

**“STAR TREK & KINGDOM IMMIGRATION”**

*Seasonal Dispatch*



**CAPTION ONE:** ...“*All Christians should be shocked at the real —perhaps never beforehand understood— meaning of this, your perverse, declaration: ‘Jesus came to earth as a baby.’... So I ask of you, how do you so assert that Jesus came down to earth as a baby? How many babies, just learning to focus and reach, can drive a space-transport vehicle?*”



05-May-2014



“Beginning on February 14, 2014, the *mustard seed* distributed its latest newsletter, *14:1, Winter edition* throughout the leadership of the Adventist world. Over forty thousand E-mails —perhaps as many as fifty thousand— containing that letter as an attachment were distributed since then. As the Bible mandated and as EG White so commissioned, it was distributed to “...**every gospel minister, every medical missionary**...” (EG White, *Testimonies for the Church*, Vol. 8, 239); “...**the editors of our periodicals, the teachers in our schools, the presidents of our conference**...” (EG White, *Testimonies for the Church*, Vol 7, 152), and this current newsletter relays a reply to three of several individuals who responded. The first summarizes the stupor which has engulfed the entire church. The Bible promised this sleepy-eyed response. One comes from Brother Bob Brauer, an SDA minister and it reflects the posture of the entire SDA Church. Below is the *mustard seed’s* reply to him:



Dear Brother Brauer,

On Feb 24<sup>th</sup>, 2014, you responded to my newsletter 14.1, entitled *Prudent-Poly-Peter Antics: Defense of EG White*. [Hyperlink: [Winter 2014](#)]. At least as far as I can remember, we have never before communicated. Nonetheless, I thank you for responding to my latest broadcast and for giving to me the opportunity to use your reply to advance the gospel of the Kingdom. Below you wrote,

*“I’m sorry my brother. You are deceived. Jesus is still the Messiah the Christ. He sits at the right hand of God holding all power until the end. . . Actually your theology reminds me greatly of that of Origen. In order to make his theology work he had to turn most of the Bible into allegory. That is exactly what you are trying to do. God led the reformers away from that to believe in the plain, though Spirit-guided word of God. . . . Acknowledge Jesus as The Lord of Lord’s and King of Kings the Father’s eternal Son who came as a baby to live among us.”*

The man to whom you refer, Origen, I will comment below on his participation in the Gospel call. As a matter of highest priority, I must address your implication that I do not believe in Jesus’ empowerment. Nothing in my letter allows for such a conclusion. The Mustard Seed Advent trumpets the *Testimony of Jesus* as never beforehand so done; thus, it teaches all that is therein declared. The Lord Himself depicted this type of faith with His own allegory, indeed a double metaphor; He described such faithfulness as the consumption of His flesh and blood also His communion wine. Yet, I have well anticipated the turmoil of your soul and your need to, in knee-jerk fashion, cry out “deception, deception!” My work does indeed excite the ire of the carnal heart for it absolutely contradicts all

### *Star Trek and Kingdom Immigration*

infantile, gentile enhancements that have been, in the past 2000 years, added to the gospel as milk doctrines, teachings given so as to grow the church to maturation for this hour. Resultantly, all immature saints, like children who fear progression and matriculation, struggle against the biblically-valid doctrines contained within my work. They do so because they fear the abandonment of all emotional pacifiers —speaking of babies journeying to earth— they are, with your encouragement, spiritual infants yearning in their immaturity to venture to heaven. As a consequence, I see your dilemma: It may be too daunting for you to heed the Savior’s command given to His upper-tier disciples so as to follow the man who bears the pitcher of water —follow his path which leads to his, the pitcher-bearer’s house. Only in the completion of that mission may we have our purifying communion and may we feast in his own God-the-Father established, fully-furnished upper room. Too bad that you fear this ascension for only in so doing can a man learn the full meaning of the Pascal lamb. I, as a beam-free jurist discern that this is the reason for your objections.

You say, “Jesus is still the Messiah, the Christ,” and the reason for this, your invective, is unclear, but it still requires immediate discussion. My judgment is that you refuse to heed Paul’s *Heb-five* admonition, that which has been affirmed by the Lord’s own testimony: You refuse to leave the principle doctrines of Christ so as to receive perfection; accordingly, you refuse to comply with the law and circumcise your heart; commensurately, you refuse to heed the Lord’s appeal and sell all that you have to follow Him. In keeping with this rebellion, I know that many accuse the Holy Spirit of deception in His work which was expressed through EG White, but I must ask, do you dare to boldly double down on that blasphemy by suggesting that Christ likewise lied to Israel when He said, “***I will raise them up a Prophet (prophet) from among their brethren...and will put my words in his mouth: and he shall speak unto them all that I shall command him***”? Instead of calling heaven’s helpers liars, why not first look with introspection pertaining to the dark folds of your own heart? To help you in your introspection, you will find it useful to remember what the Lord affirmed in His testimony when He said, “***If ye believe not (Moses’) writings, how shall ye believe my words***”? I assure you that He was not lying for, according to Jesus, this statement came directly from the Father. If sustaining your views by attacking inspiration is the thrust of your doctrine, then let the beam-free edict of the *mustard seed* be the first to issue to you heaven’s judgment: You are blaspheming the Holy Spirit —shame be upon you!”

The *mustard seed* is the first in 2000 years to point the Christian church back to the *Testimony of Jesus*, the universe’s only formula to win for man “everlasting life”. Beforehand, few, if any, ever even considered the possibility of escaping the grave. As He promised in covenant to Israel through Moses, Christ did indeed clandestinely rest upon the *Only Begotten of the Father* —just as defined in *Deut 18*— and He thereby declared to humanity the saving words of the Father. You, so as to advance historically inherited fables or Constantinian, mark-of-the-beastian doctrines, have infused those very same theological marks into your forehead and onto your right hand, and they have become irrevocable and irremovable stains. I dare say that, for those who likewise have chosen your anti-Christian retort to new, Bible-validated light, it will not be difficult for you to bow in worship of the rekindled, image of the Constantinian beast for it was his administration which codified the notion that “Jesus is the Son of the Father before all ages” —see the fourth century, Nicene Creed. To the contrary, the *mustard seed* declares that it is time to heed the *First Angel’s Message* and to fear God and to worship Christ, He that made heaven and earth (*Rev 14 & John one*). It may not be too late for you to heed the dominant appeal of EGW and to turn back to the Bible.

You boldly disavow the handiwork of historic SDA teachings by disparagingly condemning the marvelous aspects of Bible communication, the style which Jesus also deployed; that is, you disparage the use of allegories to explain doctrines.

[ALLEGORY:...to speak figuratively : ...hidden spiritual meaning that transcends the literal sense of a sacred text...WEBSTER].

I must rebuke your blindness to this wonderful tool. In so doing, I first point you to the emphasis of our founder, EGW. She said,

**“The Word of God is to be our spiritual food. ‘I am the bread of life,’ Christ said; ‘he that cometh to me shall never hunger; and he that believeth on me shall never thirst.’ The world is perishing for pure, unadulterated truth. Christ is the truth. His words are truth, and they have a greater value and a deeper significance than appears on the surface. . . . Minds that are quickened by the Holy Spirit will discern the value of these sayings. . . .”**—EG White, LHU, 106.4 (ellipsis belong).

To validate my Holy Spirit-inspired mind, think on the Lord’s commission to the disciples to receive their Passover meal and their communion: Did He not command them to follow the man bearing the pitcher of water (Luke 22: 10)? Did He not likewise compare the mustard seed to the Kingdom (Matt 13: 31)? How then, pray tell me, have I violated heaven’s judgments by heeding EGW’s wisdom and proceeding to peer beneath the surface for the deeper significance of those Texts? While contemplating, consider just another of her statements affirming the expansive depth of the Bible:

**“The only safety now is to search for the truth as revealed in the word of God, as for hid treasure. The subjects of the Sabbath, the nature of man, and the testimony of Jesus are the great and important truths to be understood; these will prove as an anchor to hold God’s people in these perilous times. But the mass of mankind despise the truths of God’s word and prefer fables. 2 Thessalonians 2:10, 11: ‘Because they received not the love of the truth, that they might be saved. And for this cause God shall send them strong delusion, that they should believe a lie’ ”**—EG White, *Testimonies for the Church*, 300.

You claim that Bible teachings are not to be allegorically understood or presented, but, as you can read, the above EGW statement refutes your opinion. Added to that preliminary, EGW rebuke of your conclusion, I dutifully heed her counsel and go directly to the Bible to resolve this dispute. This I do because it is evident, by your rejection of this Bible tool of education (the use of allegorical analysis), that you resist the assurance of Christ which promises as a central reward to the faithful to feed them with the heritage of Jacob—see *Isa 58*—using his, Jacob’s life, as a meaningful allegory and the understanding of it as a salvational reward. You likewise reject the Lord’s own communications, that style which was commanded to Him by Father, as manifested throughout the *Testimony of Jesus*—especially when He so often conveyed to the church the essence of salvation by often beginning His discourse with the words, **“the Kingdom of heaven is like unto...”**. By virtue that He taught the Kingdom in this way, then the conclusion is inescapable: He endorsed the use of allegories as a primary communication tool of the Father.

I trust that you will not be offended by my rejection of your dark and ignorant theological ethos, but I seek to honor the Father’s will; I seek to embrace His words as He instructed that they be expressed through Christ for this is humanity’s only formula for salvation—See John 12: 47-50, 8: 51, *John six*, etc. I refuse to heed the voice of the serpent as he seeks to again suggest that Christ cannot effectively communicate. I have learned to refuse the evil and choose the good; thus, am I cozied in the finding that the Lord, being the expert on communications, makes full use of the entire spectrum of language-arts skills. After all, did He not say, **“These things have I spoken unto you in proverbs: but the time cometh, when I shall no more speak unto you in proverbs, but I shall shew you plainly of the Father”**—John 16: 25? As we dutifully anticipated the coming of that promised time, shouldn’t we have anticipated one, a teacher, to explain the Lord’s former proverbs with plain teachings; should we not have anticipated the revelation of the meanings of His allegories? Why not—to deploy another metaphor/allegory—become converted as a little child and let His elect define for you the meaning of His “dark” speech? Was not this His counsel to Miriam? Did not the Lord prepare you for this hour by saying to His disciples, **“whosoever will be great among you, let him be your minister...Even as the Son of man came not to be ministered unto, but to minister...”**—Matt 20: 26-28? If you cannot understand the metaphors and allegories of the Lord’s words, the *mustard seed* will be glad to serve you so as to bring you to understanding. All that is required is that you tear from your heart the milk doctrines which Paul has installed; accordingly; you must circumcise your heart as the Lord mandates—see *Deut 10*. In so doing, bear in mind that, if the Father so designed that His word have multi-dimensional or allegorical meaning, if such a tactic is what it took to gain for the saints victory, then we must bow to His wisdom. But you are free to trek your chosen path through life even if it leads to the grave.

***The First Order of Graduation***~~~~~must become your next move. In this first order of Theo-Christo graduation, you must relinquish your deeply embedded myths and immature

### *Star Trek and Kingdom Immigration*

fables. You further say, “...*Acknowledge Jesus as The Lord of Lord's and King of Kings the Father's eternal Son who came as a baby to live among us...*” All Christians should be shocked at the real —perhaps never beforehand understood— meaning of this, your perverse, declaration: ‘Jesus came to earth as a baby.’ The shock comes because only today are we more fully educated by the birth process; yesterday, we did not know the intricate complexities of conception; we did not discern that before a woman’s fertilized seed is born as a baby with billions, if not trillions of cells, it begins as merely a one-celled zygote which undergoes the process of mitosis. That is, before it becomes a baby, it is a “thing”: First a one-celled zygote, then each day it divides. It becomes two cells, then four, eight, 16 —by the end of the first week after fertilization, it has only 64 cells which continue, for nine months, to subdivide. This is the outgrowth of the process called conception. Afterwards, once emerged from the womb, the baby learns how to focus, and then to, at six months, reach, next to crawl, then he walks, then talks...the process of child development continues. Hence, yesterday, as careless readers of the Bible who were ignorant of the divine laws of biology, we presumed that, instead of His seed, Father planted a baby in Mary —but even this empty and defeated finding disagrees with your conclusion since, to inseminate Mary, Father and not the baby Jesus, would have had to journey to earth. Further dashing your fabled finding, Gabriel explained to Mary that Jesus was not to be, at conception, the Son of God but that He one day “...*shall be called the Son of God*”. Before that day would occur, Jesus was to be, according to Gabriel, a “holy thing”. The Bible, the book which EGW demanded to become the source of all our doctrines, says the following: “**And the angel answered and said unto her, The Holy Ghost shall come upon thee, and the power of the Highest shall overshadow thee: therefore also that holy thing which shall be born of thee shall be called the Son of God**” —Luke 1: 35. So I ask of you, how do you so assert that Jesus came down to earth as a baby? How many babies, just learning to focus and reach, can drive a space-transport vehicle? Furthermore, if Jesus, as a baby, could exhibit such talents, then why call such a Being a baby? Such a doctrine accurately reflects your path to the grave, the one that led you away from the Bible and the one which has inspired you to serve this non-biblical fodder to the world. This indictment of you by the *mustard seed* is sound for the Gospel teaches us that,

***“For God so loved the world, that He gave His only begotten Son that whosoever believeth in him should not perish, but have everlasting life...He that believeth on him is not condemned: but he that believeth not is condemned already, because he hath not believed in the name of the only begotten Son of God”*** —John 3: 16, 18.

Examine just a short and cursory inspection of your fallacy, your nonbelief, the impurity that, should you not change, will lead you to “perish”: If Jesus came (down) as a baby, then who was the fetus that spent the nine-months in Mary’s womb beginning from the time when the seed of a Man, the Holy Father, united with the egg of Mary, the consenting woman (see Luke 1: 38), to create the one-celled Zygote —the Holy thing? Should not Gabriel afford to us a tributary, doctrinal basis for our belief on Jesus? Can we be said to believe on Jesus if we do not believe that which Mary and Joseph were required to believe, Jesus’ engenderation? I may not know the man to whom you refer, Origen —his credentials may boost your estimation in the realm of the scholars— but I know the Bible’s presentation of those credentials of Gabriel’s: He said of himself, “...**I am Gabriel, that stand in the presence of God...**” —Luke 1: 19. Let all begin to find their faith and belief in Jesus through Gabriel’s introduction of Him. In so doing, we may escape condemnation. Jesus was to be engendered, His life was initiated, when ‘the Power of the Highest overshadowed Mary’ (see Luke 1: 35). Only in this sense, the understanding that Father, while bearing the Holy Seed which was to unite with Mary’s non-holy, but ordained egg, could it be said that Jesus came down from heaven.

If you cannot accept this, the primary findings of the Bible, then perhaps the writings of EGW will alert you to your spiritual hazard. She said the following:

***“Those who are living amid the perils of the last days, days which are characterized by the masses turning from the truth of God to fables, will have close work to turn from the fables which are prepared for them on every hand, and have an appetite to feast upon unpopular truth. Those who turn from these fables to truth are despised, hated, and persecuted by those who are presenting fables to the people for their reception. Satan is at war with the remnant who are endeavoring to keep the commandments of God and the testimony of Jesus...He will employ everyone who will engage in his***

*service to hinder the chosen people of God from showing forth the praises of Him who has called them from darkness into His marvelous light. To hide, to cover up this light, to cause people to distrust it, to disbelieve it, is the work of the great rebel and his host. While Jesus is purifying His people unto Himself, redeeming them from all iniquity, Satan will employ his forces to hinder the work and prevent the perfection of the saints. He does not exert his power upon those who are all covered up with deception and walled in by fables and error, and who make no effort to receive and obey the truth. He knows he is sure of them; but those who are seeking for truth, that they may obey it in the love of it, are the ones who excite his malice and stir his ire. He can never weaken them while they keep close to Jesus; therefore he is pleased when he can lead them in a course of disobedience.” —EG White, Testimonies for the Church, Vol. 2, 105.*

In view of this admonition, my ministry urges that you grow to love Jesus as Father delivered Him to humanity; accept His conception and win everlasting life, life without the grave. Indeed, to do so is to

**Tread on Holy Ground**~~~~~. Sadly, just as predicted by EGW, you, as one saturated in gentile mythology, believe that Jesus had to drive Himself throughout the deep recesses of space so as to arrive at our planet, earth, and it is in this doctrine which we shall call, *Jesus' Star Trek to Earth*, that you find occasion to challenge the *mustard seed*. If nothing else can, this should convince the world of EGW's election by heaven so as to appeal to all in the Christian community to turn back to the Bible. It provides the perfect logic for this divine strategy. To accentuate this point, I must ask you several questions:

- 1) If Jesus came down as a baby, how old was He when He arrived? Was He one week, one month, one year, etc.? Also, who presented Him to Mary and Joseph?
- 2) Did He drive Himself? Where did He park His space-transport vehicle? Also, if He drove Himself, then, as such a skilled Being, what was the point in being a baby when He could obviously master the technique of inter-stellar space transportation better than any human adult?
- 3) Why then did He not do as Christ did in the OT and present Himself as a Man so as to teach us and to abide with us? Christ said to the OT church, **“For the Lord thy God walketh in the midst of thy camp, to deliver thee...therefore shall thy camp be holy: that he see no unclean thing in thee, and turn away from thee”** —Deut 23: 14.
- 4) Beyond that, if babies, being so cute, can win the hearts of men and understand their perspective, then why did not Christ appear to Moses and to Israel in that form?
- 5) Why did not Jesus, being skillful to drive space vehicles, grace the church with His wisdom upon arrival on the planet by seeking to win the hearts of the masses by teaching them as a baby; why did He wait until the Dove, Christ, rested upon Him at age 30? For, if Jesus could indeed drive Himself from the outer reaches of the universe, then could He not have likewise made better use of His leadership skills, His driving powers, His time to teach for the first 30 years of His visitation instead of merely limiting Himself to the final three-and-one-half years of His walk with humanity?
- 6) Perhaps you teach that He could not drive. If so, if He could not captain His own transportation vehicle, then how is it that you say that He was **“the Father's eternal Son”** who has/had **“all power”**? How could you dare to contradict the claims of Gabriel, the findings of John, and the cry of the *mustard seed*, the overwhelming icon of Christology: that Jesus was the Only Begotten of the Father?
- 7) When Jesus was perhaps as old as two, why did the Angel, to protect Him, warn Joseph to flee to Egypt (Matt 2: 13). Could not a baby who could navigate Himself to earth from the distant stars likewise give such a warning to Joseph, or beyond that, could He not have protected Himself from governmental harm?
- 8) Why did Father visit Mary?
- 9) Beyond that, if Jesus could indeed drive Himself, why did He need the Spirit (Whom the *mustard seed*, John, and Moses define as Christ) to rest upon Him and clandestinely abide upon Him for the duration of His three-and-one-half-year mission. After all, it was only some time thereafter that He committed His very first miracle (John 2: 11).
- 10) Instead of turning water into wine, should not Jesus' trek to our planet be listed as Jesus' first miracle?
- 11) Finally, why did He sadden His disciples by telling them that He must leave so that the Another, divine Personality, the Comforter, could come and make His trek through the stars to visit us?

Brother, it is crystal clear that you and all who subscribe to your non-biblical doctrines is/are in dire need of the painful-at-first, ritual of heart circumcision.

Some may feel tentative and coy to hear their fabled doctrines of Christ challenged for they have been wrongly taught that by so doing, they are treading upon sacred ground which is forbidden. This

### Star Trek and Kingdom Immigration

teaching has facilitated the sealing of the church in ignorance these past 2000 years, and it manifests a great disrespect for the Father and for the testimony that He gave to Christ to deliver to us through the lips of Jesus. The Bible gives the following evidence to dispel this prevailing hindrance to the studying of the nature of Jesus/Christ:

*“<sup>24</sup>But when the Pharisees heard it, they said, This fellow doth not cast out devils, but by Beelzebub...<sup>25</sup>And Jesus knew their thoughts and said unto them...<sup>31</sup>Wherefore I say unto you, All manner of sin and blasphemy shall be forgiven unto men: but the blasphemy against the Holy Ghost shall not be forgiven unto men. <sup>32</sup>And whosoever speaketh a word against the Son of man, it shall be forgiven him: but whosoever speaketh against the Holy Ghost, it shall not be forgiven him, neither in this world, neither in the world to come.”*—Matt 12: 24, 25, 31, 32.

The lesson is direct and to the point: If Jesus’ true enemies could condemn Him by tarnishing the inspiration of His work and do so with impunity and with this above-cited divine assurance of protection, then we need not fear to discuss His insemination and His conception, calling those themes the “holy ground”, land upon which man’s feet should not tread. That is, those who embrace His testimony should never have such fears of theological immigration to new land for to be gripped with such fear is to distrust the Lord’s promises given in His testimony. After all, His enemies called Him a devil directly to His face. Instead of condemnation ‘He said all manner of sin and blasphemy shall be forgiven unto men who speak a word against the Son of Man.’ Consequently, men have no excuse for their failure to now believe the duality of Christ for the Lord’s own words cancel such a fear. Instead, they should be reluctant to condemn the Spirit: It is the Spirit who is to emerge in the “last day” to explain the Lord’s identity, His name, which men are promised eternal damnation should they in turn scoff. He said, “...*but the blasphemy against the Holy Ghost shall not be forgiven unto men...*” All are forbidden to label the revelations of the Comforter demonic. After all, the Lord commanded us, in *Matt 11*, to learn of Him, and we now can know that those words have deeper meaning hidden beneath the surface which forces upon us the mandate to study more of Christ. And, once we learn of Christ, we will also learn more of Jesus and Father. Only in so doing can we comply under the

*Aegis of the First Angel’s Message*~~~~~. Hence, let it be loudly proclaimed, the *First Angel’s Message* forbids the faithful to fear anyone but God; once His words as expressed through Jesus, are embraced in the heart —no matter how unpopular they may be in the church— we cannot fear to explore the nature of Christ for He, Jesus, and the *mustard seed* are proven to be termed the “son of man” —Beings/being who do the will of the Man, of Father. True, in the beginning of church history some 3500 years ago, Moses was forbidden to approach the burning bush, but this closer connection to Christ was only in vogue until he, Moses, heeded the Lord’s command and removed his shoes. Yes, this drama between the Lord and Moses does indeed have an allegorical application: Those *disciples indeed* who seek to more closely connect with the Lord, because they have embraced the deeper significance of the *Last Supper*, have not only complied by the removal of their proverbial socks and shoes, the things which conceal our soiled path of travel yesterday, but they have also qualified themselves further: They have had their exposed feet washed and cleaned by the man who was to bear the pitcher of water into the fully furnished, upper room.

You say the following: “*You yourself use Paul when you want and then reject him when he does not fit your ideas.*” I must say, that my article (14.1) very well-anticipated and answered this, your charge of Pauline duplicity. Evidently you did not read that section for an honest man would not launch such a charge in the light of the therein clearly articulated and utterly obliterating, pre-emptive strike against such a false charge. Your position can neither be sustained by Paul or the Lord’s counsel; after all, he, Paul himself, said that he prophesied in part and that he distributed only milk doctrines. Remember, Paul said, “*And I, brethren, could not speak unto you as unto spiritual, but as unto carnal, even as unto babes in Christ. I have fed you with milk and not with meat...*” —1Cor 3: 1, 2. He further stated, “*For every one that useth milk is unskillful in the word of righteousness: for he is a babe. But strong meat belongeth to them that are of full age, even those who by reason of use have their senses exercised to discern both good and evil. Therefore leaving the principles of the doctrine of Christ, let us go on unto perfection...*” —Heb 5: 13, 14; 6: 1. Jesus corroborated this conclusion in several places: most notably when He told the rich young ruler to sell all of his possessions and to

follow Him. Sorting through Paul's work is the mission of the strong-meat-consuming saints: They must put into practice the wisdom to leave the principle doctrines of Christ.

Had you read the paper in its entirety, then you would have acknowledged as much, and you would have made such an acknowledgment—if for no other reason than—to simply buttress your reply with credibility and scholarship. Instead, to fill the empty and vacuous void of your theology, you seek, against EGW's counsel, to acquire credibility by referencing an obscure character whom you call "Origen". To me that is just as vulgar as quoting the daily horoscopes to uncover a doctrinal answer. Nonetheless, I did a cursory search of the Bible; I found no such man's name therein recorded. I was tempted to do a search to uncover more of that man from the annals of church history, but duty demands that, in this late hour, I not exhaust the reader with one sentence beyond that which is necessary. I cannot humor my detractors by lending credibility to their warfare against EGW, by their failure to establish all doctrines on the Bible. What I know therefrom is that Jesus ordained the 11 to represent His work to humanity. He said, "**Blessed are the eyes which see the things that ye see...and to hear those things which ye hear...**" —Luke 10: 23, 24— conclusively then, our fear of God, our First-Angel duty, requires that we now relegate this as the highest standard. Thus, I must ask, if Origen is not a Christ-defined light bearer, then why did you infuse his name into this discourse? Was it not merely to put on your deflector shield so as to preserve your lost spaceship, the one in which you seek to journey to glory? In other words, you sought to elevate Origen to the station of a disciple so as to give to yourself theological aloofness and credibility so as to shield your nakedness. Such attempts to impress others of your own gravitas and studious knowledge denies to you the requisite of 'child conversion' —the allegory which Christ used to define Kingdom entry (See *Matt 18*).

I will indulge you further and play your game so as to instruct others: Suppose that he, Origen, can indeed contribute to this contemporary discourse and exchange of doctrines. How can I ever know that you represent his voice with more honesty and objectivity than do you, and men of your ilk, represent the work of EGW, Paul, Christ, Moses, and now Gabriel? I must conclude that since you cannot even accurately represent the dominant themes of Christianity, John 3: 16, then you cannot accurately speak for Origen whoever he is, and your dropping of his name into this discourse cannot shield you from the photon torpedoes of light which have blasted your own embattled and vacuous, space-transport vehicle. In final analysis, your ignorance has accordingly ruled the day—indeed, your life's trek—for you. As was the case with Wm Miller and EGW, VTH, et al., I offer to you no other remedy to rescue you than the light that has been already placed on your desk and in your hands.

The allegorical use of space travel transfers in a precise way to the spiritual journey in the heart of man from condemnation to redemption. Few aspirations for which we long are as remote and unattainable as is the goal of space travel. We have absolutely no notion as to how we should travel to the distant stars: The speed required, the sustenance of the journey, the protection of our bodies, the preservation of our mental sanity during such confinement, the propulsion engines and the fuel thereto pertaining, the protective shields from radiation and many other challenges present limits which drastically confine even our ability to dream of one day leaving earth. We are frustrated for we cannot journey to God. We all have been tethered by our instilled cultures, and we confuse those standards with God's judgment. This is why, in 1844, the Adventist theme which mandated that we begin to leave behind our own limited cultural judgments and, as EG White demanded, establish our righteousness upon the Word—for such is the righteousness of Christ— was declared. We were told by the *First Angel of Rev 14* to fear God and give glory to Him; it was the hour of His judgment. It was a call to defer to Father.

May you finally become converted as you take this theme to heart.

Sincerely,

Derek West



Star Trek and Kingdom Immigration

**CAPTION TWO:** *“...The subtlety of the serpent has been exposed, and the venom of his bite as it pertains to the raging debate in the SDA Church can now be defined. All these years, EGW’s antagonists camouflaged their objections to her work. It turns out that their real grievance stems from their suspicions that she is not a “pure” white woman but instead has African heritage. Being unwilling to represent their true axe to grind in it self signifies that they have judged their own ethics to be indefensible and gives the reason to explain why they have hidden it in the proverbial hole...”*



The below letter contributes greatly to this news release as it reveals the true sentiments, heretofore hidden, in the hearts of the antagonists to EGW. I must warn: It is quite disconcerting; yet, it must be reported to the field because the truth must be revealed. Many have rejected EGW and have criticized her work for reasons of racism. Accordingly, they can never be reached until they learn the love of the Father. This section I have entitled

***Venom from the Asp Hole.***

The Bible term asp applies to a deadly and venomous snake which dwells in places of concealment, often holes in the earth. The expression, “asp hole”, though correct and proper, if used as a pun, has vulgar connotations; the word resembles a crass expression and a communication style that is ostensibly unworthy of the editorial etiquette of the *mustard seed*. However, being that the vulgar expression is not herein deployed and being that the similar expression was supplied in the Word so as to be revealed in today’s cultural ambiance, and that men, by their uncultured keenness for vulgarity can more readily absorb the lesson, the spirit of wisdom compels this author, in his skill to refuse the evil and choose the good, to exploit our communicative context to the fullest so as to make real the lesson of this section. After all, the word was handed to this author on a divine platter; therefore, the pun is intended and the expression has real, theological meaning.

The subtlety of the serpent has been exposed, and the venom of his bite as it pertains to the raging debate in the SDA Church can now be defined. All these years, EGW’s antagonists camouflaged their objections to her work. It turns out that their real grievance stems from their suspicions that she is not a “pure” white woman but instead has African heritage. Being unwilling to represent their true axe to grind in its self signifies that they have judged their own ethics to be indefensible and gives the reason to explain why they have hidden it in the proverbial hole. A man name David Baker carries the torch of racism which accordingly inspires his antipathy against the *Three Angel’s Message*. As my first newsletter was broadcasted as a reply to another man, one who appears to be on the same team, Kerry Wynne and a collaboration of other former Adventists who are arch antagonists of EG White, he, David Baker, issued a reply and, suggesting complicity, he copied them. Their connection as “soul brothers” of like faith adds new dimension to Brother Wynne’s perspective, one which he will need to explain. But who is David (a.k.a. Skip) Baker? He has issued his own dossier:

*“As the photographer at the Review & Herald Publishing Association I could look out the window of the photo Studio and see Des Ford pounding the typewriter in his window at the General Conference when he was creating his thousand page defense. . . . I was just starting to discover the problems that he had uncovered, and Sligo Church actually let him teach a Sabbath School Class we attended for the six months that he worked on his defense to be presented at Glacier View Camp that summer of 1980 . . .”*

*“Sitting at Ford’s feet and listening (to) him present the true gospel each Sabbath was a treat that one can’t imagine. He would end each class with punch lines that left us all floored and we all just SAT there when he walked out of the classroom, stunned at the pure gospel the man taught. He was amazing. But it wasn’t just Des Ford that had shocked us: I recall reading Hebrews 6:19-20 and Hebrews 10: 19-20 that tells us that Jesus entered the Most Holy Place after His death on the cross two thousand years ago, so therefore nothing happened in 1844. If we were going to put the bible above all else, then 1844 and EGW had to go. . .”*

*“Right as all this was going on with Des Ford, Neal Wilson the President of the General Conference had ‘arranged’ a job for me at the Adventist Media Center in Thousand Oaks California because I had put on my wedding ring at the Review and gotten the President of the Adventist Review mad over that issue. We had a three hour knock down drag out meeting in Neal’s office with the Review President where Neal finally got up and said ‘There’s not room enough for both of you here. One of you has got to go.’ I knew then that I’d get a call someplace,*

*but was amazed to end up on the west coast where we were able to watch even more unraveling of the lie they were trying to protect.”*

*“Living then on the west coast we were able to go to the Gospel Congress that Ford, Van Rooyan and others held at Monterey. There were over a thousand “Adventists” that showed up for those meetings. It was like a ‘camp meeting for former Adventists’ before there was such a thing...”*

*“We left the church in 1982 when they shut down my job at the Adventist Media Center that Neal Wilson had arranged for me at that meeting in late 1979 ... Like hundreds of other denominational workers we were ruined in the great Exodus of the Adventist Church of the early 1980s.” —David Baker, Former Adventist Fellowship Website*

We see that David (Skip) Baker was formerly, in 1980, a high-cabinet member of the SDA Church under the presidential administration of Neal Wilson; he was an advocate of “Des Ford”; and he also became a high-level, pioneering leader in the camp of “former Adventists”, those who repel EGW. Much more could be quoted from his work; however, not willing to cite the entire dossier, David Baker represents his antagonism toward Adventist doctrine to envelop around “the Shut Door” and the *1844 Judgment Doctrine* promoted by EGW. These objections are now exposed to be merely cloaks and camouflage for his true sentiments, the hole in which he hides. Such a tactic is what ancient David condemned as dissembling when he said, “**Judge me, O Lord; for I have walked in mine integrity: I have trusted also in the Lord; therefore I shall not slide...<sup>3</sup>I have walked in thy truth. <sup>4</sup>I have not sat with vain persons, neither will I go in with dissemblers”** —Ps 26: 1, 3, 4.

[REVERSO: Dissemble : <sup>1</sup>to conceal (one’s real motives, emotions, etc.) by pretense <sup>2</sup>to pretend...SYNONYMS : camouflage, cloak, cover up, disguise, mask, falsify, feign pretend]

Such tactics of dissembling the gospel conceals one’s true objections behind a cloak making it appear that the arguments, in this case the advocacy of EGW’s inspiration, have no resounding impact. Evidently, Skip, while using such tactics in his above dossier and in his below reply to my work, was quick to respond to my last release because it successfully defended EGW along with those two listed doctrines, among many others, and he, at all cost, does not relish that her reputation be exonerated. Below is a portion of Skip’s reply. It manifests that though he was eager to read Desmond Ford’s 1000 page attack on the SDA faith and to “sit at his feet” to hear his Sabbath-School presentations, he did not venture to read my 63 page, thorough defense of the SDA faith. My letter, 14.1, was sent with a one-page, broadcast cover letter that announced the vindication of EGW. Contained within the body, I attached the actual 63 page letter. Evidently, Skip’s reply was merely a response to my one-page broadcast letter. Thus, this letter will honor his own self-appointed nickname, “Skip”, [Webster : skip: to pass over without notice or mention]. He said,

*“If Ellen White was “vindicated,” then how come she didn’t know any of this stuff as a so called prophet? EGW was a Communist Jewess and a fraud. And part black! Blacks are: “beasts of the field with hands that can speak” according to Jonah 3:8. So how can a beast be a prophet?” —David Baker, Thurs, 2/13/2014, 3: 10PM. Complete and unedited response: (Coloration emphasis belong).*

In that newsletter, 14.1, it resoundingly and pre-emptively answered his query by revealing, in thorough exactitude, the reasons why EGW did not discern the MSC’s exculpatory and vindicating arguments for her work. Additionally, it resoundingly fortified the *2300 days*; the *Shut Door*; it expressed from the Bible the true calling of the office of the prophet; it showed that, contrary to their presumptions, they are never, by divine design, “one hundred percent accurate”. It went further: It defeated the charge of plagiarism and gave many other supports to the SDA foundation. Knowing why he did not reply, what needs to be now discerned is why did not the SDA leadership expose his racial animosity towards EGW? What was their reason to conceal his venom? Also, what is the nature of his special friendship with Brother Wynne, Des Ford, and all their cohorts; What is their cord of harmony betwixt the entire anti-EGW movement? The *mustard seed* believes that they are all culpable and cozied in the resolution to hide their true sentiments in their dark hole in the earth.

This portion of this newsletter will be devoted to Skip’s real venom, and his replies to the aforementioned newsletter. Having received several replies from him, my answer will be addressed in two segments, the latter points to a reply that is even more offensive to men of Bible faith than the former. In it he unfurrows his true animus towards Jerusalem, those whom the *mustard seed* and the Bible proves to be the sons of America’s former slaves. His crass verbiage is unsuitable for this publication; however, it must be quoted precisely as he released it so as to uncloak the devil behind his

## Star Trek and Kingdom Immigration

mask. Since this turns out to be the real reason why so many have unfairly attacked EGW—even though it has been carefully concealed—the MSC is delighted that the snake has emerged from his hole, and it can now be defeated. We must also wonder, could this be the real reason why the SDA Church officials, perhaps sympathetic to the sentiments of EGW’s hateful detractors, are so tepid in her defense? Duty demands that they must come forward themselves to explain their posture. For now, I cite to you the work of David Baker:

*"If EGW had been a true prophet she would have known that the Jews are Edomite Canaanites, and not of Israel or Judah. That she did not know. For she was a demon seed Jewess herself, and therefore a born liar. Her Investigative Judgment doctrine directly rejects the Atonement of Christ, and the 2300 days that the Sanctuary was defiled, ended in 165 B.C. when it was "Cleansed" by the Maccabees, and has nothing to do with 1844. Jews didn't join the Judeans until 125 BC after they were defeated in Idumea (Greek for Edom) and they become Judeans that year, far too late to have written any of the Old Testament."*—David Baker, Fri 2/14/2014 9:12 AM.

**Answer One:** The *mustard seed*, as clearly expressed in *Newsletter 14.1 Winter*, only accepts evidence of Bible light as Father mandated that we should, through the testimony of the originally ordained Disciples. It does that which the wise should likewise so do: It rejects as a perversion any addendums from supposed scholars who seek to purvey another gospel. In a nutshell, the Lord ended His work in prayer by expressing this very mandate for Bible exegesis. In reference to the original 11 disciples, He said, “**I pray for them: I pray not for the world...<sup>20</sup> Neither pray I for these alone, but for them also which shall believe on me through THEIR word...<sup>21</sup> that the world may believe that thou hast sent me...**” —John 17: 9, 20, 21. This commission excludes David Baker’s sources of evidence for, to buttress his conclusions, he gives no reference from the work of men who first introduced Jesus to the world, Peter, James, John, Matthew, Luke, Mark, et-al. To the contrary, his work seems to mimic that of the famous and highly touted scholar (sic), Adolf Hitler, a man whom he defends in his work.

**Answer Two:** The Lord acknowledged, and the certified disciple, John, recorded affirmation that the Jews were Abraham’s seed through Judah. The Bible records,

*“<sup>31</sup> Then said Jesus to those Jews which believed on him, **If ye continue in my word, then are ye my disciples indeed;** <sup>32</sup> **And ye shall know the truth and the truth shall make you free.** <sup>33</sup> **They answered him, We be Abraham’s seed...** <sup>34</sup> **Jesus answered them...** <sup>37</sup> **I know that ye are Abraham’s seed...**”* —John 8: 31-34, 37.

If they were Jews and were Abraham’s seed, then such could have only been the case through Abraham’s great grandson, Judah, a man who descended from Jacob and not from Abraham’s grandson, Esau (Edom). This we must know because the blessing of Abraham to be conveyed to the nations must include freedom, and Isaac purveyed the blessing of Abraham to Jacob and not to Edom (Esau). He said to Jacob, “**And God Almighty bless thee...And give thee the blessing of Abraham...**” —Gen 28: 3, 4. Again, Jesus promised the blessing of Abraham to the Jews who were to believe on Him. This fact we must also know because the Lord’s promise of freedom, which is the essence of salvation, shall come through the evangelism of the *disciples indeed*, the Mustard Seed Adventists, for the Lord told the Samaritan woman, “**...Salvation is of the Jews**” —John 4: 22—remember these words were accorded special resonance and gravity by virtue of the Lord’s closing prayer; that prayer included, not just disciple John, but also all men who believe on Him, Christ, through John’s and the other disciple’s reiteration of His testimony. Accordingly, we can know that the term, Jew, is rooted in the name of the son of Jacob, Judah, for he, Jacob, relayed the blessing of Abraham to his son, Judah, when he said, “**The sceptre shall not depart from Judah, nor a lawgiver from between his feet, until Shiloh comes; and unto him shall the gathering of the people be**” —Gen 49: 10. Shiloh gathers the people; he, the promised son of David to emerge in the last day, is to give to the world salvation: Indeed, salvation is of Judah, the Jews—the son of David, the *mustard seed* (and his adherents) being the quintessentially ordained Jew.

**Answer Three:** If EGW was, as you say, “a demon seed”, then what does that make you and K Wynne? This you must ask because her ministry, as an incessant drum beat, pointed us back to the Bible, and she, as revealed in my last newsletter 14.1, said that Christ is the *Pearl of Great Price*. She further mandated that ‘all must be sold to obtain Him.’ She was referring to all doctrine for doctrines represent the only wealth of the righteous. Conversely, those who disavow her prophetic ministry are

men who ignore her counsel and retain their wealth refusing to —circumcise it so as to— purchase Christ. Their orientation is akin to that of the devil making them his seed, his sons and daughters, for the refusal to exonerate Christ is his, the devil's, modus operandi, and his devotees have always honored their own philosophies above the Bible —this we can easily discern by their obeisance to scholars who give to us the non-biblical works of Maccabees. They likewise have always assailed the men who gloried in Christ and who honored His word with such prescribed actions of divestiture and doctrinal nullification. Bible Law, the Pentateuch, the thing which Paul in *Rom two* declared was to judge us: It too demands that which EGW demanded, heart circumcision (*Deut 10*).

**Answer Four:** The Bible shows absolutely no evidence that the sanctuary was cleansed before 1844. The ark was the cleansing instrument in the sanctuary; it contained the Mercy Seat; it was lost when Babylon overthrew Jerusalem in the days of Daniel, the very event which inspired his 2300-day vision. As also shown in 14.1, the certified disciple, Matthew, quoted the Lord to say, in chapter 24 of his work, that the sanctuary was to be cleansed in a date future to His, Jesus' first advent. Matthew recorded his certified rendition of the Lord's ordained words in the first century AD, several decades, if not centuries, after the work of the Maccabees; the sanctuary, by Father's own Testimony through Christ, said that it had not yet then been cleansed. Again, the Lord's closing prayer honors this relay of doctrine. The question must be parenthetically posed here before proceeding: Who told David Baker about the work of the Maccabees —it surely was not a God-the-Father-certified disciple— and why do his sources have any credibility in a Christian discussion?

Furthermore, the Bible clearly reveals that Jesus died on Calvary; however, it does not make that episode of history the fulfillment of, or the day of, Antitypical Atonement. Such is merely a Christian presumption that has been promoted by men of ignorance and by NON-certifiable opponents to the true disciples; in other words, that exegesis has been fostered by those who were not given the call to proceed in the faith by virtue that their feet had not been hand washed by the Lord. Such opponents have always presumed, but have never validated from the writings of Moses or from those of the ordained disciples, the precise interpretation or connection between Calvary and Atonement. Such is an inferential conjecture that has been evoked by men such as you (David Baker), men of the world, men for whom Christ refused to pray. Thus, how is it that you say of EGW, "...*Her Investigative Judgment doctrine directly rejects the Atonement of Christ...?*"

You require the explanation of several Bible doctrines before you can make such a claim; the first of which is the identity or the name of Christ —I will not now list the others. Christ, by virtue of careful exegesis explained already, did not, according to technical definition, die on Calvary; instead, it was Jesus who died! Christ, the Dove who, by mandate of the Law expressed in *Deut 18*, clandestinely descended upon Jesus and spoke through Him, but He was "commended" back to Father before Jesus died on Calvary. This Bible-proven fact renders your statement that EGW "rejects the Atonement of Christ" to be presumptuous as well as ignorant. Those who reject this revelation of Jesus/Christ, as it has been freshly revealed today, are the men who crucify Him afresh, and when they have so done, then they have fulfilled their antitypical participation in the Atoning Sacrament. But the bottom line is simple: Because men who were not graced with the benefit of the Lord's closing prayer, presumed that Calvary fulfilled the Atoning Sacrifice, does not make it so. If it did, then we would all now be considered "clean", the purpose for which the Atonement served and for which the prophet, Daniel longed.

In a separate E-mail which shows the uncleanness of your mind, you wrote the following bitter and offensive reply to my winter newsletter:

*Don't be absurd. EGW was a demon seed Edomite Jew, and part nigger. And the bible calls niggers: "Beasts of the field with hands that can speak" in Jonah 3:8. Why would Yahweh God speak through "a beast of the field" a savage heathen African? He is only worried about His children, with whom He has a "Contract," Christ, who was Yahweh in the flesh, said: "I am not sent but unto the lost sheep of the house of Israel" in Matthew 15:24 and if you reject that, you reject Yahweh Himself in favor of EGW the fraud. But do whatever you like.*

*Your blood is on your own head, if you refuse to believe me, and EGW instead. Good luck in the Lake of Fire. If you believe EGW instead of me. —David Baker, Thurs, 2/14/2014, 4: 20 PM; coloration emphasis belong.*

### *Star Trek and Kingdom Immigration*

“Christ was sent only to lost sheep of the house of Israel” —a fascinating and legitimate Testimony-of-Jesus deduction, one which we will pick up later in this letter. Preliminary to that, the Bible shows that after Calvary, Jesus was sent to the gentiles (*Matt 28*); Christ, the Hidden presence who spoke through Jesus, again as shown below, will complete His commission to His lost and scattered sheep, Israel. You must do as commanded (*Matt 11*) and learn of Christ to discern their separate identities and missions. The concept of blacks being beasts of the field will also be resoundingly defeated below. Regarding EGW’s ethnicity, the *mustard seed* has no independent account of EGW’s lineage or heritage, but it well understands that

***Humiliation Yields Exultation***~~~~~. This Bible finding indicates that EGW can rejoice in her lineage —whatever may have been her roots. All, regardless of race, who accept for themselves the humiliation and persecution that was promised to accompany the cause of Christianity can accordingly expect their day of exaltation. Even former oppressors of God’s people such as men like John Newton, the 18<sup>th</sup> century Anglo who was converted after years of work in the slave trade, can exclaim in their day of victory over sin, “Amazing Grace”, the very song that John Newton wrote. If EGW was rooted in African-American genealogy, such, in God’s eyes, is proven to be a double merit for He always seeks to grow His church from the ranks of the oppressed and the afflicted —ever seeking to exonerate them in the eyes of those men, Pharaoh, over whom He has temporarily appointed. This He will do even though they insult His beloved children by calling them loathsome expletives, words which merely express their, Jerusalem’s great affliction:

**“For he hath not despised nor abhorred the affliction of the afflicted; neither hath he hid his face from him; but when he cried unto him, he heard...The meek shall eat and be satisfied: they shall praise the Lord that seek him: your heart shall live for ever”** —Ps 22: 24, 26.

**“Thou hast given us like sheep appointed for meat; and hast scattered us among the heathen. Thou sellest thy people for nought, and dost not increase thy wealth by their price. Thou makest us a reproach to our neighbours, a scorn and a derision to them that are round about us. Thou makest us a byword (“niggers”!?) among the heathen, a shaking of the head among the people”** —Ps 44: 11-14.

**“With the merciful thou wilt shew thyself merciful; with an upright man thou wilt shew thyself upright...For thou wilt save the afflicted people; but wilt bring down high looks”** —Ps 18: 25, 27.

**“I know that the Lord will maintain the cause of the afflicted, and the right of the poor”** —Ps 140: 12

**“The Lord executeth righteousness and judgment for all that are oppressed. He made known his ways unto Moses, his acts unto the children of Israel”** —Ps 103: 6, 7.

The Psalms, among many books in the Bible prove that God does not honor your ethics nor does He seek to validate the gentile powers, those whom you exalt, including the Anglo-Saxons or men of British ancestry, to be rulers in His eternal Kingdom. For such men to secure the highest post, they too must become humiliated as a little child—See *Matt 18: 1-5*. The *Testimony of Jesus* further validates the above promises found in the Psalms. This we can know because the Lord promised during His ministry that when David, in the Psalms called Him Lord, that He was speaking of Christ, and He further showed to us by the record of the hand-of-Jesus, foot-washed-and-*John-17*-authenticated disciples, as so recorded in Luke’s record, that all that was prophesied of Him in the Psalms would be fulfilled. **“These are the words which I spake unto you, while I was yet with you, that all things must be fulfilled, which were written...in the psalms concerning me”** —Luke 24: 44. Therefore, your joy in the humiliation of black Americans and your unkind “bywords” to disparage them only serves to validate their promised day of exultation and the day of your own fall. Hence, the status of high honor today for the Anglo-Saxon people will merely serve as evidence, due to their cruelty and inhumanity, to eternally subjugate them —should they be so fortunate as to win membership in the Lord’s Kingdom:

**“And the people shall take them, and bring them to their place: and the house of Israel shall possess them in the land of the Lord for servants and handmaids: and they shall take them captives, whose captives they were; and they shall rule over their oppressors. And it shall come to pass in the day that the Lord shall give thee rest from thy sorrow, and from thy fear, and from the hard bondage wherein thou was made to serve”** —Isa 14: 2, 3.

Yet, those who support your views from the White Supremacist throngs argue, by use of much illusory imagination, that they are the ones who are humiliated by blacks. Such is tantamount to articulating the following complaint: “The more we step on them, the more we tarnish our shoes.” Heaven’s answer: Stop treading them under foot:

*“Therefore hear now this, thou afflicted, and drunken, but not with wine: ...I have taken out of thine hand the cup of trembling, even the dregs of the cup of my fury; thou shalt no more drink it again: But I will put it into the hand of them that afflict thee; which have said to thy soul, Bow down, that we may go over: and thou hast laid thy body as the ground, and as the street, to them that went over...put on thy beautiful garments, O Jerusalem, the holy city: for henceforth there shall no more come into thee the uncircumcised and the unclean. Shake thyself from the dust; arise, and sit down, O Jerusalem: loose thyself from the bands of thy neck, O captive daughter of Zion. For thus saith the Lord, Ye have sold yourselves for nought; and ye shall be redeemed without money” —Isa 51:21-23; 52:1-3.*

By virtue that you have called EGW demon inspired, shows that you have no hope of redemption for you have blasphemed the Holy Spirit, you have, according to *Matt 12*, called His work through her ‘the work of the devil’ which the Lord Himself defined as blasphemy and which Matthew, an authenticated disciple, recorded for your discernment. When His enemies launched the same charge and claimed that He, Jesus, cast out devils by the power of Beelzebub, He warned of this very hazard by saying, “...*All manner of sin and blasphemy shall be forgiven unto men: but the blasphemy against the Holy Ghost shall not be forgiven unto men...whosoever speaketh against the Holy Ghost, it shall not be forgiven him, neither in this world, neither in the world to come*” —Matt 12: 31, 32. However, there may be hope for those whom you have influenced: They can step forward and become part of the *mustard seed*, the saints who are given rulership over Jerusalem; they are encouraged to step forward today and change their song as did John Newton. To do this will require self-imposed humiliation, the very key to salvation. To fail to so do, to remain aloof and exalted, is to accept the plight of Babylon under Nebuchadnezzar,

*The Plight of the Hewned Tree*~~~~~. The story of Babylon was recorded in the Bible for the express purpose to show us, by use of allegory, the fall of the English-speaking world, the Anglo Saxons whom you seek to eternally exalt as the quintessential human, the “master race”. As is the case with America, the nation of ancient Babylon was indeed great; however, she was only pre-eminent in the world some 2600 years ago because the Lord vaulted her to power. Like the Anglo Saxons of today, she had no intrinsic or endogenous grandeur which prequalified her for divine royalty and greatness. Her ordination was of God. In Daniel’s first interpretation to Nebuchadnezzar, he wins for us this lesson:

*“Thou, O king, art a king of kings: for the God of heaven hath given thee a kingdom, power, and strength, and glory. And wheresoever the children of men dwell, the beasts of the field and the fowls of the heaven hath he given into thine hand, and hath made thee ruler over them all. Thou art this head of gold” —Dan 2: 37, 38.*

More is said by Daniel pertaining to Babylon. In *Dan four*, Babylon, without regard to her lofty status, was depicted as being hewn by the stroke of the Lord and by another man also therein described who is called “His watcher”, and since the *mustard seed* is the icon of the eternal Kingdom (see Matt 13:31), he must be the Lord’s accompanying “watcher”, the one whom EGW, in a reference to disciple John’s certified writings, called the “third angel”. We must remember, ancient Babylon was credited by Scripture with ruling the entire world, and Nebuchadnezzar’s vision acknowledges as much. Since that ancient Kingdom did not actually rule all the quadrants of the planet —places like China, Australia, England, Greenland, Texas, etc.— and since that Kingdom did not, as a great tree, provide necessary benefits and gratuities around the entire globe, the only explanation by “the watcher” is to validate the Lord’s word by use of one of His favorite communicative tools, the allegory or the metaphor. In sum, the vision is more evidently applicable for America than for ancient Babylon. The reason why God was so generous to her is also certain: She, in her more pristine years, graced the globe with the benefits of her divine endowment, the fruit of her Christian virtues. Accordingly, America, a land dominated by the Anglo-Saxon influence, can be termed antitypical Babylon, the greatest power on earth, and the power that is scheduled to shortly become hewn. The record shows the following:

### *Star Trek and Kingdom Immigration*

*“<sup>22</sup>It is thou, O king, that art grown and become strong: for thy greatness is grown, and reacheth unto heaven, and thy dominion to the end of the earth. <sup>23</sup>And whereas the king saw a watcher and an holy one coming down from heaven, and saying, Hew the tree down, and destroy it...and let his portion be with the beasts of the field, till seven times pass over him...<sup>25</sup>they shall drive thee from men, and thy dwelling shall be with the beasts of the field...till thou know that the most High ruleth in the kingdom of men, and giveth it to whomsoever he will” —Dan 4: 22, 23, 25.*

A brief prelude is in order so as to fit this Text into my reply: You, and evidently your anti-EGW cohorts, cherish the work of the English-speaking Empire pointing to them as the Anglo Saxon people. On your website you say, by a fanciful, non-scriptural logic which, with the use of much spiritually-inebriated verbal contortions, connect the name of Isaac to the Anglo Saxon people, and you use that contortion as evidence that they are “true” the people of “Yahweh”.

As a parenthetical injection: Yahweh is your perverse, non-Bible-validated name for the Lord. You and millions of others —as presumptuous prophets condemned in *Deut 18*— erroneously teach that Yahweh is the accurate Hebrew name of God. You suggest that, by speaking a foreign language other than the Hebrew tongue of 3500 years ago, we are in jeopardy with our “mispronunciation” of God’s name. To the contrary, the presumed name, Yahweh, is a name that Peter, in the book of Acts, did not use in his evangelism, and his sermon was the first Christian outreach after Calvary and in history. The record shows that he used the names, “God”, “Lord”, “Jesus”, “Christ”, and “Holy Ghost” —see *Acts two*— and his words were not carefully constricted to His Hebrew/Aramaic tongue; they were translated into the tongue of the Jews “from all nations” who attended Pentecost. Evidently, therefore, Father’s love encompasses our diversity of tongues, and He speaks of Himself in a manner in which the foreign-tongue-speaking saint can discern. In other words, He does not speak to them in an ancient, dead language of antiquity. It also must be remembered, Peter was a certifiable disciple; the world was to be blessed by those saints who believe on Christ through his words. Therefore, we must trust in his ministry over the phony scholars who handed to you the name Yahweh as the proper name for God.

Back to your laudations of the Anglo Saxons, the *mustard seed* acknowledges the truth of the claim that they have —just as was ancient Babylon— been blessed of God. But you, as one who dares to condemn the pristine work of EGW, ventured forth to go even further: By obviously borrowing an idea from the Apocrypha and from other discredited theories to explain Gen 6: 2 (the sons of God took the daughters of men for wives), you assert that Satan raped Eve and in so doing engendered the Jews. This you boldly assert even against the Lord’s very own testimony (accounted to us by the writings of Matthew) pertaining to marriage and thus procreation (Matt 22: 30). Judah was Jacob’s fourth son; his descendants were called Jews. Of him Jacob promised the scepter until Shiloh comes (Gen 49: 10). These Bible facts leave no room for your non-biblical fables. Apart from the improper, albeit popularly mistaken name of Yahweh, a construction that has been completely debunked by none other than the Lord’s “watcher”, the *mustard seed*’s Bible analysis in prior publications; you call all other men, especially men of African origin, to be inhuman or beasts. And most important to the prelude to my analysis of the above Texts, you assert that the Lord cannot save them.

Back to Daniel’s prophecy: The Bible story of Nebuchadnezzar invalidates your brazen, gentile ignorance. Daniel, a Jew (Dan 1: 6) who, according to Gabriel, was greatly beloved by heaven (Dan 9: 23, 10: 11, 19), shows that Nebuchadnezzar suffered from the same ego-maniacal malady which has possessed you. He too was gripped with the same superiority complex. He too believed that his kingdom was invincible, and he too refused to believe that the Lord could not give dominion of the planet to men of the planet whom he, Nebuchadnezzar, deemed to be racially inferior. Yesterday, the Lord told Nebuchadnezzar that which I reiterate to you today “...*The most High ruleth in the kingdom of men, and giveth it to whomsoever He will.*” And, by virtue of the fact that this nation, America, has relegated in its constitution a clause which has never been amended since its installation in the first US Constitutional Convention, the clause which relegates blacks to be inhuman or three-fifths of a man, then it is they, the sons of America’s former slaves, who, above all other nationalities on the earth, represent the afflicted ones, the people of Babylon’s lowest station; they are the ones whom the “Babylonians” today claim to be creatures beneath the Lord’s reach of grace. Subsequently, the Lord’s

reprimand to Nebuchadnezzar, applies doubly to you. Quintessentially, you profess that the Lord cannot give the Kingdom to whomsoever He will, and you also reject EGW's opposite claim:

**“The day is coming when the kings and the lordly men of the earth would be glad to exchange places with the humblest African (the mustard seed) who has laid hold on the hope of the gospel. To all who are over comers through the blood of the lamb, the invitation will be given (by this/these humbled Africans) ‘come ye blessed of my Father inherit the kingdom prepared for you from the foundation of the world’”** —EGW, *Southern Works*, p. 13 (parenthesis added).

Jesus affirmed this claim of EGW; it is the essence of the *Second Angel's Message* of *Rev 14*, the now fully-revealed theme of the *Fall of Babylon*. Furthermore, Jesus reported His affirmation through one of His foot-washed, certified disciples, Matthew. He too pointed to a people in America, the only nation which contains the gathering of all nations, and He rewarded and punished her people based —not on their contributions to science, business, agriculture, etc., but— upon their treatment of the least. He said,

**“<sup>31</sup>When the Son of man shall come in his glory, and all the holy angels with him...<sup>32</sup>before him shall be gathered all nations...<sup>34</sup>then shall the King...<sup>41</sup>say also unto them on the left hand, Depart from me, ye cursed...<sup>43</sup>I was a stranger, and ye took me not in...<sup>45</sup>Inasmuch as ye did it not to one of the least of these, ye did it not to me”** —Matt 25: 31, 32, 34, 41, 43, 45.

This Text does not describe or affirm what is, by “fable”, commonly called the *Second Coming of Jesus*. Instead, that event occurs afterwards for then the wicked are destroyed by His brightness; they are not invited into the Kingdom. Instead, it shows the emergence of Shiloh, the gatherer of the people, the “humblest African”, the son of David for the “king” extends judgment in the day when Another Power, “The Son of man” comes down from heaven. It describes the empowerment of the “watcher” when Christ —not Jesus— invisibly returns. African Americans are human beings, but your claim that they are not is a tacit admission that they are the people of the Lord's and His watcher's focus in *Matt 25*, the ones whom the king therein is to identify as the people whom they, the goats, have defined as the least. This Text shows the fulfillment of Daniel's above-cited prophecy, the day when Babylon's tree is hewn, the day when the fall of Babylon is made real. This is made more evident because you, being consistent with American history, have relegated to blacks the station of animal status giving solid proof to this very theme. All who sympathize with your prejudice and exalt in the glory of the “white man's” achievements, should they not become converted, must know that the Lord erected your tree of world-wide grandeur, and He is about to come down with His watcher so as to hew it. Sadly for you, the age-old truism is applicable here: The bigger they are, the harder they fall. When He strikes your base with His axe, you will see yourselves trapped in the mind and the body of a beast, a creature incapable of worshipping God, and you will feel the pain that you have heaped upon those whom you so greatly despise: You will dwell with the beasts of the field.

**“...The people of this nation have exalted themselves to heaven, and have looked down upon monarchical governments, and triumphed in their boasted liberty, while the institution of slavery, that was a thousand times worse than the tyranny exercised by monarchical governments, was suffered to exist and was cherished. In this land of light a system is cherished which allows one portion of the human family to enslave another portion, degrading millions of human beings to the level of the brute creation. The equal of this sin is not to be found in heathen lands.”**

**“Said the angel: ‘Hear, O heavens, the cry of the oppressed, and reward the oppressors double according to their deeds.’ This nation will yet be humbled into the dust...”** —EGW, *Testimonies for the Church*, Vol 1, p 258, 259.

You call black people beasts, and evidently many within the ranks of the SDA Church and many who have therefrom, like you, broken away apparently agree with your false and twisted logic. They do so —by virtue of the findings of this “beam-free judge”, this watcher— because they desperately yearn to legitimize the shame of the immense and passionate hatred that controls their lives. A worse sin than that, you/they impose your views upon God by assuming that He shares your same hatred.

You assume that because you do not like blacks, then they cannot be humans, but the Bible and legitimate science dispute your findings. The Bible evidence will be given below; but, to advance just a simple argument, natural science shows that men of African extraction can safely and securely reproduce with any other race on the planet; they can do so to the greater benefit of other races; this fact shows that they share the same genetic formula, installed within man from the beginning, which makes

## *Star Trek and Kingdom Immigration*

us all creatures forged in the image of God, humans. As the certified disciple, