

08-21-2014

Beware of Tradition's Dark Seal, Part I

Brother Gibbs,

This is my third letter to you, and for those who are reading it, they should know that its theme is comprehensive, and so as to fully understand, they should accordingly read the prior two letters dated 20-Aug-2014.

Inspired with duplicity and sophistry, your below-cited comments accuse me of teaching without Bible foundation. Such a charge is laughable to all who know my work; yet, for entertainment value, I have chosen to lead with that accusation. In all seriousness, your confusion stems from your embrace of the traditions and teachings of men; you have confused them with the voice of God—such is the degrading fruits of idolatry! Indeed, the Lord's indictment of the Pharisees applies to you. He accused them of, **“Making the word of God of none effect through (their) tradition...”**—Mk 7: 13. But worse than them, you have chosen the subtle and deceptive path of the asp: You seek to label my work with the very charge that belongs to you. More of your dark seal of tradition will be explained below.

One thing that you assert has a ring of truth: that the early Church, before the influence of the Papacy, presumed that Jesus was the same as Christ. All indeed presumed, as do you, that Jesus was the God of the Old-Testament making the story of His conception, though biblical, to be an overwhelmingly embarrassing teaching about God. Christ, to address the thrust of this issue, while speaking through Jesus said what the MSC teaches: He declared, **“I thank thee, O Father, Lord of heaven and earth, that thou hast hid these things from the wise and prudent, and hast revealed them unto babes: even so, Father; for so it seemed good in thy sight... no man knoweth who the Son is, but the Father; and who the Father is but the Son, AND HE TO WHOM THE SON WILL REVEAL”** —Luke 10: 21, 22 (see also Matt 11: 25, 26). Such a tactic was even more wise in the gentile dispensation; they were not the seed of Abraham; truth has always been arduous and tedious for them. Consequently, with the advance of Christology, they instinctively sought to wash the Bible with their own inefficacious soap and fabric softener, and instead of saying that Jesus was brought by a stork—the line that they feed to their own children—to accommodate their immaturity, they, through careful, non-biblical machinations, concocted the story which you teach that is also cited below, the story that Jesus came down from heaven to earth as a baby.

Many went further in their attempts to sanitize God and they began to assert that Jesus was the same Person as Father. But, the point is that the issue was hotly contested for 300 years until the advent of Constantinian Christianization. He, in the desire to find unity, ended up sacrificing doctrinal purity. He did not discern that Father, One who, by His own genius, sought to advance the church through darkness until dawn, until the day of Jacob's proverbial sunrise at Penial, managed the very debate of the church's distress. Accordingly, wise men do not seek the dark seal of death; they seek the sealing angel who was promised to ascend from the east at sunrise (see Rev 7: 2). Constantine did not understand that to secure heaven's blessing, sometimes you must wrestle with the Angel to victory. Believe it or not: Debate is good even in light of Paul's epistle. To mix the plethora of Bible metaphors further, this is why Christ, after feeding the 5000, ascended alone into the mountain causing the disciples to battle the waves of resistance in the betokened age of Roman influence which is also exemplified by their journey to the other side of the Sea of Tiberius (see *John six*). I know that Paul teaches that God is not the author of confusion; Christ, a Man who actually knows Father, as manifested by His above cited words, disagrees with Paul. Thankfully, Paul subordinated his Bible teachings in deference to those of Christ's by saying, as shown below,

Beware of Tradition's Dark Seal, Part I

that his work will be nullified in the day of maturity, sunrise. The point was not simple but at least it is now clear: The church devoid of meekness could never have unity in Christ —Christ, He who entirely submits His will to the Father (John 8: 29)— until they become like Christ and become submissive like Him.

