

CAPTION ONE: *“...The promised prime minister will also act accordingly: In order to teach and to save, he will also expose his perceived vulnerabilities. Such a maneuver is guaranteed to make the kidnappers happy for they seek out things very dear to their target in order that it may be used as leverage to steal his wealth. In my case, my life experiences, with all embarrassments, is given to those who would like to efface my election by destroying my reputation, my life. And, I must comply fully knowing that I am covered by Christ’s righteousness...”*

CAPTION TWO: *“Yesterday, our doctrines were assumed and founded upon inherited fables, and we had no hope of eternal sex; we presumed that it was given only to reproduce children; thus, any solution to the marriage issues provided for us an expiration date which occurred with the Kingdom or with death. We didn’t even realize that the Kingdom, as promised in Matt 13, began on this side of the Lord’s return with the mustard seed, and that all the living in that “last day” who joined were promised to shortly receive their eternal joy —a portion of which pertains to marital intercourse. Instead, we thought that the Kingdom or Jesus’ return could come any day, and we were happy to be saved therein only to be eternally neutered...”*

07-Jan-2011

Released, 17-Feb-2011,

[5.1] Great Roll and Eternal Sexuality

This E-mail is an addendum to the MSC’s latest book, 5.0, entitled, *Confederacy Trap*. It is a letter responding to the author’s daughter who wrote an E-mail which neatly pulls from this work many doctrinal issues related to Immanuel who is the sign given to the House of David and the human, sexual components to that sign. Some admonitions may be considered embarrassing and shocking for the author as it addresses his historic intimacies and novel teachings, but so long as duty is served and the education of the saints is forwarded, then the prospects of reproach is a true bargain. This is so because many of the issues, the straight testimony, have been ignored historically by the Christian church. EG White said,

“I asked the meaning of the shaking I had seen and was shown that it would be caused by the straight testimony called forth by the counsel of the True Witness to the Laodiceans. This will have its effect upon the heart of the receiver, and will lead him to exalt the standard and pour forth the straight truth. Some will not bear this straight testimony. They will rise up against it, and this is what will cause a shaking among God’s people.”

“I saw that the testimony of the True Witness has not been half heeded. The solemn testimony upon which the destiny of the church hangs has been lightly esteemed, if not entirely disregarded. This testimony must work deep repentance; all who truly receive it will obey it and be purified.” —EG White, Early Writings, p. 270: 2 & 3.

Since none today have been purified by any of the doctrines relayed to them from yesterday’s prophets, EGW and VTH, then it becomes evident that they did not fulfill the prophecy of promise by EGW for the testimony of which she speaks promises to purify all who receive it. We can only conclude that beforehand, like the 12 disciples before Jesus anointed them, all were unclean.

Dear N_____,

{5.1} Great Roll and Eternal Sexuality

After a careful review of your E-mail to your Mother, Vinnette, duty requires that, as your father and as the Lord's elect, I issue a response. My reply is one that will help many and, for this cause, I must share it. Let me begin by saying that all is wonderful on the home front, and it is truly no cliché when I say that the Lord's blessings continue to flow. Our health is improving, and your mother, by being home full time continues to assist in our advance to the Kingdom.

Sure, we would like righteousness and harmony between us and all four of our children, especially you, the eldest; however, our hearts are set on the Kingdom and, like the Lord's Spirit in Laodicea, our ministry is slowly moving away from all family members who refuse to walk with Christ. We know as the Lord knows that all of our children are adults and are over the age of 20. Some seem to cherish the doctrines of their youth while others rebel. Consequently, our zeal is devoted to the saints who have placed themselves on record to love the Kingdom, and we look for eternal fellowship with them. To the misguided, this strident regard for the Lord's commandment of us, given in His testimony, may seem like unfair partiality. Our prayer is that you will honor your recently-expressed desire to bless yourself by reconsecrating your heart to the light of your youth; then you can nuzzle yourself into the Lord's well-defined parameters of our ministry and likewise benefit from our home and ministry.

As the Lord's counselor, I see your struggle; you are still burdened with the fear of

GROWING PAINS~~~~~. You have expressed much resentment of your home life and training, and worse than that, smoldering within you is a desire to actively point to it as a tool to tarnish my ministry. For accuracy's sake, some historical recollection is necessary: You left home almost ten years ago, at age 18, and you left with many unresolved issues and with a determined zeal to ignore my counsel. When you then surprised me and the family by announcing your marriage to a Muslim and a stranger, I stood my ground for Christ and refused to honor your unauthorized marriage to His enemy; indeed I cursed it. As an Abrahamic commissioner of justice and judgment —See Gen 18: 17-19— ever zealous to command my house, I gave you only one resolution: I told you to immediately abandon your husband and return home. I did not raise my voice, nor did I physically attack you as the crazed man whom you try to paint me to be; instead, I merely stood in calmness before God as your parent and priest and annulled your marriage before God. Leaving home in great haste, you refused to comply, and tragically, less than two years later in year 2002, the realities of a ruined marriage and a life without Christ became opened and apparent to our vision. This notwithstanding, in the eight years afterward you continued to reject our invitation to return home to make amends preferring instead to live under the terms of great hardship. And even today, you have become more embittered and determined to denounce my divine calling and election. Your reaction is somewhat understandable—but not justifiable—such a major failure in life, such public proof of your stubborn missteps can devastate the ego leaving one with feelings of worthlessness and depression. This humiliation, to those who never learn to relish meekness in Christ, is impossible to overcome. Even still, 10 years of maturity should have caused you to live up to the responsibility of your own actions and more accurately place blame. In a gentle effort to soften the blow to your ego—understanding that you are emotionally very fragile now— I humbly offer to take some blame by proposing to you the excuse of inherited genetics: Your uncle and my brother, M____, nearly forty years after his failed marriage still blames his father, your grandfather, claiming that it did not work because, prior to his wedding, Dad warned him of the divine displeasure and the accompanying sour fruits of an unequally-yoked marriage. When time proved Dad's counsel wise, pride of heart, ever zealous to save face, still causes him to spin the blame away from himself onto his father for daring to oppose his marriage. Your mother has similar stories to relay on her side of your family tree showing that, in part, you suffer from a double dose of inherited egocentricism. Such a spiritual quality is the devil's delight, the only remedy is the *Testimony of Jesus* as unfolded by my ministry.

Angered with me over your embarrassing humiliation, you determined to get even —“to bring me down” quoting your exact words— began to broadcast among family, church, and friends exaggerated claims of a hard upbringing consisting of incessant and crazed physical torture at my

The Mustard Seed Advent, 17-Feb-2011

hand. This you even now broadcasted to all who will give to you a sympathetic ear. What is even more disparaging is the verbal sophistry of your attack using false innuendo to assail me, your father, the Lord's *mustard seed*. Just recently, you sought to convince your mother, a woman who was in the home giving you care as was I, of my cruelty so as to make a final stab at winning her sympathy and dividing our unity. To her, you spoke of your home experience describing it as very harsh, and then, obviously devoid of examples, you said, "it was even worse than you can imagine." In this way, you plant false suggestions of crimes that you do not even now have the brazen temerity to openly articulate, slyly planting, through the power of suggestion, the worse that can be imagined. Remarkably, none of your claims were affirmed by your diary record that you forgot to take with you when you left home 10 years ago. It gives a pleasant account of all the years of your home life. You say,

"Hey I'm a little depressed, scared, really confused. I am laying on my bed holding (hugging) my Teddy Bear, Maxwell, I'm crying hard. The thoughts going through my mind are these: my school work next week, my family & myself. I am not so worried about my schooling, I am mainly depressed about myself. I wanna be younger - so much younger. I miss being a simple 10 year old (innocent, young, and simple-minded). Now, I am 17, I miss 16, 15, 14, 13, 12, 11, ...3!! Eventhough being this age is nice, I am scared, really scared. I talked to E___, [approximately 10-year-old sister {01/2000} and roommate], and she helped me a bit just by listening and understanding how I felt a bit. I'd like to talk to my beautiful mom bout this. But I don't want her to cry as well. I am also sad because I miss Uncle Raylaya (Aunt Margarite's late husband). I am also sad because I am finally coming to grips with the fact that grandpa is getting older, older than what I can deal with. I am just so sad by all this. I miss my young self, my youthfulness...I am so scared of my future. I am scared to get any older. Is there any way I can be 10 and be able to drive or take Calculus? I guess not. Well best I sleep now. 11:31pm. Love—N_____” W___, personal Dairy, 03-Jan-2000, 6:22 PM (Parenthesis belong, brackets added)

Your mother gave to you a diary so that you could record your feelings and, you have done a good job. She read it entirely before you, and by subterfuge, repossessed it recently from her desk. Notwithstanding, nowhere, in all the pages, was there a record of you being vexed by severe and abusive punishment. Like most 17-year-old girls, you were fearful of the future —not of me, your dad. Thus, why seek to blame me for your misfortunes and your failures? Was not I the one who taught you the very things that brought to you joy at age 17, your ability to drive a car (a standard transmission even!); did not I prepare you to learn the mathematics? But your analysis of your depressed feelings was ultra acute and precise. Transiting into adulthood is an extremely frightening step in life. The same applies with spiritual growth; this is the issue of my ministry and the purpose of my calling —helping others overcome their fears by placing themselves in Christ's care.

I now understand why, amidst fighting the Lord's enemies in Zion, I must also contend with you. The Bible, in reference to my ministry, says, "***Behold, I and the children whom the Lord hath given me are for signs and for wonders in Israel from the Lord of hosts...***" —Isa 8: 18. Remarkably, your warfare against me and your mother, though quite humiliating and embarrassing to us as it, to the superficial, may seem to deny my election; yet, it actually affirms my place as the Lord's chosen. It, just as promised by Isaiah, is a sign. How could such be? It is so because the Lord predicted that another ministry would follow or come after His work through Jesus, and this servant would have enemies who were to emerge from within his very own house. It says,

"Think not that I am come to send peace on earth: I came not to send peace, but a sword. For I am come to set a man at variance against his father, and the daughter against her mother...And a man's foes shall be they of his own household...and he that loveth son or daughter more than me is not worthy of me. And he that taketh not his cross, and followeth after me, is not worthy of me"—Matt 10: 34-38.

[{5.1} Great Roll and Eternal Sexuality](#)

“...If any man will come after me, let him deny himself, and take up his cross and follow me”—Matt 16: 24.

Thus, you being a child of mine from within my own close family circle, by your attempts to smear my good name before the world, aid in the signification of my election, the sign. As a sign, it is my duty as a faithful steward to flash it. It shows that I am the “man” elected to follow in Christ’s stead, to come after Him. For this I have absolutely no regard to whatever charge it is that you desire to launch at me, and I anticipate the devil to seek to tarnish my name with the darkest of smears. I also anticipate that the righteous will be purified and made white by your effort. Sad for you though, you are quickly running out of people who have opinions that matter to me and your deceptive tactics manifest the continued need in your life for correction by use of

EXTREMELY HARSH DISCIPLINE~~~~~. In your E-mail copied below, you loosely cite the Bible. I am glad that you still respect the Bible and reference it as an authority. But you must know that It demands treatment for rebellious children that is even harsher than you claim to have received. It does not help your case because it promotes severe punishment for such children when they do not comply with the rebukes and chastening of the parents:

“If a man have a stubborn and rebellious son, which will not obey the voice of his father, or the voice of his mother, and that, when they have chastened him, will not hearken unto them. Then shall his father and his mother lay hold on him, and bring him out unto the elders of his city...And they shall say unto the elders of his city, This our son is stubborn and rebellious, he will not obey our voice: he is a glutton, and a drunkard. And all the men of his city shall stone him with stones, that he die: so shalt thou put evil away from among you; and all Israel shall hear and fear ” —Deut 21: 18-21.

I cite this Text to demonstrate heaven’s respect for my judgment as a parent. The credibility of the parents in their assessment of their children was, in God’s eyes, to be unchallenged. Their word could not even be countered with the testimony of the offensive child nor his siblings. Merely upon the testimony of the parents and their judgment of illegal behavior, the life of the child loomed in the balance. All men of the city were required to give honor, unquestioned and without litigation, to the verdict of the parents. So the next time you spread deceptions about the “chastening” that you received at my hand, you, as an honest child, can balance your rumor by citing this heavenly-ordained counsel along so that the hearers can discern the level of sympathy that God requires. Why was this the practice of Israel? Because, Christ, the One to Whom you appeal for justice in your E-mail, was their Governor, and He knew that which silly people (including relatives) today do not understand: He knew that no one in Israel was more vested in the success of the children than the parents, and if they saw the need to punish, it was a verdict which all were required —without question— to respect. Is this governing tactic just? Of course it is! Take your mother and I for example. Both of us were severely disciplined in our youth; yet, we did that which Christ honors. We responded favorably to our rebuke and chastening and our parents became proud —not seeking to stone us, but instead— seeking to bless us in our early adulthood.

Does Jesus’ testimony counteract this resolution of extreme discipline; does Father disagree with Christ’s OT judgment? He does not for He said,

“Then came to Jesus scribes and Pharisees, which were of Jerusalem, saying, Why do thy disciples transgress the tradition of the elders?...But he answered and said unto them, Why do ye also transgress the commandment of God by your tradition? For God commanded, saying, Honour thy father and mother: and, He that curseth father or mother, let him die the death. But ye say, Whosoever shall say to his father or his mother, It is a gift, by whatsoever thou mightest be profited by me; And honour not his father or his mother, he shall be free. Thus have ye made the commandment of God of none effect by your tradition”—Matt 15: 1-6

It is not perfectly clear, but it seems that Jewish tradition allowed for gifts from children to assuage the sting of their rebellion. Yet, even though we may not fully understand the pharisaical loophole which Christ denounced, we do see for certainty that He condemns the resultant official favoritism shown to the rebellious children in ignorance of the more lofty Commandments of God, mandates

The Mustard Seed Advent, 17-Feb-2011

to uphold parental judgment of their children. Christ, by this testimony given through Jesus, validates extremely harsh discipline defined in the OT. This means that you must confess at once your violation of the Fifth Commandment because, by your subterfuge, you not only continue to defy our counsel but, you seek to purposefully bring dishonor upon our name, our work, and this house. You strive to violate the ultimate definition of honor of parents for honor means to uphold in high esteem—the very thing which you counter by your broadcast of what you perceive to be our sins.

