in His foreknowledge of finishing His work by the English-speaking world, has given to His people to lead them into His kingdom. Beware, therefore, of the pretensions of pseudo scholarship, which assume to be more dependable than that which God Himself, has chosen and wrought in simplicity. ‘Heaven and earth shall pass away, but My words shall not pass away.’ ”—Matt 24: 35” —VTH, *Tract nine*, p75

Take careful note of the above statements. As you carefully reflect on this wisdom, please understand that Davidia has, by use of duplicity, attempted to illegally refute the MSC by citing references from the *Rod*. Though this tactic has been completely thrashed, the residual implication is that such detractors believe the *Rod* message. Therefore, the question must be posed, are they honest or are they hypocrites? VTH commands that we trust no man; he demands that we respect the KJV; he warns us against establishing doctrines and faith on renderings from tongues unknown to us. He exalts the *righteousness of Christ* by insisting that He was wise enough to have foreknowledge that the work would be finished in the English language; he, a man whose natural tongue was not English, tells us that it, the English Language, is the tongue that will lead us to the Kingdom. Finally, VTH explains that all who oppose this form of religious study are pretentious scholars who reject the simple means which the Father has elected to save us, and he validates his strong lessons by citing the *Testimony of Jesus* found in Matt 24:35. Clearly therefore, any who oppose the *Rod’s* counsel are hypocritical pretenders even though some, like Kathryn Brunken, may have personally met VTH. Such men and women are not defenders of the *Rod*, they are subtle serpentanic enemies, people who exhaust their career efforts seeking to divest humanity from the Word and seeking to suggest that God does not know how to communicate to humanity; He does not mean what He says. Such Davidians dare to take the *Testimony of Jesus*, a testimony issued directly from the Father, and supplant them with the teachings that come from men who openly reject Christ. Such men, even above all others, should be distrusted for the Hebrew language as it was **SPOKEN** in the past has been forever lost to the world:

“Hebrew began to die out as a spoken tongue among the Jews after they were defeated by the Babylonians in 586 B.C. Well before the time of Jesus it had been replaced by Aramaic as the Jewish vernacular, although it was preserved as the language of the Jewish religion. From A.D. 70, when the dispersion of the Jews from Palestine began, until modern times, Hebrew has remained the Jewish language of religion, learning, and literature. During this 2,000-year period, Hebrew has always been spoken to some extent. At the end of the 19th century the Zionist movement brought about the revival of Hebrew as a spoken language, which culminated in its designation as an official tongue of the state of Israel in 1948. There it is spoken by most of the 4.5 million Jews of that country—See W. Chomsky, *Hebrew: The Eternal Language* (1957); D. J. Kamhi, *Modern Hebrew* (1982); E. Kutscher, *A History of the Hebrew Language* (1984); L. Glinert, *The Grammar of Modern Hebrew* (1989).

“For over three millennia Hebrew has been the religious, and often the literary and secular, language of the Jewish people. A Semitic tongue, it was spoken during the period of the migration of the Patriarchs into Palestine and remained the language of the Jews throughout the Old Testament period. In the post biblical period Hebrew gradually gave way to Aramaic as the spoken language, but continued throughout the centuries to serve as the language of ritual and prayer.

“Hebrew is one of the world’s oldest languages, spoken and written today in much the same way as it was more than two thousand years ago. **After ceasing to exist as a spoken language about 250 B.C., it was reborn as a modern language in the 19th century,** and today it is the principal language of the State of Israel. Books, newspapers, and magazines published in Israel today are written in a Hebrew that is much the same as the language of the Bible.”

“The renaissance of Hebrew as a spoken language in the 19th century may be ascribed almost entirely to the efforts of **one man**: Eliezer ben Yehudah, who devoted his life to the revival of the

## *The Mustard Seed Advent*

---

language, and at the same time **adapted** it for modern use through the introduction of thousands of modern terms. Hebrew gradually came into use among the Jewish settlers in Palestine and became the official language of the State of Israel when that nation was created in 1948. Today about 3 million people speak Hebrew either as their maternal, adopted, or religious tongue.” —Copyright © Kenneth Katzner, *The Language of the World*, Published by Routledge. Emphasis added.

All can witness the hieroglyphs of ancient Egypt, 3500 years ago and, for that matter, those from many other cultures. But from those written symbolisms etched on the walls of caves and on rocks, it is impossible to develop an oral language, for to do so, we would need to know the sounds associated with the symbols and those rocks cannot cry out. The same with Ancient Hebrew, we have many records of what was written and we can discern meaning; however, we cannot add sound to the writing. According to the above references, we see that even though Hebrew as a written form, has remained on record until today, as an orally communicated language, its reconstitution has been the result of 19<sup>th</sup> century Jewish —not Christian— imagination, creativity, and scholarship. Yet, many inane Christians presumptuously allow such men of questionable scholarship to define for them the manner with which they commune with heaven. Do they not realize that it was Jewish scholars who delivered Jesus to the Romans to be crucified? They did so after 1500 years of the most intense study; yet, they failed to discern from *Deut 18*, the focal text of Moses’ promised prophecy, the Lord’s first advent. Even the *woman at the well* had more wisdom. She, as a hated, shunned, and ill-reputed Samaritan and a person of obviously limited education, perceived what they could not, the presence of the Messiah. Merely because the Lord revealed to her the secret and embarrassing sins in her life, she, rather than responding defensively, excitedly ran and taught her community of the advent of the Messiah proclaiming, “is not this the Christ!” —John 4: 29. Conversely, those erudite and proud-hearted criminals with their noses fixated in the pages of the Torah, the Jewish scholars, could not be made to notice and applaud even greater manifestations of heaven’s power; instead, they plotted the murder of the very One Whom they made the Center of their research. Has 2000 years of rejecting the Lord made them wiser? Are we now, 2000 years later, to allow them to define our worship of the Christ? Who has the greater credibility today, the wise gentiles (Samaritans) who embrace the Lord, like King James, or the Jews who still attempt to discredit the Son of God? How could any insult Christ by spiritually fraternizing with them? Ancient King Jehoshaphat, the fifth ruler from the line of David, a man who made some mistakes but was eventually given a good performance rating, had the same question posed to him. He cohorted and developed a fellowship with 10-Tribe Israel to the Lords displeasure and was reprimanded. This shows that if he could reform, then there is hope for some in Davidia who are committing the same sin. After returning from a joint venture with wayward Israel, the Lord reprimanded Jehoshaphat as described in the following text:

**“And Jehoshaphat the king of Judah returned to his house in peace to Jerusalem. And Jehu (the prophet) the son of Hanani the seer went out to meet him, and said to king Jehoshaphat, shouldest thou help the ungodly, and love them that hate the Lord? Therefore is wrath upon thee from before the Lord.”** —2Chron 19:2

As He was for David and his son Jehoshaphat, is not Christ our righteousness too? Is He not also our source of light, truth, wisdom, life and salvation? If so, can we forget wisdom today and commit the sin of Jehoshaphat by forging our faith from the teachings of men who oppose His efforts to save, even if done under the guise of genuine scholarship? Unbelievably, there are some Davidian Christians who have become so spiritually comatosed so as to allow the scholarly enemies of Christ to prescribe the very name pronunciation required to be articulate to please the Lord, the one whom they condemn? Although this publication does not have any disdain against the people of the Jewish ancestry ---how could it when its author, the promised son of David, is himself the quintessential Jew--- in fact it proffers its golden treasures from heaven to them as well as to all others, it reminds all to not repeat the mistakes of the past and today accept the Lord’s salvation by humbling their hearts. Having said that, another question must be posed: Can any Davidian risk the Lord’s condemnation today by judging their brethren and by

## The Mustard Seed Advent

---

condemning his skills in speaking ancient Hebrew, when the renaissance of that spoken language today results from the work of one singular man in the mid 1800s? Is not this the very thing which VTH warns all against when he, under the impress of the Comforter, said, “trust no man”? Worse still, they reject the work of their Christian brother, King James, and the team which he, under the impress of God, assembled to enlighten the church with his version of the translated Word? Yet as seen by the reference below, many Davidians have chosen to disparage and judge the MSA to a worse degree. They condemn its use of bible-based doctrines in the tongue which the Lord, in His wisdom, has elected to finish the work. They do so while pretentiously and hypocritically exclaiming their loyalty to VTH and the *Rod* message. To such men the question must be posed: if in the mid 1800s, the time of EGW’s ministry, the Lord desired us to more precisely address Him by our spoken language, then would He not have given such counsel to His Christian prophets, EGW and later VTH, the ones whom you pretend to exalt? Below please examine a comment from one Davidian E-mail:

