

02-Aug-2010

Head-Long Dive into Darkness

Brother Mbanyele,

It seems as if we have developed a dialog. I responded to your forum-based discussion with Walt and Henry; then, you replied to me. Afterwards, I replied back to you which brings me to this letter, my reply to you again after your re-reply.

Let me give a brief synopsis of our conversation so that I can bring all who appraise this discussion up to speed. You attempted to establish a doctrine pertaining to the 144,000 based upon EGW's statement from her book, *The Great Controversy*, p 648. Your position is the traditional, age-old, non-Bible validated position of the SDA church. You assert, based solely upon her statement in the GC, that the 144,000 are to emerge after probation has closed rendering the traditional Davidian position to be incorrect. If, as you further reason, the 144,000 are to emerge at a time when they stand before God without a mediator, then, the age of divine mediation being forever closed, they cannot be instruments to harvest the great multitude. This highly discredited doctrine seeks, by inference, to make the great multitude described in *Rev seven* to be the resurrected dead of all ages who come forward in the first resurrection. Walt, the moderator of the forum, one who is obviously pretending to defend and define the Davidian faith, subtly saluted you on your logic. Before continuing, I must cite to all a truncated version of your favored EGW statement. She says the following:

“Upon the crystal sea before the throne...With the Lamb upon Mount Zion...they stand, the hundred and forty and four thousand that were redeemed from among men...And they sing ‘a new song’ before the throne, a song which no man can learn save the hundred and forty and four thousand. It is the song of Moses and the Lamb—a song of deliverance...it is the song of their experience—an experience such as no other company have ever had...These, having been translated from the earth, from among the living, are counted as ‘the first fruits unto God and to the Lamb.’ Revelation 15:2, 3; 14:1-5. ‘These are they which came out of great tribulation;’ they have passed through the time of trouble such as never was since there was a nation; they have endured the anguish of the time of Jacob's trouble; THEY HAVE STOOD WITHOUT AN INTERCESSOR through the final outpouring of God's judgments. ...” —EGW, *Great Controversy*, p. 648, 649.

Completely ignored by you, my reply was that, by EGW's very own decree, SDA are ignorant of many of the terms deployed in this her reference and consequently cannot accurately forge any opinions from it. This being the case, based upon Bible-validated gems of truth which have been freshly revealed, the MSC is postured doctrinally to sustain the Holy-Spirit inspired, EGW above quote as correct and your traditional exegesis to be errant and devoid of coherent and reasonable conclusions. She uses terms such as the “Lamb”, such as the 144,000 being “redeemed”, such as standing “without an intercessor”, such as “God's judgments”, such as, “the time of Jacob's trouble”, etc, which the church had then and now still has very little understanding: They cannot define her terminology. Consequently, being so saturated with ignorance, neither you nor they can possibly forge a doctrine about the 144,000 from her statement. Your inability to so do is —not because EGW is in the wrong or because the Holy Spirit erred, but— because you and the SDA brethren have played the fool all these years by rejecting advanced, Bible light from heaven which would have given you a greater understanding of the meaning of her terms and yielded a different conclusion from her Holy-Spirit inspired statement in GC 648. EGW said as much in the following quote, again ignored by you, that I cited to you in my original letter. It reads as follows:

Head-Long Dive into Darkness

“No one can search the Scriptures in the spirit of Christ without being rewarded. When man is willing to be instructed as a little child, when he submits wholly to God, he will find the truth in His word. If men would be obedient, they would understand the plan of God’s government. The heavenly world would open its chambers of grace and glory for exploration. Human beings would be altogether different from what they now are, for by exploring the mines of truth men would be ennobled. The MYSTERY OF REDEMPTION, THE INCARNATION OF CHRIST, HIS ATONING SACRIFICE, would not be as they are now, VAGUE IN OUR MINDS. They would be not only better understood, but altogether more highly appreciated.” —EGW’s Holy-Spirit-inspired statement, *Christ Object Lessons*, p. 114

She says that the truth is to be found in the Bible. Her entire ministry, her most dominant theme is that we should establish all doctrine from the Bible. In the above quote she shows the reward given to those who heed her Bible-searching formula for doctrine: They are given understanding about **“the plan of God’s government”**. Then, as underlined in her quote, she lists three other doctrines, aside from **the plan of God’s government**, which were vague in the minds of all nominal SDA. They are redemption, the incarnation of Christ, and the atoning sacrifice. Clearly, being so dastardly ignorant of these three topics, you are not ready to draw conclusions from the quote that you use, GC, 648. This you cannot do because, to understand the Lamb, you must fully understand the **“incarnation of Christ”**. Also, to understand the concept of an intercessor, you must fully understand the Lord’s **“atoning sacrifice”**. Finally, to discern that the 144,000 are redeemed, the first fruits, who have successfully passed through Jacob’s trouble, etc, you must understand the **“mystery of redemption”**. None can ever hope to teach on these doctrines from the empty and vague mind-frame of the lukewarm angel of Laodicea.

