

24-Mar-10

Hallowed be Thy Name

Jonathan,

Several papers have been written by the MSC to expose the fallacies of those who have presumed to know how to pronounce the name of God and Jesus. Count this E-mail as yet another of those papers. I am certain that it will not convert to wisdom either you or Jeanie, the hard core proponents of the *Feminist Branch Movement*, but many from other churches who are honest victims of this deception can become enlightened. In fact, I pray that this is a new avenue of the MSA's expansion.

To give some background to our discussion, Jeanie called another brother's counsel to the forum, relating to a different issue, "garbage". If it, that expression as used by the MSA and as related back to her work sounds harsh, remember, she chose the terminology. Instead of that condescending term being her conclusion which summarized a well structured and logical line of reasoning—a legitimate tactic that coherent teachers often deploy—it was an unsubstantiated injection, a name-calling, angry invective comparable to the style of a gutter-dwelling drunk and not a Bible teacher. In reply, the MSA did the honorable thing; it listed more than five doctrines which she teaches; it showed that they have no foundation from Scripture; then it concluded that, with such teachings, she evidently has no capacity to recognize doctrinal garbage. The five points can be generalized as follows:

1. Jeanie teaches that proper Sabbath keeping should not just be tied to the Law as declared from Sinai in approximately BC 1491; instead she skips Genesis, Exodus Leviticus, Numbers and Deuteronomy and references Isaiah 66: 23, a work, a prophecy—not a commandment—handed to the church some 800 years later. She does so to convince Sabbath keepers about the proper way to honor the Sabbath. This is unfortunate because it suggests that Christ, in the days immediately following Sinai, punished His church injudiciously by holding them to a standard that was not properly articulated until Isaiah's prophecy which, according to Jeanie, expresses the correct way to observe the Sabbath. **You, Johnathan, were silent on this MSA point.**
2. The MSA further stated that Jeanie is a woman who elevates the work of the feminist, the late Lois Roden, to the level of the Bible vaunting her *Female-Holy-Spirit Doctrine* above the plain, life-saving *Testimony of Jesus*, a Testimony handed to us directly from Father, and a Testimony which clearly says that the Comforter is a male. **You also gave no reply to this point.**
3. Then the MSA asserted that Jeanie uses strange, seemingly ever-changing, names to represent Jesus, Christ, and Father/God, names that have no validation in Scripture and names that were created by men—**you did not reply to this.** (A) She does so thinking that she is speaking Hebrew, a language that, according to scholars, has been extinct for approximately 2500 years—**bingo, to this you made several replies which will be answered below.** (B) She advances these things even when the Comforter, in the *Book of Acts* inspired the disciples to speak to the faithful Jews in Jerusalem at Pentecost, men from every nation, in their own, "pagan" tongue to describe Jesus and God. **You did not reply to this.**
4. She translates the name Jehovah to Yah thinking that she is impressing God, not realizing that Jehovah and Yah were the names given to Christ and not to the Almighty. This she does unwittingly in honor of Emperor Constantine who deceived her to believe that Christ is the same as the Almighty. **You have never replied to this fact.**
5. She speaks of the family of God in heaven thinking that God has a wife and that Christ has a sister. She perpetrates these lies even though Christ, in *Matt 12* pointed to the disciples and said that they are His mother, His brother, and His sister as long as they do the will of the Father. **You did not reply to this.**

Hallowed be Thy Name

The list which includes seven summary indictments against Jeanie, seven reasons to legitimately define her doctrines as “garbage,” caused you to rush to her side and to inject yourself into the discussion to obviously protect her image and to ignore the Bible’s reputation. Your reply failed because it only produced from you a defense of one charge, the extinction of the Hebrew language. Does this mean that you agree with the other six charges? Does it mean that the MSA is correct when it says that, based upon her six other points, Jeanie teaches “garbage” in all her Bible classes? Or does it simply mean that you support her above the Bible on the other points indicating that your head has been filled with her “garbage”?

In a continuing discussion regarding bullet point three, item (A) above you quote Luke 23: 38 which says “**a superscription also was written over him in letters of Greek, and Latin, and Hebrew...**”. Your use of this in no way refutes the MSA’s citation of history to prove that the Hebrew language is a dead language. It does not prove that Hebrew was spoken or understood, merely written. Likewise, it does not prove that the common man understood the Greek or the Latin. In fact, the use of a superscription in Greek and Latin shows that Jerusalem was a city linguistically located between three dominant languages. To use an illustration: It is like saying that since Latin is superscripted on the back of our money, that such is proof that Americans speak Latin. There are scholars who read Latin today, just as there were scholars in the days of Jesus who read Greek, Latin, and Hebrew. Such people, those who read Hebrew, were masters of the Bible, for this they were called Rabbis —see Matt 23: 8. Paul is thought to be classed in this group; Jesus was known as such a master or scholar —see John 7: 14. If the language was still vibrant, then it would be the common language of the people just as it was at Sinai. There the men did not need Rabbis to understand Christ or Moses. The scrolls of the Bible were probably well preserved in the days of Calvary; this I do not dispute. Pronouncing the vowels, consonants, digraphs, and diphthongs by the commoner required scholarship.