*“... You need to know that there was no letter J at the time Yahshua was born. Brother, you are so deceived by satan that you are blind. Br. Houteff would throw you out of the Davidian movement should you tell him such things as you are teaching. I knew him and was at Mt Carmel and I know how strict he was with teaching the truth aright. To say that 'Jesus' and 'Christ' are two different deities is totally wrong. 'Jesus' is really Zeus but the True name is Yahshua, for the word 'christ' you use 'Messiah'. I don't know where you came up with that but you are a deceiver in the work for satan. Well, there are to be many false prophets as it was with Elijah and just so it will be today. Our Savior will take care of all of them like Elijah did.”* —Email

from Kathryn Brunken, April 08, 2007, 9:41AM

This whole paper will address the complete theme of this E-mail; yet, one correction must be interjected here to respond to the above E-mail directly and immediately: The MSC does not recognize multiple deities; it recognizes the One Deity whom Christ Himself prayed to, God the Father. It does explain that Christ is His perfect representative Whom the Father demands that we, “all men”, honor and respect just as we respect the Father, as God —John 5: 23. To address the above E-mail, I point all to

**Heaven’s Most Enduring Theme.** ~~~~~*First Corinthians 13 & 14* are just two of many texts which defeat the teachings of the *Holy Name Four*. They argue that God requires humanity to address Him with the same language that His church spoke yesterday, according to the estimation of the single, non-inspired man whom they exalt in violation of VTH’s counsel. The text further makes laughable the argument which insists that heresy is committed by any who speak of God by use of any language of historical pagan cultures; they assert that such a practice transforms a man into an idolater himself. In this text, Paul clearly tells the church that no matter how pure was the tongue spoken by the church in his day, even if it were the same tongue of the angels; still, it was to be done away, abolished, before the perfect day. He clearly shows that ideas and doctrines make men perfect and pure, not languages. He further shows that not only will tongues, but NT partial knowledge and doctrine, also pass away as the church advances to maturity. He says,

*“Though I speak with the tongues of men and of angels, and have not charity, I am become as sounding brass, or a tinkling cymbal...Charity suffereth long, and is kind...charity never faileth: but whether there be prophecies, they shall fail; whether there be tongues, they shall cease: whether there be knowledge, it shall vanish away. For we know in part, and we prophesy in part. But when that which is perfect is come, then that which is in part shall be done away....and now abideth faith, hope, charity, these three; but the greatest of these is charity.”* —  
1 Cor 13: 1-13

Clearly, according to Paul, our salvation will come with our abiding faith, our hope, and our charity. He makes no mention of our need to speak any tongue. In fact, Paul diminishes the emphasis of speaking in God’s tongue, and he relegates such a practice as being inferior to the gift of prophecy. Therefore, how is it that the presumptive and pretentious Hebrew-language

## *The Mustard Seed Advent*

---

experts and the *Holy Name Four* assert that speaking in a human tongue, Hebrew, is superior to speaking in the language of God. Paul says,

*“Follow after charity, and desire spiritual gifts, but rather that ye may prophesy. For he that speaketh in an unknown tongue speaketh not unto men, but unto God: for no man understandeth him; howbeit in the spirit he speaketh mysteries. But he that prophesieth speaketh unto men to edification, and exhortation, and comfort. He that speaketh in an unknown tongue edifieth himself; but he that prophesieth edifieth the church. I would that ye all spake with tongues, but rather that ye prophesied: for greater is he that prophesieth than he that speaketh with tongues, except he interpret, that the church may receive edifying.”*—1 Cor 14: 1-5

Clearly then, by committing the sin of presumption and assuming that the ancient Hebrew tongue was the tongue of heaven and of the angels, a presumption which Bible and history refutes, and by assuming that the enemies of Christ have discerned the proper way to pronounce the Lord’s names—which they have not— then even still, Bible righteousness demands that such public communications be translated so that all can benefit. Thus, even under the false assumption that the *Holy Name Four* are right in their emphasis, should all adopt their approach to communion with God, then in our worship services after performing such arduous, linguistic gyrations, according to the counsel handed to us from Christ through Paul, ones laborious task would not yet be complete. After the strenuous exercise to carefully proclaim the name of the Lord in the presumed dialect which the uninspired, Jewish scholars have handed to us, we would next be required to articulate the names of the Lord as it is commonly pronounced in the gentile tongues which we now speak so that all could benefit. Would not such perfectly illustrate the vanity condemned by Scripture? Is it not a hundred fold more simple to heed Paul’s advice and devote your spiritual energies to the benefits of prophecy and, when the need arises to cite the Lord’s name, avoid the need to translate by deploying the name of the Lord in a tongue which all can understand? Better still, instead of stressing your mental energies to the utmost attempting to approximate the manner in which Hebrew was spoken 2500 years ago, why not seek to elevate Jerusalem, the Lord’s oppressed, yoked, and captive Hebrew people of today, the sons of America’s former slaves? Why not allow your charity to suffer long and be kind? Is not this approach to salvation more desirable than violating the *Testimony of Jesus* articulated in Matt 6: 1-4? Is it not better, as heavens most enduring theme, to do your linguistic alms secretly and seek to please Christ by openly heeding the Elijah commission, and falling in love with the “children” whose hearts Elijah desires for you win? Is not this a better use of spiritual energy: to heed the command given by Christ in *Luke 10*, the life saving *Testimony of Jesus*, and begin to show compassion upon the ones who left Jericho and fell among thieves, journeyed from Palestine and were left naked, wounded, and comatosed in the gutters of America? Instead of joying in the good life of wealth and prosperity, or sending gifts one to another while Jerusalem’s dead bodies lie in the streets of this great city called America (*Rev 11*), does not the extension of charity to Jerusalem more closely reflect the Mind of “Jesus Christ” which Paul, in *Phil two* and *Romans eight*, command must be in us all? Is it not wiser than it is to foolishly exhibit your piety by publicly brandishing your pretentious skills in ancient Hebrew, ‘broadcasting your alms like a trumpet’ and yielding the Father’s displeasure and condemnation? Thus, Paul sets forth our priorities: he requires that we exhaust our spiritual energies seeking to do those things which speaking unknown tongues cannot do. He desires that we become charitable; that we edify each other; that we extend to our brethren, praise, counsel, commands, admonitions, instructions, reproofs, doctrines. If in the process of such edifications we mention the name of God, Jesus, Christ, the Comforter, then we do so using the language which all can understand. Such was the practice of

*The Early New Testament Church.* ~~~~~The issue at Pentecost, an important episode in Jewish history, exactly answers this very same question about how to call on the name of the Lord; however, people whose theology puts them at war with Christianity would obviously ignore that major episode of Jewish history so that they may do what their scholarly ancestry had

## *The Mustard Seed Advent*

---

done 2000 years ago and ignore the power of Heaven as it was manifested, first in Jesus and then in His disciples. Such evidence would never be ignored by honest scholars seeking to learn the truth, like the Samaritan woman cited above, but when it comes to men controlled by human ego, they only desire to emphasize the portions of evidence which elevates their bias ---regardless how clearly is made evident the power of God. Going all the way back to Paul, Nicodemus and others, many honest Jewish scholars have examined the Bible evidence and have been converted, and we should praise God for their courage. Below please examine the record of the early disciples after Pentecost:

*“And when the day of Pentecost was fully come, they were all with one accord in one place. And they were all filled with the Holy Ghost and began to speak with other tongues, as the Spirit gave them utterance. And there were dwelling at Jerusalem Jews, devout men, out of every nation under heaven. Now when this was noised abroad, the multitude came together, and were confounded, because that every man heard them speak in his own language. And they were all amazed and marveled, saying one to another, behold, are not all these which speak Galileans? And how hear we every man in our own tongue, wherein we were born?...we do hear them speak in our tongues the wonderful works of \*\*\*\*GOD\*\*\*\*...But Peter, standing up with the eleven, lifted up his voice, and said unto them,,,And it shall come to pass in the last days, saith \*\*\*\*GOD\*\*\*\*...That whosoever shall call on the name of the \*\*\*\*LORD\*\*\*\*shall be saved. Ye men of Israel, hear these words; \*\*\*\*JESUS\*\*\*\*of Nazareth, a man approved of \*\*\*\*GOD\*\*\*\*among you by miracles and wonders and signs, which \*\*\*\*GOD\*\*\*\* did by him in the midst of you as ye yourselves also know”—Acts 2:1-22*

Please notice the multitude of times the names, God, Jesus, Lord are used. By reviewing the entire chapter, you will clearly see that the Comforter, the One sent by the Father as promised in John 14:26, inspired Peter and the other eleven to use, even many more times than have been cited above, the names Jesus, Lord, God so that all tongues from every nation “under heaven” understood. Clearly, heaven has absolutely no problem what-so-ever with people calling upon the name of the Lord, in their own tongue. If it did, then God would have restricted Peter’s reference of Him to the sounds exhibited in Hebrew, a language which none of those “devout” Jews understood. Furthermore, *Acts two* shows that the Jews who gathered in Jerusalem to observe Pentecost, were men born to learn the language of their native land. They confess that they all heard the Disciples in the “*tongue, wherein we were born*”. And since the Disciples spoke by the impress of the Holy Spirit, then it becomes even clearer that the Father respected all earthly tongues ---how could He not do so when He created them all according to Genesis 11: 6-8. He did not disrespect them as pagans; instead, God calls those Jewish foreigners men of great piety or “devout men”. Today, seeing that June 17<sup>th</sup> is Father’s day here in America, the following conclusion to the above point must not be hastily nor glibly delivered; instead, it should be expressed and uttered with a Sinai-styled thunder, a resounding, mountain-jolting echo, and with a reverberating resonance: Unfortunately we have only been given the still small voice of the MSC to declare that God knows His faithful and “devout” children, and He cares and provides for them. He is not a “dead-beat” dad, one who has carelessly abandoned his children forcing them to grope through darkness and danger exposing his precious creation, humanity who was formed in his image, to make their way through this life of peril and danger without the ability to call upon Him in their native tongue. His word should be trusted especially because

