

The Mustard Seed Advent

Eric and the Mark-of-the-Beastian Christian

25-May-07

Dear group,

In answer to Eric's E-mail from Saturday, 8:05 PM, 19-May-07, (a portion quoted below) I have prepared the following letter. Please notice the subtle form of idolatry proffered to us by Eric, then heed to VTH's wisdom about the "parting of the ways". In 12 SC 6&7, p.18, it will free you from

The Abomination that Maketh Desolate. ~~~~~VTH admonished all Davidians in 12 SC that we must anticipate the day when we will be required to tear ourselves from all idols. Therein he further told us Davidians —not the nominal SDA brethren— that "...*we had better without delay find out what our idols are and put them away*". Much thanks to Eric for, as indicated by his comments cited below, he has given us a window into our idolatry facilitating for those of us true *Rod* believers the opportunity to avoid deception by obeying the Bible-based instructions given in the SOP as recommended in Vol. 8, p. 298. Though giving us a clearer view of our idols, it is too bad that by Eric's comments, we have the quintessential example of that very sin. As a result of his staggering walk to the Kingdom, his obviously lingering spiritual intoxication, he unwittingly attempts to reduce God from the status of your very own Father, to a more distant parent, a great-grandfather. He does so by suggesting that because EGW accepted the message of 1888, a message which proclaimed the Holy Trinity, that we should therefore violate the Lord's well-established, EGW affirmed, protocol for accepting doctrine, and replace that heavenly formula, handed to us Directly by the Father ("Commandments of God and Testimony of Jesus"), with the grand fathered concept that he, Eric recommends. Thus, according to Eric's formula for salvation, your path to the Father is convoluted and made more entangled and adventurous. Instead of giving to you the straight testimony from the lips of the Only Begotten, he proffers to you a meandering roller coaster ride, a jolting and bounding excursion from one highly esteemed human (like the "*great*" John the Baptist who is to be "*the least in the Kingdom*"---Matt 11:11) to another human eventually vaulting you right past the Bible in your trek to the Father.

Imagine for a moment the field day the devil would have if the Lord saved His church Eric's way. After seeking for more than 6000 years for a legitimate blotch to impeach the righteousness of Christ, Satan would now have his long sought sparkling gem of deception denied to him all this time. He could point to the formula of salvation proffered by Eric and his mentor, the author, LE Fromm, to completely discredit both Christ and the Father. Eric, in the below quote of his E-mail, says that we should look ---not to Christ's Testimony, nor to the Scriptures, not even to EGW, but--- to EGW's endorsement of Jones & Waggoner (J&W) to discern "who the Son is." And, what is even sadder is that, by his conclusion, J & W point us to the papal explanation of the "Godhead", the explanation which Eric desires Davidia to continue to embrace. Christ said, "**no man knoweth who the Son is but the Father; and...he to whom the Son will reveal Him.**" —Luke 10:22. So I ask all to ponder: has the charge of idolatry ever been more fitly applied to any other in all of history? To save him, all need to urge away from him this spiritual intoxicant. Eric's approach demands that you worship an unloving god, one who has less love for his children than do most human fathers. Out of love, our parents teach us what we need to know when we need to know it, and they teach us directly from their own communication. Yet, Eric argues that the formula for salvation and the keys to comprehending the Lord's nature are not contained in Jesus' 3 ½ year testimony, the very testimony which Christ

The Mustard Seed Advent

perfectly echoed from His Father —See John 12: 47-50— to us. Instead, he contends that God overlooked Christ, overlooked, EGW, and handed this highly valued and much needed prize to the papacy, the very exact and same power which Christ, in Dan 8:12 explained would prosper by casting the truth to the ground, *Dan eight*, and the power which He further condemned as abominable.

“...and it waxed great, even to the host of heaven: and it cast down some of the host and of the stars to the ground, and stamped upon them. Yea, he magnified himself even to the prince of the host and by him the daily sacrifice was taken away, and the place of his sanctuary was cast down. And a host was given him against the daily sacrifice by reason of transgression, and it cast down the truth to the ground; and it practiced, and prospered.....and shall take away the daily sacrifice, and they shall place the abomination that maketh desolate” —Dan 8:10-12, 12:31

Notice this power not only hates the truth so that it makes it dirty by casting it to the ground, but it also hates the saints. This is seen by its hostility to Christ (Jesus) the Lord of Host, and to those whom He shepherds, the “host of heaven”. It persecutes them and seeks to substitute its own righteousness for that of the Lord. Thus it loves the circuitous route to God which Eric recommends, the route which pole vaults one pass the Bible. And furthermore, it says that this power was given —not the host of Christ, but— his very own host, those who are likewise hostile to Christ and His “daily”. In forewarning of this dirty transaction, Christ gave the same warning repeated now by the MSC: instead of embracing to your bosom the teachings of this antichristian as did Laodicea after 1915, all the *disciples indeed* must flee to the mountains from all his doctrine, even the concept of the Trinity.