Your point that Paul was a master of the Hebrew tongue, that he spoke it to an audience, and that Jesus therewith spoke to him cannot win the day for you. At the very best it can give you and Jeanie a single string, one out of seven, to hang your heresy. And should that string endure, the only benefit that you receive there from is a concession that the scholar’s date for the complete demise of the Hebrew tongue must be moved forward from BC 500 to sometime near AD 60 or shortly thereafter. The death of a tongue is nearly impossible to pinpoint. But we can know for a certainty, with Bible evidence, that the language has passed into oblivion by virtue of the fact that they, Rabbis today, needed to recreate the sounds of the language, in the mid 1800’s.

See evidence presented in *Father’s Day and Tongues of Heaven*, 17-Jun-07 E-mail. Therein VTH is quoted; he described in 11Tr, *Titles not Restricted to One Language* p. 10, 11 his views. This article is located on my web site (Mustardseedadvent.com under Resources).

The experts of the mid 1800s could not even go to a historically preserved homeland of the Hebrews and learn the language as it had evolved over time from the natives therein; so they simply became creative, linguistic, engineers. This shows you that the language was dead. When it died, it really does not matter. The Bible evidence is even more solid. Paul, the one whom you champion as your expert witness, condemns your teaching that Hebrew could be an everlasting language. He said, “**Charity never faileth: but whether there be prophecies, they shall fail: whether there be tongues, they shall cease; whether there be knowledge, it shall vanish away**”—1Cor 13: 8. All earthly languages, by virtue of ever advancing etymology, pass into oblivion just as Paul promised. Hebrew is a language, a tongue, Hebrew was scheduled to fail. The bigger point, the one which the MSA has for three years trumpeted to the forum, is that the rewards extended to those who show sympathy for the oppressed, the sons of America’s former slaves, charity; those rewards will never fail. God will always bless the charitable. Back to language etymology: Think for a moment, what noun would Moses use to identify a car, a cigarette, a refrigerator, a computer mouse, one of the many body organs identified by modern science, a microwave oven, and airplane, a university, a text book, etc? What verbs would he use to describe their functions? He would do as all languages do with new inventions, concepts, and phrases that influence their culture; they integrate them into their language. Pepsi Cola, McDonalds, Tiger Woods, karma, karate, ginseng, etc are all cases in

The Mustard Seed Advent, 24-Mar-2010

point. These brand names cross many languages today. The Hebrew tongue went into Egyptian slavery and 200 years later came out transformed. 1500 years later, like all other languages, it became transformed with the advances of time. Thanks to God, the scrolls were preserved for the scholars and the translators, and to a point, there was an indigenous population that allowed Hebrew to flex with time. This kept the law and the prophecy from losing meaning. Each Sabbath they were reinforced to the people; the devout Hebrews kept them as frontlets before their eyes every day. But common language expands beyond religious themes. Today, even the Latin and the Greek spoken at Calvary would not be recognized, and those languages, unlike Hebrew, had native populations, settled in their native lands, a people, again unlike the Hebrews, who have been relatively free from captivity and dispersion these past 2000 years; they keep their ever-evolving language. But for the Hebrews, dispersion really wreaks havoc upon a culture and a language. It greatly narrows the population who have preserved the language, and be it not mistaken, the Hebrew people were dispersed several times in their 3500 year history including AD 70. Yet, even without dispersion, the language evolves. To illustrate, consider the English language. Middle English, covering a period from 1100 to 1500 AD, is impossible to understand by the untrained. It is a language that has ceased. Below is an illustration lifted from a text searched out over the internet:

[Pat oþer dai, it schal so lowe alizt; þat vnneþe men schul it se; Alle þe fisses þe þrid day; abouen þe water schull be, & so reuly a cri ʒiuen; þat all men schullen haue fere; þe fierþe day, water schal brenne...]

