

23-Jun-2014

Temple Courthouse

T____,

You reply again, and your insincerity seems to become even more obvious. You began this dialog some three letters back with a simple question, ‘how can anyone trace their lineage back to the house of David.’ In my work of the past, I have devoted volumes of work so as to answer that very question. As my historic predecessor, David, promised, ‘my cup truly runneth over’, and I referred you to some of the audio studies to give to you my inspired insight. In addition, I gave you a simple and short answer. Your original question seemed sincere but naïve. Your thinking was that only a scientific reply, a courthouse record, could relay credible evidence; instead of that, I gave to you a theological reply, and your low ebb of faith was accordingly stultified. Sadly, you chose to advance along the slippery path of dissembling. I will use this, your most-recent reply as an educational tool for the faithful, and while doing so, I will, with this, my response, continue to urge you to study the literature and give you more validation to my “short answer”. I will also take an opportunity to manifest your false tactics and the jeopardy which you and all others face if they succumb to your sophistry.

In brief summation of your most recent reply, you continue to put words in the *mustard seed*’s mouth: You charge me with teaching that Jesus is not *the Christ*. I must express my annoyance here: The MSC makes many controversial doctrinal claims and, unless the truth “expands”, it never backs away from any of them. It would likewise hold its ground and not deny its stance on this issue either if such was its true profession. Your style of argument seems to mimic that of the SDA Church. You say that you are responding to me independently, but that seems to be more camouflage/dissembling. The SDA elders, throughout their history, are notorious for their use of this very tactic of doctrinal debate: Rather than acceding a point, when they are backed into a corner, being theologically empty vessels, they love to fight their way out of difficulty by creating arguments, “smoke screens”, “red herrings” to attach to their perceived doctrinal opponents and then, as the focus of their rebuttal, use their otherwise useless knowledge to defeat their own installed phantom doctrines. This makes them appear acute and wise to their unsuspecting flock. You will have to take my word for it, the MSC honestly acknowledges Jesus as “the Christ”. Connected to your above described sophistry, you asserted that by the MSC so teaching that Christ was the Dove who clandestinely rested, abode, and spoke through Jesus, that such a doctrine is tantamount to making the claim that Jesus is not *the Christ*. Such, by the way is an inferential conclusion, an interpretation of my teaching; it is not a logical analysis. Because of this reason, the MSC stands by its accusation against you: that you are a dissembler, a bearer of false witness.

But, amidst your duplicity, I shall take the offensive and use your ignorance as an opportunity to again—with even clearer evidence— answer your original question. That question, simply put, was as follows: ‘How can you, Derek West, the *mustard seed*, prove your claim of a genetic link to David in view of the fact that the temple was destroyed in 70 AD along with any such evidence about the line of David?’ Temple destroyed in 70 AD—again I hear the distinct whisperings of the SDA ministry. People who study the Bible independently of the church (as you claim), never talk about 70 AD for such is a historically rendered date; it is not biblical.

“Well actually since the Temple was destroyed in 70 AD, and all the records with it, it would actually be impossible for you to prove your lineage. That is the point. The person those prophecies are about had to come BEFORE the temple was destroyed, and therefore you cannot be who you are misleading

Temple Courthouse

people to believe. Do you even have a Jewish side to your family?" —Your E-mail reply, June 22, 2014, 10:30AM.

To find your answer, you still need to listen to the audio studies on the website, but my answer in this E-mail will give to you a short synopsis. It is that you, and the entire Christian world, do not know the name—which is the same as the identity— of the Lord. To define “the Christ” and to structure an argument about His identity, one must first know who He is, His name. This they must know without ambiguity. Just as a doctor has a file on his patient, and contained therein is all the distinctive information thereto pertaining, so must the Christians ‘learn of Christ.’ Sure they see the name on the file, but they must discern the person attached to the name. He commands in His testimony this very thing, *“Come unto me, all ye that are heavy laden, and I will give you rest...learn of me; for I am meek and lowly in heart: and ye shall find rest unto your souls”* — Matt 11: 28, 29. To boot, they must know the original promise pertaining to Him, Christ, before they can dream of representing His gospel to themselves or to the world. The *mustard seed’s* ability, as the only one in history to so do, proves with clinching evidence the identity of the Lord. Its revelations pertaining to the name of the Lord serve as greater evidence than could any finding by archeological artifacts. I, Derek West, the *mustard seed*, prove that which the SDA —indeed all Christian— scholars do not know: I prove from the Bible that Christ is a separate and distinct personality from Jesus; yet, by virtue that He spoke through Jesus to reveal humanity’s salvation, such indeed makes Jesus “the Christ”, the One who, as promised in *Deut 18*, was to deliver to the church Christ’s words. Curiously, this should be the issue of your debate, but the demons who whisper in your ear want nothing to do with this challenge so they tempt you to camouflage your consternation. This Gem of Truth, this Pearl of Great Price, is the evidence that Father intended the faithful, the *disciples indeed*, to absorb so as to identify the son of David —not courthouse records (Temple courthouse records). We are promised,

“...¹¹Also the Lord telleth thee that he will make thee an house...¹²I will set up thy seed after thee...¹³HE SHALL BUILD AN HOUSE FOR MY NAME, and I will stablish the throne of his kingdom forever...¹⁸Then went king David in, and sat before the Lord, and he said, Who am I, O lord God? And what is my house, that thou hast brought me hitherto? ¹⁹And this was yet a small thing in thy sight, O lord God; but thou hast spoken also of thy servant’s house for a great while to come. And is this the manner of man, O Lord God?” —2Sam 7: 11-13, 18, 19.

With this Text we see that David himself could not fathom the logic to explain the grace that was bestowed upon his house. Why was his seed chosen to receive the grace to reveal the name of the Lord? —he pondered. Why was his lineage selected to be the champion to finish the gospel call — in other words, to build the Lord’s house? Resultantly, it is understandable that neither you yourself, nor any others who falter in their faith, can fathom the logic of this, the Lord’s generous gift to David. This well-defined promise explains why Christians, before the MSC, could not discern the identity of Christ for only a meek and lowly power would give such a gift to His creature. But you assert, without any Bible evidence, that David’s verifiable genealogy was lost in the Temple that was destroyed and that such a destruction indicates that the Lord abandoned His promise to David making it impossible to establish the identity of his son today —an implication that Christ is not meek but instead a liar. By the way, if such records were ever contained in the Temple courts, they would have been destroyed in approximately 600 BC —not 70 AD for that was the time of the first scattering, the time when even the Ark was lost. Luckily, the shepherds who received the announcement of the birth of Jesus had more wisdom: They believed the report of the angels; they did not seek temple documentation.

To assert that Christ is limited in His purveyance of light by man’s ability to protect documents implies that the Lord is now handcuffed from keeping His word: that He cannot merely show to the son of David his calling from His word. Furthermore, if such empirical evidence was purposefully destroyed, then that fact would only serve to further validate my point: the Lord desired that humanity, in meekness, receive the evidence that He deemed worthy to provide for ‘the meek shall inherit the earth’ (Matt 18: 3). *“...They have Moses and the prophets; let them hear them”* —Luke 16: 29— He said. He demands that we abandon our myopic opinions and receive His word because

The Mustard Seed Advent, 23-June-2014

He fully knows that only the foolish would take as evidence archeological artifacts over the direct word of God.

Being such a woman who is in great need of wisdom, you further asked in your letter, “...***Do you even have a Jewish side to your family?***” Here again you ask for courthouse evidence and word-of-mouth testimony for all people who claim Jewish ancestry only so do because they believe the genealogy that their parents told them. The answer of either yes or no to your question would presume upon the accurate, thorough, and truthful knowledge of my parents, and though, my confidence is strong in their witness, I cannot demand that you also be equally as trusting as me nor could I ask any to find their faith in the witness of the late Gilbert and Allyne (Anderson) West, my parents; or of Isaac and Eleanor (Taliaferro) West my paternal grandparents; or of William and Ida (Taylor) Anderson my maternal grandparents. Furthermore, such an entry of evidence into my work would be a non-biblical answer, an inferior reply, a thing that I refuse to give. In a nutshell, I believe the Word of God; it says that the son of David, among many other indicators, shall be discerned by the one who declares the name of Christ. Such a revelation takes pre-eminence over the genealogical accounting of my parentage. After 2000 years, none others have validated their ministry with such insurmountable evidence; therefore, to provide more non-Bible-based evidence would only slight the Word of the Lord which is the proof that has fallen into your lap. Embrace it, and take it to your heart.