**God Is our Father** ~~~~~ By Jesus’ very own testimony, all disciples should honor God as their Heavenly Father and superimpose His will above that of their very own. In so doing they will exemplify in their lives the 1888 theme of *Righteousness by Faith* which is *Christ our Righteousness*. Did not Christ reveal that His Testimony came directly from God? Thus did He explain to His Pharisaical detractors, “...**He that is of God heareth God’s Words: ye therefore hear them not, because ye are not of God**”—John 8: 47. This will certainly mean that such disciples, as they compare their habits, theories, doctrines, and practices, will invariably see their own deviation from the Lord’s testimony, words which emanate directly from the Father, and will consequently admit and confess their sin, transgressions, and debts as they claim the

## *The Mustard Seed Advent*

---

righteousness of Christ. They will honor God above all other influences in their life. For if Christ and Jesus could completely bend their own wills so as to harmonize them to the will of the Father, to the degree that they both suffered on Calvary, then who is sinful man that he should be given exception to this universal formula for success.

In order to advance to such a level of service, one must first abandon preconceived and traditional teachings about the nature of God, Jesus, and Christ, and must begin to know more about their individual identities. For, how can a man submit to the Father if he thinks the way the papacy teaches, that the Father is the Son? How can we honor the eternal Son, Christ, if we violated His laws of Christianity given in *Deut 18* and mistakenly teach that Jesus, the Only Begotten, is Christ, the Eternal Son. The Scriptures, in *Isa nine*, recognized our growth in the Gospel truth and described our ignorance: for it was prophesied that Jesus' **name** would be called, **CALLED, CALLED** the Mighty God, the Everlasting Father, the Prince of Peace. Evidently, therefore, our ignorance yesterday was a variable in Heavens equation of salvation as they anticipated our limited ability to bear the entire truth. This was to prevail until Christ was to emerge and show to us the Father, and David, the Mustard Seed, was to emerge and —by his compliance with the commands of God— not hide his light under a bushel by boldly revealing to us the nature of Jesus and Christ, and identifying to us “the prince of peace”.

Apparently, according to Christ, the name of God is “Father”. We also convey this same title to our earthly, male parents. Today we must perceive that, when applying this same title to them, we should now recognize that our parents are undeserving of such an honor. Can God's messengers today truly extend to their earthly fathers, credit for the love, protection, tutelage, and the discipline which has developed them into honorable and mature disciples? In reality, who exactly was it that advanced us in maturity? Of course, it was the (heavenly) Father. Yesterday, not knowing the fullness of Christ and the mystery of God, nor understanding the plan of salvation, our thinking being dominated with the fabulistic themes of Tri-Unitarianism, we had a distorted concept of the Father. We wrongly believed that He had a split personality. In spite of this error, the Father, with great wisdom and patience, generously tolerated our confusing Him with His Son. Thus, by applying the title, “father” to our male parents yesterday, we were excused for we did not know the true Father: we did not even though Christ revealed to us His existence during the *Testimony of Jesus*. Thus is it that the same testimony is required to be raised again today, the last day, so that we can be made to fully comprehend all of its intricacies. Yesterday, we the disciples, unwittingly made a crude comparison of our earthly fathers' devotion to the faithfulness of our Heavenly Father, God. Then, because of our ignorance, the Father took no offense when others received His honor. The goal always was to use all heavenly and earthly tools to bring us to this hour, the day of spiritual adulthood and full maturity, the real mission of all faithful earthly parents. Additionally, God knew that we would think that Jesus and Christ were the same Beings and that we would call that singularly perceived being, the Father, a practice which likewise must now stop. Least we forget, God, Father, was the mastermind who taught Christ the secrets of creating life, He, God, was the first Parent, and now, today, His is the first One to nurture and develop His true disciples to full stature, heightened education, and full spirituality. After all, did not Christ say, “**no man can come to me, except the Father which hath sent me draw him**”--- John 6:44. There is no virtue which humanity can point to for which God does not deserve to receive the credit. We must have the mind of Christ; accordingly, our profession must be the same as His, “**...the Son can do nothing of himself, but what he seeth the Father do...for the Father loveth the Son, and sheweth him all things that himself doeth**”—John 5: 19, 20.

Without Christ's testimony through Jesus, we would have never realized Father's existence and would have never attempted to understand Him. It was this Father and Son heavenly connection which offended the church yesterday. The very theme that God had a son was so completely revolting to them that they, the Jewish scholars, condemn Jesus to death saying to

## *The Mustard Seed Advent*

---

Pilate, “...we have a law, and by our law he ought to die, because he made himself the son of God”—John 19:7. Afterwards we still did not fully understand this mystery of God. For the early Christian church, not fully understanding the concept of the Father, developed the false concept of a Trinitarian God. That false doctrine was a theme foreign to the church before the anointing, yesterday and only now can be defeated. Never-the-less, it has been a difficult path to maturity, but without the Lord’s testimony, today, the day of harvest, we would be still be completely ignorant of the Father. This fact explains why Christ told the following to His Pharisaical detractors: “...it is my Father that honoureth me; of whom ye say, that he is your God: Yet ye have not known him; but I know him...and keep his sayings” —John 8:54, 55. The Hebrew church, before the Lord’s testimony, with all their scholarship, did not realize that their prayers, their sacrifices, and their petitions, though made to God, were actually petitions made to Christ, God’s Representative, the Son. How then can any think to appeal to them today to tell us of the intricacies of His Being or His name? Such an assignment, representing Father, was and is the very special mission of Christ, His anointing, not just to the church, but to the universe. This is the reason why He is called the Son. He came to unfold to us that there is One Who is greater than even He Himself; One Whom He considers to be wiser, more powerful —see *John Five*— and this One personality, not Christ nor any other man deserves the title “Father”. Christ says, “O righteous Father, the world hath not known thee... I have declared unto them thy name, and will declare it that the love wherewith thou has loved me may be in them, and I in them” ---John 17:26. He also says, “Abba, Father, all things are possible unto thee...” —Mark 14:36 (See also to John 17: 6, 11, 12, Isa 9:6; Matt 6:9, Matt 11:25, 26, 28:19, Romans 8:15, etc). Clearly, Christ, during His hidden presence on Jesus, as He testified through Him for 3 ½ years, revealed to us the Father. If humanity is seeking a way to more greatly honor God, and they should, let them do so ---not by pretentiously speaking a new and unknown tongue, calling Him Yahweh, a name which, if accurately pronounced, belongs to Christ and not God, but instead, as “real” Christians—let them walk in full fidelity to the *Testimony of Jesus*. In so doing we will honor the command which He left on record, and, regardless of your current language, “call no man your father upon the earth: for one is your Father, which is in heaven” —Matt 23:9. Let us make this mandate the spiritual discipline which we display to the world in order to brandish our piety. Obviously, as suggested above, this mandate was only intended to be enforceable today, the day when Christ promised to raise up His testimony again in the last day —see John 6:39. For today the disciples have matured to discern both good and evil, and they have, as commanded, abandoned the principle doctrines of Christ in their “passage” to eternal life and perfection. Also, by virtue of receiving the “spirit of Christ” —see *Rom 8*— and having received the truth, they can now, for the first time in human history unite their prayers with those of Jesus and Christ and begin to worship God as He mandates: they can worship Him in spirit and in truth. Indeed, by virtue of the *Testimony of Jesus* delivered through the MSA, they can become sons and daughters of God and begin, not just a personal worship, but also a personal communion with the Father.

“...Whatsoever ye shall ask the Father in my name, he will give it you. Hitherto have ye asked nothing in my name: ask, and ye shall receive, that your joy may be full. These things have I spoken unto you in proverbs: but the time cometh, when I shall no more speak unto you in proverbs but I shall shew you plainly of the Father. At that day ye shall ask in my name: and I say not unto you, that I will pray the Father for you: For the Father himself loveth you, because ye have loved me and have believed that I came out from God.” —John 16: 23-27

By the way, as a parenthetical interruption, Christ’s above words completely dashes the “sacred name theology”. He testifies in the above reference that after all the years of the Hebrew church’s prayers to Him from Moses unto yesterday’s disciples, they did not know His true name, Christ. Even the scholars of that epoch foolishly thought that Christ was to be the son of David. Even they did not discern Him to be the Divine Power who identified Himself to Israel as “the Lord thy God”. He said to the disciples, “hitherto have ye asked nothing in my name”.

