

06-Dec-2010

CAPTION: “... *If some parents can demonstrate such wise foresight, then why not God? Many will, at the birth of their child, make an investment to finance college cost 18 years thereafter. Their prudence goes even further: They train the child from the cradle to receive that future benefit so that, when he matures, the inflated money will be in place to finance all school costs. This lesson is what the parable of the seed sower seeks to communicate: In the day of harvest, the wise ought not merely seek the original seed. Again, a sample of this wisdom can be seen by the secular maturation of this Christian nation—even amidst all her sins—she was in place to rise and defeat Hitler’s hell-inspired ambitions. But just imagine how foolish such a college-age child would be to begin his advanced education demanding only the initial investment value. His absurdity illustrates your folly: Instead of praising Father for wisely delivering His church to this hour and for preparing them to receive His gospel and His name in our current context, you distrust His ability to do His job by denying to the church Father’s now-matured blessing and, on the puny shoulders of scientists, you strive to take us back 3500 years, the beginning of the maturation schedule! This goal of yours only seems logical to the superficial for, as stated in Part one, the Lord often hid from the righteous, His identity, as manifested in the below references...*”

Sacred Name, the Fake Quake Shake, Part II

Dear Brother Isra El,

You replied to my letter entitled, *Sacred Name, the Shake of the Fake Quake* inspiring part II. In your reply, you quoted several Old-Testament references which do not answer my doctrine. All the verses cited by you support the MSC’s teaching. I believe that we must now honor the Lord’s name because now is the only day that Christ’s identity is to be revealed. Before we mistook Christ and Jesus for Father; consequently, knowing God’s name without properly identifying the corresponding Personality was an exercise in vanity and futility. You wrote the following:

“Derek West calls me a fake Quake and resorts to name calling when this guy sounds like a total nut job by saying in his writing ‘proves that the Jesus of 2000 years ago’ which is utter nonsense because there was no LETTER J in Hebrew, Greek, or Latin and the name pronounced as Jesus was never even heard by anyone before about 1500.a.d which is being very conservative considering the 1611 King James Bible did not have a letter J yet and not until the 1630 KJV was there a Letter J in the KJV so this is just one way to show that Derek west is a modern traditionalist that rejects to real truth and real history of the messiahs real name that contains the name of the Fathers name!”

“Derek West CANNOT SHOW YOU ANY REFERENCES THAT THE MESSIAHS name was pronounced as :Jesus 2000 years ago because the REFERENCES SIMPLY DO NOT EXIST”—E-mail, Isra El, Sun 12/5/2010 7:48 PM; emphasis belong

It is a shame that you do not have the humility to acknowledge your error. In your initial letter you professed to seek truth in honesty; now, with your above reply you have exposed yourself as a man of dishonor and dishonesty. You keep repeating your contention about the history of the letter “J”; you do so even though The MSC, in its letter to you never took any issue with the Hebrew alphabet nor with the pronunciation of the name, Jesus, 2000 years ago. It uses the name, Jesus, because it points all Christians to the “Only Begotten of the Father”. Apparently, Bible phonics is the center piece of your one-pony show: It is the only leg of logic on which your doctrine can stand. For that

Sacred Name: the Shake Quake of the Fake Quake Part II

reason, you are forced to reforge all arguments to fit your solitary answer which is the proper phonics of antiquity —as so ordained by “omniscient” linguists and archeologists whom you reference. More below will be said about the pronunciation of the name Jesus. For now, I must restate my point, the one which has found you unprepared to answer causing you to ignore it: Jesus healed the sick, fed the hungry, cast out devils, raised the dead and did many other wonderful works for a people who never called Him by His proper name, Jesus. If the masses then could win His grace by instead calling Him, Master, Lord, Rabbi, Son of David etc, then to validate your point, you must explain why humanity cannot do the same today. Also, According to Acts 2: 5, 6, 22, if at Pentecost, the name Jesus could be translated into all languages, then why must I exclude my language, Modern English, from that outpouring of grace? If you cannot give an explanation to answer that objection, then your doctrine —no matter how relevant you presume it to be— is hollow and extraneous. Also, if Jesus is in fact a Person Who is separate and distinct from Christ Who defined His name to be the “God of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob” —Exod 3: 15— then by calling Jesus by the name Christ, after being properly informed, are you not likewise taking His name both Jesus and Christ, in vain by your false identification of them?