More of your reply: Without an inundation of quotes from you, I must simply say that you seek to justify your cynicism by citing the Lord’s warning, “**Beware of false prophets, which come to you in sheep’s clothing, but inwardly they are raving wolves**” —Matt 7: 15. You say, “***I am simply heeding His warning that many false prophets are going to come in His name.***” Again, you are in dire need of my ministry for you do not have the capacity to decipher the Lord’s words —such is made manifest even in this simple warning that you cite to me. To school all who read this letter, the Lord did not say as you presume: that all who claim to be prophets are false. Nor did He say that those who disagree with the consensus of Christian opinion are false; neither did He proclaim that there would be no true prophets. He simply warned of the potential ravages of false prophets; he showed their proclivity to dissemble or use camouflage, and He said that their inward desires conflict with their outward appearance —a perfect description of the SDA ministry and all pretentious Christians. To understand the meaning of a false prophet, you must discern the biblically-assigned duty of a true prophet: He is one who teaches, who warns, who explains, who interprets the Word of the Lord. By this test, you become the false prophet and my exposure of you by disrobing your outer garb, your sheep’s cote, and exposing your inward duplicity is a duty-bound requisite of mine to protect the true sheep. Consider my evidence for this claim:

- 1) Christ promised to David that his, David’s son, who would descend from his, David’s genetics, who would be a sin overcomer, would be the only one who would finish the gospel work, and that he would do so by declaring the name of the Lord. He made no mention of the need to protect the temple, courthouse records. You claim that Christ’s word cannot be trusted; that, as an addendum to His promise, He destroyed the temple and expected us to accordingly, without a prophetic promise, cancel His “sworn” oath to ancient David. Only a false prophet, a pretentious, sheep-coated wolf would attack the credibility of God in such a manor.
- 2) You reject the Lord’s evidence to validate the prophetic gift, that the advent of a man is to come to remind us of the *Testimony of Jesus*” “**But the Comforter, which is the Holy Ghost, whom the Father will send IN MY NAME, he shall...bring all things to your remembrance, whatsoever I have said unto you**” —John 14: 26.
- 3) You teach that men should not pay tithe; and to defeat that claim of yours, I remind you of Christ’s words —exactly as the true prophet was deemed to so do. He said, that the Pharisees paid tithe; that it was the right thing to do; and that a man cannot have salvation unless their righteousness exceeds the righteousness of the Pharisees showing that the redeemed today must remember these words and bring their tithe to this, the Lord’s storehouse. The Lord said the following:

“**Woe unto you, scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites! For ye pay tithe...and have omitted the weightier matters of the law...these ought ye to have done, and not to leave the other undone**” —Matt 23: 23. “**For I**

Temple Courthouse

say unto you, That except your righteousness shall exceed the righteousness of the scribes and Pharisees, ye shall in no case enter into the kingdom of heaven” —Matt 5: 20.

- 4) In furtherance to the above light on tithing, your desire, against Bible counsel, to fiscally drain the Lord’s storehouse so as to preclude meat, “strong meat” from being delivered to His house, You say...”*What exactly is your true interest in my Dad? Does he pay his tithe to you? Do you know what tithe is actually meant to do? Do you know that even Paul accepted no money for his ministry?*”