Further brandishing your sign to the church, your petulance gets worse: You actually call for an apology from me:

“I Love dad but he needs to understand I resent a lot of the stuff he did...Suffer the little children...that's another one of Jesus' commandments. Patience is a virtue? I mean COME ON! Dad is being as hypocritical as they come! I just wish he would swallow his pride and be like Nat, I WAS wrong, i was GIVE TIME TURKEY back then, but guess what, I AM DAVID NOW...but NO! And note that I say I Love dad several times in this email, but he is wrong and not presenting the facts correctly.” —Your E-mail, December 27, 2010 3:11 PM.

Your vocal profession of love for me belies the contradictory works of your heart; I cannot accept that gift as a substitute for honor. But one thing is true; patience is a virtue. My frustration indeed requires patience. Its need is manifested by the fact that you, in violation of much training, have forgotten the Bible lessons of your youth. In my Bible revelations about the son of David, I never taught you, as implied by your letter, that he, like his Master, Christ, is to be a sinless man. How then does your perception of my sins disqualify to you my calling? The entire thrust of his emergence, as you shall see below, envelops around his emergence and victory over sin. **“Now therefore so shalt thou say unto my servant David...I will set up thy seed after thee, which shall proceed out of thy bowels, and I will establish his kingdom...I will be his father, and he shall be my son. IF HE COMMIT INIQUITY, I will chasten him with the rod of men,...But my mercy shall not depart away from him, as I took it from Saul, whom I put away before thee”**—2Sam 7: 8, 12-15. Christ demands that the church accede to David's authority, even if he is a perceived sinner. Beyond that, if the Lord chastises me with the rod of men for my iniquities—I suffered some 25 years of chastening during my tenure of service to the Assyrian—then should not the children of men today, comprising of even greater sinners, likewise forebear under the same punishment, the chastening of the rod? Thus your definition of righteousness—the basis for which you call for an apology—even if it were deemed accurate, could not possibly erase my election. I was David when you were in my house receiving my training, and I am even now that same promised son. As such, I have absolutely no calling to apologize to you allowing you to impeach the verdicts and judgments of my home. The meekness of my calling is defined differently as also shown below.

When David's prophesied Kingdom is established, many of the unrighteous, shocked because they are made to give account for their sins, will likewise feel the sting of his rod. Pertaining to this dimension of the son of David, the Bible says, **“...he that ◆◆OVERCOMETH◆◆ (the son of David), and keepeth my works unto the end, to him will I give power over the nations: And he shall rule them with a rod of iron; as the vessels of a potter shall they be broken to shivers...”**—Rev 2: 26, 27. In view of this account of my work, take a moment to discern the basis upon which you call me a “give time turkey”. If wielding the rod of correction is the duty of the Lord's vicegerent, then should not such a demeanor, assuming that your accusations are correct, recommend me all the more for that post? Harsh judgments have always been required by the Lord's chief executive. It is folly to hope that the “son of man/Man” should not exact punishment to save many in the Kingdom, but, instead, Christ Himself should exact the judgment and eternally condemn the guilty. Mind you, not all discipline is physical, the harsher strokes by the rod are spiritual requiring the proverbial child conversion experience. Such discipline is especially to be the case for God's people, people destined to eternally lead the world because the lives of millions of saints will hinge upon their

[{5.1} Great Roll and Eternal Sexuality](#)

successful training, and the Lord cannot afford for them to be mentally dull and slack. The strident discipline that you learned in your home-schooling experience, that which your diary commended, was not due to your failure to master academic issues; it was over your failure to use your mind. If the mind is not used, then someone else will use it against your and God's will. They will do so to your extreme exploitation —I cite your former US-citizenship-seeking, African, Islamic husband to make my case.

To illustrate this point further, recently, it was cited on a program entitled *Seconds from Disaster* as viewed on the *National Geographic Channel*, how a mindless mistake by an avionics engineer who failed to follow simple policies so as to prep a passenger plane for takeoff, caused —by his mental lapse— the plane to crash and all the lives aboard to perish. His tragic example neatly expresses the reason why some receive more discipline than others, and why your training was more careful than that of your publically-educated friends and relatives. Some are given higher responsibilities. The managers of the airline industry do not demand the same mental intensity and the same gauntlet-passage through extreme, educational training for the janitors who sweep their floors, the luggage handlers who load their plane, the agents who sell their tickets, etc. These and many other works are not the brain truss, the head; they require less vigorous training. The pilots and engineers who hold the keys of life have a higher standard of training and discipline. Christ's policies are graduated with equal wisdom; accordingly, He mandated a higher standard of discipline upon the children of Israel, those elected to always be the head and not the tail (Deut 28: 13). Thus, when the gospel went to the gentiles, a friendlier, less-rigorous regimen was imposed upon them. Until David's rod-bearing son emerges, none of the wise today are commissioned to enforce full, OT standards upon a people of lesser calling, the gentiles. Likewise, you being a daughter of the king, had comparable restrictions of righteousness. Commensurate with this theme, Christ, also does not now impose upon all people His standards for disciple leadership, only the ones in whose hands are entrusted the lives of the church. To them, they are trained by the *Rod* of correction. David spoke of their duty when he said, "***The Lord*** (Christ) ***said unto my Lord*** (your father, the *mustard seed*), ***Sit thou at my right hand, until I make thine enemies thy footstool. The Lord shall send the rod of thy strength out of Zion*** (The SDA church): ***rule thou in the midst of thine enemies.***" —PS 110: 1, 2.

Your letter mentioned the length of reply to E-mails: Guess what, the *disciples indeed* read this work as the engineers of the Lord's Kingdom plane, and they are trained thereby: This is their discipline. To the contrary, you may find sympathy with the devil and his human imps: He does not demand intensity of training and full mental application to duty. He says the opposite, "*People should be free of discipline, and God's burden, when they are snagged with mindless mistakes, should be to reverse their errors and protect them from failure. In other words, God, being Almighty, should coddle us in our hapless, drunken stupors. He should serve us and not the opposite!*" Evidence of this distinction of philosophy is manifested in the Lord's-wilderness test. "***Then the devil taketh him up into the holy city, and sitteth him on a pinnacle of the temple, And saith unto him...cast thyself down: for it is written, He shall give his angels charge concerning thee...lest at any time thou dash thy foot against a stone.***" —Matt 4: 5, 6. I teach the righteousness of Christ —not Satan— thus, I trained my children, perhaps more rigorously than others, so they may enjoy Kingdom leadership on the temple mount. They, like as was Jesus, are trained to use as first recourse their own mental and physical powers for self-protection. If perchance they mistakenly stumble, then they can rely upon heaven's help. Never should they do as you have done and jeopardize their lives, casting themselves off the temple pinnacle into the arms of their (in your case, Islamic) enemies, presuming upon God His deliverance and protection. The meaning of this wisdom is simple: Any punishment that you may have received at home pales in comparison to that which you have delivered to yourself since you left, since the day that you cast yourself from the proverbial temple pinnacle. Therefore, be merciful upon yourself; turn to righteousness and seek heaven's protections from any further "spankings" in life.

Consider some other examples of discipline in the Lord's Kingdom:

The Mustard Seed Advent, 17-Feb-2011

- **“And Moses, took his wife and his sons, and set them upon an ass, and he returned to the land of Egypt...And it came to pass by the way in the inn, that the Lord met him, and sought to kill him. Then Zipporah took a sharp stone, and cut off the foreskin of her son, and cast it at his feet, and said, Surely a bloody husband art thou to me. So he ^(Christ) let him ^(Moses) go: then she said, A bloody husband thou art, because of the circumcision.”** — Ex 4: 20, 24-26

As was the case with your mother on many occasions, likewise Moses’ wife, Zipporah, regretted and decried Moses’ commitment to the Lord when it came to training the children. Yet, she honored him nonetheless. Why do you lament your mother’s faithfulness and honor of my ministry? Had this above described incident of extreme discipline been the experience of your upbringing —I too, by use of a third party had my sons circumcised— you would have pointed to Moses’ excesses and Zipporah’s participation as evidence to mock his work and dishonor his connection to Christ. Moses had no other choice but to enforce the Lord’s standards or die with the rest of Israel. Nonetheless, your discipline was not as severe as was that of Moses’ newly circumcised sons. The point should be clear: More severity is imposed upon the Lord’s leaders to safely pilot the saints to glory. Consider another example:

- **“And Er, Judah’s firstborn, was wicked...and the Lord slew him. And Judah said unto Onan, Go into thy brother’s wife, and marry her, and raise up seed to thy brother. And Onan knew that the seed should not be his; and it came to pass, when he went in unto his brother’s wife, that he spilled it on the ground...And the thing which he did displeased the Lord: wherefore he slew him also...And it came to pass about three months after, that it was told Judah, saying, Tamar thy daughter in law hath played the harlot...and Judah said, bring her forth, and let her be burnt.”** —Gen 38:7-10, 24

Through Judah, the lineage of Israel’s kings was to emerge. Both of his sons, Er and Onan, were disciplined by Christ, and you can bet, they would have preferred merely a spanking by their father. Now we are in the day of his promised, end-time son, Shiloh, your father. Should the Lord today have less oversight on his, Shiloh’s, children? He has because I have taken the parental duty to punish. As was not the case with the ancient patriarch, Judah, likewise there is no guarantee that the Lord’s king, Shiloh, will have righteous children —only that they will be carefully monitored by Christ and Shiloh. Judah lost two of his three sons, and his daughter in-law, he was prepared to burn for her perceived sins. It was only his commitment to justice that saved her. No such discipline was ever heaped by me upon any in my house. But nonetheless, we see that harsh discipline is a requisite for the Lord’s leaders. The alternative, is less pleasant, that they, under the administrate of less dedicated judges, be burned and eternally lost.

Clearly, for any to gauge the severity of your upbringing, if they refuse to abide in the righteous standard cited above, that of trusting the judgment of the parents, they would need to know the crimes which warranted the punishment. Such crimes you are careful to not disclose. I too will honor your privacy making only one exception. I will remind you of one, that of stealing your mother’s credit card, at age 11, and using it for internet access and purchases. Then, compounding the crime, you spent half a day denying your deed causing your mother who believed you to spend hours on the phone desperately appealing to the bank for a credit to reverse the presumed erroneous charges. My Zipporah took sympathy on you and believed you; to her frustration in those hours of trauma, I did not. Yet, to this day, Zipporah does not call me “bloody”; instead, she expresses much praise and shock that I never punished you, physically or otherwise, for that offense. There are other similar examples, but this one makes the case: It shows my merciful forbearance, beyond all expectations, and it allows all to imagine the egregious deeds done by you which called for punishment.

You asked for confession from me; so I will show some liberality and give you a confession by identifying my most extreme discipline of you —one that remarkably, you never raise as a basis for your complaint. Yet, it represents episodes of severe pain upon you by my hand. In grace, I will reach back into my memory and give to you evidence to affirm your charge.

[{5.1} Great Roll and Eternal Sexuality](#)

- When you were five-years old, we took you to a clinic for state-required inoculations. Your mother and I proudly watched you interact with the other children; you seemed to have a great quality of leadership as all the children, older and younger, copied everything that you did. You led them to honor their parents because when we called you, you immediately responded saying “yes mam or yes sir”. All the other children shocked their parents by copying your example. What happened next when our name was called caused tears to well up in my eyes. The nurse destroyed your lamb-like free spirit of joy and caused you to scream in agony when she thrust you, with my permission, in the arm with a large needle. Such is the essence of physical discipline. It is designed to inoculate you from future hazards. The Lord entrusted this mission in parents, and every now and then you are called to receive a booster shot. If you can respect the harsh discipline from strangers, who are merely taking a gamble with their precautionary tortures, then why cannot you trust your parents? This was your commitment at age 17 when you wrote in your diary the following:

“... Well, even though I am going thru a trying time (weight control), God is good. He's blessed me last semester (at Community college) and I pray He will do the same this semester. He healed me when I was sick, He answered my prayers. I love Him for this. I thank Him every day for just being there to heal and help me. And I know He will show me the way. If not me, He will show Dad or Mom the way He wants me to go. And I shall follow, even if I don't know what's ahead I'll follow.” —N_____ West, personal Dairy, 05-Jan-2000, 6:22 PM (Parenthesis added)

- Another example of harsh physical discipline that caused me to feel sympathy for you in your upbringing makes the same point: It was your dental braces that you were required to wear. For more than three years, you were made, again at the hand of the medical caretakers, to wear a mouth full of metal braces. It affected your ability to eat, to clean your teeth, and especially your sleep; you complained about headaches and many other discomforts. The pain was further continued when some of your teeth were removed; yet, none of this pain do you cite as abusive. Now, seeing your beautiful smile, I feel somewhat vindicated until I realize that you devoted it and all of your jewels to the enemies of Christ. Then I wonder, was the extreme physical discipline worth it all? The lesson is simple: If you can suffer the pain from men who do not know and love you, how is it that you seek to assail the Lord's appointed judge?

Another question is in order: If you condemn me for severe punishment, do you also condemn the Lord because of His exacting punishments of you, or do you remember that, regarding my righteousness, it has been proven through

VINDICATION BY CHRIST~~~~~? In the fall of 2006, because of your adversity towards us blaming me for your failed marriage, you continued your obstinate and hostile isolation from this house refusing to even give the hospital our names as next-of-kin in your sickness. You went into the hospital complaining merely of abdominal pain. While there, you slipped into a comma and was placed in the Intensive Care Unit (ICU). Your body was afflicted with septicemia from an invasion of the deadly E-coli virus through the urinary tract. Both of your kidneys were shut down; your blood stream was overwhelmed; and your lungs were filled with fluid causing your body to be excessively swollen from head to toe. So incapable were you to even breathe that you were put on an automated-breathing device. Such was not enough to help so your “iron lung” had to slowly rotate you 360 degrees, throughout the day, in order to allow some air to be assimilated by your lungs. In spite of having no help from you, the hospital administration, after a few days of intensive research, finally were able to locate us. This was needed in the event that you required removal from their life support. Your mother and I, that Wednesday evening, rushed to your side allowing them to continue to provide intensive, medical care. The prognosis was bleak as you were only given a 40 percent chance of restoration, and with that, the ICU experts predicted that it, if it came, it would take two months before you could be restored to some level of consciousness.

No one, not the church, nor any in your family, none but we knew of your condition because you went to the hospital unannounced seeking merely relief from what you perceived to be a minor ailment. I, with my Zipporah, was the only one who could petition heaven in your behalf. And that I did: I put my new-light doctrine to the test. I called on Father —not in the name of Jesus, Jesus Christ, but— in Christ's name, as newly defined by the MSA, and He answered my prayers. The

The Mustard Seed Advent, 17-Feb-2011

Bible says, “**The effectual fervent prayer of a righteous man availeth much**” —James 5: 16. Thus, the righteousness of my prior, home-school training of you was vindicated by Christ in that trial. He heard my fervent prayer. As shown above, all the elders of the proverbial city which includes my family were remiss in duty by their beforehand slight and dishonor of my judgments of you. Once the petition was offered to the Lord, you immediately began your miraculous recovery, and that night, we were told to go home and rest. A week later, you left the hospital and returned to school as if nothing had happened. All of the medical experts acknowledged the miracle. Evidence of this occurred a few days after my prayer, just before your departure, when you were placed in a regular ward. The social worker who came to visit you said, in the hearing of your visiting mother, “I heard of the ICU miracle and could not wait to meet you. It was the buzz of the entire hospital.” But even she did not know the full story: In addition to that, another miracle occurred which, by canceling the corresponding \$300,000 accrued hospital debt, allowed you to live without that burden upon your shoulders. This occurred by your mother’s inspiration. Due to your age, her insurance coverage of you expired a month earlier. I was reclined to leave that matter alone, but for weeks prior, she had to seek you out and insist that you follow coverage protocol by re-affirming your college-student status proving that, although 24 years of age and not living at home, you were still eligible on your mother’s healthcare policy. Finally prevailing over your nonchalant attitude, she caused you to comply, sign, and forward the proper papers. The wisdom of her management of your life in this regard, like the wisdom vested in your home-school training, bore obvious and incontrovertible fruit. You should never relay to any that we have not helped you financially, physically, or spiritually.

Bearing this in mind, there are still some unanswered questions remaining: Why do you not inculcate this lesson when describing the “torturous” experiences of your life? Why do you not describe your multiple sicknesses, at the Lord’s hand, when you list all of your severe punishments? If I indeed punished you with severity, does not that prove that my judgment was in alignment with His? Do you share this story of the Lord’s punishment of you to your peers as a warning to them? Should not you begin a ministry, perhaps by *Face Book*, warning your sister, your female cousins, even your friends showing them how to avoid the trap which entangled you and polluted your body with deadly viruses? Should not you use your divine blessing of leadership to point them to this fountain so that they too can likewise find healing in His saving name? Are you embarrassed? So was Jesus when they stripped Him of His garments and crucified Him on Calvary. He ignored His modesty and complied merely to save the world. Why cannot you likewise give yourself to His Kingdom? Why cannot you strip yourself of your pride, expose your sins and selflessly work to save those on *Face Book* whom you claim to love? Believe me, there are many young and gullible girls who, like you, will not heed the counsel of their parents and who will, by Satan’s promptings, likewise cast themselves off of the Temple pinnacle. Show to them the Lord’s vindication of my righteousness for they will not find it anywhere else.

In fact, you can use your charismatic voice of leadership, that you inherited from both sides of your family tree, to be a star, another ensign, on your father, David’s, crown. You can begin by reversing the corrupting tide of society and show the young girls today how to become young ladies who honor

THE VIRTUES OF VIRGINITY~~~~~. Yesterday, the Christian world did not expect the day when heaven would give to us life without death. Resultantly, all presuming upon themselves inevitable death, ignored the concept of human sexuality in the Kingdom. All doctrines enveloped around an eventual trip to the grave with corresponding theologies there from developing. They, out of careless analysis assumed that, once saved, the Lord would reverse His creation by removing from them the ingenuously designed marvel of human, sexual bliss. Evidence of this can be seen by the church’s reaction. Almost a year ago, I shocked the Ethnan, SDA Sabbath-school class by telling them, in answer to a befuddling question, that human sexuality will only be erased for those who come forward in the resurrection, those who pass through the grave.

[{5.1} Great Roll and Eternal Sexuality](#)

Even the elders of that gathering, not trained like you to use their brains, were shocked because they based their understanding of human sexuality among the redeemed on the following Scripture:

“The same day came to him the Sadducees, which say that there is no resurrection, and asked him, saying, Master, Moses said, If a man die, having no children, his brother shall marry his wife, and raise up seed unto his brother...Therefore in the resurrection whose wife shall she be of the seven? for they all had her. Jesus answered and said, unto them, ye do err, not knowing the scriptures, nor the power of God. For in the resurrection they neither marry, nor are given in marriage, but are as the angels of God in heaven” —Matt 22: 23-30.

The Lord, at the behest of Father, said that those who come forward in the resurrection will be like angels “neither marrying, nor given in marriage”; they will not have sexual intercourse. Because of this statement all established their doctrine of *Membership in the Kingdom* by virtue of resurrection only; they presume that each person restored therein will be asexual creatures, like the angels. They do not realize that, after the upcoming harvest of the world, the greatest membership therein will be those who pass from death to life (see *John five*) without ever seeing the grave. The Sabbath-school class was befuddled when I explained to them that saints who receive life everlasting without death, saints who do not perish —people whom they refer to as “the translated”— are not described here in *Matt 22*. They will not be brought forth in the resurrection, resultantly, they will continue in the righteous sexuality that was given to man at creation. This finding has escaped their reality because they never quote the God-the-Father-inspired statement pertaining to the status of the living redeemed:

“Then answered Peter and said unto him, Behold, we have forsaken all, and followed thee: what shall we have therefore? And Jesus said unto them, Verily I say unto you, That ye which have followed me, in the regeneration (thus living people) when the Son of man (the mustard seed) shall sit in the throne of his glory, ye also shall sit upon twelve thrones, judging the twelve tribes of Israel (the 144,000). And every one that hath forsaken houses, or brethren, or sisters, or father, or mother, or **♦♦WIFE♦♦ (the forsaking of husbands not mentioned), or children, or lands, for my name’s sake (you must know Christ’s name/identity), shall receive an hundredfold, and shall inherit everlasting life”** —Matt 19: 27-29.

The righteous will be given wives and children for their faithfulness in honoring the name of the Lord —for ignoring the powerful tug of family connections from their rebellious family members and instead seek to uplift Christ. Today, not 2000 years ago, is the day of Israel’s regeneration: It is the day when the 144,000 will come forth from their degeneration. The disciples indeed who follow Christ as He speaks through His servant (See *John 13: 20* cited below) will be the only disciples who could receive this promise, the ones who receive “**everlasting life**” or life that lasts forever. They will not be classed among those who are resurrected to receive a different law of marriage, a law of asexual celibacy. If I seem distant, unloving, or aloof from you and this distresses you —the real reason for your great anxiety and depression— then you have a remedy: You perhaps still can cease from your rebellion and join my ranks placing yourself back into the protection of the fold. If you choose not to, then you must know that I am fulfilling my calling to forsake all who reject Christ whether they be brother, sister, children, and I do so for His, Christ’s, name sake. Such a “sign” will validate to the brethren that your mother and I have given all for Christ. **“By this shall all men know that (we) are (His) disciples** (the enthroned men who rule the 144,000), **if (we) love one another** (our fellow disciples)” —*John 13: 35*. It will prove that we do not despair; instead, we invest in anticipation of receiving a hundredfold in the Kingdom. Therein, we can fully love them for an eternity and can do so even without having the standards of our discipline challenged. But the point must be made: Some men with rebellious wives, must likewise leave them so as to receive the same Kingdom promise. They, according to the Father, will receive new wives because they have faithfully put Christ first in their lives. Unlike the celibate, resurrected saints, they will marry. Armed only now with this point, this “straight testimony” which, when heeded, “purifies”, the necessity of the MSA’s message pertaining to human sexuality, including virginity and its virtues, can only now discern the intricacies of a couple becoming one flesh.

The Mustard Seed Advent, 17-Feb-2011

In my publication entitled, *Ethereal Virginity*, now available to read on my website, I contribute special emphasis on virginity and assert that it is important because females are vaginally molded to the size of their first lover. Such a theology is only efficacious today, the day when marriage, for the living, will be eternalized. They must ascertain, by a teacher of righteousness, the virtues of virginity as prescribed in Old-Testament laws. Therein Christ conveys special honors to women who have thusly preserved themselves. For this, you give the following reply:

“And that last email about vaginas MOLDING...by the way makes him sound like he has never had a science class EVER...was hilarious. Quick note...the VAGINA is a MUSCLE...not clay or putty...so it doesn't MOLD to the first penis, cucumber or ANYTHING! Dad knows NOTHING about the female reproductive system AT ALL and it is VERY EVIDENT from that email. Just like my bicep is a muscle, so is the vagina....it contracts and expands. if it were molded then MOM, D _____ is the biggest baby you had, then u must be molded too! D _____ messed up the molding for dad...and so did I...and E _____ Hysterical. Stuff like that tells me there is NOBODY coming to save the earth and that I am just gonna die at some age and just live life like the billions of people in history did. Why doesn't the penis mold? COME ON...do you know how hilarious that sounds? I laughed my butt OFF when I read that... Just like when dad said that grandpa shouldn't go swimming because he will get fat like a whale...that's why whales are fat becuz they are in the water one of my uncles told me that little factoid which was too HILARIOUS. And i cannot wait to read the reply to this email. I am not being offensive, and i am not using any language. I love you mum. In fact i was feeling pretty down today, now i feel better” —Your E-mail, December 27, 2010 3:11 PM.

Your ignorance on these two points, female molding and swimming to lose weight, greatly undercut your credibility. To boot, you are unveiled as a very pretentious person. Your claim of laughter on such a private and sensitive issue expresses a need to conceal feelings of self-censure, insecurity, and reproach. Contrast your claimed reaction with that of your mother: She being a labor-and-delivery nurse, a mother, and a wife for nearly 30 years is an expert at female, genital stretching; with these credentials, she did not find cause to laugh. Rather than claiming to scoff at my resolution, merely become honest and express a need for my ministry to teach you how the Lord intends to accommodate your sexuality as an unmarried, non-virgin in the Kingdom; in other words, heed the Lord's command of *Matt 20* by appealing for my ministerial counsel. But claims of mock-laughter is one of many guilty reactions of people who attempt to convey confidence when they are truly “frightened” and emotionally exposed. Feeling condemned by the truth, it is the only way that they can —avoid meekness— and save face.

Yet, the validity of my verdict on virginity should be clarified for all to discern. It can be reduced to a simple metaphor,

IF THE SHOE FITS, WEAR IT~~~~~. During the seventies when the explosion of sexual licentiousness was first felt, some youthful Americans began to fly in the face of societal and religious convention and, in their rebellion, ask, “what's wrong with shacking up” — it was then even a popular song. They argued the logic of ‘trying on the proverbial shoe first to ensure that it fits before they bought it.’ Males and females equally advanced this argument as they illicitly launched themselves forward ever seeking the compatible partner. Their search, in itself, increased their own frustration and dilemma. But one valued reality can now be garnered from their search for sexual euphoria: They knew something about vaginas that betrays your presumptuousness; they knew that sexual incompatibility was a great barrier to blissful marriage because the vagina did not, without limits, stretch or shrink to accommodate secondary male partners. At least, in a longitudinal sense this was true. Unlike you, they also distinguished the difference between the vagina and the baby-carrying organ, the multi-directionally expansive and contractive uterus. Yet, until now, none seem to pinpoint the true reason for this prevailing anxiety pertaining to sexual incompatibility which they, licentious couples of the 60s and 70s, feared. The MSA's contention, by the revelations of His elected counselor or, in this case, sexual therapist,

{5.1} Great Roll and Eternal Sexuality

strikes at the heart of the matter: Too many females were being cast aside and trashed by the men who took their virginity leaving them to live a life of sexual incompatibility. As a young man with my own challenges at sexual restraint, I still took great issue with the tide of free sexuality. Witnessing to the world then as a nominal Adventist in the 70s, I used to contend with the *try-the-shoe-on-first* philosophy by asking, “if all else is perfect, are you going to reject a potential spouse because they are not sexually accommodative?” If not, then why not wait until marriage before having intercourse? After all, the Bible condemned such licentiousness. This tight logic made some very uneasy and pensively thoughtful —like you, some even disguised their reprehension behind laughter— but many, sensing the inability to defend their twisted logic, reluctantly agreed and answered yes. They felt that the search for joyful sex was a fair exchange and should supersede all other marital qualities. But even still, wiser than you, they realized that sexual compatibility is not guaranteed by unlimited vaginal stretching. If such were not the case, they would not insist on trying the “shoe” before “buying it”.

Nonetheless, if the *Mustard Seed Advent’s* (MSA) “straight testimony” on this point of virginal molding is true —Christ, not false science, will validate it in time— then it must now be sounded by the son of David, the *Rod-bearing* king since righteous, non-resurrected couples will be sexually active for an eternity. And no promise is made of any sexual re-engineering or adjustments to the original divine, anatomical design; after all, it was deemed “good” in the beginning. In fact, Christ pointing to this day said to His soon-to-be-enthroned disciples,

“Verily, verily, I say unto you, That ye shall weep and lament...and ye shall be sorrowful, but your sorrow shall be turned into ♦♦♦JOY♦♦♦. A woman when she is in travail hath sorrow, because her hour is come: but as soon as she is delivered of the ♦♦♦CHILD♦♦♦, SHE REMEMBERETH NO MORE THE ANGUISH, for joy that a ♦♦♦MAN♦♦♦ (the “man child”, the son of David) is born into the world. And ye now therefore have sorrow: but I (hidden upon the “man child”) will see you again, and your heart shall rejoice, and your joy no man taketh from you” —John 16: 20-22 (parenthesis added to reflect prior, Bible-validated arguments).

All else may deny it, but the MSA teaches that sex is one of man’s great joys —even the venerated wife of Abraham, Sarah, acknowledged as much (See Gen 18: 12)— and having it was the first commandment given to man. Such was done even before the fall, a commandment cited in *Genesis One*. Also, the Lord’s counsel that a man “cleave unto his wife” so that they may become “one flesh”, given first in Gen 2: 24, proves that sex was not merely intended as the means to produce children. Who can then gainsay that it will not be included in the “joy” that Christ promised to His end-time disciples? In fact, the only concern to be resolved is the one hidden behind your pretentious laughter, the concern of incompatibility, the fitting of the proverbial shoe. Many may ponder, what will happen to those thrill-seeking women who have willfully and recklessly, at Satan’s behest, cast themselves from the temple pinnacle, from the Lord’s high standards? Will the Lord “catch them up”? In other words, will He find resolution to reverse their presumptuous and reckless decisions? Surely the Lord, ever anxious to cozy the remorseful, will assuage the anxieties of the pertinent female saints, those who qualify for discipleship, giving to them their joy along with their everlasting life.

As a parenthetical interjection, remember, the concept of eternal sexuality is novel to the MSA’s work, Christians, even Adventists, had no expectation of it in heaven; thus, whatever explanation that the MSC offers is an unexpected gratuity from heaven! —one that resultantly cannot receive any protest.

Reckless females may find their joy by Christ bringing them to the side of a compatible male. If this is His plan, then they must overrule their other criterion for a husband. Just as the licentious women of the 70’s resounded for themselves, they will likewise be required to ignore all other criterion for male compatibility in order to receive eternal sexual joy, the thing that Sarah, in her post menopausal old age, professed to miss. Since the Kingdom is now here, we need not even wait until the day of our promised joy to find a compatible partner. We simply need to comply with the ♦♦♦man♦♦♦ child before he is released, born into the world. All who live up to the MSA’s ministry

The Mustard Seed Advent, 17-Feb-2011

are guaranteed membership in the eternal Kingdom. By heeding the call of the *mustard seed*, by embracing the *Testimony of Jesus*, they can even now expect to live forever while their former mocking family members are denied entry. Since the Kingdom is here (Matt 4: 17) and saints, males and females, are now therein assembling themselves, then their after-that-fact marriages, even now, must be preordained and arranged by Christ to meet this principle. Thus they must likewise understand the caveat (kāv-ē-ät) to this analysis: that of denying themselves the other dreamed-up criterion for a stately prince of a husband. Is such a real hardship? Indeed it is not for, unlike the case in temporal and historic marriages, there is no reason now, today in 2011, for grief, grimace, or anxiety. Their husband will, in the regeneration of Israel, win wealth, health, power, prestige, fame, wisdom, and polish. Are there really any other desirable qualities for which a woman's heart can yearn? Such men will be champions of courage and faith—they are the true princes—having stood erect and firm in the face of vehement and countervailing forces of popular opinion or family condemnation. Is not this quality the true female aphrodisiac, the quality for which women really desire, the quality which reveals the size of a man's heart!? Only by having such a partner can a woman's sorrow turn to joy. But a warning message is likewise in order: Such non-virginal women who, once restored by Christ, will have absolutely no grounds to reject the Lord's chosen 12. They, after winning His favor, have no basis to be condescending to the men who are graciously willing to excuse the injudicious and defective deeds of their past. There are no better men.

Yet, if laughter is appropriate, then it should be directed at the other ministries who taught and teach that our joy will be found—not in the things that make us happy now, like health, delicious foods, sex, wealth, and eternal life with family and friends, but instead—solely in the communion with Bible heroes, listening to heavenly choirs, or eternally stroking a harp on some billowy cloud. They think nothing about the Lord's greatest design, human sex and future childbirth in the Kingdom; though such is now the object of their incessant search. In fact, so out of touch are many that they, even now, sadly spend much of their time sexually gratifying themselves because they are daily gripped with finding their promised joy. So the MSA, in its mission to bring to all the straight testimony promised by EG White, teaches how all these specific aspects of joy are to be restored, a thing unheard of with any other ministry. Yet, your ignorance on the issue of vaginas is understandable. Having never had a heavenly designed instrument to penetrate a woman, you are incapable of giving an intelligent analysis.

“...the spirit of the Lord shall rest upon him, the spirit of wisdom and understanding, the spirit of counsel and might...” (Isa 11: 2). Many men, with a history of licentiousness greater than mine, are still limited in experience and cannot, in the spirit of understanding, give counsel on this matter. Because of the prevailing and unapologetic promiscuity of our age, some have never had a virgin; others have only had a virgin. Some may have had both but, due to the limits of their personal size, they have never experienced the frustration of partial penetration. Many others may have experienced the frustration, but lacking wisdom and understanding to analyze, presumed it to be due to the distinctive quirks of female-to-female uniqueness. Some may scoff thinking that they have had a virgin while not really knowing that partner's true history. Only one who can respond to your logic is a man who has sexually experienced each of the following: non-virgins without a child, a non-virgin who has had a child and a virgin whom he has married and has produced children. Only such a man with the Lord's spirit of counsel resting upon him, can appreciate and pensively elaborate on this very delicate point. Can there really be any other scientific analysis!? Such a man being satisfied with his virginal wife and after having experienced the limits of sex will be more inclined to do as your father has done and, after nearly three decades of marriage, maintain full fidelity to those vows. Only such a man, Shiloh, having overcome sin (see *Rev two* above) can rule with the *Rod* of iron; only he is prepared to teach on this issue. This may shock you but even Jesus and Christ, for obvious reasons, cannot minister to you on this point. Knowing this, the Father has elected the sin-overcoming son of David, a man who has always respected the Lord's laws including the laws of virginity, to be His light for the world; he can counsel all on eternal, human sexuality. Such a man, without being too graphic, can appreciate the knowledge and delight

[{5.1} Great Roll and Eternal Sexuality](#)

of full unrestricted penetration, the experience of sinking into bottomless eternity. You and the women with whom you consult are all ignorant of male concerns on this question because men, knowing the emotional fragility of women, will never discuss with their non-virgins the limitations placed on their joy in sex with their women. Yet, the one quality of sex will always reign true for men: When it is bad, it is good, and when it is good, it is good. This fact wins for all men some level of satisfaction as they continue to seek their joy. Finally, if women have a contribution on this issue, it can only come from non-virgins who, because of their history of reckless behavior, express the frustrations of their own making: women who sexually engage with men who cannot fully reach them. The Lord's counselor does not need personal experience on this aspect of the issue; he merely needs to have listened to the voices of such women in popular culture. To say the least, they, while desiring less stretch and more constriction, do not accede to your theory of universal accommodation. Oftentimes women, in personal discussions with other men or even in attempts at humor, will express their displeasure in their current male lover who cannot fully reach them. Thus, your laughter is founded on misinformation.

To answer this issue further, I must say that your mother, on her wedding night was merely 5-feet, 4-inches tall and weighed only 110 pounds. This I specify to preclude the cursory presumption that capacity as a virgin is a function of a female's full-body size thinking that big women are commensurately bigger in all ways. Your mother was significantly more petite than the other three who came before her; yet, we were able to "joyfully" accommodate each other. Remarkably, and as added accent to the point, these details have always remained clear in my memory. Additionally, she has had four children since our marriage, and the dynamics of the sexual accommodations have not changed. I presume that even if she were a midget, the genius of the Lord's design would still hold true to this principle.

But an even bigger point requires consideration: Why would any sane person be so open and truthful about his life exposing to all his intimate history? Should he not avoid humiliation, save face, and put only the best spin on his life; has he no shame? Indeed, such things are very difficult for me to write. I do so by continuously reminding myself of my mission: to declare—not my righteousness, but—the righteousness of Christ. With such a genuine purpose, I can never tie the hand of my ministry to avoid embarrassment. The Lord promised as much regarding my duties: He said that His prime minister would give his life for the disciples. He said, "*whosoever will be great among you, let him be your minister; and whosoever will be chief among you, let him be your servant: Even as the son of man came not to be ministered unto, but to minister, and to give his life a ransom for many*" —Matt 20: 26-28. The word ransom, if we can consult Webster, means "**to deliver especially from sin or its penalty : to free from captivity or punishment by paying a price**". In this context, to give one's life as a ransom does not mean to surrender, by death, one's life; instead it means to dedicate and offer the things which make up the life, to open the hidden experiences so as to instruct, benefit, and save others. I do not need to unfold the details revealed in this "roll"; I do so merely to show to all my history so as to bring relief and the prospects of guilt-free joy to all. So you too, after benefiting from my example, need not hide your fear behind mock laughter; instead, merely accept my life as a ransom. Since enemies take hostages and hold them for ransom, then the promised prime minister to come to the disciples must do as Christ, the "Son of man" did: He must unveil the themes and the realities of His life which His enemies can twist (torture) to exploit. Christ, exalted as God in the Old Testament (OT) was commissioned 2000 years ago to reveal the Father; by doing this very thing, He gave admission of His lower rank. In other words, He explained that, contrary to the then historic belief, there was an authority greater than Himself Who was wiser and more powerful. It was that Exalted Power, the Father and not Christ Himself, Whom man must learn to worship. He showed Himself to be—not "the Man", but—the Son of Man, the Son of God. This was an expression of His righteousness. In this way Christ declared the identity, the name of the Father, He gave His life—formerly exalted to the seat of the Highest—for a demotion, a ransom. The promised prime minister will also act accordingly: In order to teach and to save, he will expose his perceived vulnerabilities. Such a maneuver is guaranteed to make the kidnappers happy for they seek out things very dear to their target in order

The Mustard Seed Advent, 17-Feb-2011

that it may be used as leverage to steal his wealth. In my case, my life experiences, with all embarrassments, is given to those who would like to efface my election by destroying my reputation, my life. And, I must comply fully knowing that I am covered by Christ's righteousness.

Your mother, being one flesh with me, participates in the same lesson. The embarrassments include the counsel pertaining even to the failures of our children must be surrendered for children are usually the first object of ransom. Satan has always attacked them to demoralize the parents. The benefit is that, in this way, they become a sign of my authenticity. Such an example can become contagious and ultimately inspire even you to surmount the embarrassments of your life dashing your inclination to blame them on your parents.

My counsel reaches every avenue of human anxiety, even if it means giving wisdom on the proper way to lose weight. Seeking to mock and further dishonor me with convolutions of reality, you made mention of my warning that swimming is not an efficacious exercise to lose weight. A reply to this proves to be an unnecessary endeavor except that it can underscore your fervor to discredit my work, to "bring me down". Perhaps, in reading this "anxiously-awaited reply", you will see how your emotions, in this case illogical, knee-slapping laughter, is predicated upon a farcical rendering of words, actions, and deeds—a dumb spirit. This will exemplify your lack of gravity, your failures at giving sober, honest, and balanced analysis which is, in truth, the real basis of your perverse renderings of your home training. Did I say that your grandfather should find an alternate way to lose weight?—of course not? The fact is that he does not need to lose weight; the opposite is true. The enemy has caused you to take second-hand information and twist it in your mind and to laugh while so doing. My comments about swimming pertained to my brother, your uncle, a man who is overweight and who has sought to swim away that problem. Such, I said to those in my house, is not an effective tactic. I cannot take credit for that counsel; it was learned from an exercise physiologist and motivational speaker who broadcasted a seminar on public TV years ago. When he spoke about exercise, he claimed that swimming cannot help overweight people to slim down. Quoting him loosely, he mused, 'Why is this so?—we really do not understand! Ask a whale, a seal, or a walrus. They spend all their lives swimming, some over 15000 miles a year; yet, they are the fattest creatures on earth.' I do acknowledge his logic because I know that when humans swim they place upon themselves a dual stress, the need to garner energy and the overwhelming need to maintain buoyancy. If you find it laughable, then justify your cynicism by explaining why the fastest land animals are all slim; yet, the fastest sea creatures are all fat. Upon attempting to uncover an explanation, if your efforts are blessed, you will rebuke the evil spirit of cynicism towards the House of David and discern that the more time a creature spends in water, the greater their bodies' need for the buoyancy, a quality that is aided by large fat reserves to preclude drowning. The lesson to conclude this specific point is the need for good doctrine. It shows that with every problem in life, the answer lies in a man's ability to purify his mind from illogical resolutions, and, unless one can do so by receiving good doctrine, his life will always be one of misery.

More words on exercise are not needed! The conclusion of the matter is that female virginity, when retained until marriage, is not an unreasonable restraint; instead, it is a formula for happiness, one that benefits the female, the male, and the society. With shoes, it is different! Women were not designed to be "tried on" or passed from one male to the next. The hazards that accompany those who slight this wisdom will eventually evoke in their marriages

THE SPIRIT OF JEALOUSY~~~~~. When they eventually become converted from yesterday's prodigality and seek delight in marital sex, then they will desire the happiness which they have squandered requiring special accommodations by the Lord. Such accommodations undoubtedly will require that a man of His choosing—not theirs— will perfectly fill the order. That chosen man may not, at first, be agreeable to their customary and carnal yearnings or dreams because, missing from their limited evaluation, at least two problems exists: Their true qualities and their resultant negotiating powers have been eroded, and they do not

[{5.1} Great Roll and Eternal Sexuality](#)

understand the masculine mind to wisely decipher his qualifications and his ability to find joy in them. This section will fully explain these limits on female judgment. These things must be now considered by all women who have turned to righteousness and who resultantly pray for a husband; included in their prayer must be the understanding of the Lord's wise accommodations. To illustrate, yesterday women may have coldly ignored the advances of many men such as divorced men, an overweight man, a short man, an undereducated man, men with lowly and humble occupations, or men who are accustomed to other societal demerits and reproaches. More than that, they can choose a life of celibacy. Yesterday had even more solutions to bring them joy: Such a woman would find joy in second-wife or even mistress (concubine) status. But in today's society, for reasons explained in publication 5.0, this solutions is not feasible.

