

20-Jun-2010

The Curse of the Churl

Dear Luan and brethren,

Henry launches several false judgments, accusations, and parameters to measure the validity of the MSA. He does so for at least the following reasons:

- He hopes that none will remember or read the Bible-and-SOP-validated answers from the MSC to rebut his falsehoods.
- He has not read this work.
- Also, being a self-professed novice to the Adventist experience, he harbors feelings of racial supremacy which are destroyed by the MSA's doctrines of the 144,000.
- He is a churlish (conservative, in gracious, uncharitable) man who desires to deceive others that he is a liberal. This is why he desires for you, Luan, to not receive the gift of life that he has rejected for himself.

The Bible shows that in this judgment hour, such people will be exposed:

“Behold, a king shall reign in righteousness and princes shall rule in judgment. And a man shall be as an hiding place from the wind...as rivers of water in a dry place, as the shadow of a great rock in a weary land...The vile person shall be no more called liberal, nor the churl said to be bountiful. The instruments also of the churl are evil: he deviseth wicked devices to destroy the poor with lying words, even when the needy speaketh right. But the liberal deviseth liberal things; and by liberal things shall he stand” —Isa 32: 1-8
(Davidian-ignored, Rod-contained Scripture)

What are some of the lies that the churl shall speak? Henry's recent E-mail to you cites them clearly. Rather than re-quoting them here, suffice it to say that Derek West does not claim to be Christ; instead, he proves from the Bible and the SOP to be the king, the promised “man” who is to rule in righteousness. He does not claim to be the Rock, but “**THE SHADOW** of a Great Rock in a weary land”. After all, it was at Sinai that Israel did indeed complain about Christ's bright light and petitioned that He speak to them through a man, one who could shield them from the light, in other words a shadow of the Sinai Rock. Formerly lauded to be generous, charitable, and gracious—the implication extended to all who profess to love the *Rod*—when Henry was told that the SOP and the Bible prove that the 144,000 will emerge from the sons of America's former slaves now located in the Sunday-keeping churches, he, while desiring the very demise of that same people, exposed himself as the churlish man that he truly is. Thankfully, the MSA has had the beam removed from its eyes and can heed the Lord's commission to judge in righteousness (*Matt seven*)—hence, “a king shall reign in righteousness.” Thus can it now convey to all that prophecy is being fulfilled; the churl, by the MSA's beam-free light, shall be no more called liberal (lovingly generous). Henry does not want the Lord's grace and mercy, benefits which have, all these 80 years, been so copiously endowed upon Davidia, to be extended to the needy; “*he deviseth wicked devices to destroy the poor with lying words...*” In fact when people such as he and Walt say that the MSA counters the *Rod*, it is because they do not want you to consider the above Scripture which VTH cited in his work and commanded us to carefully peruse and study (5A, 29). Both men, with lying words, seek to deceive all to consider them to be saints of grace, spiritual liberals; yet, both desire to forestall heaven's grace from ever being directed to America's poor, the sons of her former slaves. They ignore Paul's wisdom, also cited in the *Rod*, “*And though I have the gift of prophecy, and understand all mysteries (the dream & claim of every Davidian)...and have not charity, I am nothing...Charity suffereth long, and is kind: charity envieth not...*” —1 Cor 13: 2-4 cited in the *Rod* in 4A, p 67.

Believe it or not, Henry claims that vegetarianism can keep a man from sinning and attributes such a notion to the SOP. He does so in direct addition of the full Bible and of Christ's words, the

The Curse of the Churl

Testimony of Jesus. Thus can we see even more evidence of the lies whispered by the churlish man, the pretentious liberal. He says the following:

*“I have read some of his stuff, and found he teaches much that is contrary to God’s Word, among them is we can eat meat. This is a contradiction to the Garden of Eden diet, and the Counsel tells us to return to that diet, it is the diet that helps to keep us **SINLESS**”* —Henry’s E-mail, Sat 6/19/2010 6:02 PM.

If the Edenic diet could preserve a man from sin, as Henry claims, then pray tell me, how is it that it could not preserve Adam and Eve from that very same malady? To the contrary, EGW shows to us that it is our charity, liberal grace extended to the poor, those cast out of our house, that will exalt us above the ravages of sin: It will restore our health. She said the following statements that Davidians, in their pension to parse her words, choose to ignore:

*“You who are suffering with poor health, there is a remedy for you. If thou clothe the naked and bring the poor that are cast out to thy house and deal thy bread to the hungry, **‘then shall thy light break forth as the morning, and thine health shall spring forth speedily.’** Doing good is an excellent remedy for disease. Those who engage in the work are invited to call upon God, and He has pledged Himself to answer them. Their soul shall be satisfied in drought, and they shall be like a watered garden, whose waters fail not”* —EGW, *Adventist Home*, p 447.

*“... I have been shown that those who refuse these opportunities for doing good will hear from Jesus: ‘As ye did it not to one of the least of these, ye did it not to Me. Please read Isaiah 58: **Is it such a fast that I have chosen? a day for a man to afflict his soul? is it to bow down his head as a bulrush, and to spread sackcloth and ashes under him? wilt thou call this a fast, and an acceptable day to the Lord? Is not this the fast that I have chosen? to loose the bands of wickedness, to undo the heavy burdens, and to let the oppressed go free, and that ye break every yoke?... Then shall thy light break forth as the morning, and thine health shall spring forth speedily... This is the special work now before us. **ALL OUR PRAYING AND ABSTINENCE FROM FOOD WILL AVAIL NOTHING unless we resolutely lay hold of this work. Sacred obligations are resting upon us. Our duty is plainly stated. The Lord has spoken to us by His prophet**’**—EGW, *Testimonies for the Church*, Vol 2, p, 33, 34.*

Tell me Luan, forum, Davidia, can any honestly and without reliance upon their (Henry and Walt’s) “churlish” “lying words” accuse the MSA’s clean-meat-recommended diet to be in contradiction with the recommendations of SOP when she, EGW herself, says that **◆◆ABSTINENCE FROM FOOD WILL AVAIL NOTHING◆◆** unless you turn your hearts to the dispossessed who are outside of Laodicea, outside of our house (read the entire reference)? The SOP commanded us to not speculate upon the identity of the 144,000 assuring us that the elect of God will know without a doubt. Henry’s problem is that he refuses counsel from the Lord’s very elect, the man who reigns in righteousness. This counsel to wait for him to clarify the teachings about the 144,000 was very wise because it obviously calculated the stone-cold hearts of the churlish men who will turn against David when he becomes a champion for the Lord’s chosen, Jerusalem. Such was David’s experience yesterday with the churls:

*“And there was a man in Maon, whose possessions were in Carmel; and the man was very great, and he had three thousand sheep, and a thousand goats: and he was shearing his sheep in Carmel. Now the name of the man was Nabal...but (he) was **CHURLISH AND EVIL** in his doings...And Nabal answered David’s servants, and said, who is David...Shall I then take my bread, and my water, and my flesh that I have killed for my shearers, and give it unto men, whom I know not whence they be...(Nabal) held a feast in his house, like the feast of a king...but it came to pass in the morning, when the wine was gone out of Nabal...that his heart died within him, and he became as a stone. And it came to pass about ten days after, that the Lord smote Nabal, that he died”* —1Sam 25: 1-38.

The parallels are astonishing: Davidia has learned its message from the *Rod* which blossomed in Carmel, and that message has produced many under shepherds who likewise tend to the sheep. However, they have prematurely celebrated their wealth and are, like Nabal, intoxicated with wine which is merely fabled concepts of Christ causing them to lose their way and become uncharitable. Their wine also causes them to snub David because to them he seems insignificant. Notwithstanding, the MSA has answered all of Henry’s charges as well as those of other “churlish” men by the Bible and the SOP. It has brought EGW’s and VTH’s work, especially that pertaining to vegetarianism, into perfect harmony with the Bible and has shown that the Atonement affliction

The Mustard Seed Advent, 20-June-2010

has changed from the requisite of vegetarianism to the requisite of charity towards the Lord's afflicted. It has appealed to the forum and to Henry to embrace the fast that Christ has chosen: to allow their 'charity to suffer long and be kind'. It appeals to all the shepherds who fleece Nabal's sheep, men who have become enriched from the *Rod* movement as taught from Carmel to, soften their hearts so that the Lord Himself does not penalize them with the curse of the churl. It pleads to all to heed David's appeal to "*deal thy bread to the hungry, and that thou bring the poor that are cast out to thy house...then shall thy light break forth as the morning, and thine health shall spring forth speedily*" —Isa 58: 7, 8 (another *Rod* quote ignored by drunken Davidia). This is the fast that Christ has chosen —not vegetarianism, the fast of yesterday's leadership.

Regarding the receipt of more literature, all of your answers are contained in the upcoming release, and it will be in your mail box merely days after you request it.

May the Lord give you victory to surmount this challenge.

Sincerely,

Derek