Receiving a copywrite in 1888, the book, *Great Controversy*, was written over 120 years ago. Since then Seventh-day Adventists have not developed nor advanced their views on any of those four listed topics mentioned in EGW’s inspired commentary, *Christ Object Lesson*. Anyone deployed by the Holy Spirit to reveal new light they have rejected, despised, and condemned. And now you write to me conveying a desire to have a softer demeanor or tone. How is it that you do so in the face of 80 years of the same embittered, SDA hostilities to any who accepted the *Rod* message? Is it not better that you hear this biting and straight-testimonial reply from the MSA, aka, Elijah, allowing you the chance to become converted rather than the prospects of hearing it from Christ and then being eternally banished?

In your latest E-mail reply, you wrote,

“Derek, since this is a (sic) discussion forum where we study the bible together, I suggest we treat it as thus with all due respect. Your outburst leaves much to be desired on your part, seeing that you don’t want to be challenged on your traditional view. I suggest that we discuss rather than badmouthing others on this forum.” —Your E-mail, Thursday, July 29, 2010, 6: 53 AM

You call for respect, but I ask, “What shall be the basis of my respect?” Shall I extend it to those who have spent their theological careers seeking to kill (remove from the books of life by disfellowship) heaven’s agents of truth, Davidians? Shall such murderers who crucify the Lord afresh be given “respect” because, as pretentious “gentlemen”, they choose to subvert the Lord’s salvation and lead others to join them in their march to the flames of hell? Shall I respect them because they seek to honor the work of EGW by ignoring her most incessant and dominant theme, the teaching that we should never elevate her work to be equal to the Bible? I need a better reason than these to forge respect.

Next, you pose the following question as well:

“If you believe that the writing of Ellen White were inspired by the Holy Spirit then it means all her [sic] writings are biblical for the same Spirit that inspired the bible was the one who inspired Ellen White. Holy Spirit cannot contract Himself” —Thursday, July 29, 2010 6:53 AM.

This statement—though it be considered logical to the simple, vague-minded, sleepy-eyed Laodicean—is a non-biblical presumption that cannot be established by either the Scripture or the SOP. It is spurious, fortune-cookie theology: It is the predication of one’s hope on mystical

The Mustard Seed Advent, 02-Aug-2010

channels of light. Christ (in *Luke 10*, *John 16* and other places) resoundingly defeats your concept of heaven's government, its inspired rank—a thing that you do not realize because you have failed to follow EGW's counsel so as to discern **“the plan of God's government”**. Also, the Comforter's ministry as expressed in *Heb five and six*, *Eph four*, *1Cor 13*, *1Cor three*, *Zech one*, etc likewise defeats your mystical conclusion. Finally, EGW in many places (but you can begin by her reference cited above in COL 114) unequivocally condemns your conclusion that her work must be measured on an equal basis with the Bible; she resoundingly dashes your presumptuous, Holy-Spirit-blaspheming sin. Another reference to validate EGW's place in God's government, a place ranked lower than the Bible is shown as follows:

“God has given them light, and it is their privilege to cherish the light and to speak of it to strengthen and encourage one another. Brother J would confuse the mind by seeking to make it appear that the light God has given through the Testimonies is an addition to the word of God, but in this he presents the matter in a false light. God has seen fit in this manner to bring the minds of His people to His word, to give them a clearer understanding of it”—E G White, *Testimonies for the Church*, Vol 4. p 245.

So you have it from Christ, from the Comforter, and from EGW: All of those sources clearly express the theme of a church, as is the case with all human children, being nurtured on milk doctrines, teachings which must be abandoned when the strong meat is served. Nonetheless, you assert that your doctrine from GC 648 is sound; yet, you completely ignore the other statement cited now to you twice, the statement from COL 114 which expresses the vacuous gap of understanding which exists in your and the church's mind. The MSC celebrates all of EGW's statements. I am glad that you opened up GC 648 in your letter; it actually helps me in my ministry. To the contrary, you ignore the one (COL 114), and perhaps the other (4T), all while you seek to elevate GC 648. So I ask you, who is the true believer in the writings of EGW!? It is obvious now that your above assertion condemns you.