This is why Paul pointed the church to the day of doctrinal circumcision: Not discerning as much, Constantine and the Catholic Church canonized the theory that was most acceptable to his idolatrous, gentile mind; thus, he fostered the theme which you echo, Tri-Unitarianism (Three Gods in One). Later Christians, in a simplistic desire to validate Constantine's edicts, sought to twist John 17: 21, 22, to affirm his gentile fables. You must know that Christ therein asked Father to give us unity as they are One; we cannot become one with each other as you define the oneness of the Trinity. Instead of that, you must know that, by Law, on the eighth day, all males must be circumcised. Our day of heart circumcision is now, the time at the end of Laodicea, the seventh church: It is the day when we are called to 'leave the principles of the doctrine of Christ and go on unto perfection' —Heb 6:1. The lesson of the MSC, spelled out more carefully in its prior, written work, is that Emperor Constantine answered the debate and solidified the doctrine with the Nicean Creed and the Catholic Church, with its additional conferences to follow, cemented the same charge. It was codified as a doctrine, so much so that, to answer the debates which are waged even today, ministries such as yours point to the opponents of Constantine as heretics —this they do by a deeply imbedded psyche which is dominated by the Catholic ethos. As all children do: They allowed the mother church to pick their friends and enemies; hence you seek to tarnish my work by comparing it to that of men whom the Catholic Church labeled as heretics. The truth is, they were all gentiles seeking salvation by grace: One was no more heretical than the other. To conceal the vanity and emptiness of your doctrine, you foster the following conclusion:

Your ideas that Jesus is not divine are not new. In many ways this was the first heresy in the early Christian church. I beg you to come back to the Bible and Bible only. The Christ of the Bible is the eternal Son of God, who became flesh, manifested Himself, and declared Himself, to be the unique Son of God. He showed Himself both sinless and sovereign over all Creation. The Christ of Scripture, freely and by design, gave Himself as a ransom for sinners and died on the cross so that those who believe in Him would be delivered from the guilt and the penalty of sin. The same Christ rose from the dead with flesh and bones, bearing the evidences of the Crucifixion. He ascended into heaven as our High Priest. The Christ of the Bible will come again soon in glory and power, to bring to an end the age in which we live. —Your E-mail, Arthur Gibbs, Wednesday, August 20, 2014 8:50 PM.

The Bible shows that, contrary to your non-biblical fables, Christ did not die on Calvary; instead, He was commended back to the Father just before Jesus died —see Luke 23: 46. On Sunday morning, Jesus was raised from the dead to receive all power from the Father. To discern this truth, you would have to know the identity of Christ, a.k.a., the name of the Lord. Such knowledge can only come from the one to whom Christ promised to reveal Himself, the son of David, the man whom the Father hath sealed as shown in my prior letter to you. Regarding your appeal for a Bible exegesis from me, I ask, have you ever heard of the following theme: Thou shalt not bear false witness? The Law, perhaps with the exception of the Sabbath, evidently has no meaning to you! In this regard do you dissemble; indeed you lie by suggesting that the MSC teaches that Jesus was not divine. The MSC does not deny the divinity of Jesus; it never has made any such assertion. How could He be anything else but divine when the Most High was His Father? This is what the Apostle Luke teaches: *“And (Gabriel) answered and said unto (Mary), The Holy Ghost shall come upon thee, and the power of the Highest shall overshadow thee: therefore also that **HOLY THING** which shall be born of thee shall be called the Son of God”* —Luke 1: 35. This Text —or let me more clearly affirm, “this biblical text”— describes the conception of Jesus; it does not describe the Creator, Christ. He, Christ, was the One described by the generic term, the Holy Spirit (He, like Father, was/is holy and He was and still is a Spirit) Who, as stated already, rested upon Jesus at His, Jesus' anointing at age 30 per His covenant with Israel in *Deut 18*. Jesus' conception, as clearly and unambiguously stated by the testimony of Gabriel and as recorded by Luke, affirms the MSC's doctrine so as to define Jesus as divine. Therefore, you must apologize for bearing false witness. Gabriel made the lesson quite definitively: He gave the participation of both the Father and

The Mustard Seed Advent, 21-Aug-2014

the Comforter, a.k.a. the “Third Person of the Godhead”, the Holy Ghost. In essence, to shield Mary from the certain destruction that would occur by Father’s contact with her and to allow her to receive the “power of the Highest”, He sheathed her; He came upon her. Then, to inseminate her, the Highest overshadowed her. True, this is doctrine for a mature audience, and only mature disciples who have developed to full age and can put away the opposing fables. They are unlike your ministerial comrades or your flock —I have my assurance:

“For when for the time ye ought to be teachers, ye have need that one teach you again which be the first principles of the oracles of God; and are become such as have need of milk, and not of strong meat. For every one that useth milk is unskillful in the word of righteousness: for he is a babe. But strong meat belongeth to them that are of full age, even those who by reason of use have their senses exercised to discern both good and evil. Therefore leaving the principles of the doctrine of Christ, let us go on unto perfection...” —Heb 5: 12-14, 6:1.