“When ye therefore shall see the abomination of desolation, spoken of by Daniel the prophet, stand in the holy place, then let them which be in Judea (church folks) flee into the mountains”—Matt 24: 15

Thus, before we consider Eric’s counsel or any others who promote polluted doctrines, we need to discern if they are true disciples, people who obey the Lord’s command by fleeing from the papacy or have they in this day of strong meat run to their tarnishing papa with open arms. One clue is that all true Disciples of Christ love Him by obeying His commands —see John 14:15, 21-23. They do not attempt to honor others above him by seeking to validate their traditionally-inherited, historical doctrines by extrapolating EGW’s inspired position from reading the work of J & W. Especially will they not do so when EGW resoundingly echoed the Lord’s command to establish all doctrines from the Bible. To take any other route to salvation would cause the accuser of the brethren, the arch enemy of Christ to blame, before unfallen heaven, the Lord Himself, for hells rebellion. It would also buttress Satan’s claim that Christ is not right and that he deserved to be resisted on that basis 6000 years ago in heaven. After all that Heaven has done for our salvation, in the end Satan would glorify himself before heaven and earth with one big “I told you so” ---what a nightmare! This impeachment of God would resonate in heaven as they approach the day of destruction of their fallen fellow angels causing rebellion to rise again. For all Heaven well knows that the Bible is God’s word, and the papacy was clearly identified therein as the proven enemy of Bible light. In spite of this, according to Eric’s gospel, God’s plan to save humanity was vested, not in His Son’s testimony, but in the testimony of the world’s greatest persecutor of Christians, Pagan and Papal Rome. And worse still, the enemy will further argue that the doctrine of the nature of Christ was so mishandled by God that, rather than revealing it during Christ’s 3 ½ year testimony, He chose to unfold its intricacies through one, just like Lucifer, a man who *“magnified himself even to the Prince of the host”*. And worse still, according to Eric’s judgment, the Lord did not even use EGW, a woman with impeccable credentials of inspiration, to directly affirm the Trinity doctrine; instead, He waited until the end of her ministry when J & W, prophets with no biblical credentials, men who required EGW’s endorsement, to reveal such a life saving doctrine forcing humanity to have faith in EGW’s appraisal of their testimony instead of the Bible. Thus, how could a just God judge man by His Word? The accuser of the brethren will clearly argue that if the Lord trusted Roman paganism,

The Mustard Seed Advent

Satan's servants, the men who, like him, cast the truth to the ground according to Christ in *Dan 8: & Matt 24*, then should not the same generosity be extended to the original purveyor of hostility against the Word? Is not God's endorsement of men who support the papacy above the Bible, men like J&W, a defacto endorsement of Satan over Christ? After all, was not Lucifer originally given the highest post in heaven next to Christ? If Heaven can extend such an honor to the men who persecuted Christians, then why cannot the one who initiated the persecution be likewise esteemed? This is exactly why the MSC honors men such as VTH, J & W, Wm Miller, EGW, MJB, the way that these inspired men demanded, below the Word. Like every good soldier, the MSC has learned to march in rank; it recognizes all the prophets to be ranked under Christ, and Christ under the Father.

Can any now doubt that Eric's path to salvation does not exalt Christ; it exalts the "*abomination that maketh desolate*" ---Matt 24:15. This greatly diverges from the path of the prophets as they worked to decontaminate Christianity from the blotches of papal crimson and to inoculate the church from the dirty vestiges of paganism inherited during the dark ages. Both VTH & EGW, the Lord's New Testament Prophets under the umbrella of the *Three Angel's Messages*, sought to likewise decry the fables installed in Zion by beastly hearted men whose only claim to religion was their skill to "*cast down the host and the stars of Heaven*" and to pervert the truth. VTH & EGW's mission was not to extol the virtues nor elevate the teachings of two flashes in the 1888 pan of secondary, partial prophets. If such men were intended by God to be companion prophets, then He would have established their assignment independent of EGW just as he did with VTH. For this reason, the *Rod*, by unfolding to Laodicea many validated Bible themes, advanced its own Bible-based understanding of "Christ our Righteousness". He did not rely upon light from J & W. Also, he did so in the same fashion with which EGW did: by commanding us ---not to accept his testimony verbatim, but—to validate his findings by the Bible. Thus, neither VTH nor EGW grand fathered the Lord. All saving testimony extended to you by them was directly from the Word, the mouth of the God (Matt 4:4). It is challenging enough to validate their commission and sort through their doctrines as Pauline (partial) prophets without having that heavenly assignment entangled by upholding the men whom they endorsed like Uriah Smith, Elder Jones, and Elder Waggoner. Such a task is what the MSC means by the term, grand fathering the Lord and His testimony. Furthermore, if such men were intended by heaven to be divinely honored, then let us do so by beholding their work as it has been quoted in the SOP. After so beholding, then can we follow the mandate of both VTH and EGW and prove all doctrines by the Bible. Such has been done legitimately by the MSC with Elder Loughborough, another man whom EGW recognized as inspired. The difference is that his inspired statements were directly quotes in her work and thus included in her ministry. The MSC, practicing its inspired skill of discerning between good and evil, opened EGW's written testimony in *Testimonies to the Church*, Vol 1, examined Loughborough's "prophetic" vision described therein, interpreted it and validated it from Scripture, then used that vision to publish peace to Davidia. Such was done in book 4.1. Such is the correct way to assess the inspiration of other men whom EGW extols. To do otherwise is to remove God and His word out of the picture.

Below is the great-grandfather concept of God which Eric hopes that you will embrace. Eric said,

"So many 'independent ministries' that teach the false doctrines such as Aryanism, perfectionism, are liars. Davidians accepted the 1888 message. The 1888 message not only professed the 'righteousness of Christ' but dwelt on and proclaimed the 'Holy Trinity'."

L. E. Froom in "Movement of Destiny" argued for an earlier inception of Trinitarianism, maintaining that E. J. Waggoner had become essentially Trinitarian, or at least anti-Arian, as

The Mustard Seed Advent

early as 1888. We know that sister White accepted the message of E.J. Waggoner thus she accepted the Trinity.” —E-mail from Eric, Saturday, 8:05 PM, 19-May-07

More must be said to expose the utter silliness of this logic in order to strip the honest in Davidia, saints who have unwittingly been smitten by this species of idolatry, from being sunken into the bowels of hell by this spiritual millstone about their necks. The underlying, yet central issue must be addressed: man must learn to:

Worship God in Spirit and in Truth. ~~~~~Our salvation and our faith is vested solely, entirely, completely —not in the righteousness of EGW, J & W, VTH, Jemmy Bingham, nor any of yesterday’s porters or prophets, but— in the righteousness of Christ. If the Minneapolis General Conference of 1888 did not teach this, then it was completely useless and its lesson must be consigned to the many things which EGW said that we must unlearn. Only such will lead to the unity of the faith promised by the Lord:

“It is necessary that our unity today be of a character that will bear the test of trial. . . . We have many lessons to learn, and many, many to unlearn. God and heaven alone are infallible. Those who think that they will never have to give up a cherished view, never have occasion to change an opinion, will be disappointed. As long as we hold to our own ideas and opinions with determined persistency, we cannot have the unity for which Christ prayed.” —EGW, TM 30.2, Ellipses not added, they belong to the text, see also 3A, 43.