Why have you and your nominal, SDA church leaders fallen head long into such a dark ditch? Is it not because you represent the blind leading the blind? You suffer the penalty expressed above to you from EGW by failing to heed her counsel when she said, **“When man is willing to be instructed as a little child, when he submits wholly to God, he will find the truth in His word. If men would be OBEДИENT, they would understand the plan of God's government...”** You disobediently seek your light on the 144,000 from her writings; thus, you cannot **“find the truth”**. You commit the sin of presumption: You presume that because the Holy Spirit inspires a person, that then such light cannot ever be contradicted by advanced truth. This seems logical under the banner of Papal theology because they believe that Jesus, Christ, the Comforter, and God are all the same being; this is their doctrine of Tri-Unity. This 1700-year-old, Constantinian fable is destroyed when you understand the truth about **“the incarnation of Christ”**, when you know that, as promised in *Deut 18*, He, Christ, was to hide Himself upon a separate and distinct Person, *the Prophet like unto Moses*, Jesus, so as to speak more pleasantly to His church. Then, after His testimony, He promised that the Father would send another personality, the Comforter. The Comforter would, step by step guide us into all truth and would do so by partial prophecy: for He Himself inspired Paul to say,

“...whether there be prophecies they shall fail...For we know in part and we prophesy in part. But when that which is perfect is come, then that which is in part shall be done away. When I was a child, I spake as a child, I understood as a child, I thought as a child: but when I became a man, I PUT AWAY childish things. For now we see through a glass darkly: but then face to face: now I know in part; but then shall I know even as also I am known.”—1Cor 13: 8-12.

Much writing has already been devoted to this subject by the MSC. Suffice it to say that when you abandon your love for the Papacy's **“dirt”**—he **“cast down the truth to the ground; and it practiced, and prospered** (Dan 8: 12)—then you will know that They are all separate Individuals, and that the Father is the Highest. This being so, you will understand more of **“the plan of His government”**, His zeal to manage Christ, Jesus, and the Comforter to establish His eternal enclave of power among humanity.

Head-Long Dive into Darkness

Finally, you quoted my letter to you and gave a reply:

“Hi Derek...you wrote:”

‘As far as softening my voice to meet some hazy, non-biblical concept of polite, ministerial conversation, this appeal from you is likewise duplicitous. There are lives at stake. There are Davidians on the forum who are exposed to your sophistry who have never identified themselves to the forum. The five or six people who constantly dominate discussion is the tip of the iceberg. My job is not to convince the “iceberg tip”; they have already turned their backs upon Christ. My mission is (to) prepare the other Davidians, the silent majority, to be able to pass the judgment.’ —MSC E-mail reply to Reginald, Monday, July 19, 2010, 6:24 PM.

“me here (?): the ones that have turned their backs upon Christ, Is this because they don't agree with you? How do you know they have turned their backs upon Christ? What do you use to measure that?”

—E-mail reply from Reginald to the MSC, Thursday, 29, 2010, 6:53 AM; parenthesis added.

Again to know who has turned their backs upon Christ is to know the plan of redemption and the full truth about the incarnation. These issues cannot be as they are with you, vague concepts in your mind. It is also necessary to know the government of God, to know how He deploys His forces to save humanity. Once this is known, then you will understand that the *Testimony of Jesus* for three-and-one-half years represented an unadulterated message dictated to Christ from the Highest, Father (See John 12: 48-50; 14: 24; etc). Based upon your question, you seem to feel that God’s servants will not be given the authority to judge, to discern who has turned their back on Christ. Father did not command, and Jesus never said that we could not judge, that we could not remove the mote from our brother’s eye. He said, that we must first remove the beam from our eyes, the object which prevents us from knowing about the incarnation of Christ, then we will be able to see clearly and have the ability to identify the mote that is in our brother’s eyes —See Matt 7: 1-5. Since Christ is our light, then the beam could be nothing other than the obstruction of our full ability to discern His identity, to see Him. Once it is removed, then we can and should remove the mote in our brother’s eyes. To be more specific, the removal of the beam is the rejection of the 1700-year-old Constantinian theme of Christ; it is to learn more about His incarnation. “The five or six people” on the forum to whom my letter to you referred, all reject the MSC’s advanced and perfect teaching of Christ. They refuse to believe the MSC’s claim that Jesus did not pre-exist as Christ; they refuse to believe that He was *the Prophet like unto Moses* whom Christ descended upon in the form of a dove. Worse still, they all refuse to believe the *Testimony of Jesus*, that it, in its restated form—its proverbial form made “plain”— will win life for humanity. Therefore, based upon that very Testimony, they have turned their backs on Christ. He said, **“If a man love me, he will keep my words...He that loveth me not keepeth not my sayings: and the word which ye hear is not mine, but the Father’s which sent me”** —John 14: 23, 24. In this vein does the MSC judge in righteousness.

Having answered your questions, perhaps you can answer mine: How can you profess to embrace the writings of EGW, knowing as does the MSC that they were inspired by the Holy Spirit, and yet resoundingly reject and ignore the references which do not suit your ideas? Under what concept of the Comforter’s inspiration do you deploy to allow you to exalt GC 648 over the Bible and over COL 114? Do you feel foolish in your hypocrisy? If not, what spiritual opiate do you use to assure you that you are not diving head long into the bottomless pit?

Sincerely,

Derek