## *The Mustard Seed Advent*

---

Clearly therefore, His church, prior to this statement and even after 1500 years of devotion to Him, Christ, they did not know His name for they asked nothing in His name. They merely called Him Lord and did so with no knowledge as to whom they spoke. Thus, why would any sane person on earth, any man capable of simple logic, seek to emulate the spirituality of that OT generation? With this fact in mind it is impossible not to ponder: what actually won for them the Lord's favor during the OT epoch if it was not their prayers to Him, citing His name? Certainly, it was not their use of the terms Jehovah or Yahweh. For even if deployed correctly, they could not discern between Christ and the Father, and they wrongly presumed that they spoke to God and not to His second in command, Christ, His Son. Such name misapplication certainly did not win for them special favor. Divine intervention into their lives was won for them by the promises made by Christ to their fathers and by their feeble fidelity to the covenant. Listen to Moses very same testimony:

**“...if thou shalt hearken diligently unto the voice of the Lord thy God, to observe and to do all his commandments which I command thee this day, that the Lord thy God will set thee on high above all nations of the earth...But it shall come to pass, if thou wilt not hearken unto the voice of the Lord thy God, to observe to do all his commandments and his statutes which I command thee this day; that all these curses shall come upon thee and overtake thee...I call heaven and earth to record this day against you, that I have set before you life and death, blessing and cursing: therefore choose life, that both thou and thy seed may live. That thou mayest love the Lord thy God, and that thou mayest obey his voice, and that thou mayest cleave unto him: for he is thy life, and the length of thy days: that thou mayest dwell in the land which the Lord sware unto thy fathers, to Abraham, to Isaac, and to Jacob, to give them.”** —Deut 28: 1, 15; Deut 30: 19, 20.

All Jews who rejected Christ were in violation of the covenant and scheduled for eternal banishment from God, death. In fact, according to Moses' testimony of them, as affirmed by Jeremiah 11:1-16, 16, 1-12, and many other texts, they were in violation even before the first advent of Christ. Thus only a foolish man, would find any value in Jewish scholarship because Christ was the only remedy for their breach of contract. For this reason did Paul, a Hebrew who found life in the Lord, said, **“Christ hath redeemed us from the curse of the law, being made a curse for you...”** —Galatians 3:13. He was speaking directly to Jews who desired salvation despite the sins of their fathers, men scheduled for death according to the covenant. He was giving them another remedy, Jesus/Christ. Only the *Mustard Seed Advent* can provide safety, life, and salvation for any Jew today who desires to escape the curses of the law pronounced upon that nation by Moses; this small movement is the only way back home for both Judah and Israel as well as the gentile world. For did not Christ say, **“He that rejecteth me, and receiveth not my words, hath ONE that judgeth him: the word that I have spoken, the same shall judge him in the last day.”**? —John 12: 48.

Such was merely a parenthetical thought. Now we must revert back to the lesson derived from *John 16* cited above. Thankfully, by carefully examining the Lord's testimony, we can now identify Him, the Father, and Jesus. We can also discern His name, “Christ”, and that of God, “Father”. Furthermore, today, with our heightened education, we can pray to God in the very name of Christ, with the expectation of the full “receipt” of our educated petitions, **“that (our) joy may be full”**. This was the promise extended to the “last day” disciples. Additionally, having recognized the Father, the One Power, Who sent to us Christ, we can expect Him to anxiously answer our prayers offered to Him in the tongues of our birth. Thus, having learned to choose the good and refuse the evil and having complied with the commission to **“leave the principles of the doctrine of Christ”** and advance unto perfection, the question which begs an answer is as follows: who among the adults (over 20) today, regardless of tongue, is in need of an earthly father? —certainly not the disciples indeed! All can now fully honor God as they respectfully embrace their parents and thank them for helping to deliver them to the throne of the Highest. Having mustard seed faith, they can do so with the full assurance that the Father will take the reigns of their lives and give them full joy and happiness as they escape death and take

## *The Mustard Seed Advent*

---

their “passage” into eternity without care or heavy burdens from henceforth and forever. Unfortunately, such a tactic of honor to God by calling Him and Him alone, “Father”, will prove to the ostentatious, the pompous, the pretentious-display-loving hypocrites among us to be a system of worship which is too tame, too quiet, and too serene. It will not quench their all controlling desire for flaunting spectacular pageantry, their yearning to display and broadcast a halo of scholarship and piety as they openly exhibit their alms before the world. Such a serene form of honor will cause many to feel severely frustrated in their zeal to exalt themselves to the level of human angels in the eyes of their peers disallowing them to win the approval of men. Such people who insist upon display over wisdom will continue to be boastful and indiscrete in their prayers until the day when they hear the Lord’s rejection. Then, because they have violated the Lord’s testimony, they will see that God has rejected them as His children and they are resultantly free to pretentiously persist in their peculiar and unprincipled piety as they pitifully pass down the precipitous path to perdition.

If it is true that the theme of God as a Father and Christ as His Son is completely unique to the NT experience, then not only must we not look to any Jewish scholars, men who do not validate the teachings of Christ, to explain to us His name, but neither can we trust them, with minds locked only in the OT, to understand that the Father could also beget a Son, Jesus. Such difficult educational assignments, speaks well to the “cross” borne today by the Mustard-Seed Kingdom, the need to reveal that Jesus did not pre-exist but was begotten and that Christ, as the Dove, abode upon Him and spoke through Him during His ministry. All *Mustard Seed Adventist* more fully understand how challenging it must have been for Christ to teach of the Father and the Son to men controlled by pride, bias, and ignorance. Just as it is the utmost challenge for the MSC to breach tradition and to open up the Bible in order to reveal the nature of Christ and Jesus today, it was equally challenging for Christ to declare and reveal the Father, to declare His name. For this reason did Christ end His ministry by saying in prayer to the Father, moments before Calvary, **“I have declared unto them thy name...”** (John 17: 26). The OT is vital to understanding laws and love; promises and prophecies; mercy, justice and salvation; and also history. These themes consistently continue in the NT as well. For these reasons the MCS gives the entire Bible an important place in Gospel ministry. But the Lord’s testimony was sent to reveal the Father. To refer to the OT to understand Him would be as futile as referring back to grade school notes in order to learn Calculus. If you have not succeeded in grade-school math, then you will not understand Calculus; however, the lower grades do prepare the student for the higher education, the reverse is not true. With this understanding, it should be evident that the theme of progressive truth over church history completely destroys the notion of historical scholarship to discern God. Instead, the medium of faith becomes the only way to receive new doctrines from heaven and to receive clarity in comprehension of God’s Deity and His name. The Hebrews in Moses’ day rejected God because they lacked faith, their fathers did the same during the Testimony of Jesus, and Davidia today is guilty of the same sin. Thus Jewish scholars, men who reject Christianity, cannot guide us in our prayers nor worship; they can not identify to us the Father nor the Son. They too must look to the *Testimony of Jesus* to discern the Father, and to David today in order discern Christ. This graduated approach to advancing the church in truth was always heaven’s consistent pattern, for even Christ’s greatest Bible heroes, men considered to be His friends, were kept uninformed about His full identity:

**“And God spake unto Moses, and said unto him, I am the Lord: And I appeared unto Abraham, unto Isaac, and unto Jacob, by the name of God Almighty, but by my name Jehovah was I not known unto them. And I have also established my covenant with them, to give them the land of Canaan, the Land of their pilgrimage, wherein they were strangers.”** —  
Ex 6:2-4

Clearly then, the name Jehovah refers to Christ, not God, the Father. Yet, according to the text, new light about Christ was delivered to Moses: he was told that His fullness was not revealed to yesterday’s champions of truth, Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob. Therefore, like the teachings of

## The Mustard Seed Advent

---

Jesus and the Mustard Seed, Moses advanced light was likewise in apparent disharmony with yesterday's prophets who had only partial knowledge from the Word. But regardless of whom you speak, Christ or the Father, none can be certain that Jehovah or Yahweh is the correct spelling or pronunciation:

*“However, the most ancient and hallowed Hebrew name for God was not only never commonly pronounced, but was even so-spelled, in an abbreviated form, that it could not be pronounced; so much so that the original pronunciation is not known. All we know for sure is the Consonant Form, Yhwh, Yvh, or Yhv. This abbreviated form of the name made it hard for the translators to spell out a pronounceable word. They, therefore, chose to supply what they thought were the missing vowels. The first syllabic term upon which there was general agreement was Jah. Other derivatives were supplied by different translators. Yahweh, Yahowah, or Yahovah were formulated to suit certain languages. The anglicized form evolved as Jehovah. Therefore, any improvised letters that go to make up the ineffable Name may not actually be the Hebrew word after all! (See Funk and Wagnall's Standard Dictionary, definition "Jehovah.")” —VTH, Tract 11, p. 10 & 11*