In reality, is not your real goal to allure the Lord’s Christian Church, properly named after Christ 2000 years ago, away from their salvation? Salvation, merely being the attainment of freedom from tyranny and death, is the reason for the involvement of all honest people in religion. The path to that goal becomes entangled when the formula of salvation is defined differently by different people. Your work is an example of this: You contend that salvation cannot come to a people who use the letter “J” to identify the Only Begotten of the Father, and that the ancient Hebrew language be reinstalled in our worship; to do otherwise, you suggest, is Baal worship or idolatry. Deliberation on this issue is no longer necessary; we merely need to examine the salvation won for the world already by its victory over Hitler and his Nazis in 1945. Historians prove that the Nazis sought to reinstall their ancient, non-Christian, pagan system of worship —the very thing to which you claim to object. Their fathers, in the first millennium, were human-sacrificing, cremation-burning, devil-worshiping, witchcraft-devoting pagans and Hitler deemed it his calling to reinstall that system of worship. Such was manifested by the holidays, the literature, the justification for brutality, and the symbolisms employed by the Nazis. Japan, Germany’s ally, had a very similar orientation. Yet, none in the Islamic, Catholic, Communist, or pagan countries could find salvational relief from Hitler’s onslaught. It was only when America, the highest Protestant/Christian nation in the world, entered into the fray that salvation was delivered to our planet and freedom from hell was received in 1945. It was the ***letter-J-for-Jesus-using***, Christian church that saved the world then. Such could have never happened had not America been greatly blessed by the Lord. So much so was this true that, by her might, even Jews, whom some presume to speak God’s language correctly, needed the same salvation afforded by the ***letter-J-for-Jesus-using*** Christians. If the Father could grace America, the self-professed-Christian Nation —with all her vast faults and sins— to win salvation for the world, then why cannot the *mustard seed* today use the same tongue that they deployed to also cry out for salvation?

You sent an E-mail reply quoting a plethora of Old-Testament Texts. You did so, as shown above, to prove a point that was never challenged: The Lord does indeed demand that we preserve His name/identity in high regard. Impossible to answer each Text one by one, a few will be cited herein to again establish the MSC’s doctrinal fortress: The precise detail of His name, which means His identity, was to be revealed in the “last day” by His elect. Thus does He say, “**Behold my servant, whom I uphold; mine elect, in whom my soul delighteth; I have put my spirit upon him: he shall bring forth judgment to the Gentiles...I am the Lord: that is my name: and my glory will I not give to another...**” —Isa 42: 1, 8 . You used the last part of that verse and ignored the first part —an obvious stab at deception and sophistry. You sought to conceal the fact that we must look for the Lord’s Elect, Derek West, to identify His name. Derek has done so by quoting the Lord’s very own words. Again He said, “**...Many shall come in my name, saying, I am Christ; and shall deceive many.**” —Matt 24: 5. This is a statement by the Lord Himself. Affirmation is positive then, that this revelation, one first delivered to the church by the *mustard seed*, showing that the name of the Eternal Son, by Father’s own testimony, is Christ. This fact now affirmed, then

those today who were given victory over the occult worshippers, Adolph Hitler and his cronies, must parlay their hard fought freedom into a great respect for the word which Christ uttered. Today the “real Christians”, the *disciples indeed*, have advanced even higher up the ladder of truth: They know that Jesus’ testimony was dictated by Father through Christ by way of Jesus’ personage. The victims of Hitler’s occultic onslaught were crushed because, like you, they did not have such respect! Real religion is not merely expressed by words; it is manifested in accomplishments and in victory.

The MSC has proven that Christ is the Eternal Son of the Highest; He is not the Highest Himself, and those who suffer under Constantine’s, AD-325, Triunitarian deceptions mispronounce His name, His identity, by their confusion on this point. Christ said, the Father is Greater than I (See John 10: 29, Matt 19: 17, etc.). Christ’s mission was to declare to the church the very existence of the Father proving that beforehand, all during the OT age, none knew of Him —let alone His name. He said, **“Father, the hour is come; glorify thy Son...And this is life eternal, that they might know thee the only true God, and Jesus Christ, whom thou hast sent. I have glorified thee on the earth: I have finished the work which thou gavest me to do...I have manifested thy name unto the men which thou gavest me”** —John 17: 1, 3, 4, 6. If you desire salvation, then you had better believe these words of Christ. He gave to us God’s identity; He commanded us to call Him Father; He said His precise name is “hallowed” —see Matt 6: 9. He taught us to pray this very theme. The name Father can be translated into any language and can yield the exact same meaning; so let us stop being foolish! All humans have fathers; so all can convey the exact meaning when they use their common tongue to petition the Holy Father in their own tongue. This was proven in America’s victory in 1945. Yet, today, the last day, we need more than we did in 1945; we need life without death; therefore, we must do that which has never been done in any other age: We must distinguish Christ’s identity; He is the only way to the Father. This is what the OT texts meant by their prophecies to declare the Lord’s name. Christ distinguished between Himself and Father by claiming that the Father sent Jesus Christ. Thus, you must know the identity of all three, Jesus, Christ, and Father.

