

The Popes Vicegerian Lapsarian

Reply to Daniel,

14-Sep-07

Dear Daniel,

This charge of Gnosticism was thoroughly dealt with months ago when Jonathan made such a claim against the MSC. I am sorry to see you re-circulating his dashed and well-refuted argument. You gave the following quote to the forum:

"Cerinthus depicted Christ as a heavenly spirit separate from the man Jesus, and he cited the demiurge as creating the material world. Unlike the Gnostics, Cerinthus taught Christians to observe the Jewish law; his demiurge was holy, not lowly; and he taught the Second Coming. His gnosis was a secret teaching attributed to an apostle. Some scholars believe that the Cerinthus distinguished between the man Jesus and the Christ. He denied the supernatural birth of Jesus, making him the son of Joseph and Mary, and distinguishing him from Christ, who descended upon him at baptism, and left him again at his crucifixion. Cerinthus is also said to have taught that Jesus will be raised from the dead at the Last Day, when all men will rise with Him. wikipedia.org— Wednesday, September 12, 2007 6:38 PM

The reference source of your above quote is “Wikipedia”. This has been discredited as a legitimate, information source. Had you checked the news in recent months — you can still do so by searching the internet— you would have uncovered the fact that many colleges have banned and outlawed it for their student’s research papers. Wikipedia, has been deemed an illegitimate information resource center, merely because its text can be altered and manipulated. If it has ever done so in the past, today, the MSC —an upward bound and progressive publication—does not now give credibility to that source. Yet, Daniel, since you seem to have a zeal to do research outside of legitimately constituted sourcing material (the Bible, the SOP) in what appears to be a desire to attack all of the Popes enemies, I now offer to you a new research project. Please determine the root source of the word “Wikipedia”. “Wiki” seems to be employed as a prefix to the word “Pedia”. It sounds quite similar to the word “Wicca”, a modern term defining the religion of witchcraft. After you complete your search, please tell me why you have chosen to exalt this source of knowledge above the Bible using its speculative and questionable lessons of history to invalidate the Words of Christ. Christ legitimized Moses’, Deut-18, doctrine which defined Christ. In fact, the Lord gave such a positive affirmation to accredit Moses’ concept of the duality, the concept that the Lord clandestinely hid Himself upon Jesus, that He declared to all the impossibility of being a Christian without believing that which Moses taught. He said, “...***If ye believe not his writings, how shall ye believe my words?***”—Matt 5: 45-47

In your above Halloween reference from Wiki, you are attempting to show parity between that which “some scholars” assert about the “secret” teachings of a man named Cerinthus with the hope of discrediting the bible-based work of the MSC. The text has been structured to assert that Cerinthus secretly agreed with the doctrine that is openly proclaimed by the MSC from the Bible. But, because Cerinthus a so-called Gnostic, was an enemy to the Papacy over 1700 years ago, you expect all men to abandon the writings of Moses and take a stand with the Papacy to denounce anyone whom they denounce in their path of casting the truth to the ground (*Dan eight*). This is a neat summary of your logic employed to discredit the MSC’s work. But if your

The Mustard Seed Advent

reference is true, if it has not been massaged by others in their zeal to promote Wicca, it still does not give you a firm foundation to reject the Bible. The text in which you quote says that “**some scholars believed that the Cerinthus distinguished between the man Jesus and the Christ.**” This means that they, the “some scholars”, are feeding to us an interpretation of Cerinthus’s views, concepts which Cerinthus held secretly, and they do not know for a certainty. It also implies that other scholars disagree with their interpretation else the reference would not say, “some scholars”. And of course, you eagerly jump to seize this Wikipedia hook because it disagrees with the MSC allowing you to continue in your slumber. Therefore, we have no resolution but only confusion over the meaning of your reference source, the very goal of all who practice the religion of Wicca. Is it not difficult enough to dispel the confusion in Christendom over the themes of the Bible; should we now multiply that confusion by introducing the interpretations of some scholars over doctrine taught by ancient and obscure men? What benefit can be received, other than your zeal to curry favor with Jonathan and Jeanie, by your introduction of spurious, questionable, non-Scriptural doctrines which the saints cannot, with certainty, cross examine. Therefore, let me remind you again the simple Bible doctrines advanced by the MSC regarding Jesus and Christ:

- **That none are Righteous(Good) but Father**
- **That Christ is the Eternal Son of God**
- **That He, not the demiurge, created the world and gave to us the OT Bible**
- **That Jesus was the Only Begotten Son**
- **That Jesus was conceived of the Father in the womb of Mary**
- **That, according to the Bible, Christ —not the promised Comforter to come— rested upon Jesus for 3 ½ years. That Jesus was resurrected on the third day of his imprisonment and not 2000 years later at the resurrection of the just as Cerinthus may have taught.**
- **That Christ declared His life-restoring testimony while resting clandestinely upon Jesus.**
- **That, in the last day, Christ will raise up His testimony again.**

Each of these points of doctrine as well as all other points contained in *the Mustard Seed Chronicle* (MSC) can be clearly established by Scripture. Yet, by virtue of your abandonment of the Bible to refute the MSC and your determination to instead deploy non-scriptural sources to justify your denial of the Word, you speak volumes to your doctrinal insecurity and your estrangement from Christ. You, my brother, condemn Branch Davidians in the harshest of tones; yet, unwittingly and hypocritically, you lurk in comparable shadows and you meander through similar, subterranean, cavities. Yet, in spite of your negative approach to Christ, the MSC can now celebrate your concession: your tacit admission of failure to refute the MSC by the Bible. For why else would a Davidian search elsewhere to cross examine doctrine unless he recognized the futility of doing so from the Word. Instead of so doing, you pitifully seek out dark and obscure writers to lend credence to your rejection of truth. Perhaps the use of the MSC, the Lord’s Kingdom, can show you proper Bible protocol. Consider its example: Included among many other doctrinal errors defeated by the Lord through His MSC, it has also completely destroyed the doctrine of the Female Holy Spirit. It also thoroughly blasted the theme taught by many who sought to impose upon the saints the exclusive use of the dead language of Hebrew. In fact, if memory serves, even you promoted the now discredited deception that the saints must speak to God by using words such as Yah, Yahweh, Yashua, etc. These miracles of MSC leadership were done merely by opening up the Bible—not by referencing Wiki.

If you really must assail the work of the MSC, then, instead of citing the works of the Gnostics, men blackened yesterday by the contempt of the Papacy for them, why not instead, cite a clear Bible incident, *Luke four*. This text could have easily made your case to show that discredited beings, the devils, people even more heinous than the Gnostics, openly—not secretly—proclaimed the distinction between Christ and Jesus. It shows the devils giving

The Mustard Seed Advent

recognition that Christ was hidden on Jesus, the very same theme which you cite Wiki to say. Do not the devils have a worse reputation than the Gnostics? Yet, they attempted, before the “last day”, to teach the hidden presence of Christ which is now unfolded by the MSC. Could it be that you refuse to cite their communication in Scripture, because, the Bible, while rejecting their motives, still validated their doctrine by showing the Lord’s command to them: instead of calling them liars, “***He rebuking them suffered them not to speak for they knew that he was Christ***”—Luke four? Instead of denying the doctrine, the Lord merely silenced it. He did so, not because the devils were lying, but because strong meat —though mightily efficacious to invigorate an adult— can, if given to a new-born, asphyxiate him. The Lord, ever mindful to preserve His new born church, demanded silence from the devils. Thus, heaven, earth, and hell were forbidden to open up that facet of the Gospel —see Gal 1: 6-12. Therefore, your reference about the teachings of Cerinthus does not discredit the themes promoted by the MSC; instead, it merely suggests, if the speculation is true, that devils disobeyed the Lord, and that Father, being superior in wisdom, exercised His power to conceal and hide His secrets despite their efforts to defeat Him.