Apparently, if the *Testimony of Jesus* has any value —after all, it is the message delivered from heaven, directly from Father to save us and preserve us from the judgment (See John 12, Rev 12, etc)— then we are to call God Almighty by the name of Father. Remember, we are even told to pray accordingly. We are to say, **“our Father who art in heaven hallowed be thy name...”** --- Matt 6:9. The faithful pronouncement of this command expresses our belief in Jesus' testimony. It shows that we recognize that the Father is not on earth; He operates from Heaven; He is still in Heaven; and His Son, not He Himself, has been sent to this literally “God-forsaken planet” to represent Him and to lead us back to His embrace. But even more importantly, this command tells us exactly how to address God respectfully. Instead of saying Jehovah or Yashua, we must call Him Father. We have not been commanded at anytime yesterday by Christ or even today in this age of advanced light to address Him in any other way. Therefore, all must discern: should we confidently comply with the testimony of Jesus, or should we faithlessly seek out modern, so-called scholars to clarify that which the Lord has already commanded and made clear? Who has manifested more skill in winning the Father's support in performing great miracles, who has been given power over the tempest and the storms, over sickness and death, over men and devils, Christ or the modern, pretentious, new-aged, sacred-name technicians? The answer to this question will show all who knows best on how to address God. Clarifying this issue was the age-old passion of Christ; for He said, in the days of the Old Testament, **“...I desired mercy, and not sacrifice; and the knowledge of God more than burnt offerings”** —Hos 6:6. Evidently, this passion of Christ stems from the fact that there is no other way to save man and give him life everlasting but to slowly graduate him to full maturity. Thus, after revealing the Father to His Church, Christ next petitioned the Father to bless His work by giving glory to His, the Father's name. Immediately afterwards a voice from heaven was heard saying, **“I have both glorified it, and will glorify it again”** —John 12:28. Since the first glory came from the lips of Jesus during His testimony, and since Christ promised to raise again that same testimony in the last day, then we must understand by the revelations of this paper, a document pointing the church back to the Lord's 3 ½-year testimony, that, this is the second glory ---and how fitting and appropriate it is, for it to come to us on *FATHER'S DAY*.

Briefly revisiting the Lord's statement found in Mark 14: 36, Christ said, **“Abba, Father, all things are possible unto thee.”** If all things are possible unto Him, then this statement shows that Christ recognized the Father, not Himself, to be Almighty. In spite of this, He presented Himself to Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob as ---not Jehovah, but as--- God Almighty? Evidently, just as Paul professed, Christ, **“Who being in the form of God, thought it not robbery to be equal with God.”** —Phil 2:6. But unbeknownst to His closest patriarchs, Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob and according to His statement in *Exodus six* to Moses, also quoted above, it was Christ who referred to Himself as Jehovah. Consequently, if Modern English, a language which only came into existence in the 1500s AD, were spoken at Pentecost, then God would have honored

## *The Mustard Seed Advent*

---

those devotional men who called upon His name by using the terms, “Father” and “God”, even though such terms were misused by their fellow, native-tongued country men in a corrupt way. Our neighbors often misuse many things, they take potatoes and create liquor; they take wood and carve idols; they take water and use it to torture people; they take men and make slaves; they take women and make prostitutes; they take the sun and pray to it; they commit all types of abusive behavior. Thus did God, the Perfect Father, send Christ into the world: He desires to educate humanity in proper behavior. Yet, the abusive treatment of the potatoes, wood, water, men, women, and of the sun, does not make those things evil. Neither does the abuse and misuse of their language make it evil either. Having established that point, we should now discern

### *The Name of the Son.*

**(A) Jehovah or Yahweh**~~~~~It has been falsely argued, as seen from the above angry E-mail to the MSC, that the name Christ, because it is rooted in the Greek tongue, a non-Hebrew language, cannot bring honor when used to call on the Lord. This extra-biblical analysis is very superficial: it does not even consider that if Christ could honor Shadrach, Meshach and Abednego while they assumed those non-Hebrew, Babylonian names, that possibly, their opinions about the value of foreign languages may be in disharmony with those of Father. They fail to understand that God exposed His church to gentile rulership like the Greco-Roman empires, not just to punish them, but to make them grow as a people. God always finds the positive side to every negative: for Him, all stumbling blocks are stepping stones. A perfect illustration comes by considering the sadness of the disciples at the Crucifixion versus the gladness that the *disciples indeed* feel today because of Calvary: we glory today in what was considered yesterday as a curse. Why then should we presume that similar blessings could not come forth by Christ leading His church into pagan subjugation. Even Christ, in His closing prayer to the Father, echoed this theme: in reference to His disciples, He said, **“I pray not that thou shouldest take them out of the world, but that thou shouldest keep them from the evil”**—John 17: 14. Therefore, only the highest of erudite snobs would argue that the Greeks and their language could not contribute to the Lord’s advancing plan of Salvation. The NT shows 26 references to the Greeks from Jesus’ ministry to that of Paul’s. Only an individual who is prejudiced against the NT contribution to salvation, would seek to discount the Lord’s references to them in His word. Such individuals who have taken upon the burden to be sensitive for God, beyond the expressions of His law, ought to first seek to master the specific commands given during Jesus’ ministry; then having done so and after passing from death to life (*John five*), then can they venture forward to seek new rules, regulations, prohibitions, and laws. Yet, they persist to walk in the condemned demeanor of the Pharisees, arrogantly ascribing to God their personality and their quirks; they presume that He hates the things which they themselves disdain. They are covered in self righteousness. For example, just because the rulers in the church yesterday hated the Samaritans, this fact should not have implied—as the church quickly discovered—that God harbored the same sentiments. If the “Good Samaritan” could be cited by Christ to illustrate salvation for the Christian world—see *Luke 10*—then why should any take the ancient Jewish posture concerning the Samaritans, yesterday and perhaps even today’s Palestinians, and hate them and their language? The sum of the matter is simple: the *sacred name* crowd amongst us has one very glaring downfall: because they have failed to heed Paul’s counsel to consume strong meat and to leave the principle doctrines of Christ, that they consequently suffer from the results of such stunted growth: they cannot choose the good and refuse the evil. They do not know what or whom to value as good and what to disdain as abhorrent. Thankfully, the Lord has sent to Davidia one who leads them in the paths of righteousness for Christ’s name sake (Ps 23).

All concerned, including the Father, Christ, and the Comforter, being wise and having forged the plan of salvation before the beginning of the world, understood that we could not have clarity pertaining to their names until the day of greater maturity, a time when we would grow to

## *The Mustard Seed Advent*

---

distinguish each person from the other. This is not dissimilar to an adoptive child who must learn, in careful stages of revelation, the truth of his parentage and his roots. Thus, throughout the Bible, until today, it was necessary for us to use a title shared by all three, “the Lord”. Otherwise, we would have the confusion which prevails today whereby people think that Jesus is Christ, Christ is the Father, the Comforter is the Father, and the Father is a combination of all the other Three. With such ignorance, what good would it do to clarify any of their names before clarifying their independent identities? Such would suggest that God is the author of confusion. You would be reduced to vain folly, calling on the Father while using Christ’s name. Instead of harmony chaos would prevail. If unity is to ever occur amongst us, we must grow beyond this ignorance. For Christ prayed that we should be united under the Father’s name and none other. He said, “...**Holy Father, keep through thine own name those whom thou hast given me, that they may be one, as we are**” —John 17:11. All Christians, the men whom God has led to Christ, are to be “KEPT” or preserved by their embrace God’s name, Father. Thus, even if such a name, Yahweh, is represented correctly in the English, your first burden is not to pronounce it, it is to attach it to the correct person. So long as Israel in the OT abode within the confines of the covenant, the Lord respected Israel’s prayers and his devotion. In fact, instead of specifying one singular name, Christ gave them several different names in which they could address Him such as Jah (Ps 68:4); Jehovah; I AM THAT I AM (Ex 3:4); *The God of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob* (Ex 3:14); Melchizedek (Gen 14:18, Ps 110:4), Michael, *the Messiah the Prince* (Dan 9:25), *the Prince of the Host* (Dan 8:10), The Lord: *the Lord of Host* (Isa 54:5), the Redeemer, *the Holy one of Israel*, *The God of the whole earth* (shall He be called [Isa 54:5]) , etc. We humans may not understand people who use multiple names; even though, when care and thought is taken, we will discover that we too answer to many different names. Never-the-less, if such appears to be abnormal for us, we must be wise and not impose our standards of righteousness upon God. Christ is not limited to just one name, and the proper name which He desires for us to identify Him with was always intended to be revealed from Scripture in the “last day”. The name Christ is to be declared when His separate identity from Jesus, the Comforter, and the Father is revealed by His elected servant. This special covenant from Christ demanded that,

**(B) David Will reveal His Name.** ~~~~~Thus did ancient David prophesy in a psalm [Webster: a sacred poem used in worship] of the day when, as a *Rod* bearing servant, he, having his head fully anointed with heaven’s truth, “oil”, or the day of his commission and anointing when he would be commanded to take up his cross (Matt 16:24) and fear no evil. Knowing the covenant of God with ancient David, can any Davidian deny this famous psalm to be a prophecy of David’s last-day son, the man whom the Lord was to lead “**in the paths of righteousness for (Christ’s [see Matt 22:43]) name sake**”? —see Psalms 23. This being true, it will become evident that He, the Lord, desired that His name should be revealed to be Christ, the same name thought to be expressed to Judah during their age of Greek dominion. This is the very reason for raising up David’s son. It is His mission —not that of OT scholarship—to reveal the identity and then the proper name of the Lord. Thus, just as assuredly as Christ did come to shock the church with revelations of the Father, just so will the wise look to David and to none other to reveal from Scripture the name and complete identity of the Eternal Son.

- “**I have made a covenant with my chosen, I have sworn unto David my servant, thy seed will I establish forever, and build up thy throne to all generations...and in my name shall his horn be exalted.**” —Ps 89
- “**Because he hath set his love upon me, therefore will I deliver him: I will set him on high because he hath known my name He shall call upon me, and I will answer him: I will be with him in trouble; I will deliver him, and honour him.** .” —Ps 91: 14.
- “**I have raised up one from the north, and he shall come: from the rising of the sun shall he call upon my name...**” —Isa 41: 25
- “**The Lord’s voice crieth unto the city, and the man of wisdom shall see thy name**” —Micah 6:9

## The Mustard Seed Advent

---

- “Also the Lord telleth thee that he will make thee an house. And when thy days be fulfilled, and thou shalt sleep with thy fathers, I will set up thy seed after thee, which shall proceed out of thy bowels, and I will establish his Kingdom. He shall build an house for my name, and I will stablish the throne of his kingdom forever.” —2 Sam 7: 11-13

We see here that Christ was to jealously guard the revelation of His proper identity, until —not the time of the Exodus, nor the time of the OT prophets, not even the time of Jesus, nor the time of VTH— but the time when the eternal Kingdom, the very Kingdom that was promised to come without observation and the Kingdom described as the Mustard Seed, would be installed, the Kingdom to be given to David by the Lord. Remember as well, the Lord’s words about the order of events, the end will not come until the Gospel of the Kingdom is preached —Matt 24: 14—the very Gospel which the MSC has burdened itself to proclaim today. This wisdom adds even more understanding to VTH’s contention as to why pronouncing the Lord’s name was shunned in the OT. And since this advent has just now arrived, the *Rod-bearing Mustard Seed* presently speaks to us, then all efforts by those who insist upon proceeding forward by locking their vision into a gazed stupor only focusing backwards into the proverbial rear-view mirror, ever seeking mastery of the tongues which God has caused to cease, will themselves cease to travel with the Lord as their religious experience veers them off of the “highway of holiness” and plunges them into the deep ravine. This kingdom to be given to the son of David, this Mustard Seed, was the “rock”, the hell-invincible church upon which Christ, not Jesus, promised to build His house —see Matt 16: 17— therefore, it is your only safety. Can any doubt that such a house has yet to be erected? Today, it has begun, for today has the announcement been circulated prescribing how men can receive life, everlasting, life without death, the ultimate victory over hell. They will do so, only by calling to the Father in the name of Christ.

Therefore, it should be no mystery any longer that the same Son who 2000 years ago descended from heaven to reveal the Father’s name would, providentially unfold His very own name during that same testimony and then would hide such a truth until He raises it up again in the last day, the day when David can build a house in honor of Him, the day of Kingdom glory. This was David’s very own commission. Such a man, one ordained to receive this gem of a gift, being ever so meek, yet, being ever so obedient to the Father’s expressed, specially-delivered, three-and-one-half year articulated commands, must announce himself and boldly claim his identity, his own name. For, Christ commanded all disciples including David to never hide their light under a bushel, and this, being a command as validated as if thundered from Sinai, must be obeyed for life everlasting —see Matt 5: 15, 16. Thus, the so called *Testimony of Jesus* is even more personally applicable in this discussion, for Christ, speaking through Jesus manifested to Himself His own name, “Christ”, and He did so profusely. Yet, this personal name has been hidden all these years —what a miracle! But why should we be surprised? Was not this a key reason why He, Christ, promised to hide Himself on the Prophet, Jesus? Was He not trying to conceal His identity? A more important question for this letter must be, should we embrace to ourselves His mind, His thinking, and believe His testimony, or should we elevate the scholars, men still strapped to the OT umbilical cords as higher ranking lights than Christ. If Christ applied that very name to Himself, then ought we not have the Spirit of Christ, the desires and opinions which He cherished, within us. “...*now if any man have not the Spirit of Christ, he is none of his*” —Rom 8: 9. “*Let this mind be in you, which was also in Christ Jesus.*” —Phil 2:5. Or should we argue with His word, insisting that He could not discern between the words

**(C) Messiah or Christ?** ~~~~~Of course it can be conceded that the OT defines the Lord to be the Messiah; Daniel 9:25 makes this point clearly. But, according to Christ, again during His personal, 3 ½-year testimony, none can be considered true Christians unless they believe on His work in the way which Moses defined it in *Deut 18* —see John 5:45-47 And *Deut 18* shows two, TWO!, **TWO!!**, **TWO!!!**, **TWO**<sup>10</sup>, people who make up the consignment of the Messiah. Accordingly, we can only validate our Christianity by discerning the duality behind it: the Gift of

## The Mustard Seed Advent

---

God (Jesus) and the hidden presence of Christ who, according to Moses, would command Jesus what to say. And Jesus' testimony along with Moses clearly shows that our salvation hinges upon His blood and our belief on the name of Christ, the hidden spirit within Jesus. Moses quoted the Lord to say, "**whose-so-ever will not hearken unto my words which He shall speak in my name, I will require it of Him**" —Deut 18:19. (Even Moses shows that His name is Christ because it is the name which Jesus used during His ministry) Jesus said, at the command of Christ, in several places, "**Verily, verily, I say unto you, if a man keep my sayings he shall never see death**" — John 8:51. In harmony with Moses, life comes by keeping His testimony, the words that He says. Therefore, the title Messiah clearly refers to the testimony of Jesus delivered to humanity by the unison between both Jesus and Christ, the two leprosy healing Doves of *Lev 14*. Messiah, is not the sole name of either party. This point was affirmed by Jesus/Christ quite clearly in His discourse with the *Woman at the Well*, see *John four*. It may be a more challenging argument if both terms, Christ and Messiah, were not used or pronounced simultaneously and together in the same sentence. Then maybe the devil could finesse the issue and say that the title Christ was inserted by translators to substitute for the term Messiah. However, the Lord, the Master Communicator, has defeated the devil and his lies on this score as well, for both words were used in the very same context. Therefore, by calling the deployment of the term "Christ" to be a sin inspired by Satan, as done in the Brunken E-mail above, when the Lord Himself had many chances to issue such a reprimand but instead chose to use that Greek-derived word, Christ, as His name, is tantamount to calling Christ a tool of the devil, the very charge which Jewish scholars made yesterday. Please carefully examine the following statement given to Christ by the Father while He, the Father, was in heaven, and commanded to Jesus by Christ while they were both here on earth: "**While the Pharisees were gathered together, Jesus asked them, saying, what think ye of Christ, whose son is he? They say unto him, the son of David. He saith unto them, How then doth David in spirit call him Lord...**" —Matt 22: 41-43. This is a special text because it shows that even the Jewish scholars of yesterday, the Pharisees, men ever anxious to indict the Lord on any charge of sin, instead, acknowledged the approved use, in their "sacred" (Aramaic) vocabulary of the name, Christ. And, perhaps it should be added that, even according to the scholars, that name, exactly as pronounced, has remained virtually unchanged for the past 2200 years. Consider the following E-mail directly from the pen of the *Sacred Name Four*:

*There seems to be a misunderstanding here on the part of one or more. "Christ" certainly is not a name. This is simply seen, if one traces the meaning of "Christ" back through the original languages. "Christ" or Cristos (in Greek) is the same as "Messiah" (English) or "Moshiach" (Hebrew), which is the same as "anointed one."* —Email from Jeannie, 11-Apr-07, 7:04PM

The term "Cristos" is not the same as Messiah; it does mean "anointed one" —see Lexicon Universal Encyclopedia, Vol 4, p. 411, Col 1. But remember that Jesus received His anointing from heaven when the Dove, Christ, rested upon Him. Yet, that same Dove, as evidenced below shall prove, was the "Holy One of God". Therefore, He had to be anointed as such "before the world" making the name, Christ, assuming that such a name means Anointed One, the perfect appellation for Him. Does not this point to the very mission of David, the Mustard Seed, to show Christ's identity, to reveal that He was anointed by Father, in history past, before the creation of the world, to be His Eternal Son, His second in command just as Christ, spoken through the lips of Jesus, revealed in several places but especially *John five*? Thus the term Christ, is indeed the perfect name to accurately describe His full stature, the One chosen and Sent by Father, to be elevated to God's glory, and honored by all, just as Father is honored. "**For the Father loveth the Son, and sheweth him all things...for the father judgeth no man, but hath committed all judgment unto the Son. That all men should honour the Son, even as they honour the Father. He that honoureth not the Son honoureth not the Father which hath sent him (anointed Him)**" —John 5: 20-23. Are we not also called Christians because we, the disciples indeed, were anointed by Christ, or sent by Him?, first as messengers of Jesus then, in

## *The Mustard Seed Advent*

---

the last day, as messengers of Christ: **“then said Jesus to them again, peace be unto you, as my Father hath sent me, even so send I you”** —John 20:21.