Deut 18 clearly expresses this theme. Before expounding further, it must be shown that, on the eve of Israel’s liberation, He defined Himself —not as the God of the universe, nor the God of all nations, but— the God of Israel. He said, **“I am that I am: and he said, Thus shalt thou say unto the children of Israel, I AM hath sent me unto you. And God said moreover unto Moses, Thus shalt thou say unto the children of Israel, the Lord God of your fathers, the God of Abraham, the God of Isaac, and the God of Jacob, hath sent me unto you: this is my name forever”** —Exod 3: 14, 15. This person, identified to the world by the *mustard seed*, is Christ, not Father. He made a contract with them at Sinai creating the church. Thus, when Israel, being frightened out of their wits, demanded that Christ no longer speak to them directly but through Moses, the Lord had a fascinating reply. He could have said that He was finished speaking to them as He had already given to them the Ten Commandments. Instead of so replying, He promised to speak to them more fully by raising up—not Himself, not Moses, not Father, but Jesus— Another Man, a *Prophet like unto Moses*, and Israel was to be measured by their standing with Him. Proving that Christ was not the same man as Jesus. He said,

“They have well spoken, that which they have spoken. I will raise them up a Prophet from among their brethren, like unto thee, and will put my words in his mouth: and he shall speak unto them all that I shall command him. And it shall come to pass, that whosoever will not hearken unto my words which he shall speak in my name, I will require it of him” —Deut 18: 17- 19.

Christ, the Power Whom you call YHWH, was to hide Himself upon the Prophet Whom I freely, without any Bible-based guilt, call, Jesus, and together they were to give the world their formula over hell and, they were also to identify the “Almighty” Whom Christ commanded us to call —not Yhwh, but— Father. He said, **“after this manner therefore pray ye: Our Father which art in heaven, Hallowed be thy name”** —Matt 6: 9. This we know, again from the Bible, was a successful

Sacred Name: the Shake Quake of the Fake Quake Part II

formula for salvation because the names of Jesus and Father were translated into every tongue to build America, a nation soon to shortly fall under her own corruption but one who, in her zenith, defeated the forces of hell and saved the Jewish people from bearing the full fruits of their own apostasy. America will shortly fall because she has to pay for her own sins. But it is remarkable that she, in her corrupt state, has prevailed above all other nations of the earth, a blessing that only Father could grace. She calls herself a Christian nation; however, she slides further and further away from that ideal. Yet, to escape her down fall, we must embrace another divinely installed authority, the *mustard seed*, the ministry of Derek West. Such is needed to re-articulate and explain the Testimony given 2000 years ago. This is Father's will for His children today —not mastery over heaven's tongue or over His hallowed name. This commissioner they must heed for he is to do that which Christ promised that He would do, remind us of Jesus' words that He spoke at the command of Christ. Thus did He say, **“And this is Father's will which hath sent me, that of all which he hath given me I should lose nothing, but should raise it up again at the last day. And this is the will of him that sent me, that everyone which seeth the Son, and believeth on him, may have everlasting life: and I will raise him up at the last day”** —John 6: 39, 40. It is the identity of this son, now being raised, whose identity you must discern; resultantly, the *mustard seed* is not shy or reluctant to tell you of his own identity even in the face of being called “self-exalting”. He withstands those assaults and insults upon his own name to save you, to deliver to you the forgotten Words of Jesus so that you may be saved. Therefore you must see his identity: He is the elect described in *Isa 42*, the son of David described in *2Sam seven*, the publishing Moses of *Deut 32*, etc.