Why must the Lord offer special accommodations for non-virgins? He does so to secure their eternal joy. Before answering further, we must be reminded that we are venturing into new light and onto formerly unexplored aspects of marriage: Yesterday, our doctrines were assumed and founded upon inherited fables, and we had no hope of eternal sex; we presumed that it was given only to reproduce children; thus, any solution to the marriage issues provided for us an expiration date which occurred with the Kingdom or with death. We didn't even realize that the Kingdom, as promised in *Matt 13*, began on this side of the Lord's return with the *mustard seed*, and that all the living in that "last day" who joined were promised to shortly receive their eternal joy—a portion of which pertains to marital intercourse. Instead, we thought that the Kingdom or Jesus' return could come any day, and we were happy to be saved therein only to be eternally neutered. Obviously, the MSA's revelations give reason for the honest to cheer. Now we know that the Lord promises to deliver to us joy that shall never be taken away (See *Matt 19: 5*, *Matt 19: 28*, *John 16: 20-22*). This helps us to explore the Lord's need to make marital accommodations. Even if the formerly promiscuous woman finds a husband who happens to fit her sexually, she must still suffer under his naturally installed, jealous spirit. This is a consideration for happiness that Christ has calculated but we have greatly ignored. Remember, the Lord is jealous; His Kingdom is to be ruled by "*pastors according to (His) heart*"—see *Jer 3: 15*—thus is the man of His creation, Adam, likewise given a righteous spirit of jealousy. Let us be thoughtful here: We are commanded not to be covetous, but that is a different spirit than that of jealousy

"Whenever a man is righteously jealous, it is due to the fact that another person is receiving the benefits and the endowments which legitimately belong to him. Jealousy, unlike covetousness, is not a sin—though the two emotions are very similar. For example, if your wife devotes her love to another man, your neighbor perhaps, devotion which exclusively belongs to you, then you, being like the Lord, will become righteously jealous. On the other hand, if you begin to desire the love of your neighbor's wife, love which should only be extended to her husband, then you, in violation of the Ten Commandments, have become gripped with covetousness; you desire something which is not and should not rightfully be yours." —Derek West, MSC, book 2.01, p. 151.

If a man, any man today or in history, has fallen in love with his formerly promiscuous woman, then jealousy occurs, and once the novelty of being newlyweds wears thin, he will become—perhaps, but not always, subliminally—haunted by her past. This poor, duped son of Adam, this divine creature of God, has his noble manhood condemned by onlookers who judge him by his socially-spurned behavior, the results of his embedded bewilderment. He may, psychologically, seek to cover his distress with drugs, alcohol, self-abuse, or extra-marital affairs. Some will become overweight couch potatoes consumed in finding gratification from viewing other enticements such as strip-tease shows, lewd magazines and pornography. Some may also even attempt to find gratification in sporting events. Many will become paranoid with suspicion whenever their wives interact with other men. Violence, anger, and physical as well as verbal abuse can also occur. Whenever a marital dispute arises, he will, in heated anger, reach back into her past to barrage her with her history causing her great emotional pain. Suddenly, she sees the theretofore unknown aspects of their union which the wise Lord foreknew: She sees the reasons for special accommodations for the non-virgins in marriages. Her eyes become fully open and it becomes apparent that her criterion for a husband was flawed. She will desire that her past be hidden, her

The Mustard Seed Advent, 17-Feb-2011

slate wiped clean as if she were a true virgin, but such is at war with the divinely-installed spirit of jealousy, and her hidden history can never win marital oneness. It may be a shock to understand that, in this new-light perspective, human couples will always have disagreements to resolve requiring —not ignorance of history, but— sober forbearance. Had Adam before the fall, a man created in perfection, refused to eat with Eve the forbidden fruit, there would have been a major uproar in the pre-lapsarian (definition: before the fall) Edenic garden; yet, righteousness would have been preserved. Consider some Bible examples of perfect couples who disagreed: Remember Zipporah and Moses' dispute over circumcision, David and Michal's bickerings (2Sam 6: 16) over his "dirty dancing"; Abraham wisely concealed from Sarah his call to sacrifice his son; Samuel's father, Elkanah and his mother Hannah toiled over her failures to give childbirth; your mother and I have disagreed over many issues. Such disputes need not be removed, but well managed; then it becomes part of the fun and the passion of union in marriage —joy is found in making up. But jealousy, if not resolved, will war against ultimate unity; it will preclude him from being tender and cleaving unto his wife unless the Father joins her with a husband who has his own shameful past, one who has a more humble self -image. Yet today, when the explosive chemistry of a bad marriage occurs, men seem to unfairly always get the blame: By his aberrant and angry behavior, all who view the couple in partial ignorance today wonder, why is he so hostile with his innocent and loving wife, the mother of his children? Then the woman, afflicted by her eroded negotiating power squandered in her youth and aided by false sympathy, often finds herself crying out to the Lord not realizing this counsel by the Lord's elect, not understanding that she is smitten by the self-inflicted penalty of her past promiscuity, the crashing pain which always results from casting oneself from the temple mount. Precluding this hostile strife which is guaranteed to destroy oneness and happiness is the Lord's duty as He seeks to usher in the day of eternal joy.

One reason why jealousy over the love of our females ought not to be condemned is because it is a two-sided coin. The flip side of this emotion is a quality that we admire, passion. Male passion causes a man to do all in his power to please his woman. He embraces her in ways that she enjoys merely for her benefit; it causes him to "**cleave to his wife**"; thus, to condemn jealousy is to condemn the Lord's requirement of unity in marriage. He demands that the man —not the woman— cleave unto his wife so that "**they twain may be one flesh**" —Matt 19: 5. Ponder on this for a moment if you are caused to doubt: The woman merely needs to become saddened or to cry, and her man irresistibly and immediately rushes to comfort her. Accordingly, satisfying her sexually is the key to his own sexually explosion of joy. This "knowledge" of man may sometimes even be exploited by women: On the occasion when they desire to curtail a sexual interlude, they have learned to pretend to have orgasms realizing that such will cause the man to finish and shorten the engagement for themselves. Judge this ploy however you may, but all must at least acknowledge that it is an example of a man's passion. It can turn into jealousy when he discovers other aspects of female pretensions, and when he cannot bring his non-virginal wife to full joy, then he will wonder or imagine that her former sexual partners were more competent and that she entertains mental fantasies for them. Such thoughts are bound to lead to suspicions, and then to heated jealousy —and this, even if the affair occurred prior to marriage. The Bible recognizes this component of male psychology and has identified this innate quality in a man. It says,

"And the Lord spake unto Moses, saying, Speak unto the children of Israel, and say unto them, If any man's wife go aside, and commit a trespass against him, And a man lie with her carnally, and it be HID FROM THE EYES OF HER HUSBAND, and be kept close, and she be defiled, and there be no witness against her, neither she be taken with the manner; and THE SPIRIT OF JEALOUSY COME UPON HIM, and he be jealous of his wife, and she be defiled: OR IF THE SPIRIT OF JEALOUSY COME UPON HIM, and he be jealous of his wife, AND SHE BE NOT DEFILED..." —Numb 5: 11-14.

The point is that man, merely by his endogenous thoughts, his imagination, can become jealous even if his wife is innocent and even if the matter has been hidden from his eyes; after all, these Texts also points to the need to calm the spirit of jealousy when his wife has been innocent and

[{5.1} Great Roll and Eternal Sexuality](#)

faithful. Obviously then, by inference, her indiscretions prior to marriage, can also erupt her husband's innate spirit of jealousy and destroy marital peace. This emotional phenomenon of male jealousy being biblically proven helps us to understand the problem which the Lord must address to answer the prayers of His non-virginal saints who appeal for a husband.

As a side note, jealousy over yesterday's past lovers is even exemplified by the Lord's promotion of the 144,000. They too are figuratively called virgins. The 144,000 are merely a subset of Jerusalem, the ordained of the first fruits to be harvested, the portion given the highest seat, just beneath the disciples, in the Kingdom. Their honor comes because of their spiritual virginity —see Rev 14: 4. They are men who have never been corrupted or overshadowed by “women” which means idolatry, the contaminating spiritual intercourse with devils. When Israel returns to Christ, He too will be mindful of their past, their prior forms of devotional, occultic worship; such He calls whoredom. So He brings again Israel and, nearest to Himself, a place is given, a station for those who were not contaminated: *“For the Lord hath redeemed Jacob, and ransomed him...Then shall the virgin rejoice in the dance, both young men and old together: for I will turn their mourning into joy...”*—Jer 31: 11, 13.

Back to our previous point: The only remedy to cure this spirit of jealousy, will come from the husband's very own, rational mind; only it can, by processes of logic, veto his suspicions and restore his peace. But, it cannot be denied, the spirit exists within him. Think then of his reaction when he considers the reality of his non-virginal mates sexually-exotic zeal and he next is reminded that such tactics were beforehand learned from, and practiced, by her with another man. Suppose, she does not change her undergarments and wears sensual and intimate clothing that was given to her by a former lover. The more beautiful she may be, the more cheated and jealous he will feel by such tokens of her licentiousness. He will wonder if she recycles “in her heart” yesterday's delight to find satisfaction in him. Any suggested sexual techniques by her, will remind him of her past experiences. This will cause him to assume that she uses him just as she uses her sexual toys, her proverbial “cucumber”: like an object to rekindle fantasies of a former lover, one who may have jilted her. None should underestimate the power of the spirit of jealousy. To fortify this understanding, all would be wise to discern the Lord's

CENTERPIECE OF THE CULTURE~~~~~. Consequently, only a heavenly-inspired power can reverse this tangled web. Therefore wisdom now gives advice to all women who have recklessly disregarded the etiquette of virginity: They must patiently await the Lord's solution to find their peace. Today, such is not a long wait since the *mustard-seed* Kingdom is already here; therefore, as an integral to their joy, they must believe by faith. It is impossible to have patience without the faith, without deeply imbedded and firm confidence in Father's promises, His Testimony through Jesus. They will not be happy with the men for whom they fantasize, and praying them to their sides is a petition for a curse, an appeal for eternal suffrage. This petition of suffrage would not be for themselves only but also for the men whom they desire. Why? —because he too, should the good Lord bring them together, must languish under the tarnished history of their past. Yesterday, the non-virgins willfully and recklessly rejected the wise counsel of their parents when they told them to remain a virgin; today, they can redeem themselves —not by mock laughter at counsel, but— by heeding this wisdom.

Female beauty does not resolve the issue either. No matter how curvaceous the body, how lovely the features, how pleasant the voice, nothing but Christ can bring her marital peace and joy. This fact, women do not understand forcing an illustration: I have never been to a strip-tease show nor have I viewed pornography, but I understand how it excites men; it does just that; it teases them. Seeing a woman seductively dance out of her clothing evokes an uproarious cheer from them. They are willing to vocalize much affectionate passion, even throw their money, to please her as she performs on stage rhythmically dancing around her pole. This occurs because, like women, men are more complex than we have beforehand discerned; and she is stoking their fantasies for hire. Authenticity, rather than display, comes when the (“lucky!?”) man wins her affection and brings her home in marriage. Then, she cannot tease her way to success in that unique

The Mustard Seed Advent, 17-Feb-2011

and novel setting. Like the flower to the honey-seeking bumble-bee, her charm was a divine gift to inspire eventual penetration, and that joy, as discussed above, requires a different dynamic; she must meet his bedroom expectations. Beyond that, she will be judged by her performance, not just in the bed, but in the kitchen, the washroom, and in the baby nursery as his passionate expectations are deeper extending to needs such as eating, dressing, living, child-rearing, and believe it or not, housekeeping. If she cannot dance herself seductively around her new occupational pole, then her charm becomes blunted, and her husband's cheers quickly become catcalls of hissing boos. So beauty is only one of many female talents to entice. Thus many men, to satisfy their passions, find accommodations: They stay with their less attractive, perhaps overweight, yet domestically-talented wives, while they dream, during intercourse, upon the woman of beauty. The Lord identified this tactic condemningly when He said, "*...whosoever looketh on a woman to lust after her hath committed adultery with her already in his heart.*" —Matt 5: 28. This is obviously a common offense, and men are warned about illegally fantasizing about sex. The woman in the magazine, the pornographic peep show, or on the stage might be married or illegal in other biblically-defined ways. All women must therefore face a day when their beauty is no longer the only allure which attracts their husbands.

You, my daughter, have been made to feel resentment because you see your brothers measured by different judgments. Many women, especially beautiful ones such as you, will likewise thoughtlessly disparage under the same false notion of inequity and require my ministry. Why must women suffer the fruits of their immorality more severely than the men? —they will ponder. Thoughtless women who have been exploited and then discarded by the men whom they have loved, consider their plight with anger towards the Lord for what they presume to be a double standard. In this, they have greatly misjudged. They never include in their analysis the many men who do in aggression what women are too reservedly coy to do: approach women and risk rejection and humiliation. Such men suffer from years of despair and rejection; yet, they either keep trying or marry under their expectations in perpetual frustration. Some become licentious because the women of their dreams reject them. Where is their fairness; why do not women feel their pain? Again, merely pointing to the world of pornography and other sexploitations in today's society will show you a flipside of sexual frustrations. Before her marriage, the highly sought virgin will be required to dash the dreams of many suitors —your mother, married at age 26, can testify to this fact. The partial prophetess to whom you illegally seek counsel can likewise affirm this point: Though the Lord has blessed me more greatly, she rejected me even some 31 years ago. When weighing divine fairness and equity, consider those men locked with the drive of all-consuming passion who cry out to the Lord for their dream girl only to oftentimes be reduced to the humility of one-handed self-gratification, lusting for fulfillment in their hearts. But the positive side is that men grow in wisdom by this incessant frustration. Even the best, the most desirous, are rejected most of the time. Such was the thoughtful purpose and the wise design of the Lord. For it is the desire to win a woman that polishes a man and makes him exploit all of his industry, to reach deep within himself, likewise forging a closer connection to the Lord, so as to achieve success. He learns how to talk, how to dress, how to groom, how to eat, how to exercise, how to learn, how to control his anger and his weight, and how to charm. Do any really want a man who is devoid of these qualities? To short circuit this metamorphic transformation from boys to men by ignoring sexual restraints, ultimately degrades the entire society. This is the real reason why women desire older men, men who have grown and polished themselves. And this gives some of the logic to explain what has been presumed to be an unfair, double standard.

Christ created human sexuality, and He knows the physical and psychological designs of females and their place in society, the post which will give them optimal joy. Surprisingly, related to finding peace in society through marriage is the necessary and associated mission of male productivity in work. In fact, in Israel, the nation chosen by Christ to "head" or manage the world so as to give humanity Abraham's blessings, women were not diminished but were much exulted as the centerpiece of the culture and the economy. A mere reference to Jacob, the man who

[{5.1} Great Roll and Eternal Sexuality](#)

engendered the very Children of Israel, proves this point. Such proof can be seen in his experience with the two daughters of Laban given to Jacob in marriage. He worked for 14 years to secure them. Nothing else can make a man, even one already wealthy, work so hard. In the Hebrew culture, virginal women were a highly sought prize, a joy which compelled all young men to eagerly exhaust themselves in toil and labor. The reality then is that both sexes are wisely managed by God using different standards. The benefits and the trials of women are righteously different than those assigned to men. Proof of this can be easily expressed by pointing to the Eden story when dual punishments were handed to the first couple: The curse given to Eve, the woman, was different than the curse heaped upon Adam, the man; obviously then, so also were the blessings. With this deeper concept of divine design, the matter of fairness between the sexes demands we now exert more intelligence to gauge. With this growth in wisdom, men in general need no longer be considered the sole source of sexual degeneration. Meanwhile, women can share much of the responsibility, carried heretofore by men, for the erosion of marital peace. God, in His wisdom has made provision to bring happiness to both, and women who have been sexually reckless can still overcome and, in the Kingdom, celebrate the victory which the Lord will give to them. He will, in a proverbial sense, put comfortable shoes on everyone's feet.

Moving forward to address your animus towards my work, the *mustard seed* must release even more ransom, by unfolding unpublished details pertaining to its communion with the partial prophetess by explaining

THE COLOR OF THE CLOTH~~~~~. In January of 2009, after the unrestrained prior seven years, you, my eldest daughter, were advised to no longer counsel with Kelly (a pseudonym for the partial prophetess) as she has rejected the Lord and has, herself, purposed to ruin my work. She has evidently inspired you with this same goal. All can be certain of this because she even sought to compel your mother to participate with her in this objective. Having failed in this regard —not realizing that Vinnette and I are “one flesh”— she now seeks your participation in that objective. This she began to do by informing you in 2004 that I sent to her intimate apparel. This I did as a logo of our work as explained below; however, she used that symbolic expression as ransom. Accordingly, as much as it may pain me, until you reunite with this heavenly Kingdom, I must treat you as an adversary especially since you recently and openly professed to your mother that you share Kelly's desire to “bring me down”. Whether those words were given out of anger or intoxication does not matter. It fulfills a defining sign of my calling, one that is revealed as follows:

“...I came not to send peace, but a sword...And a man's foes shall be they of his own household...he that loveth son or daughter more than me is not worthy of me...He that receiveth a prophet in the name of a prophet shall receive a prophet's reward; and he that receiveth a righteous man in the name of a righteous man shall receive a righteous man's reward” —Matt 10: 34-37, 41.

Interesting indeed! we have the law of

(1). TWO REWARDS.

Two different rewards are promised from two distinct sources. One reward comes to those who receive the messenger whom we, all these years, thought to be the highest earthly officer from heaven, a prophet. But those who receive such an officer, do so —not in Christ's name, but— in the name of one of His messengers, in a prophet's name. The second reward comes to those who receive a righteous man —not in his own name, but— in the name of Another righteous Man, Christ. The first righteous man is so deemed because He exalts the Latter. But why are not the prophets presumed to be righteous? Why do they have a different reward, a prophet's reward? Evidently, in view of today's light, the reality that they prophesied in part, allows for the faithful only a partial reward, life by resurrection from the grave, a reward of eternal celibacy. Such is not Christ's, the Righteous Man's, reward that is communicated by the one whom He sends. This we know because to receive Christ's testimony is to receive everlasting, non-perishable life. Deut 18: 15-20 speaks more to this ponderable. It shows Christ promised to deliver His word through a

The Mustard Seed Advent, 17-Feb-2011

single prophet, Jesus. Then His word is declared again to the end-time saints —this time in clarity, by another man. His last-day, prophet-like-unto Moses is the righteous man who declares the name of Another, Christ, allowing the receivers to win Christ’s reward. Thus, His words that are put in the prophet’s mouth, AKA, the *Testimony of Jesus*, is the message of *Christ our Righteousness*, which will judge all today. So now we have it: We see the two rewards given to humanity, life by resurrection and life without ever seeing the grave. Obviously then, the latter is the “straight testimony” promised by EGW, the testimony which “purifies” once “received”. Henceforth and forever, there can be no doubt as to why the doctrines of the *mustard seed* are so divergent and revolutionary, yet biblical —they are teachings which are distinguished from yesterday’s prophets. Believe it or not, some people only desire to hear —not the *Testimony of Jesus*, but— the writings of the prophets, VTH and EGW. According to Deut 18: 20 and Matt 7: 21-27 their reward is death. The children of the end-time, Testimony-of-Jesus-declaring, righteous man must also decide to receive his message; instead, some, people of his own house, may choose to be his foe. This fate you must immediately escape. Kelly showers you with prophecies, and she has rejected the counsel of the *mustard seed*, the righteous man; you must no longer receive her voice. She has never had a high regard for the Bible, especially not the *Testimony of Jesus*, choosing to instead trust the nightly, supernatural communications relayed to her.

As explained more thoroughly in book, 5.0, in early 1980, Kelly and I first met. That was a few months before I met your mother. I converted her and some of her family from the nominal SDA church to the *Rod* message. She was 18; I was 24. As two single and young adults, our relationship grew personal, and even way back then, it is ironic that I counseled her on the very issue of the virtue of virginity. Wisely, she honored my counsel. It was given then to blunt her expressed despair and anxiety, a problem that she experienced from peer ridicule by her female friends. She did not laugh at my counsel; instead she found joy in my ministry and expressed agreement that continued virginity would allow her to fully accommodate her future husband. Despite this point of harmony, other points brought discord, and, in August of 1980 we went our separate ways never to see each other again.

14 years later, as a married woman, she recontacted me and expressed dissatisfaction with her marriage. Her subtle desire, your mother and I perceived, was to get me to respond in kind. I did not. Beyond that, we both appeared to have my work as a common interest, so our rekindled, phone friendship was kept above reproach and professional. Strangely enough, some six years later in early 2000, her husband passed, and the foundation of our communion changed. This change stemmed from the revelation of a secret that she, up to then, withheld from me: that since 1980, the Lord audibly expressed to her and continued to assure her that she was to bear my son. The passing of her husband seemed to be a divine step in harmony with that mission. I knew that such a promise, given to a woman who has supernatural connections, pointed to the prophecy of my work as Immanuel just as expressed in *Isaiah eight*. This peaked my interest in her personally. Remember, I had not seen her for decades; my goal was honoring God; it was not merely that of self-gratification. Had it been otherwise, then I would not have begun to teach of the prophetess and her assignment; after all, the righteous will heed the Lord’s command and not ‘hide their light’. Thus, appreciating that all prophecies will be fulfilled, my first task was extremely difficult; it was to reveal to your mother this commission. People may mistake your mother’s honor for her husband to be a quality of blind docility. This is not so; she is like Zipporah; sometimes her honor only comes after a spiritual struggle. Receiving as much, she relented her opposition and soon began to love and even teach the prophecy. She received the fullness of Immanuel, and Immanuel means God with us —everybody knows that God is righteous. Accordingly, to receive Immanuel is different than receiving a prophet, by the very definition of his name, his work is that of a righteous man. Thus, your mother received, “**a righteous man in the name of a righteous man**”, and she too, like Sarah, anticipated “**a righteous man’s reward**”. Next we revealed Immanuel’s mission, through Bible study to you and your siblings. Finally, I am sure that you recall, I presented the issue, against Kelly’s strong advice, to my parents. I knew that if such a thing was to happen —

[{5.1} Great Roll and Eternal Sexuality](#)

though clearly not a violation of the Adultery Commandment — it likewise must harmonize with the Fifth Commandment. I could not ignore social convention by complying with her inspiration if it brought shame or dishonor upon my parents. My mother vehemently objected; thus, as long as she lived, I had to respect her verdict.

Yet, prophecy must be fulfilled; consequently, either Vinnette must convince my mother or the Lord must intercede with His remedy. To boot, Kelly was likewise wishy-washy and hesitantly indecisive. She was tempted to use subterfuge so as to honor tradition: She wanted to induce me to do the unthinkable, to leave your mother, the woman of my dreams come true, the woman customized for my joy, in order to receive her. This battle against my spiritual leadership caused her to become quite unlike the female heroes of Bible history, Sarah, Leah, Zipporah, Hannah, etc. Especially did she become hostile when I disclosed to her that I kept your mother current pertaining to all of our phone conversations. Her shock was because she underestimated my honor and integrity. She blindly assumed that I, the Lord's elect, would operate clandestinely in such a matter. In fact, she told me, 'a king would not do so; He would impose his will.' In spite of this, I gave to her the private symbol of my own making, the righteous man's reward, the

(2). SYMBOL OF BLUE.

Outer garments are quite symbolic of our spiritual loyalty. Jesus compels the Laodicean to cover their nakedness with white raiment (Rev 3: 18), and the redeemed are to stand before the throne of God wearing white robes (Rev 7: 9). Why does heaven require singular solidarity of color? Why cannot some wear green, red, yellow, etc?" This uniformity of attire obviously indicates their voluntary allegiance to Heaven's government as only a rebel would seek independence in dress code. Our goal in this struggle to win heaven's approval is to finally relinquish rebellion; thus, God's servant likewise requires uniformity. The symbolism of allegiance by conversion, especially when one is given a new commission, his dress code is changed. Joshua expresses this point when he is depicted as receiving promotion to the priesthood. His garments, we are promised, are to be changed to express removal of sins and loyalty to Christ. Aaron's garb was also changed to officiate the Atonement ceremony. To the contrary, prophecy reveals (Isa 4: 1) that in the judgment, the condemned will be those who wear their own apparel instead of the Lord's. Consider the redeemed who win the Kingdom: The Lord said, *"Thou hast a few names even in Sardis which have not defiled their garments; and they shall walk with me in white: for they are worthy. He that overcometh, the same shall be clothed in white raiment; and I will not blot out his name out of the book of life, but I will confess his name before my Father."* —Rev 3: 4, 5. He obviously therefore designates the outer garments of the redeemed —more particularly white raiment— to symbolize worthiness, redemption, and united loyalty to Him. And, no man can stipulate a counter dress code for the apparel, be it the color or the style of the robes which define our connection to the Kingdom.

What about inner garments, clothing worn under the proverbial robe, a.k.a underwear? Can they be symbolic? They come directly in contact with seepage of human excretions from the skin, and other body orifices. In harmony with this, they are considered intimate apparel. We need not assume that merely because society ascribes to such garments the allure of sexual expression, that such is always the only appointed meaning. They define a significant, spiritual meaning also. Only the immature and carnal mind would attach to such symbolism the prurient whims of society. The Bible describes the garments of the soon-to-emerge high priest: *"Now Joshua was clothed with filthy garments, and stood before the angel. And he answered and spake unto those that stood before him, saying. Take away the filthy garments from him....I will clothe thee with change of raiment."* —Zech 3: 3, 4. How did Joshua's garments become so filthy? Obviously, from exogenous or outer contaminants symbolizing false doctrines of darkness, stains. But our clothes can also become contaminated endogenously, or from within. Speaking of this, the Lord said, *"...That which cometh out of the man, that defileth the man. For from within, out of the heart of men, proceed evil thoughts...All these evil things come from within, and defile the man."* —Mark 7: 20-23. This shows that by the bodily excretions which depict our own polluted ideas and our habits

The Mustard Seed Advent, 17-Feb-2011

to take the Bible and thereto apply our own construction, we are also polluted. For these, in a literal sense, we wear underwear; they prevent our internal eruptions from soiling our public attire. Thus with God, even our ideas must be pure, and like underwear, they must be changed regularly until our righteous reward comes. Only in this can we maintain spiritual cleanliness: we can avoid defiling our robes. Kelly may have begun with clean ideas, but she was unwilling to change them for updated, consistent Bible-based ideas. Like Sunday-keepers, her belief was that societal convention equaled divine standard. Such is a defilement that comes from within; it was her endogenously-secreted stain. It may be helpful in understanding to recall that the “straight testimony” is to purify. Thus, it must be a tool for all of humanity allowing them to wash and change their intimate apparel. I remind you again of the Atonement ritual, the ritual which brings man in harmony with God:

“Thus shall Aaron come into the holy place...He shall put on the holy linen COAT, and he shall have the LINEN BREECHES upon his flesh, and shall be girded with a LINEN GIRDLE, and with the linen mitre shall he be attired: these are holy garments; therefore shall he wash his flesh in water, and so put them on...For on that day shall the priest make an atonement for you, to cleanse you, that ye may be clean from all your sins before the Lord.”—Lev 16: 3, 4, 30.

Imagine the impact of this Text: The high priest, to express the entire purpose of cleansing Israel, was given by the Lord both outer and inner clean garments. He was given breeches (**WEBSTER: short trousers covering the hips and thighs and fitting snugly at the lower edges or just below the knee**) and a girdle (**WEBSTER: a ... close-fitting undergarment...that extends from the waist to below the hips.**) then a “coat” to cover them. It might be asked, why, as a standard to fulfill this ceremonial symbolism, was Aaron commanded to wash before he dressed himself for the Lord? The answer is Obvious: To do otherwise would destroy the efficacy of that cleansing day. Aaron’s accumulated body excretions including his perspiration would contact the clean garments and soil them from the inside out. Harmonious to the same analysis, we can understand why his normal, day-to-day attire was not acceptable. Yet, with this protocol the Lord boldly leaves a ransom, an opportunity for His enemies to use His words to impugn His integrity and motives. With this Atonement protocol, the cynics who desire to “bring God down” to blaspheme His reputation by insinuation can take His gift to Aaron and impute villainous motives for giving to him intimate apparel. If the Lord does not honor such mockery for Himself, then He likewise would object when His servants are likewise disrespected, especially should such mockery come from the lips of a dishonorable child who seeks to “ruin” her effectual-and-fervently-praying, “righteous” parents. Both you and Kelly should surrender the ransom.