Yet, according to the above E-mail reference, evidently, even the research of the detractors of the name Christ forces them to admit that it, the name Christ, unlike the ancient dead sounds of Hebrew language, has been kept and preserved in tact since the Greco-Roman days of dominion some 2200 years ago. The MSC’s research into history affirms their finding. Their argument is not that the name has changed; it is not even that the name is the result of translation. They correctly acknowledge that the sound Christ was the same phonic sound used in the NT as Jesus and others spoke it. Thus their argument is a simple and unbiblical one ---albeit logical in terms of immature “milkological” thinking, that—the name Christ is contaminated by virtue of its origin. They presume that the name phonetically originated with the Greek language and not in heaven beforehand and unfolded during the Greek age, by the Father’s provisions. Therefore, we have irrefutable evidence to expose the exponentially heightened arrogance of such Jewish scholars today: After reinventing from history’s trash heap the Hebrew language 150 years ago, they profess to know more about righteousness and non-paganistic words and their use in the circles of religion and theology than did the original persecutors of Christ, the Pharisees. For the Pharisees did not condemn the Lord for His use of that term; instead, even though the term may have been inherited from the Greeks, they ascribed it to be the correct name for the promised son of David, their long-awaited hero. Also, since Christ used that same term in reference to Himself and His first-century disciples, also continued to label themselves accordingly as Christians, men anointed and sent by Christ, then how dare any critique the use of that term today? Remember, the “devout Jews” embraced that name to themselves. They became converted and soon called themselves, not Messianic Jews nor Jesuits, but Christians. Thus, since the Lord and His disciples embraced that name, Christ, can there be any greater validation of a word? Or, are the *Holy Name Four* and their mentors keener to discern sin than was Christ Himself?

Consider another point, when Jesus spoke to the Samaritan at the well, she said, **“I know that Messiah cometh, which is called Christ: when he is come, he will tell us all things.”** —John 4:25. Even that unsophisticated woman knew both terms, Messiah and Christ and used them intelligently. She used them in the same sentence. In addition, she must have known that Christ was a label that was to be placed upon the Messiah; thus, did she say, He is called Christ. With this statement she acknowledges that the church has ascribed to the Lord their very own nickname, a name that came from their experience with the Greeks, evidently. Now, please carefully examine the Lord’s reply. He, being One who had already proven a willingness to choose the good and refuse the evil regarding this Samaritan woman; He showed no shyness to privately denounce her sin and the idolatry of her people (see John 4: 18, 22); yet, the Lord did not condemn her for her vocabulary. He did not rebuke her as “Satanic” for using pagan words. This could only be due to the fact that such words, though they may offend the scholars, the pretenders to scholarship, and even the devil, did not offend Him. Instead, the Lord manifested His approval of her scholarship, and, rather than telling her, “My dear child, do not use such profanity in your speech, do not borrow such words from the ‘Greeks’ ”; instead, He simply said, **“I that speak unto thee am he.”** —John 4:26. The Dove, the invisible power who clandestinely hid and abode upon Jesus, the One who commanded Jesus what to say and speak, appropriated the name of Christ —not to Jesus, the One Who stood before her, but— to Himself, the Power which commanded Jesus what to say, the One sent to give Jesus his testimony; His name is Christ. Therefore, if the name Christ came to us from the Greeks, then, instead of arrogantly protesting because of their unclean hands, we should thank God for using them to reveal to us His Son more fully, for making that stumblingblock of captivity or subjugation, a stepping stone of righteousness helping to clean our hearts.

In fact, we have testimony even from the devils. Beings who existed ages before the creation of man. If any knew the Lord’s identity, being able to see through the Body, Jesus, upon Whom

## *The Mustard Seed Advent*

---

He abode, it would be other spirits such as the devils. They desired to ruin the plan of salvation and prematurely expose Christ's hidden presence —nullifying David's, last-day commission. They attempted to do so even though all angels, fallen or unfallen, were prohibited from preaching another gospel —see Gal 1:8. As we view this episode, we must have full confidence that, even though the devils are deceivers, we can trust Christ to faithfully rebuke them; after all, did He not begin His ministry by casting them out of people. In the synagogue the devils angrily expressed their outrage saying that there was a power hidden upon Jesus who successfully resisted them, they said, **“Let us alone; what have we to do with thee, thou Jesus of Nazareth? Art thou come to destroy us? I know thee who thou art; the Holy one of God...and devils also came out of many, crying out, and saying, thou art Christ the Son of God. And he rebuking them suffered them not to speak: for they knew that he was Christ”** —Luke 4: 34, 41. Notice here that the term used is not Christ accompanied by the demonstrative pronoun, “the”. This would indicate a title and not a name. Thus did they call Him “the Holy one of God”. In so speaking, they showed their recognition of Christ's anointing, He was picked by God above all other creatures to be His “Holy One”. The adjective “One” presupposes no other person proving Christ to be the highest. But why did Christ rebuke them? The agreement from Sinai was that He would hide His presence from His people and speak through the Prophet. The devils tried to ruin that assignment. Thus, He rebuked them for their attempts to expose Him as Christ, not as Jesus. Showing the power of His command, after this rebuke, the devils got the message and dutifully obeyed; for from that time forward, they referred to the Lord as Jesus —see Luke 8: 28. Even so, their testimony still stands: Just as the Lord truly was “the Holy One of God” as the demons professed, His name was also Christ. Therefore, the name Christ could not have been originated by the Greeks but merely revealed through them. Thus did the fallen angels say —not that He was **THE** Christ, but—that He was Christ. It is like pointing to a man and saying that he is Bill or John or Sam. The use of such appellations manifest that you personally know their name. The conclusion is inevitable, the name Christ, even though not revealed until the emergence of the Greek empire, was the name which was eternally ascribed to the Lord even before the great controversy, for this would be the time when the fallen angels, the devils, would have recognized Christ as the Holy One of God and as Christ. Simply stated, Christ is the name which God has hidden in His word so that the Mustard Seed, the Son of David, could announce in due season, the last day, to show His anointing, to prove his own anointing as David, and to fully reveal the Father.

Finally, we have even more direct testimony from the Lord affirming that His name is Christ. Speaking of the deceivers to come in the Christian era, the Lord warned His disciples and said, **“for many shall come in my name, saying, I am Christ; and shall deceive many.”** —Matt 24:5 How can any ignore the clarity of this statement. Unless we certify the sinners, the insolent souls who insanely assumes a similar assignment as sorcerous satanic snakes of sophistry, and unless we circumvent the sacred psalms of salvation, then all must otherwise accede that this statement is solid, supreme, and self asserting. His name is Christ. Can there be testimony which is more affirmative to the argument? He promises that men shall come ---not in their own names, not in the Father's name, but--- but in His name. And what will be their profession by assuming that name? —they will say, I am Christ. If any challenge this point, then they must immediately and openly broadcast their devilish orientation by admitting that they do not believe the words of Christ, they are Luciferian Christians, the Christians before the great controversy who exalted the words of Lucifer over those of Christ, men who are jealous of Christ lofty anointing as “the Holy One of God” and who have ever since, resisted and twisted His words to meet their ideas. For the Bible, the direct testimony of Christ, cannot state the matter more clearly. If you do not believe this statement, then how do you expect salvation? The plan of salvation, not Hebrew, is the language of heaven. It is what Father, Christ, Jesus, the comforter all desire to communicate to humanity now through David. If it is rejected, then upon what promise do you build your faith? There are no other more clearly stated doctrines. If you cannot believe this testimony,

## *The Mustard Seed Advent*

---

then how dare you exalt any other? How dare you believe the Kingdom, the second coming, the love of God, etc. Each of those promises were statements by Christ as well. How is it that you believe them but not Matt 24:5, the text whereby the Lord reveals His name? How dare you believe EGW, or VTH or MJB, the *Branch*, the *Sacred Name four*, or the latest, Bashan porter over the “Bread sent down from Heaven”? Can the words of any of those men exceed the words of Christ? Christ’s words were directly dictated to Him by the Father; thus, your disbelief extends to Him as well. Therefore, the irrefutable and overwhelming Bible evidence so far presented demands that all Davidians today, Father’s day, begin to immediately believe the Lord’s very own testimony and accept the name of ---not Yahweh, nor Jehovah, but--- Christ into their vernacular, and use it in your petitions to His and to your Father. For today, the truth has been unfolded in the clearest of light. All counter arguments have been defeated. Thus, with absolutely no excuse remaining, none can be so audacious as to assume that they can call upon Father to save them, to heal them, to preserve and protect them, while they diminish His Eternal Son by addressing Him with a name other than Christ. He demands that all honor Christ with the same respect that they honor Him ---see John Five--- and we can only do so today by accepting and respecting the name, Christ, which does that which Satan hates, validates Christ’s heavenly anointing from Father to be worshipped, an honor that has been specified by His ministry and firmly validated by this document. By accepting this exegesis, you are able to dodge deception and gain salvation by