Shaken yesterday at Sinai by the Lord's voice; today the church must again be moved, not by your message, but by the “still small voice”. Your message, demanding that humanity honor a name, the pronunciation of which cannot ever be made certain, is a false appeal. Even prominent and easily pronounced names today such as John, Peter, and James have regional variances in pronunciations. How is it that you can elevate the work of men, excavating scientists, people with impure hearts and dirty hands, men who did not live 3500 years ago in Sinai, to discern the proper pronuncional accents of the Hebrew people? Such is a genuine farce —there is nobody alive today on earth who can be your witness. This shows the Lord's wisdom in John 3: 12 for condemning men who sought to learn heavenly things having yet to discern earthly concepts —in this case, regional and historic variance of dialect. Because the letter “J” did not exist does not mean that the sound which we associate with it did not exist; neither does it indicate that Christ, having foreknowledge, did neither anticipate nor engineer the etymological phonetics used today in the church that defeated the formidable onslaught of His people's enemy, Hitler. Furthermore, if 2000 years ago He was smart enough to declare His testimony so that its light would be timed to shine today, in the last day, (see above and see John 16: 25) then why do you faithlessly presume that Father would fail to anticipate the Anglicization (an-glə-sə-'zā-shən) of Jesus' name? This dimension you fail to factor into your analysis because you, like the Pharisees, neither can discern the love nor the wisdom of Father. Consider an example: If some parents can demonstrate such wise foresight, then why not God? Many will, at the birth of their child, make an investment to finance college costs 18 years thereafter. Their prudence goes even further: They train the child from the cradle to receive that future benefit so that, when he matures, the inflated money will be in place to finance all school costs. This lesson is what the parable of the *seed sower* was intended to also communicate: In the day of harvest, the wise ought not merely seek the original seed. Again, a sample of this wisdom can be seen by the secular maturation of this Christian nation —even amidst all her sins— she was in place to rise and defeat Hitler's hell-inspired ambitions. But just imagine how foolish such a college-age child would be to begin his advanced education demanding only the initial investment value. His absurdity illustrates your folly: Instead of praising Father for wisely delivering His church to this hour and for preparing them to receive His gospel and His name in our current context, you distrust His ability to do His job by denying to the church Father's now-matured blessing and, on the puny shoulders of scientists, you strive to take us back 3500 years, the beginning of the maturation schedule! This goal of yours only seems logical to the superficial for,

as stated in *Part one*, the Lord often hid from the righteous, His identity, as manifested in the below references:

1. He showed to us that He was different than, and subordinate to, the Almighty by saying, **“When the MOST HIGH divided to the nations their inheritance, when he separated the sons of Adam, he set the bounds of the people according to the number of the children of Israel. For the LORD’S portion is his people: Jacob is the lot of his inheritance”** —Deut 32: 8, 9. From this we see that Christ, the One Who, in the above quote, said that His name was “the Lord” —Isa 42: 8 [a Text that you used]—He is the One Who inherited Jacob from the Almighty; consequently, He could not be the same as the Almighty. Notice also that Moses, in the same context, called Christ by the name/title, “Lord”. If Baal chose to steal that name and apply it to himself does not mean that you should discredit it from Christ.
2. He, Christ, hid His name from Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob, and their service to Him was highly esteemed in the Bible. This being the case, why cannot we likewise serve Him in partial ignorance of His name? The record shows, **“And God spake unto Moses, and said unto him, I am THE LORD: And I appeared unto Abraham, unto Isaac, and unto Jacob, by the name of God almighty, but by my name Jehovah was I not known to them”** —Exod 6: 2, 3. Christ, with authority from Father, legally represented Himself as the Almighty—See John 5: 19-21. Consequently your sacred-name emphasis is exposed as a complete fraud. You have strained to correctly identify Father’s name by calling Him a designation derived from some dirty-hand-historian’s rendering of Jehovah —thinking yourself to be adding to wisdom— while that name, according to the above Text does not even apply to the Almighty, but to His Eternal Son, the One who inherited Jacob from the Almighty.
3. This above point proves that before we can fuss over titles and names, we must first get our theology correct and determine the Personage Whom we seek to name.
4. Christ, as shown above, gave clarity to His connection to the Father exemplifying that the knowledge of God is ever progressive and advancing making fools of those who seek His identity by looking backward in time.
5. Christ refused to relay His name even to His own inheritance, Jacob. This proves that His love is not linked to those who correctly discern His name until the time when He deems such a requisite to be applicable to His church. The record shows this with Jacob,
“And Jacob was left alone; and there wrestled a man with him...And he said, Let me go, for the day breaketh. And he said, I will not let thee go, except thou bless me. And he said unto him, What is thy name? And he said, Jacob. And he said, Thy name shall be called no more Jacob, but Israel: for as a prince hast thou power with God and with men, and hast prevailed. And Jacob asked him, and said, Tell me, I pray thee, thy name. And he said, Wherefore is it that thou dost ask after my name?...And Jacob called the name of the place Peniel: for I have seen God face to face, and my life is preserved?” —Gen 32: 24, 26-30.
Jacob did not really see God; Christ appeared unto Him as God Almighty as witnessed hundreds of years later by Christ to Moses.
6. Consequently, Moses was given special honor. To him is the name of the Lord revealed. The record says, **“And the Lord spake suddenly unto Moses and unto Aaron...Hear now my words: if there be a prophet among you, I the Lord will make myself known unto him in a vision, and will speak unto him in a dream. My servant Moses is not so, who is faithful in all mine house. With him will I speak mouth to mouth...and the similitude of the Lord shall he behold”** —Num 12: 4, 6-8.
7. Consequently, you cannot know the Lord’s similitude, His identity, without communing with Moses, the man to be raised with the Lord’s Testimony in the “last day”. Again, this is the will of the Father. Thus, when in the hour of his death, Moses gave a future prophecy of His work, he spoke of this work which you now read. His prognostication is described by him in Deut 32: 3 [another Text used by you], and it promises to do a thing that he obviously had not done 3500 years ago —to publish the similitude, the identity, the name of the Lord. In fact, your confusion on this issue makes self-evident my point. Moses’ prophecy pointed to