SIDE BAR: The MSC does not divulge to its critics the financial commitment of its adherents. You are Jim’s daughter; you can ask him yourself for his financial records. But, since we are on this topic, you should know that because the *mustard seed* is free from the devil’s clutches to work full-time for the Lord, it has recently circulated nearly 50,000 E-mails to all the LEADERS of the SDA Church. In so doing, we see that the duty incumbent upon the storehouse has now, for the first time in history, been met: It has distributed —not milk, as her elders and all former teachers have so done, but— meat to the entire house. We accordingly give thanks to God that the faithful have submitted their tithe to allow for this distribution. Only a false prophet would seek to starve the Lord’s house by denying His meat, the Lord’s cup-overflowing nourishment, His strong meat, to the brethren so as to eternally seal them, Laodicea, in their diet of rancid milk. And, the SDA Church is full of such starvation-desirous wolves in sheep cotes. But not only do I thank the Lord, I, in a convoluted way, must also thank you for giving to me an opportunity to express my gratitude to the faithful who will read this letter.

- 5) More of your false-prophetic activities: You seek to install the Holy-Spirit-inspired Apostle, Paul, as an icon of our work today when he, again by the impress of the Holy Spirit, forbade such use of his writings. He said that he taught milk doctrines and that when the strong meat was to come, we would be forced to abandon the use of his milk writings, teachings and doctrines.
- 6) You claim to believe the Bible; yet, you violate the Law. The Law, it is the very thing that Jesus promised shall not be destroyed and of which statement the *Spirit of Prophecy* dutifully now reminds you. You violate the law as follows:
- a) You are a bearer of false witness.
 - b) You dishonor your Father.
 - c) You covet the Lord’s tithe.
- 7) You ask, “*What do you do for a living?*” With this question, you imply that working for the Lord is not a valid occupation. That instead, I should work for the devil. That he should control when I rise, when I eat, when I sleep, and how I deploy my time. Such an approach is rejected by the Lord; He pronounced that my full-time job should be strengthening the disciples.

The list false-prophet indicators could go on and on, and this with just a few E-mail exchanges between us. One of the impacts of your falsehood is that you dare to use modern psychology to condemn your Dad’s faith as if it had Bible basis and as if you had superior judgment. [**REVERSO DICTIONARY: schizophrenia : any of a group of psychotic disorders characterized by progressive deterioration of the personality, withdrawal from reality...delusions, social apathy, emotional instability, etc**] The Lord put that label on the people, the false prophets, who reject His word. Of their mental psychosis He said,

“...³⁷*ye seek to kill me, because my word hath no place in you...⁴⁰But now ye seek to kill me, a man that hath told you the truth...⁴⁴Ye are of your father the devil, and the lusts of your father ye will do. He was a murderer from the beginning, and abode not in the truth...⁴⁷He that is of God heareth God’s words: ye therefore hear them not, because ye are not of God*” —John 8: 37, 40, 44, 47.

Clearly such men have a split personality that is delusional for they declare the devil’s doctrines and do not even know it. Worse still, they are dangerous: They seek to kill people that are not even their own enemies. All men and women who elevate the works, the testimony, the honesty of men above the Word of God, are the truly schizophrenic psychopaths. We have known your dad for years; he spent several days in our home. It is apparent to my wife and I that he simply loves the Lord and, like all saints, is grieved with “emotional instability” which can only be cured by “social apathy” (resignation that only the Lord can redress his pain) when he sees the world, the church, and especially his loved ones diving head long into the clutches of the devil to their own suicidal damnation. The Lord promises such social apathy and depression such emotional instability for all of His disciples. He said, “**Verily, verily, I say unto you, That ye shall weep and lament, but the world shall rejoice: and ye shall be sorrowful, bur your sorrow shall be turned into joy**” —John

The Mustard Seed Advent, 23-June-2014

16: 20. I must ask, why are you not socially apathetic and emotionally unstable; do you belong to the world or to the Lord? Those who belong to the Lord have now found their cure for their sorrow, for the Lord continued to say, “**...but I will see you again, and your heart shall rejoice, and your joy no man taketh from you**” —John 16: 22. May you come to David’s promised house, his temple courthouse, so as to, in the wisdom of your father, avail yourself to this fountain so as to secure this remedy to your own schizophrenia.

Sincerely,

Derek