I only recognize a few words of this text written more than 500 years ago, and English is my native tongue. Imagine the impossibility for the common man in the days of Calvary seeking to understand the language of Moses, some 1500 years ago, language that was not recorded in Scripture. Again, I say, not recorded in Scripture, because reading the Scripture from generation to generation would serve as a preservative from change for those themes therein contained. But, to preserve the full scope of the language much more must be understood: You must be able to articulate in the matters of day-to-day communion. For example, a first century Rabbi trained in Hebrew would not know in his day or in Moses' day how to say the following: "Pause the remote; I want to get a sandwich and fries at McDonalds then watch Tiger Woods in the US Open on the big screen." Everybody, not just Rabbis, who speaks English understands this; however, tomorrow that will not be the case. But phrases such as "thou shalt not kill" and "I will pour out my Spirit upon all flesh" will endure for a long time in a Christian community. Statements akin to the first one, only with different nouns and verbs were made by commoners on a day to day basis in Israel, and real meaning was transferred. However, the man at Calvary, the master of Hebrew, could probably not relate to such Hebrew expressions unless it was contemporary to his day. The expressions that were contemporary to him, were derivatives of other tongues. The name "Christ," we are told by scholars, is an excellent case in point. It is not found in the OT, and it was borrowed from the Greek; yet, the scholars understood it. This is the reason why the church had Rabbis; it needed people to pull meaning from the literature so that the masses of the people could be taught. But as the nation was dispersed, more stress to preserve the dying language was realized. Relief from this stress came from King James; he relieved the burden of the Catholic masses to understand, on an individual level, the Bible. My study of *Isa 57* solidifies this point. To the contrary, we have absolutely no affirmation to validate Jeanie's 19th century Rabbi's.

To further fortify your fragile string upon which you dangle your deception, you quote Matt 24: 35 where it says, "*Heaven and earth shall pass away, but my words shall not pass away.*" This you use to suggest that the Lord's enunciations or pronunciations would never change. In this you greatly stretch logic for the Lord's words are preserved through translation —not through the reiteration of the sounds that rolled from His tongue. For example, Jesus said, "*If a man keep my sayings, he shall never see death*" —John 8: 51, also "*For God so loved the world, that He gave His only begotten Son, that whosoever believeth in him should not perish, but have everlasting life*" —John 3: 16. For us to understand His meaning, why would these words need to be presented in

Hallowed be Thy Name

Hebrew? Does not every culture know about life and death? Do they not have much experience with perishing people? This message does not need to be repeated in Aramaic or Hebrew to be understood; modern English can exactly communicate the very same theme and lose nothing in the translation. Therefore, Jesus' promise that His word shall not pass; is accomplished through translation. Pentecost proves this point precisely and irrefutably:

“And when the day of Pentecost was fully come...there were dwelling at Jerusalem Jews, devout men, out of every nation under heaven...the multitude came together, and were confounded, because that every man heard them speak in his own language. And they were all amazed and marveled, saying one to another, Behold, are not all these which speak Galilaeans...But Peter, standing up with the eleven...and said unto them...hearken to my words...But this is that which was spoken by the prophet Joel; and it shall come to pass in the last days, saith God, I will pour out my Spirit upon all flesh...Ye men of Israel, hear these words; Jesus of Nazareth, a man approved of God among you by miracles and wonders and signs, which God did by him in the midst of you...”
—Acts 2: 5-7, 14, 15-17, 22.

Gathered in Jerusalem were devout Jews from “every nation”; men who did not speak Hebrew. Thus, their tongue had to be a “pagan” tongue. Unbothered by this, God preserving His word, quoted Joel and other texts to them. If nothing else, this shows that the Lord's word which He promised “shall not pass away” was honored by heaven's translation of that very Word. At Pentecost, Jews from England who spoke English, from Algeria who spoke Algerian, from Sweden who spoke Swedish all heard the names Jesus and God in their own tongue. Using today's renditions of the name God, some would have heard Dios (Spanish), others, Allah (Arabic), still others, Dieu (French) etc. None heard Jeanie's rendition, Yashua or Yahovah, because, for the latter, that name was manufactured from, at best four consonants, Yhwh (See VTH, Tr. 11, p 10). But, in final analysis, the Holy Spirit spoke to the multitude using the name of the Highest or Almighty, such a name can never be confused, for whatever lies the devil may have told, only One Person can be the Highest. This is true whatever tongue that a man speaks. This brings us to a point of perplexity: How could the pagans of foreign lands know God so that their “devout Jews” who lived with them could hear righteousness when the Comforter used the name that their pagan neighbors assigned to God? They did not, and you can be absolutely certain that they had great misconceptions of His identity, but to define God all the devout Jews in their land needed to know was that He was the Highest and the Almighty. Believe it or not, Christian's have the same problem: None have known God until the MSA. Jesus said, “...**no man knoweth who the Son is, but the Father; and who the Father is but the Son**” —Luke 10: 22. This is why many mistakenly think that it was He, the Highest, Who presided over the OT church. Consider yours and Jeanie's empty theology as exposed in point number four above. You both believe that the Almighty was the God of the Hebrews who led them to salvation from slavery when the Bible says that it was Christ, that Christ inherited Israel. When you deny Christ's inheritance, His marvelous works in the OT, then your evil is magnified far beyond any credit you will win for careful pronunciation of His name. These things you are guilty of with your deceptive doctrines. For we are told “**When the Most High divided to the nations their inheritance, when he separated the sons of Adam, he set the bounds of the people according to the number of the children of Israel. For the ♦♦LORD'S♦♦ portion is his people: Jacob is the lot of his inheritance...he kept him as the apple of his eye**” —Deut 32: 8-10. Christ, the Lord, (see Matt 7: 22) did not inherit all nations after the flood. He only inherited Jacob. He inherited him from One Who was Greater, the Almighty, the One who kept the other nations —perhaps in a special, unrecorded way. David affirms this when he declared, “**Arise, O God, judge the earth: for thou shalt inherit all nations**”—Ps 82: 8. David declares that today, in the judgment, God (Christ) was to inherit all the nations. But inherit from whom?— from the same Power Whom He inherited Israel, the one nation, over 3800 years ago. You must understand, David's son, the *mustard seed*, was to declare the name of Christ and show the distinctions between Christ and Father; thus, ancient David, refers to Christ as God. His confusion was legitimate because Christ legally identified Himself to Israel as the Lord God of Israel. He could do so fully deserving the title, “God”, not just because God gave Christ power of Attorney to represent Him thus —see John 5: 19-23— but also because Christ was given, as His inheritance, Israel by the Almighty. But David was straight on this thing; that is, the God of Israel