*Avoiding the Snare of Spiritualism.* ~~~~~Instead of the Bible, the MSC critic above, from her quote, suggest that VTH would disfellowship all MSC believers for their Bible-based teachings about Christ. Yet she presumed, even in view of his statement above, that VTH would approve of her attempts to master the dead language of Hebrew. In final analysis, how does she and her husband know such a thing? —it could only be through the glaringly idolatrous practice of channeling the spirits of the dead. This is tantamount to salvation by séance, by conjuring up the spirit of deceased prophets. Was not this the sin of ancient king Saul?—the antithesis of the Davidic movement? Will you too sit at the feet of the *Witch of Endor* to receive your meat and like Saul and His troops, feasted at the table of her Halloween harvest rites? This comparison is no exaggeration. For, how can any actually determine what VTH would say or do except by the deployment of spiritualism? And if one could discern his reaction to doctrines, then, in a nut shell, you have deciphered his spirit, his temperament, his opinions, his mannerisms. Even more importantly, if Christ needed VTH or EGW to filter doctrines for His Church, then, being the Master of life, why did He lay them to rest? Evidently, He did so, because in His opinion, they had finished that portion of His work and His church no longer needed to rely upon them to taste test doctrine for them.

Rather than attempting to conjure the spirit of VTH, why not heed the counsel from the Bible. Paul says, “*But ye are not in the flesh, but in the Spirit if so be that the Spirit of God dwell in you. Now if any man have not the Spirit of Christ, he is none of his.*” —Romans 8:9. The Spirit of God can be none other than the Comforter, the so called third person of the Godhead. He is sent to us by the Father, God —See John 14: 26. But for salvation, you must have the Spirit of Christ, His demeanor, His way of thinking, His temperament, not the spirit of VTH. For again, “*if any man have not the Spirit of Christ, he is none of his.*” So rather than spiritualism as an approach to the *Three Angel’s Message*, rather than conjuring, by séance, the spirit of VTH, why not secure the thing which VTH Himself pronounced will be the only salvation for Davidia from the icy frozen avalanche: why not open up the curtains and turn on the lights; cast out the mystical diviner; break the chain of connected hand; and open the Bible; put your “*faith in the Word of God.*” —see 1TG, 47, p. 14. Then you can determine the Spirit of Christ. His spirit is to fully submit ones will to the Fathers, and this is the mind and the spirit which He requires that we have for all the words of His testimony were directly dictated to Him by the Father. Thus did He teach us to pray, “Our Father which art in Heaven, thy will be done...” —see also, John 8: 28, John 5:

## *The Mustard Seed Advent*

---

19-21, John 12: 49, 50, etc. This is exactly what Paul discerned when he said, “**Let this mind be in you, which was also in Christ Jesus...**” —Phil 2: 5 Below is a brief summary:

**Other points to Consider,** ~~~~~This is a vast topic and not all points can be carefully described. Therefore, please review the below list which covers some of the themes already given and some which were omitted::

1. *The Apostles, by impress of Holy Spirit, prophesied in multiple tongues at Pentecost. The Bible professes that they spoke in the tongues of “every nation under heaven” Clearly, each tongue represented a language which was non-Hebrew and used by idolaters.*
2. *The Gentiles misused the titles for God and were deceived by familiar spirits to mislabel and incorrectly identify beings as god. Therefore, in their ignorance, when petitioning the Supreme Being of the Universe, they attributed a true name, “Supreme Being” to a false object and not a false name to a false object. Why therefore should not the name remain and be replaced by the True Focus of worship as was done at Pentecost?*
3. *Studies of history, archeology, and language were not focused or designed to answer these questions nor the points to follow. Such weighty matters as how to address God cannot cross the barrier of bias without faith.*
4. *Moses handed to Israel the covenant by oral and written communication; he obviously used language. Thus, we can follow his example to discern how the Lord graciously communicates today. Moses gave Israel the covenant in their natural tongue, ancient Egyptian, the only language that a people emerging from more than 200 years of Egyptian slavery could understand. Yet, not only was Egypt clearly a pagan culture, it is used as a symbol for sin.*
5. *According to Deut 30, Moses swore before God and man, before Heaven and earth, that the Egyptian-communicated covenant was fair and just. He pronounced it so because it was given to Israel in a language which they understood; it was in “their mouth and in their heart”. Why should not the same principle apply to the KJV? Why cannot the English speaking world expect doctrine from God in a language which is in their heart? Is God judging the gentiles by a higher standard than the seed of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob? Or has He become unfair today? If giving them the Word in a different tongue, one that was unnatural and not “in their heart” would render that covenant document unfair as Moses declares in Deut 30, then would not the same principle apply to humanity today?*
6. *God promised to judge man in the “last day”, not the last days ,but today, the very last day, by the words He delivered to His church during His 3 ½ years of testimony. This is shown clearly in John 12: 47-50; John 6: 39-56, Rev 12: 16 & 17, etc. Thus, this judgment is the final test.*
7. *Isa 28: 9-12 clearly shows that the doctrine which will judge humanity, the one that will wean them from the milk and measure them to the plummet, a device used to measure truthful stature, will be given in another tongue, a tongue which, like at Pentecost, will obviously hear the name of the Lord as it, the other tongue created by God, reveals His word.*
8. *Since God promised us this education in another tongue, then He, being All Wise and All Mighty, had already intended to engineer the language to receive His word.*
9. *The Bible proves that Christ and Jesus were two separate people. It also shows that Jesus did not pre-exist but was conceived in the womb. Therefore, Both beings were anointed: Jesus at age 30 and Christ before the world was (John 17). And since all agree that the name Christ means anointed, and even the Devil acknowledge as much, then Christ must be His name.*
10. *The fallen angels recognized Christ’s presence on Jesus and called Him by His title then by His name, Christ. His title was “Holy One of God”. Since Christ did not deny that title, it disproves Trinitarianism showing that He is not God, but the only One Whom God considers Holy like Himself. Since Trinitarianism is disproven, then such a title must be conveyed by Father proving the efficacy of the name, Christ, the Anointed.*
11. *The Lord plain tells us that His name is Christ.*
12. *The Bible, in Isa 57, clearly shows that the Lord would become wedded to a gentile power just before His judgment. This power is described as climbing out of idolatry and paganism to a high mountain, by the influence of the Lord. Then after reaching what the Bible terms “a lofty and high mountain, she, by committing adultery with Satan, is debased (taken down) back into hell. The MSC, in several studies, proves that this power was the English Empire. And although today, she is the capital in the world for witchcraft and masonry, she reached her “lofty”, mountain status in the 1600’s, the time when King James wrote the Bible.*

## *The Mustard Seed Advent*

---

- a. Therefore, If the Lord's affiliation with idolatrous and pagan people as were the English, could lift them up to a lofty status above "sorcery" setting them on high and making them the greatest world-dominating power ever known (especially if you include America, her extension, in the analysis), then clearly the Lord can be honored and worshiped by their language. Proof of this is the success of His seven churches, many engendered in England, and their promulgation of the gospel in the world.
  - b. And, since we are just now entering the judgment, the English language, like ancient Egyptian of yesterday, must be the other language which the Lord promised to finish the work and judge the world. Thus, until shown by the Bible, we can accept, as approved by Heaven, her usage of the Lord's designations and names.
- 13. Those who look backwards, the worshipers of antiquity, are committing the sin of faithlessness and presumption.*
- a. It is faithlessness because they assume the Lord did not calculate the advances of language etymology, an assumption which exonerates Lucifer in his original conspiracy in Heaven, the themes which whispered that Christ is not right, that He makes mistakes and miscalculation, that He cannot be trusted.
  - b. It is presumption because it assumes without Bible evidence that the Lord is ineffective in His ministry, He failed in His 3 ½ year testimony and now He needs the scholarship of puny, narrow-minded scientist to enhance the Gospel call and teach humanity how to worship God. And it assumes, against the warnings of VTH, that they can master the sounds, the tones, the grammar of a dead language, and this from a people who often present themselves to be woefully inept at communicating even in their natural and native tongues.
- 14. The proponents of this sinful approach to salvation, allowing researchers to tell us how to pray, places faith where it is unwarranted and does not belong, in the hands of scientist. This is exactly what VTH warned against.*

Sincerely,

Derek W. West