Gabriel, I dare say, has more credibility than your sources, especially the Papacy; the Gospel writer, Luke, likewise comes with established credibility. He, Luke, by recording to us Gabriel’s account of Jesus’ engenderation, proves that He, Jesus, did not pre-exist as God. How could He when Gabriel, in reference to Jesus’ conception called Jesus a Thing, a “Holy Thing”? Did Gabriel blaspheme God or have you, the Church, and the Pope so done? —meekness demands that you answer this question with honesty. I judge that rather than the former, neither Gabriel nor Luke misspoke, that the later personages, as you shall see, are the men who have blasphemed the name of God. But allow the point to marinate further and meditate dispassionately upon it: Jesus, 2000 years ago, was not even described as a human, not even as a baby; He was not depicted as an angel, and certainly not as God. For several months of His beginning life, He was called a “Holy Thing”. This temporary, developing station of Jesus came to being because Father’s seed united with Mary’s egg, that which we call conception by the process of insemination —I know strong meat, very mature doctrine.

Now do you understand what *John the Revelator* meant when he, to define the purveyors of the image beast today, was inspired to say?

“And there was given unto him a mouth speaking great things and blasphemies...and he opened his mouth in blasphemy against God, to blaspheme his name, and his tabernacle, and them that dwell in heaven...and (he) deceiveth them that dwell on the earth...that they should make an image to the beast which had the wound by the sword and did live. And he had power to give life unto the image of the beast...that as many as would not worship the image of the beast should be killed...” —Rev 13: 5, 6, 14, 15.

They blasphemed them that dwell in heaven. Guess what! —Father, Christ, Jesus, the Comforter, and Gabriel all now reside in heaven, and you, just as the authenticated disciple John promised, have, with one swoop of the pen, blasphemed them all. First you say that the Highest was not Jesus’ Father but the Comforter was His Father. This makes Jesus a liar when He called upon God in prayer, and it makes the Comforter a delinquent dad for God dwelt with Jesus during His travails while the Comforter remained in heaven waiting to be sent when Jesus departed. It makes Gabriel a liar for He told Mary that her Son would be called the Son of God. You insinuate that Jesus is the same person as Father, and since this is your doctrine, then you thereby insinuate that they all, being the same Person, participated in Mary’s insemination. Such implies the unthinkable: that Jesus, inseminated His own mother. You also imply insults to Christ, the One whom the Bible indeed shows was the Eternal Son of the Father in all ages, the One who created humanity with His own hands and who inherited Israel from Father (see Deut 32: 8-10, 1Sam10:1; Ps 47: 4; 78: 62; 71; etc) also, by virtue of His Tri-Unity, had reproductive function with Mary, a daughter of Israel and thus Christ’s own daughter.

Side Bar: *We, the disciples indeed (those who do the will of the Father) are not now to be called the sons and daughters of God. Instead, we are promised to become as such. Obviously, such an attainment cannot occur until our atonement is complete in the day when it can be said that we have been made at one with Him. Then we —a small portion of the redeemed— will become sons and daughters of God. This was scheduled to occur today, in the last day —this according to the Testimony of Jesus. Christ said as much when He showed the distinction between His brothers and*