Our work, intricately tied to the judgment, must also be kept clean. If the Lord’s servant was to go unto the prophetess and within her conceive Mahershalalhashbaz, such would only happen by divine design and by intimate interaction. And since Immanuel must overshadow her, then he must pre-stipulate her cleanliness —both literally and symbolically. Such things are never discussed until now, but the servant of the Lord has the right as a leader, to dress all with whom he is physically intimate in the apparel of his election —not that given to such females by other, past men in their lives. Men do this for their women all the time. Some, as you shall see below, are more analytical and thoughtful on this issue than are others just as many men would not seek Bible validation, spousal acceptance, and parental approval before they acceded to an extra-marital affair. I gave many tests to Kelly, and this test of intimate apparel was the crucial one to me for her to pass. Commensurate with our proposed mission, I selected under garments for Kelly and, with her enthusiastic preapproval and permission, I sent them to her approximately a month before her birthday. Before so doing, I discussed the matter with my Zipporah and received her blessings. This was crucial because, no matter how deeply embedded is the prohibition according to societal standards, her approval trumps any other opinion leaving no basis for any other objections —“...**what therefore God hath joined together, let not man put asunder.**” —Matt 19: 6. This gift, this reward of a righteous man, by prior discussion, also helped Vinnette to see the tangibility and

{5.1} Great Roll and Eternal Sexuality

actuality of my mission and its closeness: it served to turn theory to reality. Having not seen her in more than 20 years then, I could not guess her size; thus, Kelly being a seamstress, gave to me her dimensions, and I personally made the purchase. When she received it, she was elated and expressed a special feeling of joy wearing them, but the symbolism was not complete. To be in harmony with me, she must agree to wear only my designated garments—not her own—for you well know, I am a man of principled rigidity. Such is the very definition of my election. Thus, a month later, for her birthday, she agreed to receive an entire collection. In preparation for this, she told me that she had begun to discard her other intimate garments, those acquired by herself or her deceased husband. Was she born for this calling? Such was the question to be answered on her birthday. To my disappointment, when she received the full collection, she rejected the gift. Her resentment was over the fact that they were all the same color. We were at a definite impasse, one beyond which I could not budge. The uniform color was central to my calling but a great distress for her. Like all righteous men, those who have gained victory over Adam's capitulation with Eve, I refused to relent from my standard.

What was the big deal? Why was a singular and uniform color so important? This aspect of my life may be, by my detractors, used as a ransom, but my goal was not a sexual peccadillo; it was the authority of the symbolism. There are enough children of the world born by lust, Bazzi must be born by principle. Moses expresses the principle in the following Texts,

“Speak unto the children of Israel, and bid them that they make them fringes in the borders of their garments throughout their generations, and that they put upon the fringes of the borders a ribband of BLUE: And it shall be unto you for a fringe, that ye may look upon it, and ♦♦♦ REMEMBER ♦♦♦ all the commandments of the Lord, and do them; and that ye seek not after your own heart and your own eyes”—Numb 15: 38, 39.

Are there any other commandments specifying color? In this one, Israel was commanded to wear one singular color as a ribbon, the color blue; they could not designate their own color nor could they have a day-to-day variety allowing changes to the symbolism. It proved their loyalty. But was such an overly-rigid law? All will automatically say no, because it is God who commanded it; yet, how will they answer when a man of honor, elected by Him, likewise elevates his own standards for those placed under his authority? To this you can relate and attest from the exacting government of my home, the restrictive acumen which prepared you to become expertly trained as a princess above the sloven and laggard regimens that others accept for their children. It was this disciplinary acumen which prepared you academically to become an engineer, a crash-preventing master of the proverbial airplane; yet, this was the very thing that you also hated. God governs with precision. Thus, we should avoid the presumption that because mindlessness is the rule for the world, then my work should likewise correspond. Instead, it is “above the earth”. The *mustard seed*, by virtue of this Text, holds the color blue in high esteem when it is used symbolically. The Lord also greatly relegates to this color special significance, so much so that, Israel was restrained from seeking after their own heart and eyes by replacing it with another color. The color signifies the work of the Holy Spirit, the Spirit of Prophecy; it was to remind Israel of the Lord's commandments. Such is proven by the Lord's promise for our day. He said, **“But the Comforter, which is the Holy Ghost, whom the Father will send in my name, he shall...bring all things to your ♦♦♦ REMEMBRANCE ♦♦♦ whatsoever I have said unto you”**—John 14: 26. Clearly, His work is symbolized by the blue ribbon which laced the borders of ancient, Hebrew garments. Today, one of my central missions is to remind the saints of the *Testimony of Jesus*, and according to *Rev 12*, it is our victory over the dragon. Sad indeed it would be if I were to connect with Kelly, a woman who seeks after her own heart and eyes, for help and receive a son from her that would fall into the very clutches of hell. Such a hazard is guaranteed to be the risk of all who associate with people who lack the discipline of government. Yet, the only justification for my involvement with her, as validated by the Bible, was the mission of the prophetess, a post validated by her dress in my intimate apparel. If deemed true to her calling, the Lord would cover her with the robes of His designations, but the undergarments were, by my authority, to meet my specs. For more clarity, Israel's use of that color was for public display; so they attached the blue ribbons to their outer

The Mustard Seed Advent, 17-Feb-2011

garments for all to see. To the contrary, Kelly's connection to the work was to be defined by another more private and intimate connection; thus, her hidden garments were designated blue. This designation was in harmonious symbolism with

(3). THE SPIRIT OF PROPHECY.

How can any really know that this explanation of my gift is not merely some convenient face-saving alibi? Much evidence can be given. To begin, Kelly asked me if I needed to see her wearing the apparel, and I told her, no; it was not then necessary. If my intentions were salacious, I would have obviously responded differently. Even greater evidence is expressed in my publications. Remember, Kelly's claim is that of the prophetic gift. Any can see that whenever I quote the work which I consider prophetically inspired, namely the writings of EGW and VTH, it is given special treatment. Such quotes, by MSA, doctrinal exegesis, are also shrouded by the Scripture. To infuse those precious, yet subordinate-to-the-Bible references into my writings, they are consistently offset visually from the regular text of the MSC' written work by use of the same color, blue; the very same color—as best as I could match them—which I assigned for Kelly's intimate apparel. Exacting in particularity in the written work, shows a fastidious pattern to all duties. Simply put, this is the personality of the Lord's faithful steward, His elect. None else have ever shown the same deferential and consistent veneration for the writings of both EGW and VTH. Until the MSC, when others have quoted either VTH or EGW, they just haphazardly dump their words into the verbal body of their writings expressing little care to honor them with prophetic distinction. Unlike the Lord's *mustard seed*, they do not write with the same regimen: They exert less scrupulous and painstakingly fastidious care to dress their work with added, under the surface, meaning. The purity of my motives, by use of a color schema and symbolism, can be further proven by your mother's attire. In a sense, she has, by her joyful compliance, spoiled me to demand the same honor from Kelly. Being my helpmeet, being one flesh, and being thusly connected with the Lord's king, she wears a different color designation, the color of royalty. Just as the Bible is higher than the SOP and just as Zipporah was higher than Miriam, the prophetess who helped Moses, just so do I consider Vinnette higher than the future prophetess. As you can attest, whenever the Bible is quoted in my work, it receives this exalted veneration; I give it the color of magenta, a derivative of the color of wine or blood. For brevity, I will not substantiate the use of this color; such would be off the subject. The point is, Vinnette has worn that color for years before Kelly's assignment and is glad to do so. Having lived in the home and having done laundry, you are postured to validate the truth of this statement.

Let us not be confused and overcome with fanaticism: This color designation was a requisite for your mother and the prophetess; it applies to none others. Your mother and I teach the *Testimony of Jesus*; we feed the saints with His proverbial Flesh and Blood. Contrast this with the test of the disciples indeed: Their test has nothing to do with the color of the cloths that they wear. Being their royalty, I remind them of the Lord's commands. In keeping with this, like the space shuttle surging towards heaven, they must have their own due diligence. They must now cover the SOP with the Word. This mandates that they leave behind the rocket thrusts of their former ministries, the writings of the prophets—proving every doctrine thereby—and allow the glory of the *Testimony of Jesus*, the white robes of righteousness to cover or overshadow their former adoration of the SOP. In this sense, they too wear the SOP as undergarments.

The prophetess is given a special assignment which, like the undergarments, should be distinguished. I do not need her to explain the Bible; until clarified further, her role appears to cover the relative and contemporary issues not revealed in the Bible. Her role in this is only validated by the Bible promise of her emergence. She does not feed the proverbial butter and honey, instead she discloses to me relative aspects of the world around me, things that the Holy Spirit, not a human, can discern. This is much like the prophet Isaiah did to the House of David before they knew of the confederacy and conspiracy between Israel and Syria: He told the king of the House of David about the realities which surrounded him, namely, the conspiracy to destroy

{5.1} Great Roll and Eternal Sexuality

him. Perhaps the prophetess will likewise reveal the intimate details and the progress of the confederacy and conspiracy —I cannot now tell for sure. What is certain is that, if the Lord wants me to know a matter, He has the option to reveal it to me through the prophetess of His election. To illustrate, think of the Lord's ministry, some asked Him questions deceptively seeking to find a reproach in His work, others, like Nicodemus were genuine. The Lord, more perceptive than I, was able to read the heart; He could discern the difference and respond accordingly. The prophetess can spend much of her time in communion with the Comforter to uncover the machinations of people in the scope of my ministry while Vinnette and I spend our energy distributing the meat in due season. To illustrate even further, before our separation, when Kelly was in day-to-day phone communication with me, she would shock me to the point of disbelief as she relayed to me the lives of people associated with me, especially family members and their designs or intentions toward my work. Not fully trusting her connection to the Lord, much I dismissed relying upon the Lord to make the matter plain. I, hoping to reach some of my long-standing, supposedly stellar, Adventist family in the DC area, was told by her, years back, that some were in noncompliance with the clean-meat, dietary standards of *Lev 11*. I shrugged it off as unbelievable until you, unaware and at a later date, confirmed her accuracy. As a guest, you were offered by them foods which, on the basis of your training in *Lev 11*, you showed the wisdom and leadership and refused. Some things she herself did not disclose. But her mission, again in keeping with the theme of the intimate apparel, appears to be concealed and personal to me in my ministry, and I can only work with her if she, unlike the chameleon, always reflects the blue of the Spirit of Prophecy; any other color on her would indicate treachery, false loyalty, and duplicitous inspiration.

When Kelly received her birthday package, she called and repelled saying that she could never get used to wearing one singular color. She liked variety and was disturbed by the consistent color of the cloth. No doubt, she would do the same if the Lord sought to dress her in His white robes or line her hems with a colored ribbon. This exposed to me her true spirituality. And, since I would not budge on that issue, she angrily refused to comply. Shortly afterward, in September, 2002, I rejected her for her mission never to contact her again —though she has since called me on various occasions. My disagreement was simply one of authority: If she refused to humble herself so as to comply with my election, then she did not really accept my ministry. This simple test revealed her true colors. Therefore, she was not in compliance with the commandments expressed in the *Testimony of Jesus*; and, she was a prospective enemy, one who would seek to ruin me. As you already know, she took this honest information, and with your help, held it up as ransom. But in final analysis, it was no loss. I knew all during your years of treachery and prodigality that the Lord would bless me by your whisperings. You in fact revealed to family members, other important information, heard first in our then close family circle, information that they actually needed to hear. With treachery on your heart, you served as my messenger. But regarding Kelly, the only logical judgment was that her association with this work would become

PROFITABLE FOR NOTHING~~~~~. I do not want her son. Even you have attested to her inconsistent and day-to-day diverging personality: This you have done by suggesting that Kelly is bipolar. From day to day, depending upon her mood, she would spiritually enshroud herself in unauthorized apparel, clothing tarnished from within and without. Time has proven this test to be true for she has always been a person of great vacillation changing as one would change their

(1). SOILED GIRDL.

The Lord gave the very same symbolism to Jeremiah to show him Israel's intimate relationship with Him and how they were to be rejected:

“Thus saith the Lord unto me, Go and get thee a linen girdle, and put it upon thy loins, and PUT IT NOT IN WATER. So I got a girdle according to the word of the Lord, and put it on my loins. And the word of the Lord came unto me the second time, saying, Take the girdle that thou hast got, which is upon thy loins, and arise, go to EUPHRATES, and hide it there in a hole of the rock...And it came to pass after many days, that the Lord said unto me...take the girdle from thence...Then I went to

The Mustard Seed Advent, 17-Feb-2011

Euphrates, and digged, and took the girdle from the place where I had hid it: and, behold, the girdle was marred, it was profitable for nothing. Then the word of the Lord came unto me, saying, Thus saith the Lord, After this manner will I mar the pride of Judah, and the great pride of Jerusalem. This evil people, which refuse to hear my words, which walk in the imagination of their heart, and walk after other gods, to serve them, and to worship them, shall even be as this girdle, which is good for nothing. For as the girdle cleaveth to the loins of a man, so have I caused to cleave unto me the whole house of Israel...but they would not hear” —Jer 13: 1-4, 6- 11.

