

*Phony Boloney*

12-Dec-09

Walt,

You wrote the following:

*“The MSA may have talked around the subject, but nothing that I have noticed is given to tie Luke 24:25 KJV, into the system of belief. I do not as you know, use much more of EGW or VTH in explaining than does the MSA. It is simply that I do not whine and complain when their use is made evident.”* —Your E.

Mail, Saturday, December 12, 2009 1:26 AM

The MSA, contrary to your claim, does heed the commission of Luke 24:25. It is a statement from Jesus indicting His disciples by saying, **“O fools, and slow of heart to believe all that the prophets have spoken”**. It is you who is in violation of this counsel. The MSA can give a Bible accounting for every doctrine presented by EGW that does not harmonize with new-light, Bible established doctrine. It does this while it miraculously honors her divine and prophetic inspiration. Rather than doing the same, rather than building your house upon the Rock, rather than courageously heeding her appeal and measuring her work by the Bible, you “foolishly” seek to ignore any disharmony between her work and Scripture. You do so with the zeal to exalt her and not Christ. You blindly profess what she never stated: that her teachings are equal to Scripture. In so doing you commit the unthinkable, the sin of Lucifer’s angels: You change the Bible to accommodate her work. To illustrate, this is why you deny Christ’s irrefutable and incontrovertible statement that He will serve flesh in the Kingdom.

You profess to like simple answers; when will you give one? When will you explain the life-saving doctrines which you have learned (if you have learned any) from your study of *John 17*? Or will you admit your sophistry, your ignorance of this duty and thusly cast yourself as a man who does not believe all that the prophets have spoken? Did not EGW command you to study that chapter and learn from it—not from her writings—the answer to that, Christ’s, closing prayer? She said that this was your first duty; thus, anyone who professes, as you do, to honor her prophetic work in the light of *Luke 24* must most definitely perform that assignment. She says, **“The instruction given me by One of authority is that we are to learn to answer the prayer recorded in the seventeenth chapter of John. We are to make this prayer our first study”** —*Testimonies for the Church*, Vol. 8. P. 239. Had you done this work, you would now know that the Lord’s passion was to teach the world that the Father sent the Son. He said, **“...that the world may believe that thou has sent me”** —John 17: 21. You teach the opposite: you teach that Father did not use His Ambassador, but that at Sinai, He Himself spoke; He did not send the Son. You teach this even though Christ said, **“Ye have neither heard his voice at any time, nor seen his shape”** —John 5: 35. The MSA in honor of that which the prophets have spoken has written volumes on the closing prayer of *John 17*. Consequently, the fact cannot be refuted, the MSA honors EGW’s prophetic gift.

## *Phony Baloney*

Also, the MSA manifests the ultimate respect for EGW because it honors her most dominant command: that we establish all of our doctrines upon the Bible. You do not. For just one of many examples, you change the Bible to accommodate her call for vegetarianism. You seek to exalt man-made products above the food that Christ defined to be clean. Therefore and in essence, you literally and spiritually seek to feed the flock phony boloney. Instead of so doing, the MSA proves that vegetarianism was a valid call yesterday, a call complimentary to the high standards of the prophetic office, but one today which must be “done away”. It shows that vegetarianism pertained to yesterday’s proverbial manna, the Antitypical Day of Atonement, a day when we were required to afflict our souls with a fast. The MSA further shows that when Christ has the reins transferred to Him from Jesus, a new fast is to be chosen by Him. So you see, the MSA does honor EGW even though it advances beyond her ministry —what a great heretofore-never- experienced miracle! Rather than acknowledging that the discipline of vegetarianism is non-Biblical, you seek to do the abominable: You seek to change the Bible to accommodate EGW. This, Jesus did not even insinuate in His *Luke 24* statement.

In addition, you violate the thrust of *Luke 24*, because you reject the work of Elijah, the *mustard seed*, the last day prophet. You do so by rejecting my Bible and SOP validated work. In essence and in truth, you do not respect the OT prophets, the NT prophets, nor the Christian age prophets; instead, your mission is the same as is the mother SDA church, to trumpet the voice of the Papacy, its clarion call of Triunitarianism. You desire to ignore the proven facts that Father is not the same Person as Christ, and Christ is different than Jesus. By the way, neither EGW nor the founding fathers of Adventism taught that the Father was the same as the Son.

Daniel promised that the Papacy would cast the truth to the ground and practice and prosper. Pursuant to that mission, emperor Constantine, said that Father, The Son, and the Holy Ghost were all the same being; he taught us Triunitarianism (Tri [three], un [one], Tri-One, three in one). Yesterday, during the dark ages, Father winked at that, partly in answer to the *Lord’s Prayer* of *John 17*, He desired to glorify the Son “**with His own self**”. After all, He told us that ‘no one knoweth the Son nor the Father, except the singular man to whom the Son would reveal’ (See *Luke 10: 22*). Not knowing, how could Constantine, throughout his work, be faulted for his mistaken understandings? He could not until the truth of the Father and the Son were revealed. Today, for the first time in church history the fable of Tri-unity has been exposed as error by the Bible. Now men are made accountable. Yet, according to *Rev 13*, the dragon —your truly elected prophet— seeks to rekindle the Constantinian doctrine; he seeks to create an image of the beast. He does so by seducing the leaders of Christianity, the woman, to endorse this old, stale, fable. It is this false religion, depicted as the whore of *Rev 17*, that you desire to supplant the writings of the true prophets. You do so because you have not studied, as EGW commanded, *John 17*. Therefore the dragon and the false prophet do you honor —not EGW or VTH. You are attempting to destroy the truthful revelations of the nature, the name, and the identity of Christ —and for what reason— to promote the dragon’s theme song which now, as it contradicts the sunrise-blazing light, blasphemes the Father. You must stop pimping the dragon’s whore. Stop allowing her to sit on your head (control your mind); stop drinking from the cup of her fornication.

Sincerely,

Derek