Beware of Tradition's Dark Seal, Part I

sisters and others who sat at His feet in study. While He spoke through Jesus, the family of Jesus approached: "While he yet talked to the people...one said unto him, Behold, thy mother and thy brethren stand without, desiring to speak with thee...and He stretched forth his hand toward his disciples, and said...Who is my mother...whosoever shall do the will of my Father which is in heaven, the same is my brother, and sister and mother..."—Matt 12: 46--50. Take careful note of the point: Both Jesus and Christ are now Sons of God; they are not God. But, according to covenant, it is Christ who spoke, and accordingly, He gives the legal terms to become not just His brother or sister, but also His mother. To become as such, you must be adopted by Father. Mary, Jesus' actual mother, could never be considered to be the mother of Christ; hence, by His above statement, you have His affirmation of that fact. To become as much, she could only receive that distinction by doing that which was mandated, the complete will of God. Such is not left to obscurity: The will of God is brought to fruition by believing on the son of David: "And this is the Father's will which hath sent me (Christ), that of all which he hath given me I should lose nothing, but should raise it up again at the last day. And this is the will of him that sent me (Christ)...that everyone which seeth the Son (of David) and believeth on him, may have everlasting life: and I will raise him up at the last day"—John 6: 39, 40. Both Jesus and Christ were exalted to heaven at the time of Calvary, a time before the last day; therefore, the raising of this promise must refer to another individual, an end-time man. Evidently, only the disciples who emerge in the "last day", the day when the Lord raises the son, can comply with the Father's will, for it is the day when the Lord promises to fully express it; consequently, only then can a man become a brother, sister, mother to Christ and resultantly become a son of God. Conclusively then, 2000 years ago, mother Mary was not Father's daughter literally or by adoption; she was not the mother of the One, Christ, who spoke through Jesus; she was instead the mother of Jesus and the daughter of Christ, for again, the Bible shows that Christ inherited Israel from the Father. Under these terms, Father could indeed inseminate Mary, and we can now become resolute in Luke's and Gabriel's report of Jesus' conception. This in mind, by teaching to the contrary, by using a Catholic doctrine that Father was/is the same as Christ, then, by that very parody of logic, you are forced to insult Father by fostering the claim by His act of inseminating Mary, that He committed incest, copulation with His own daughter. Such, as John the Revelator reveals, blasphemes His name. The mustard seed avoids all of these doctrinal snares with its Bible-based teachings, its proof that Christ and Jesus are Sons of the Man, and that Father is "da" Man; He inseminated Mary.

Elder Gibbs, your depiction which forwards the Catholic doctrine of Tri-Unity insults all the holy beings that we know to be in heaven. Subsequently, by promulgating the dark seal of tradition, you have a bigger problem than do the men whom the Catholic Church defined as heretics. For heaven's indictment is not against the men who originally created the beast and her doctrines; it is with those who seek to certify and re-affirm her doctrines today, in the day of the sunrise seal; the indictment is against the men who create and trumpet the image of the beast.

I know your sophistry: You will seek to defray this biblically-authenticated light by saying, "*It's a mystery that we will study throughout the ceaseless ages of eternity.*" The only problem is that, according to John, again a foot-washed-by-Jesus/Christ, authenticated disciple, declares in the Book of Revelation that "*...in the days of the voice of the seventh angel, when he shall begin to sound, the mystery of God should be finished, as he hath declared to his servants the prophets*"—Rev 10: 7. Also, in harmony with that which was written to you in *Part II*, the sealed son of Man, a.k.a., the son of David whom the Lord promises to raise in the last day, is actually the man who was ordained to reveal the truth and the light about God:

"Labor not for the meat which perisheth, but for that meat which endureth unto everlasting life, which the Son of man shall give unto you: for him hath God the Father sealed...No man can come to me, except the Father which hath sent me draw him: and I will raise him up at the last day. It is written in the prophets, And they shall be all taught of God. Every man therefore that hath heard, and hath learned of the Father, cometh unto me"—John 6: 27, 44, 45.

Be bold; shake off the vestiges of yesterday's milk gospel; lift the Lord above both your head and above the Papacy; and then step forward to receive the seal of the Father. In so doing, you must stop lying on the Holy Spirit; you must immediately apologize for accusing the *mustard seed* of teaching extra-biblical doctrines. There is nothing that I have written to you that has not been validated by the Word. I challenge you to cite one doctrine that has not been Bible validated. It is you who is postulating unbiblical doctrines for if Gabriel could describe Jesus' conception to Mary, then he certainly could offer the explanation that you have given if it were true. Why, pray tell me,

The Mustard Seed Advent, 21-Aug-2014

did he not so do? Is it because you are a superior communicator or is it because your teachings are dreamed up fables inherited from gentile men who are unskillful in the word of righteousness? Your problem is that you cannot leave behind you the doctrines that are saturated in tradition; you confuse them with Bible teachings. To sound in control and wise, you cite men of ill-repute from yesterday's church, and in this you hope to spread prejudice. The *mustard seed* does not deploy tradition and custom to define its villains and its boogiemens. It does not allow the Papacy to influence its judgments either. Instead, as stated already, it defines its villains by the Law and the Testimony. It, the Bible, covers Arius and others for it says, "***All manner of sin and blasphemy shall be forgiven unto men: but the blasphemy against the Holy Ghost shall not be forgiven unto men***" —Matt 12: 31. If you desire salvation, you will need to immediately stop your obeisance to the image of the beast; stop forging your connection to heaven by his customs and traditions, and return to the Lord's testimony declared by His ordained ministry.

Sincerely,

Derek