Amazing indeed, Jeremiah’s work with the girdle, though commissioned by God, was symbolic. What then is the meaning of the girdle? When worn by Jeremiah, it shows the close connection of God’s people to Him through His elect —closer than His relationship to any other people— and this connection is only a reality when they “hear” Him, His word through the prophet, when they hear the *Testimony of Jesus*. **“Be glad then, ye children of Zion, and rejoice in the Lord your God...and my people shall never be ashamed. And ye shall know that I am in the midst of Israel”** —Joel 2: 23, 26, 27. **“Sing and rejoice, O daughter of Zion: for, lo, I come, and I will dwell in the midst of thee, saith the Lord. And many nations shall be joined to the Lord in that day, and shall be my people: and I will dwell in the midst of (not the nations, but) thee...”** —Zech 2: 10, 11. Considering both Texts, it becomes apparent that the intimate connection for the nations that join is not the same as will be that between Israel and Christ in the day when they ‘receive the righteous man’s reward’. Two groups of redeemed are embraced. Both shall be His people; both shall be “joined” to Him; however, He will dwell only within one group, Israel. Consequently, one, Israel, is His figurative inner garment and the other, the nations, are His outer garment. A special caveat now must be noted: Bible study after Bible study proves that Christ cannot directly present Himself, without a shield; such was His covenant with Israel. After all, Israel fled from Him at Sinai when He appeared directly to them. Therefore, He does just as promised: He gives Israel hiding from His brightness by resting, as promised, upon His servant, and from that perspective, Israel will know of His presence. It will be revealed through *the prophet like unto Moses*, the *mustard seed*. For the Lord further promises, **“Behold, a king shall reign in righteousness...And a man shall be as a hiding place...as the shadow of a great rock in a weary land”** —Isa 32: 1, 2. This intended purpose was why Jeremiah was commissioned to wear the girdle. And why the Lord said, **“Now I tell you before it come, that, when it is come to pass, ye may believe that I am (XX). Verily, verily, I say unto you, He that receiveth whomsoever I send receiveth me...”** —John 13: 19, 20 ([KJV supplied word, “he” omitted]). The only alternative already took place in the day of Jeremiah, Israel and Judah refused to hear and was rendered “good for nothing”. But be it not mistaken, Jeremiah —not Christ— wore the girdle; he symbolized the abiding trust needed between the Lord and His people, their unity. For this trust to be rekindled, Israel must surmount their love for the false Assyrian standards, the very thing that polluted them as shown by the hidden girdle in the rocks by the Euphrates.

Yet, the lesson of intimacy has many dimensions which make this metaphor useful. As such, we must ask the following: Why must such a highly personal piece of clothing make us bashful precluding its reuse as a symbol to express devotion when done to exemplify our very own ultra-interpersonal bonds? Can there be a connection more familiar than that of a man and his female sexual partner? Did not Father say that a man and his wife must become “one flesh”? Sure we have other divinely-appointed, social partners, but none were ever intended to share this highest level of union. For the purposes of our metaphor to describe Immanuel and the prophetess, just as Jeremiah was not embarrassed to purchase the girdle to express the symbol, neither should be the *mustard seed* for he too is to experience biblically-defined interactions that will also require private commitment. To reveal to all this divine purpose, no matter how shameful to some, is to give his life for a ransom. It is to also show an auxiliary addition to

(2). STANDARD ATTIRE.

[{5.1} Great Roll and Eternal Sexuality](#)

Speaking of the expressed symbol, Jeremiah was instructed to put the girdle —“not in the water”, but— upon himself. Afterwards, he was to remove it and hide it in a hole of the rock near the Euphrates only to recover it a second time. Unless we assume that before the purchase and after the hiding, Jeremiah did not wear such clothing, we must know that he had standard attire assigned to be his primary loin cloth and the purchased girdle was secondary. Kelly and I likewise had a close and intimate connection that was not standard. Though it was only by phone, no other woman, since my marriage, have I, the Lord’s elect, discoursed issues of male-to-female intimacy; after all, we discussed, by the aegis of God’s word, our production of a son. To fulfill such a mission, she would require closer connection to me than any other woman except my wife, my proverbially authentic girdle. To immerse the secondary girdle in water would indicate that it would be an object that was inundated by Babylon/Assyria for the Euphrates was a river for both of those ancient nations. It would suggest that our advanced application of the symbol pointed us to a person from the Sunday-keeping churches, people immersed in Babylon. Contrary to this conclusion, the rocks in which it was hidden was obviously above the river making a perfect icon for the abode of the SDA church —antitypical Israel and Judah— the church according to the *Second-Angel’s Message* that was called out of Babylon —see *Rev 14*. The meaning gets even more specific: It refers to the period before Assyria overflows her banks. When she does this, her waters cover the elevated rocks above the river bed. It is a time when the entire church is flooded under her dirty water rendering them also good for nothing. See a full exegesis of this flood from the MSC’s study of Isa 59: 19-21, described in MSC book 5.0. However, the girdle was buried in the rocks above the water line. Kelly was likewise put away in pre-flood Adventism: She was hidden from the teachings of the MSA until its latest book, 5.0. Her identity will be even more clearly revealed as we approach the time when Assyria, according to *Isa 59*, overflows her banks. Her experience is like that of ancient Israel: She too disrespected her close connection to the Lord’s intimate work thinking that His word was “nasty” or vile. Her thoughts were forged —not by Bible standards, but— by Assyrian/Babylonian ethics. In fact, her claim was that she does not live in Bible times but in the context of these times suggesting that current tradition gives to us morals that are superior to those articulated by Christ in the OT.

Her mind, the thing which, from within her, defiled her was obviously an Assyrian corruption even though she was not submerged in those waters. Her dirty mind was polluted by her Adventist walk. Adventists, though not yet inundated, have a concept of marriage which is forged by an amalgamation of their ideals. These themes they call divine. In final analysis, she believed just as they do: that second wives are acceptable only when the first one is discarded. Essentially, this is a doctrine that pervades the church, a comfortable and cozy accommodation to mistreat women, a teaching that an honorable, virginal woman who accommodates her husband can legitimately and righteously be discarded in preference usually to someone else’s woman, perhaps with outer beauty —a ministerial strip-tease act. Look around and see that the ranks of church leadership and laity are replete with men who have illegally discharged their first wives in preference to a second. This is the SDA twist on Assyrian doctrine for she, both Davidia and nominal members, attires themselves with secondary wives so as to retain full social honor. This ethic they exalt above the Old-Testament teachings of Christ, one that insists that a man, except for reasons of adultery, can never cast aside his virgin.

“And this have ye done again, covering the altar of the Lord with tears, with weeping, and with crying out, insomuch that he regardeth not the offering any more, or receiveth it with goodwill at your hand. Yet ye say, Wherefore? Because the Lord hath been witness between thee and the wife of thy youth, against whom thou hast dealt treacherously: yet is she thy companion, and the wife of thy covenant. And did not he make one? (did not Christ, in Gen 2: 24, demand that they be one flesh?)...Therefore take heed to your spirit, and let none deal treacherously against the wife of his youth”—Mal 2: 13-15.

No matter how elderly a man is when he first marries, his wife then is the wife of his youth because tomorrow he will always be older; consequently, the phrase, **“wife of thy youth”** is another way to say, your first wife. This is an indictment against those who cast aside their standard attire, their

The Mustard Seed Advent, 17-Feb-2011

first wife. Thus the Lord is riled or irked by those who create heartache and tears for Him to resolve when they abandon the wife of their youth, the one chosen to be their companion, be they virgins or not. His condemnation is not directed to men who take secondary partners; instead, His animus causes Him to reject the work, the offerings of those who trash their primary one. In the OT, He allowed additional wives, but did not permit them to put away their first wives provided that they were virgins and were conjugally faithful. Assyria has a different standard, one that the church and Kelly, who has become a useful icon to represent their ethics, now extol as divine. You merely need to secure official approval, a divorce from the Assyrian, then, regardless of the offense of the wife, she can be dismissed, sometimes with money, and the male is free to breach his covenant to her and commit himself to be a husband to another. This is an offense to the Lord. As you consider further, remember that which was shown above, men and women, in fairness, receive different judgments. It would be another matter if the wife tired of her marriage and left the man. Then a different dynamic, not herein described, prevails: Because she initiated the breach of covenant, and being responsible for her new station, she does not evoke the Lord's sympathy for her tears at the hand of her former husband, and the Lord is not expressing His anger towards him. But, to cast aside the wife of one's youth because Assyria has duped a man to think that he must or because the "Kelly" in his life prompted him to so do, then he has dealt treacherously and has evoked the ire of the Lord. Better to do as did Abraham in his marriage and Jeremiah in symbol: better to disregard the secondary attire and preserve the companionship with the wife of your youth, your standard attire.

All who read this account must understand that Christ desires to sweep their temple, to form them into an acceptable vessel, to make of them a

(3). CLEAN HOUSE.

Some may be curious about the role of the "prophetess" ever since the publication of my latest book, 5.0. They do not fully know that she sought to destroy me using my gift to her as a smear of this work. This she does because she has been reassigned to her current spiritual abode, back into the rocks by the river, back into the SDA church where I first found her. Could there be any other reason why she relayed to you, my daughter, my personal gift? Thus, I am glad to pay this ransom or to use your letter as a spring board to further enlighten all about this subject; after all, many may be hesitant to step forward and inquire. They should know that their prime minister is not like the angel of Laodicea: He is not indiscriminate, reckless, and/or injudicious. Instead, just as promised, he "*deals prudently*". Undergarments as a uniform was only one of many tests given to her. Had Kelly passed, there would have been at least one other: I could not have received her intimately purely based upon her word of chastity, and all disciples must likewise heed this wisdom: If she did as claimed and remained chaste in the years after her husband passed, then I still could not receive her as I must also consider the chastity of her late, non-Adventist husband. He could have contaminated her. To bring home polluting diseases to one's innocent wife is likewise a grievous offense, one that causes tears which the Lord will not ignore. Any man who receives for himself a woman who is not a virgin, must guarantee that she will not contaminate the Lord's temple.

"Be ye not unequally yoked together with unbelievers: for what fellowship hath righteousness with unrighteousness? and what communion hath light with darkness...for ye are the temple of the living God: as God hath said, I will dwell in them and walk in them: and I will be their God, and they shall be my people. Wherefore come out from among them, and be ye separate, saith the Lord, and touch not the unclean thing; and I will receive you,"—2Cor 6: 14, 16, 17.

"Therefore my people shall know my name: therefore they shall know in that day that I am he that doth speak: behold, it is I. How beautiful upon the mountains are the feet of him that bringeth good tidings, that publisheth peace...Depart ye, depart ye, go ye out from thence, touch no unclean thing; go ye out of the midst of her; be ye clean, that bear the vessels of the Lord...Behold, my servant shall deal prudently, he shall be exalted and extolled, and be very high." —Isa 52: 6, 7, 11, 13.

[{5.1} Great Roll and Eternal Sexuality](#)

Whether the undergarments to express harmonious compliance with my authority or a blood test to show biological purity and disinfection, both are simple tests which, to the ransom-holding scoffer, can be made to seem laughable and extreme. Remember, the prophetess is to establish an intimate connection to me; thus, my standards, the things which make me comfortable need to be settled in accordance with the Lord's principles. Be not surprised to know that a test, different from that of the prophetess, of course, is given to all. As this work comes to a close, all must, like the Lord's original disciples beforehand, be made clean. There are standards —some require a deep gaze into the Bible— which, when ignored, preclude unity and cause a breach among the saints. Jacob must clean his house before he receives Jerusalem, the vessels of the Lord. Anciently, in the type, he did exactly this very thing for the Record reveals, **“And God said unto Jacob, Arise, go up to Bethel...Then Jacob said unto his household, and to all that were with him, Put away the strange gods that are among you, and be clean, and change your garments: And let us arise, and go up to Bethel.”** —Gen 35: 1- 3. Take careful note of the principles defined in this Scripture: God commanded Jacob where to go, Bethel, but Jacob, by his own initiative and without divine mandate, commanded a standard of cleanliness; this we have as our example. All their garments were to be changed. His house was preserved by his wives, sons, daughters, friends, servants, all then heeded to both God and to His ordained elect, Jacob. We are simply told to be clean, and Jacob is inspired to define that objective; it is reduced to his judgment. If dispute or question arises, he need merely show that the prohibitive item or practice is unclean. And with this I bring this letter to

CLOSING~~~~~. Antitypical Jacob today likewise has a standard. Your mother and I have prayed for the day of your reunion, and now that you indicate movement in that regard, you must be shown Jacob's need for cleanliness. Whether it be in my physical home or that of a saint living apart geographically, I cannot abide in such a place if to do so means that I must share the environment with animals. They have a lower standard of cleanliness which corrupts and defiles the atmosphere that I must walk, sit, eat, and breathe. Also, my spirit is disturbed when it is exposed, in home, to contaminated or abusive and foul language. In harmony with this, we are told to speak comfortably to Jerusalem; therefore, I seek to avoid depiction of them by way of distasteful expletives. My joy is to associate myself with saints in whom the Lord dwelleth, those who are kept clean. I do not abide by the use of any mind-altering or biologically destructive, non-medicinal intoxicants. These include legal or illegal substances including, wine, liquor, marijuana, cigarettes. Regarding illegal substances, I do not wish to be in a home that is the potential target of an Assyrian-drug raid. Having seen the results heaped upon others, I do not desire for myself or for Vinnette to be arrested by him and be placed in one of his other holes in the rocks, his jails.

In fairness, though after searching for compatibility, I rejected Kelly; the saints still deserve to see her report card. Such will give to them a glance into their own compliance. I carefully studied her over the phone and took notes of her many qualities. Some were a joy; others indicated a need for ministry, and others were disparaging. (1) She was not a sexually licentious person and, she claimed to have remained sexually celibate in the long years before and after her husband passed. (2) She professed to have high standards of domestic cleanliness: (3) Also, she did not, at least in my hearing, use profanity. (4) When carefully probed by me, she claimed to be free of use of intoxicating substances. (5) She may have had a problem with jewelry; our friendship ended before I could probe into that issue further. (6) She objected to my requirement that she remove all idols including stuffed animals. (7) One thing discussed with her in much length was her lack of charity to people of misfortune who, for reasons not of their own making, were disadvantaged in life. She recklessly entrusted her wealth in the hands of speculators. You know which of these offenses pertains to you, and, as an adult, rather than seeking an apology from me for training you to be royalty, you should seek to reclaim your place in this home by assuring compliance with any of the above standards that you can now meet. Your health is fragile, and you have wisely heeded the assisting advice of this home pertaining to it and have seen the positive results, now you must do the same with your home. Get rid of your furry friends. You cannot risk inhaling or ingesting the air borne micro-biological and parasitic contaminates that comes with live-in, in-house animals. The

The Mustard Seed Advent, 17-Feb-2011

river Euphrates is almost at our door and all who, because of their great pride, continue to dwell in the holes of the rocks along its banks, will be soiled and rendered useless to the Lord's Kingdom.

Sincerely,

Dad