Therefore, disunity does not come from “independent ministries” it comes from evil-hearted, Lukewarm Laodiceans who refuse to heed the Lord’s command to Davidia given in *Ezek two & three*. That command, quoted in the *Rod*, requires that we apply all the lessons including the above reference taught in the *Rod* to ourselves, that we take them to heart —see Ezek 3: 10. This requisite, coupled with the demand to learn new things and unlearn many old things, is heavens only formula to unite the saints and bring victory. In fact, the righteousness of Christ, taught by the Bible, demands that we be one with Christ, as Christ is one with the Father —see John 14: 21, 15: 4-6 Eph 8:9, Phil 2:5, etc. We, as true disciples, are all proverbial branches, not destined to draw nourishment from other branches such as EGW, J & W, VTH, etc; our invigorating sap must come from Christ, from His 3-½-year testimony. This will lead to unity:

“A union of believers with Christ will as a natural result lead to a union with one another, which bond of union is the most enduring upon earth. We are one in Christ, as Christ is one with the Father. Christians are branches, and only branches, in the living Vine. One branch is not to borrow its sustenance from another. Our life must come from the parent vine. It is only by personal union with Christ, by communion with Him daily, hourly, that we can bear the fruits of the Holy Spirit.” —EGW, Testimonies for the Church, Vol 5, p 47:3

The work of EGW, VTH and all other inspired men was not to promote their own righteousness; it was to point us to the Word. Our challenge yesterday with them is exactly the same as it is today with David, the Mustard Seed: it was then as it is now to allow the Comforter, through the leadership of His mouth piece, to point you back to the Word and to remind you of the 3 ½ year Testimony of Jesus. Your challenge is to soften your heart and heed the counsel given to you today:

“This is the danger to which the church is now exposed--that the inventions of finite men shall mark out the precise way for the Holy Spirit to come. Though they would not care to acknowledge it, some have already done this. And because the Spirit is to come, not to praise men or to build up their erroneous theories, but to reprove the world of sin, and of righteousness, and of judgment, many turn away from it. They are not willing to be deprived of the garments of their own self-righteousness. They are not willing to exchange their own righteousness, which is unrighteousness, for the righteousness of Christ, which is pure, unadulterated truth. The Holy Spirit flatters no man, neither does it work according to the devising of any man. Finite, sinful men are not to work the Holy Spirit. When it shall come as a reprover, through any human agent whom God shall choose, it is man's place to hear and obey its voice.” —EGW, *Testimony to Ministers*, p. 64.3

The Mustard Seed Advent

Do any still wonder why both EGW and VTH demand of us to establish all of our doctrines by the Bible? It is certain that some Davidians, along with many of their SDA brethren, still do. These individuals, if they are ever to be saved must decide today, if they are saved by the righteousness of the prophets, their fellow branches, or by the righteousness of Christ, the Vine?

The book of Revelation closes the Bible with one final command for the end-time disciples under the guise of a conversation with John the Revelator. Its last command is found in the verses of chapter 19 just before the Lord reveals how God will finally resolve the great controversy by destroying the wicked. It shows a servant of heaven appealing to Davidia, the last-day disciples, to cast away their idols, their worship of the prophets, and to praise God for their light by worshipping, not His angels, but God, Himself. Some may wonder, how can this be, since Christ said, *“they that worship God must worship him in spirit and in truth.”* —John 4: 24. Evidently, this angel must be the man whom Christ promised in *John six and 12* to raise up --not in the last days like VTH, but— in the very last **DAY**. The stinging message and the critical themes of this angel of light, this man, not only must include the teachings which dispel for them the doctrines that must be “unlearned” and the truths which they must embrace to meet the requisite of worship of the Father, but his message must also identify to them in greater clarity exactly who the Father is. He can only be the man referred to by the Lord in Luke 10:22 quoted in paragraph three above. In the same way that William Miller is depicted as the Apostle John, in *Rev 10*, when he is commanded to eat the book, so too is Davidia symbolized as John. And a messenger, a fellow servant, comes to them at the close of sinful human history. Remember John was the youngest and most favored of all the disciples; likewise, is Davidia the youngest of Christian ministries, and they are evidently the most favored since they are the people also commissioned after *Matt 28*, to finally go throughout the world teaching men *“to observe all things whatsoever (Christ has) commanded”*. They are the movement for the “last day” in which people will be evangelized. Thus this angel’s communion depicts the final fulfillment of the William Miller, Advent, message as it is to grow to full maturity in the day of unity when it is finally prepared to receive its long desired dream, the graduation into God’s Kingdom. This angel of light is not to be worshiped for he is merely a fellow servant, the one whom Christ described in *Mark 10* as the man to come who is to be greatest among the disciples, the one whom Christ commanded that they let that same man become their minister just as Christ came and also ministered unto them 2000 years ago. Thus this angel of *Rev 19* serves the disciples by his ministry: He shows them more truth; he identifies to them the fullness of Christ; he urges them to take on the personality of Christ; or Christ’s way of thinking, Christ’s spirit which is His will to completely submit to the Father; he allows them to resultantly discern between Christ and God; he unfolds to them the proper station for the prophets; and he prepares them for the invisible return of Christ and the raising up of David to reign in the Kingdom, or the marriage supper of the Lamb. This is how he shows them to Worship God, in spirit and in truth. Thus does the Bible reveal his ministry to say:

“Blessed are they which are called unto the marriage supper of the Lamb. And he saith unto me, these are the true sayings of God. And I (John) fell at his feet to worship him. And he said unto me, see thou do it not: I am thy fellow servant, and of thy brethren that have the testimony of Jesus: worship God: for the testimony of Jesus is the spirit of prophecy” —Rev. 19: 10-11

Evidently, there exists confusion among the last day disciples about the identity of the *spirit of prophecy*. They have the mistaken notion that the spirit of prophecy is the books left to them from EGW & VTH, and by their tapping into those “branches” instead of the “Vine” they can discern the complete plan of salvation and the complete Saviour. This mustard-seed angel acknowledges the inspiration of those authors but he comes to correct and refine their thinking. He explains to them exactly that which the MSC has resounded all these many months: that all NT prophets prophesy, until the perfect day, in part and need to be measured, not by the branches, but by the “Vine”. The Lord’s testimony for his 3 ½-year period while He clandestinely hid Himself on Jesus represents a complete and full package which God requires of

The Mustard Seed Advent

man (Deut 18:19). That luminescent and anointed ministry of Christ, some 2000 years ago, will shine forever as it was directly dictated to Him, word for word, by the Father for the express purpose to win for humanity salvation and a place at the supper table that they may consume their bread without other condiments, soap. As expressed in John 16: 25, 26, much of Jesus' testimony, though given 2000 years ago, was encoded in proverbs. Even though it was still humanity's brightest light, this fact disallowed all, until the "last day" to fully understand; therefore, they could not fully believe. However, today, with the testimony spoken more plainly as promised by Christ, the proverbial Sun will blaze brighter by seven fold as described in Isa 30:26. It was to be revealed in its completeness in the last day so that the living in that same day, men who could bear the entire truth, could smoothly transit into eternal beings. In order for such to happen, according to Christ's very testimony unfolded in John 14: 26, the Comforter was scheduled to come to remind the end-time disciples of that very testimony. Thus, when the Comforter fulfills His complete commission, He will, through His chosen servant, remind us of the Lord's testimony and decode those themes which heretofore confused the disciples. His last-day work is the Spirit of Prophecy referred to in *Rev 19*; and because it was scheduled to emphasize the Father's words dictated to Christ and spoken through Jesus, "while He was yet with the disciples" (John 14:25) then those words are the Testimony of Jesus given by the Spirit of Prophecy. Thus does he say, "*worship God: for the testimony of Jesus is the spirit of prophecy.*" It is the "Vine" from which the branches must get their sap. All other NT, prophetic revelations before this last-day manifestation of the Comforter, contain milk doctrines much of which must be dispelled or "unlearned" according to EGW, VTH, and Paul (1 Cor 13: 8-12). Only by this growth can a man have the spirit and the truth to become like Christ so as to fully worship God by understanding the process of learning by unlearning under

The Ministry of Partial Prophecy. ~~~~~ Again, all must be reminded that the MSC considers William Miller, EGW, VTH and some others in the history of the *Three Angel's Message* to be bona fide and legitimate, Holy-Spirit inspired prophets. Having established that perspective, the question must be asked: how do we know that EGW, J&W, VTH, fully honored the commission of that office, are we to judge them or is the Lord? Can we confidently declare that they accurately and diligently published and proclaimed all the doctrines which the Lord revealed to them, and did they reveal all on a subject matter that we require to know to be saved by their teachings? Since many in Davidia have stooped to the level of using their work as a foundation to measure all doctrine, have rooted their branch into those prophetic branches, then surely they must believe that those prophetic officers have perfectly echoed all that Lord has revealed to them. This is a central question to the discussion with Eric and those who worship falsely like him. If they can answer affirmatively to these questions with Bible evidence, then EGW and VTH would be like Christ, perfect representatives of the Father, and our worship of them, praising them as the source of our light, may have some foundation. Also, we would have a perfect right to reject the prophetic message of *Rev 19*. But to do so, we must make several presumptions: **(One)** that God has given to us the authority to judge them even though Christ commanded of us to do otherwise; **(Two)** that they have received an automatic pass in the sanctuary judgment; **(Three)** that the Lord, also against His very testimony, ignored our level of tolerance and revealed everything to them; **(Four)** that, unlike Foy and Foss, they did not display any human weakness and did not comply, with existing social pressures; **(Five)** that God did not honor but rather ignored all social pressures. If these presumptions are erroneous and if the *Three Angel Messengers* did not fully comply 100% of the time to God's requirements, then we can still honor them even as we honor our faltering parents, but we certainly cannot trust them for salvation, no matter how inspired. Remember also, that the performance grades of a "D", a "C", or "B" —not just 100%—are all passing grades for us as humans, and since we know that the day of perfection and unity is only now upon us, then we can safely assume that none of those men beforehand received a "perfect" score, an "A": this is by Bible decree (see John 16:12, 1

The Mustard Seed Advent

Cor 13: 8-12, 2Tim 3:16, Eph 4: 11-13, etc). Is it not now clearer why both EGW and VTH commanded us to base our faith upon the Word and not on their testimony? Let's examine EGW's assessment of her inspiration:

"It was a great cross for me to relate to the erring what had been shown me concerning them. It caused me great distress to see others troubled or grieved. And when obliged to declare the messages, I would often soften them down, and make them appear as favorable for the individual as I could, and then would go by myself and weep in agony of spirit. I looked upon those who had only their own souls to care for, and thought if I were in their condition I would not murmur. It was hard to relate the plain, cutting testimonies given me of God. I anxiously watched the result, and if the persons reprov'd rose up against the reproof, and afterward opposed the truth, these queries would arise in my mind: Did I deliver the message just as I should? Could there not have been some way to save them? And then such distress pressed upon my soul that I often felt that death would be a welcome messenger, and the grave a sweet resting place. I did not realize the danger and sin of such a course, until in vision I was taken into the presence of Jesus. He looked upon me with a frown, and turned His face from me." —EGW, *Testimonies for the Church*, Vol 1p. 73:3, & 74.

EGW in the above reference confesses that she occasionally softened the messages given to her. She did so because of social pressures. She was concerned about how others would react --- the same reason why weak-kneed Davidians are condemning this straight testimony, a messenger who likewise is sensitive to the reactions of others but who refuses to soften the revelations of the word. This being the case for EGW, can she be considered to have the full "Spirit of Christ", the commitment to perfectly echo the Father's will no matter the reaction? If not, then though she may be saved from the grave and given a passing grade, one that is less than perfect, yet she cannot be the source of our faith. Also, since the eternity of humanity will be in jeopardy because they did not receive the full thrust of the Lord's message, then, would not the next messenger be commissioned to rise to the task of declaring the same message in its fullness? And when he does, would it not appear, with his requirements that we unlearn many things, that his message disagrees with the SOP given yesterday? Does any still wonder why it is wrong to dip your bread in SOP today? Examine what else she says,

"Deferred for a Year.--The Lord did help and bless me in a signal manner during the conference in Melbourne. I labored, before I entered it, very hard, giving personal testimonies which I had written out one year before, but could not feel clear to send them. I thought of the words of Christ, "I have yet many things to say unto you, but ye cannot bear them now" (John 16:12). When I enclosed the communication all ready to mail, it seemed that a voice spoke to me saying, 'Not yet, not yet, they will not receive your testimony.' "—Letter 39, 1893." —EGW, *Three Selected Messages*, page 56:2

Here, in 1893, EGW shows that, by command of the Lord, some messages revealed to her were concealed from our eyes, and she —not the MSC—points to the *Testimony of Jesus* to explain. Often times, advanced messengers, to give account for why the prophets yesterday did not declare their doctrine and even rejected their theology, pointed to John 16:12 to explain. Then, with icy hearts, many suspicious listeners would dismiss such a retort as an illusory tactic of deception despite Bible evidence. But here, from the very pen of EGW, we have full affirmation of this concept repeated to her by the Lord Himself. This is a key revelation because it shows to us a fuller dimension of Jesus' tactical deployment with yesterday's, NT prophets. He clearly repeated to Her His very own testimony to explain how to teach the Church based upon the Lord's assessment of human levels of tolerance as described in *John 16*. Clearly then, the only issues which we can extrapolate from her work were those themes which the Lord felt that we could indeed bear, the ones that we could not were not revealed. Consequently, the MSC's theme that once we can fully bear the Lord's straight testimony, repeated by the Holy Ghost, in the Last Day, then we will receive everlasting life, has been reaffirmed by this above finding. And Jesus' testimony is "**verily, verily**", upheld, that: "**...of all which (the Father) hath given me I should lose nothing, but should raise it up again at the last day...He that believeth on me hath everlasting life...and I will raise him up at the last day**" —John 6:47-54. Can any deny what the MSC has proven, that none, until today truly believed the Lord, and that this fact

The Mustard Seed Advent

explains the deaths of yesterday's NT prophets, being that they did not believe His complete testimony, and they were not "*real Christians*". And furthermore, by virtue of Davidia's shock and surprise at the MSC's teachings on the duality of Jesus, the nature of Christ, the truth of the 144,000 emerging from America's former slaves and entering into Zion, the SDA church, just before *Ezek nine* to take their crowns and complete the gospel, and many other issues proven from Scripture, then we have absolute proof that such themes must be included in the category of divine teaching which have been formerly hidden from the eyes of the Church because they were unbearable doctrines yesterday. Such a conclusion could be the only explanation to show why these air tight Bible doctrines have challenged the level of tolerance of, Davidia, the highest Bible scholars in history. Therefore, how dare any be so ignorant of the Lord's deployment of the SOP so as to suggest that we forge a Trinitarian doctrine from her work, when her work represents only revelations which the church could bear according to the Lords wise "sun rising" schedule of advancing light?

"Minds Must Be Prepared Spiritually.--I have tried to not shun to give to our people the whole counsel of God, but have sometimes deferred matters with the injunction, "They cannot bear them now." Even truth cannot be presented in its fullness before minds that are in no preparation spiritually to receive it. I have many things to say, but persons to whom the messages apply cannot in their present unconsecrated state bear them.--Letter 55, 1894." —
EGW, Three Selected Messages, page 57:3

What about VTH? Did he reveal all the light given to him or did he purposefully refrain because of social pressures? Can you imagine him, in the deep south in 1936, sighing and crying against all the abominations in the land by condemning this country for her sins of slavery and oppression against Jerusalem? I have been informed that in very recent history that African American Davidians, attempting to buy the Waco property of Old Carmel, were prohibited on the basis of race. They had to use subterfuge, employing white Davidians as straw buyers, to secure that land. How then could VTH, 70 decades prior, oppose such bigotry? Such is a formidable task for the MSC today, in a more liberal age, it would have severely limited the *Rod's* growth. Such would have prematurely separated the wheat from the tares: so that, perhaps only the honest who will accept the MSC message proclaiming the fullness of Christ today would have united with VTH. In order to discern VTH's stance we merely need to examine one of his several references:

"The urgent lesson here to be learned is that those who are ever-progressing, keeping pace with the march of Truth, are the ONLY REAL CHRISTIANS. And though even the most advanced of these have not yet reached the high standard (the full growth) set for them by the Lord, yet Inspiration in this latest feast of butter and honey (the latter rain), proffers character-building material to all. As a result of this, thousands of faithful ones at first (Rev. 7:3-8; 14:1, 5) and millions at last (Rev. 7:9; Mic. 4:1-3; Isa. 60:5, 6) will having finally matured for harvest, actually achieve the Lord's standard, and come "in the unity of the faith, and of the knowledge of the Son of God, unto a perfect man, unto the measure of the stature of the fullness of Christ." Eph. 4:13. What a bountiful harvest of full grown Christians to be garnered in!" —VT Houteff, Tract 14, p. 43 Emphasis added

Assuredly, we cannot source his work over the Bible and the charge to learn and unlearn applies to his doctrine as well. We know this because he admits that the day of perfection and unity were future aspirations and that Davidians who studied under him were not "real Christians". Having a need to worship God in spirit and in truth, we cannot fully rely on the disuniting and imperfect work handed to us by VTH. Such could not free us from the grave. Thankfully our salvation was never placed in the hands of their fidelity to God, their righteousness, it has always been predicated upon Christ's fidelity to the Father or the righteousness of Christ? What ever lesson learned in 1888, this definitely was one, the key one, which we have missed! The Bible shows that Jesus/Christ, is the only one in Heaven and earth Who has mastered the skill of fully submitting to the Father's will; only, thus, does He deserve our worship (see John 5: 19-24, 30; 8:28, 29). The degree to which the Comforter complies is a matter of future revelation, a time when He speaks of Himself. Instead of deliberating over these questions and risk dishonoring

The Mustard Seed Advent

yesterday's prophets, should we not do the wise thing and trust in the righteousness of Christ, the Word?

Our poor brother Eric, just making his way back to the Lord after 38 years of prodigality and "devil living" (His self description), has done well to return to the Lord by evidently becoming a Davidian; yet, he seeks from that affiliation even more spiritual intoxication. Now, he must allow his doctrinal connection to that worthy movement to polish him in righteousness. He does not yet understand the *ministry of partial prophets*: that VTH and EGW commanded of us to take all doctrines, even those of 1888, back to the Bible for validation. Furthermore, EGW clearly showed us that we are not to establish our faith upon conjecture nor upon inferential conclusions from 1888 or any other time. To give an example, she quoted John 5: 39 profusely. It states as follows: "**Search the Scriptures; for in them ye think ye have eternal life: and they are they which testify of me**" —John 5: 39. Below is one such reference:

" Instead of looking to Brother & Sister White to help them out of darkness, they should be earnestly engaged in helping themselves...If the people lean upon Bro & Sr. White, and trust in them, God will humble them among you or remove them from you. You must look to God and trust in Him. Lean upon Him, and He will not forsake you. He will not leave you to perish. PRECIOUS IS THE WORD OF GOD. 'Search the Scriptures; for in them ye think ye have eternal life.' These are the words of Christ. The words of inspiration, carefully and prayerfully studied and practically obeyed, will thoroughly furnish you unto all good works..." —EGW, Testimony for the Church, Vol 2, p. 121

The above reference is merely one of many times whereby EGW cites the Lord's commandment of John 5: 39 and then gives correspondingly admonitional counsel to support that Bible-based, testimony-of-Jesus theme. My search has shown that she used that text to warn the church of that sin 29 times. Instead of fighting, all of Davidia now have a just basis to add to the list of abomination for which they bewail, the violation of this divinely mandated protocol. Then they can prepare to receive the seal for decrying all, ALL, ALL, ALL abominations. Only a student of EGW who is spiritually intoxicated would ignore such a constant drum beat of truth and look to J & W for direction instead. At the risk of being extremely redundant, the command of *John five*, prescribing to us how to expect life and the manner by which we are required to learn of the Lord's nature, comes directly from the Father (see John 12:47-50); Jesus is merely the mouth piece deployed to blunt the brightness of Christ and to soften His thunderous voice so that we humans can tolerate His communion with us. Like the other 10 commandments declared from Sinai, it is given for our salvation allowing us to escape the Slaughter of *Ezek nine* as proven by *Rev 12*. But, why should we seek to escape the Lord's judgment? Is it so that we may hold onto Trinitarianism, the last vestiges of the Papacy!?!—what a vile crime against heaven that would be! Such "thinking" about eternal life must be exactly what the Lord referred to as an "abomination that maketh desolate". How dare any Adventist teach the substitution of the Father's commands in order to sustain the themes of the Papacy! To do so is to make sinful man your God, the very thesis of

The False Prophet and the Trinitarian Trap. ~~~~~In reference to *Ezek nine*, and *Rev 12*, the Bible clearly shows the following:

"...And the Earth helped the woman, and the earth opened her mouth, and swallowed up the flood which the dragon cast out of his mouth. And the dragon was wroth with the woman, and went to make war with the remnant of her seed, which keep the commandments of God, and have the testimony of Jesus Christ". ---Rev 12: 16, 17.

Speaking of Jesus Christ, speaking of His testimony, and speaking of the Father's commandments we are told that His 3 ½ year testimony will judge us —not in the days of WM Miller, nor EGW, not Jones & Waggoner, nor in the days of VTH, but— in the last day. The last day has to be at the end of the "last days", the time promised by Christ when man, while living hears the Testimony of Jesus and resultantly becomes transformed, he "**passes from death unto**

The Mustard Seed Advent

life” while living (see John 5: 24). Therefore, this message which the Lord promised to raise up in the “last day” could not have been understood beforehand. This explains why others beforehand could only prophesy in part. Christ said,

“...I came not to judge the world, but to save the world. He that rejecteth me, and receiveth not my words, hath one that judgeth him (Elijah, David, AKA, Derek West): the word that I have spoken, the same shall judge him in the last day. For I have not spoken of myself; but the Father which sent me, he gave me a commandment, what I should say and what I should speak. And I know that his commandment is life everlasting: whatsoever I speak therefore, even as the Father said unto me, so I speak” —John 12: 47-50

The *Rod* also warned you that the Testimony of Jesus would prevail in the last day as well when VTH cited to you on various occasions the following task given to Immanuel, the man who discards the milk and distributes the strong-meat doctrine which has shown him how to choose the good and refuse the evil:

“and when they shall say unto you, seek unto them that have familiar spirits and unto wizards that peep, and that mutter should not a people seek unto their God? For the living to the dead? To the law and to the Testimony: if they speak not according to this word; it is because there is no light in them.” —Isa 8: 18-19.

Thus are the Immanuels today, those who have learned to choose the good and refuse the evil, commissioned to **“Bind up the testimony, seal the law among my disciples”** —Verse 16.

Brethren if any warning were ever to impact you and cause you to reform without jolting you with the thunder from Mt Sinai, let this be the warning: do not reject the Lord’s testimony in favor of that which you think you have extrapolated from EGW and VTH. You are guaranteed to be counted among the brethren who will be seen hysterically and uncontrollably weeping and gnashing their teeth. This is as certain and as positive as was your last breath. There is no theme that is more positive and definitive, and the greatest precaution must be taken by all in Davidia. Do not mark my words, mark those of the Lord, those of EGW, and those of VTH. All in Heaven TODAY ---not yesterday—are greatly offended because you choose the papal doctrine, the doctrine of dirt (Dan 8: 12) when indisputable light has clearly been revealed from the Bible explaining to you the “mystery of God”. Yesterday, these things were purposefully hidden from us (see Matt 11:25, *John nine*, John 16:25, Luke 10: 22, Isa 48: 6-8, etc); however, today, the day of maturity we are expected to advance. Why do you think that in *Rev 13* the *image of the beast*, after being created by the false prophet, is credited with speaking blasphemy?

“And I beheld another beast coming up out of the earth; and he had two horns like a lamb...and he (the false prophet, see *Rev 19: 20*) doeth great wonders...and deceived them that dwell on the earth...that they should make an image to the beast which had the wound by a sword, and did live. And he had power to give life unto the image of the beast, that the image of the beast should both speak, and cause that as many as would not worship the image of the beast should be killed” **“and they worshipped the beast, saying, who is like unto the beast? Who is able to make war with him? And there was given unto him a mouth speaking great things and blasphemies...and he opened his mouth in blasphemy against God to blaspheme his name...”** —*Rev 13: 11-15, 4 & 5*

Tapping into the knowledge of the *Rod*, much study of this text can be avoided and we can move forward to the present-truth application beneficial to this discussion. The *Rod* correctly shows that the beast and the false prophet create a system of worship which envelopes around the first beast, the Leopard-like beast before his deadly wound was received. This represents Catholicism before the reformation, a time between AD 321 and AD 1500. The false prophet has such admiration for the system of worship during the age of Catholicism yesterday that he re-institutes a reflection of that age of dirty religion. Therefore does he give the image of Catholicism a mouth to speak. This could only mean that the image begins to recycle and preach “speak with his mouth” Catholic doctrine, doctrines which blaspheme God. What is frightening is that such doctrines are to be soon enforced by the penalty of death. Since the time of Luther in 1500, the doctrines of Constantine, dirt, has been purged except the doctrine of the Trinity, the last to be

The Mustard Seed Advent

defeated by the true Protestants. Had this doctrine been successfully defeated by the founding fathers of Adventism, as they tried to do so, the deadly wound would have killed the Papacy. Instead, it was kept alive as the SDA church embraced it in the mid 1900s.

Beforehand, each step along the way the reformers debunked the Papacy's cherished fables, and men who continued to cling to them were charged with sin against Heaven, blasphemy, for continuing to embrace those refuted and discarded teachings. But it must be now underscored, such a charge was not directed to them before the reformatory truths were unfolded from the Bible. As was the case with the Sunday worship, with Purgatory, with righteousness by works, with prayers to Mother Mary and other saints, etc, each of these themes only became blasphemies after the truth was unfolded. Likewise, such will be the case with the doctrine of the Trinity: it will only be considered blasphemy today, a time after the Lord has opened the Bible and has shown to you His bound up testimony. Today, the 144,000, men who will soon be brought into the SDA church before the slaughter, as promised by the ceremonial Wave-Loaf ritual of *Lev 23*, will, as mandated by that ritual, bake their bread with leaven, an expanded and advanced doctrine of Christ. They will ***“bring out of (their) habitations two wave loaves...baked with leaven; they are the first fruits unto the Lord”*** —Lev 23:17. This will present a major clash with deeply embedded doctrines of Christ taught for centuries by both Catholics and Protestants causing them to unite as they seek to validate the historic deception taught to the masses. Israel, as the world's first unified and worldwide Christian ministry, will boldly teach after the slaughter of *Ezek nine/Rev 12*, the “two-ness” or duality of Jesus. They will abandon the principle doctrine of Christ and heed Paul's counsel to advance unto perfection, by teaching that Christ was the invisible power who abode upon Jesus during the time of Jesus' ministry, hence two wave loaves lifted up above their heads to be waved —Jesus and Christ—both baked with leaven. Thus did Christ say, ***“when ye have lifted up the Son of man, then shall ye know that I am and I do nothing of myself; but as my Father hath taught me, I speak these things.”*** —John 8: 28, Italicized words omitted. When a man waves his arms above his head, as Israel's priest (*Lev 23:20*) lifts up his Bread to wave, he does so to capture the attention of all who can see so they may recognize an important matter which he seeks to exalt and display. This symbolism is the Lord's way to show the doctrine which Israel will emphasize and teach to the world. Beforehand, as shown by the Passover rite, all leaven was to be removed from their houses—all seven churches, seven lambs of *Lev 23 18-20*, before the advent of the symbolic and proverbial wave loaf ceremony, taught that Jesus pre-existed as Christ—they only understood a simple, “milk” doctrine of Christ. Therefore, since no man knew who the Son was (*Luke 10:22*), the charge of blasphemy, in that age of innocence, was not launched by heaven against the leopard-like beast. Yet, to resist the success of the 144,000 and to compete against the Bible, the false prophet, by displaying his own miracles and re-invigorating the Papacy, will seek to destroy the KJV and to establish or create an image to the Papacy, a power who proclaims his cherished fable of the Tri-une God. The Bible says,

“and there was given unto him (the image of the beast) a mouth speaking great things and blasphemies...and he opened his mouth in blasphemy against God, to blaspheme his name...”
—Rev 13: 5 & 6

. Therefore, when the Papacy first taught the doctrine of the Trinity, it was winked upon and merely considered dirt; after all, young children, unwise in their deportment, often play in dirt, sometimes they even eat it. But when the truth is taught that Christ, Jesus, the Comforter, and the Father are each separate and distinct Beings, Beings who profess to have their own wills which they subordinate to the will of the Father and thus become One with the Father, then, just as it is now considered blasphemy to worship on Sunday and to pray to “mother Mary” so will it likewise be blasphemous to teach that the Father is schizophrenic with multiple personalities. Quotes from Jones and Waggnor, VTH, EGW will not be acceptable by God as justification today for refusing His bound-up Testimony. The Children today will be held to a cleaner standard, the standard of adulthood. Therefore, let us spurn the voice of Eric and not become

The Mustard Seed Advent

Mark-of-the-Beastian Christians, by singing the theme song of the image of the beast even before he is created by the false prophet. For we can be certain that when the image of the Beast is given a mouth to speak, he will speak the doctrine of devils, he will proclaim by the inspiration of the false prophet, the theme of the first beast before him.. He will teach that the Father has three splits in His personality:

“And I saw three unclean spirits like frogs come out of the mouth of the dragon, and out of the mouth of the beast, and out of the mouth of the false prophet. For they are the spirits of devils...” —Rev 16: 13, 14.

The SDA brethren yesterday, after the founding fathers passed, did not have much option but to embrace the doctrine of the Trinity because the light pertaining to the “fullness of Christ” was intended to be concealed until today. The man promised to us in Luke 10:22, the one to whom Christ promised to reveal the Son and the Father is now here. Yesterday, Trinitarianism was the only resolution available to avoid the theological trap set by Muslims, Jews, and Evangelicals. They condemned all Christians as idolaters who pray in the name of Christ for in the narrow mind of those groups, it seemed that we were cults and pagan’s, those who believe in multiple gods. Thus, the “impatient saints”, those who could not wait upon the Lord for deliverance, abandoned the strong stance of the founding fathers and accepted Trinitarianism. Such a stance today is a blasphemous abomination since the MSC, with fresh revelations, has resoundingly destroyed the argument of idolatry directed towards Adventism for its stance on Trinitarianism in its beginning. Book, 4.1, *Journey through the Murky Bogs* has justified our founding father’s belief that Christ and the Father are two separate beings. Today, there is no excuse for any to retain a Trinitarian theme: just like Sunday worship, it too has been resoundingly trounced by the Word, and no one can expect pardon for their continued embrace of such a theme.

In closing, Davidia, quite remarkably, has predicated much of their sighing and crying, their definition of abominations, based upon statements of righteousness handed to them by the SOP. Such is a trap that will seal their doom unless they begin to decry all abominations which EGW cites within her work and the ones now identified from the Lord’s testimony by the MSC. Especially should we decry the sin of violating the ever-growing list of 80 references whereby EGW demands that all doctrines be established by the Bible, where she decries those who elevate her work above the Bible, where she demands that we establish our doctrine of Christ and His eternal life on Scripture, where she condemns those who sympathize with the slave masters, where she identifies slavery as the worse sin in history, “sin of darkest dye”. Remember, to receive the “mark” of *Ezek nine* is to escape the slaughter described therein. To do so, it prescribes that we must decry “all” the abominations in the church. Only the MSA, out of every other voice in Davidia, has fulfilled Ezekiel’s commission by so doing. VTH historically did not, neither has Carmel; nor Bashan; neither Salem, and especially has not the Branch. Pick your favorite Davidian association and I guarantee you that they have attempted historically to lead you to the Kingdom by violating Ezekiel’s commission and only decrying some of the abominations, the ones which offend their sensibilities. Thus does the MSC adjure all in Davidia to dispel the partial prophecies of yesterday and clean themselves up to meet the Lord. In keeping with this theme, all should remember from this testimony that the pagan Roman power of *Daniel eight* was condemned therein for casting down the “host of heaven”, the Lord’s laity in the early years of the NT church, and the stars of heaven, their leaders. They thoroughly persecuted them. But what is remarkable is that in their stead it says *“and an host was given him against the daily...and it practiced and prospered.”* That second host was guilty of warring against the truth with Rome. Which team are you on? The latter was given almost 500 years of Protestant reformation to grow themselves from the dirt, and today all must decide if they will cast the last allotment of papal pollution from off their shoulders and become Mustard Seed Christians, the people guaranteed by the Lord’s own testimony to receive everlasting life, or will they hold on to the Papal fable of deception, Tri-unity, and become Mark-

The Mustard Seed Advent

of-the-Beastian Christians, people of the bottomless pit. Today, Davidia must make their decision.

Sincerely,

Derek