The Mustard Seed Advent, 24-Mar-2010

did not possess all the nations in his day and would only do so today, in the last day. The Patriarchs likewise were not revealed the true identity of Christ: “**And God spake unto Moses, and said unto him, I am the ♦♦LORD♦♦** (the One who inherited Israel from the Almighty); **and I appeared unto Abraham, unto Isaac, and unto Jacob by the name of God Almighty, but by my name JEHOVAH was I not known to them**”—Ex 6: 2, 3. The name Jehovah or Yah did not belong to the Almighty but to the Lord, the One Who inherited Jacob from the Almighty. He was the One of Whom Paul said, “...*for they drank of that spiritual Rock that followed them: and that Rock was Christ*”—1Cor 10: 4. Thus you and Jeanie are technically wrong in your new name theology. You call the Almighty, Yah, when that name belongs to Another, One Who is not as great as the Almighty (see John 10: 29) —and to think that you have been duped by the devil to teach others to pray to Father in such ignorance —garbage indeed!

Furthermore, it is the height of arrogance for any to presume that their pronunciation of God’s name is proper, that we must be retrained beyond the Christian teachings to speak and to address our loving Father, and that others, men whose credibility we cannot gauge, are needed to teach us how to pray to Him. All who have been trapped into this deception must be immediately told that Christ, at Father’s command, taught us already how to petition the Almighty: “...**one of his disciples said unto him, Lord, teach us to pray...and he said unto them, when ye pray, say, Our Father which art in heaven, Hallowed be thy name...**” —Luke 11: 1, 2. Notice the difference between Jeanie’s and your appeal from that of the true disciples: You seek to destroy the connection of love between God and man. The *disciples indeed*, those who seek to do the will of the Father and therefore address Him the way that they have been told —regardless of their tongue— forge an intimate relationship with Him: The Almighty becomes their Father. A Father is a provider, a protector, a caretaker, and a disciplinarian. All nations, pagan or otherwise, even those in the most remote jungle, understand the connection between a father and his child. The name “Yah” is completely abstract as it has absolutely no such meaning to them. Thus, the Christian calls the Almighty, Father, and they respect the fact that His personal name is hallowed. According to Webster, hallowed means, **holy consecrated, sacred, revered**. Do you believe that Father’s name is hallowed? —Christ, Jesus, and God do! Can you and Jeanie hold it to be sacred and revered? On this point, the MSA must judge you: According to the seven points above, you cannot even be trusted to deem the Bible holy and sacred. You know that all the words uttered by Christ came directly from Father’s dictates (John 12: 48-50, 8: 26-28); yet you sacrilegiously, like pigs with pearls in their pen, trample them underfoot. Christ, from the dictates of Father, told you many times that the Comforter was a male; you trample that pearl. He told you that the divine family, the brothers, sisters, and mothers of Christ will be comprised of the disciples, those who do the will of the Father, and you also trample that point. The list goes on and on. Therefore, you are judged enemies of the Father; you have no reverence for His will. You could never be trusted to know His name. You, Jeanie, and the *Feminist Branch* adherents must first learn to respect the Lord’s testimony and the way that He commanded you to pray. If you cannot dedicate and consecrate yourselves to show that much honor, then you certainly cannot be trusted to deal with something that both Christ and Father consider even more sacred and “hallowed”.

May God bless this document so that the disciples can distribute it to Sabbath keepers, unrelated to the *Feminist Branch*, to people who have been duped by this same deception but who honestly desire to hallow the Father’s name.

Sincerely,

Derek