Beyond this thought, your pension for measuring doctrines based upon how they compare with Gnosticism is a trap that has seized your mind and destroyed your logic. This underscores the emphasis by both VTH and EGW on the need for clear thinkers and logical reasoners (CG p334). Doctrine is to be measured based upon its positive relationship to the Bible and not on the many, many mistaken ideas that have controlled human thinking in the past. For example, your reference suggests that Gnostics believed in the “Second Coming”, does that fact resultantly invalidate the SDA, *Three Angel’s Message*? Do not they teach the same doctrine? Should Baptist, Methodist, Lutherans, etc, reject Adventism because a Gnostics likewise share their beliefs? All in the entire world, 2000 years ago, including the disciples —see 1 Cor 13:8-13, 1 Cor 3: 1-3, Heb 5: 12-14, Eph 4: 11-13, John 16: 12-14, Matt 16: 6, John 8: 28, etc— had erroneous doctrines especially pertaining to Christ. Humanity, 2000 years ago, could easily discern that Jesus was a Man Whom they could both see and touch. Their religious walk was carnal and not spiritual. Resultantly, unlike the spirit world, they could not detect a real being, a genuinely living person, an unseen and hidden presence, within the flesh of Jesus, the man Whom they beheld. For this reason the Lord retained the name “I AM”. He knew that people such as you, in the “last day” would doubt that He exists and has a separate identity from Jesus, Father, and the Comforter. He knew that men would doubt the “I Am”. For this reason did He point His disciples to a day when their knowledge of Him would increase: “***When ye have lifted up the Son of man, then shall ye KNOW that I AM [-], and [-] I do nothing of myself; but as my Father hath taught me, I speak these things***”—John 8: 28, [-] supplied words omitted Is not this the very theme of the MSC, the distinction of Jesus and Christ, effectively lifting up Jesus based upon His very own accomplishments? Historically, all Christians thought that they lifted up Jesus in worship; yet, they called Him by someone else’s name, Christ. Conversely, they denied His own identity. Not so with the MSC, Does it not lift up Jesus by showing His independent power, His own individuality, and His miraculous success in transforming a world controlled by Satan 2000 years ago into a world dominated—not by Buddhist, nor Mohammedans, nor pagans, nor communist, but— by Christians? Do any foolishly presume it to be a mere coincidence that the highest Christian nation, America, is the financial, political, economic, military, social, and moral leaders of the world? All of America’s positive accomplishments are attributable to Jesus’ guidance. Does not every other nation seek to model itself after her, and do not their very own citizens dream of immigrating to America? If you think otherwise, then change your erroneous opinions today: begin to give Jesus some glory: “lift up” the “Son of man”. Upon doing so, you will recognize that He was conceived in the womb and that Christ is the One called God in the Old Testament. He is the Light of men. “I AM” is a separate personality, He is. This is the very message of the MSC. Yet, Christ’s ministry and doctrine was not that of His own; instead, He perfectly echoed the words given Him from Father? The MSC, over and over again has

The Mustard Seed Advent

explained that this is His righteousness, His mind. None in the History of the world, aside from the MSC, has ever **RESOUNDED** this theme simply because all others have presumed the Constantinian, canonized theme that Jesus pre-existed as Christ and that they are One and the Same being. None have ever taught the *Testimony of Jesus*, God's Word, were doctrines perfectly unfolded by the clandestine abidance of Christ on Jesus and that these teachings would measure all in the judgment. This, I guarantee you, the Gnostics have never taught! But even if they did, upon what basis can you find to reject it? From what constituted authority and from what basis of logic can you predicate your disavowal? By what authority do you source that allows you to deny Christ merely because the devils believe? Does not the word say they believe and tremble? This being the case, why could not a Gnostics also believe? Your twisted pension of paranoia will never lead you to Christ if you continue to reject the Bible because Gnostics likewise shared those ideas: consider the following teachings which may have been likewise shared by the Gnostics: the existence of the Holy Spirit, the reality of the Father, the existence of Jesus, the death of Jesus on Calvary, the reality of heaven, the existence of angels, the institution of the twelve apostles, the Gospel's re-direction unto the Gentile world, the Jews as the Lord's first flock, the efficacies of marriage, the importance of marital fidelity, the list goes on and on. If you are not too embarrassed by the exposure of your careless reasoning and your small-minded thinking, please explain to the forum which of these above tenets do you recommend be abandoned.

Think further upon the following analogy: Christ said that God, "**maketh His sun to rise on the evil and the good**"; yet, that teaching seems similar to the pagan sun worship. They too believed that the source of their blessings came from the sun. In fact, if you went to ancient Egypt, they would defend their idolatry by proclaiming that all should worship the sun because without it, life on earth would cease to exist. Thus, can we devalue the teachings of Christ, His promise of God's grace as expressed in sunshine, merely because the Egyptians perverted its efficacy? Because Christ connected the sun with God's justice and love, does that mean He too promoted sun worship? Neither then should we presume that because some scholars believe Cerinthus separated Jesus from Christ that we can reject the evidence brought forth from Scripture pertaining to the MSC. Afterall, the MSC's doctrine dates more than a thousand years before there ever was a Gnostic. It was clearly stated by Moses in *Deut 18*. I know that this fact makes you squirm, but, instead of researching the history of Gnosticism, search out the dark cavities of your own heart to uncover the reasons why you war against the testimony of Moses. These issues, like men suffering with psychosis, require careful analysis by a counselor. Often times months of introspection is needed to surface similar mental maladies. Thankfully, for you and all in Davidia, the Lord has begun to raise up His counselor (See Isa 46: 11,41: 28, Micah 4:9, etc). He can quickly discern your mentally debilitating maladies. If you objectively search your soul, you will uncover that your desire is not to serve Christ but to seat yourself on the perverse lap of the Pope your deep-seated desire is to become his lap dog, his lapsarian. Such is shameful to you intellectually; thus, have you, like a mental patient, buried this desire deep into your subconsciousness. This is the reason why you bark —not at your enemies, nor those of Christ, but—at all of the Papacies opponents who knock at your door. You highly esteem him and believe him to be God's vicegerent, and resultantly do you desire to please him by attacking all of the Popes attackers. You desire to become his vicegerian (vicegerent). This is the dominant source of your anxiety; deep down, you are a frustrated Papist. And any who clearly shows the popes estrangement from the Bible, you desire to attach with the names that he has darkened and made abhorrent. I know that this counsel is hard, but it is straight. It surfaces your deeply embedded haunting ghost. You love the themes and the people whom Papa loved and you hate those whom he hated, even if it is Christ. Of all the millions of misguided philosophers, the Papacy accepted the Greeks and the Romans, they often casually make reference to those philosophers, but they hated the Gnostics. The MSC does not do so, it sees misguided, 1st century Gnostics, as men of great confusion; yet, people in greater standing with God than 21st

The Mustard Seed Advent

century, Moses-hating, bible-rejecting, Papacy-boosting Davidian pretenders, people who, at the end of the day, after the Laodicean message has been especially unfolded to them, still cry against all new light saying, “I am rich and increased with goods and in need of nothing.” Such vile *Rod*-toting hypocrites make Jesus sick to His stomach, and He promises to vomit them out. Such a condemnation was never launched against the Gnostics.

So why do you, my Wicca-research-driven brother, desire to be seated in the lap of the Papacy and to be covered with their filthy robes of righteousness. Instead of continuing in your sin, take a mature pause and heed the analysis of the Lord’s counselor: It was the Papacy who canonized the doctrine that Jesus pre-existed as Christ the Eternal son, and that God has a three way split in His personality; thus the MSC or any others who challenge that can anticipate that you, as his lap dog, will attack with full ferocity so as to protect your master’s house. You have unwittingly assumed that demeanor, and I am sure that they zealously desire to let you into their back door so that they may seat you on Papa’s lap so as to stroke or pat your bowed head. People like Arius, like Martin Luther, like the Gnostics, all were humans and they had their faults; however, we should not assign to them greater recrimination merely because they were the Pope’s enemies. Instead, we should open up the Bible and, from it, learn to choose the good and refuse the evil. In it, Christ said that you will never become a true Christian unless you heed His counsel which demands that we—not run from the Gnostics or use them to call our teachers bad names, nor uphold the Papacy, but that we—believe on Him the way Moses taught of Him. “*For had ye believed Moses, ye would have believed me: for he wrote of me. But if ye believe not his writings, how shall ye believe my words*”—John 5: 46, 47 VTH, a man whom you also pretend to trust and follow read this text and said the following:

“*While the Jews boasted of their faith in Moses writings, Jesus reprimanded them by saying: ‘had ye believed Moses, ye would have believed Me: for he wrote of me John 5:46. He had reference to ---Deut 18: 15---‘the Lord thy God will raise up unto thee a Prophet from the Midst of thee, of thy brethren, like unto me; unto Him ye shall hearken.’ ...*”—1TG, 15 p 5

I know that this is not a popular teaching—how could it be when the Lord promised to conceal it until the last day— yet, Moses clearly described Jesus as the Prophet upon Whom Christ would veil Himself and speak His testimony to save the world. Imagine that for a moment, even Gnostics and Branch Davidians can now drink at this fountain! You no longer need to nuzzle yourself in the comforts of the Papacy’s lap. His teachings, though they may have the credibility of time, they are over 1700 years old, yet Christ is still the solid Rock in which you must stand. This we must do even if “I AM” resurfaces his 3500 year old, Moses-proclaimed doctrine again in the last day, making His doctrine appear to be younger, you still must elevate His word. Eventually, all will be required to examine the newly raised, Bible teaching of Christ and chose between the Papal definition of Him and that of the Mustard Seed: it is the only formula for life. Your embrace of this unpopular theme is therefore the only cure for your careless reasoning and your convoluted thinking. I recommend that you come to grips with this Bible theme today, it is your only hope to pass the judgment. But not only you, I invite all who have been smitten by the enemy of truth and lured away into the confusion of Wicca to “come every soul by sin oppressed and find mercy with the Lord; He will surely give you rest by trusting in His word”.

Sincerely,

Derek