Sacred Name: the Shake Quake of the Fake Quake Part II

this day and this work of the *mustard seed*, it is the *prophet like unto Moses* revisited. It is showing you the identity of Christ, Jesus, and Father. He said, ***“Give ear, O ye heavens, and I will speak; and hear, O earth, the words of my mouth. My doctrine shall drop as the rain, my speech shall distil as the dew, as the small rain upon the tender herb, and as the showers upon the grass: Because I will publish the name of the Lord: ascribe ye greatness unto our God.”*** —Deut 32: 1- 3.

True indeed is another point that you make, that of the 10 commandments. The third one does require that we honor His name; again, this is the very reason for my ministry. It says, ***“Thou shalt not take the name of the Lord thy God in vain; for the Lord will not hold him guiltless that taketh his name in vain”*** —Exod 20: 7. The question is, why do you assume that He is referring to the name YHWH, a name that we cannot even pronounce —let alone take it in vain? Why cannot the third commandment be a reference to the names that we can deploy in our own tongues such as *“I AM”*, such as *“the Lord”*, such as *“Michael”*, such as *“Christ”*, such as *“the God of Abraham, Isaac, Jacob”*, such as the *God of Bethel* (Gen 31: 13), such as *“God”*, such as *“Father”*, such as the anglicized name that gave America victory, *“Jesus”*? Why shake the church to duty by enforcing the names handed to you by archeologists?

Speaking of the letter “J”, allow me to close with a contemporary analysis which exposes the fallacy of using scientists to aid in your worship of God. In the language Spanish, there are pockets of people who speak it all over the globe. Like English, it too has the letter “J”, but it is not pronounced as we in English pronounce the same letter. According to my extensive, formal training, in Spanish, “J” is assigned the “H” sound such as “Hāe'-sus” for Jesus. However, Spanish has another consonant with a unique sound, “LL”. This, by proper, linguistic, text-book definition, should receive the “Y” sound as in the English word, “yam”. The verb LLamar, means to call; it is supposed to be, by use of anglicized letters, pronounced as “yamar”. Yet, in some dialectic, regional pockets, it is given the “J” sound which the professors of Spanish do not teach to their English student —at least this has been my experience. Accordingly, the command, “call me” is written in Spanish as “llamame” but often pronounced, again using anglicized letters, “Já'-má-māe”. Imagine the linguistic confusion of experts, 2000 years in the future, who would seek to uncover Spanish phonetics without the benefit of an audio recording of our time. They too would insist that Spanish does not have the anglicized “J” sound, and they would be wrong. This dimension, the quality of variant dialects within a tongue, relegates your goal of understanding Hebrew as taught 3500 years ago to be an exercise in futility. For this reason, I render your findings —to put it nicely— to be inconclusive: Christ would call it “foolish” —see Matt 7: 26. To assume that because you do not see the letter “J” in ancient Hebrew does not certify that the sound did not then roll off the tongues of the people. To argue otherwise is to suffer under hollow logic. By virtue of this, I trust in the wisdom of the Lord. So should you!

Having written much about this issue in times past, my first impulse was to not reply at all to you but to merely give you reference to my website. Thus, you as a self-professed meek man, should not take personal offense at this reply. I only now write because I follow the Lord’s promptings; I work for Him, Christ. The *mustard seed*, having from the Bible, accurately distinguished itself to be the burgeoning son of David, has trained its ears and its heart to wait for the day when Joshua, the high priest, will likewise blossom onto the scene. He will judge the house of the Lord and the Levitical priesthood. Joshua, we are promised, will emerge in this hour and be a man whose first reaction will be one of antagonism towards the son of David. Thus, you, and all others who read this patient reply to you, can now better understand that I am being open and precautionary —always willing to be ready for the Lord’s work through whatever channel it may be broadcasted.

Sincerely yours to elevate the name of the Lord,

Derek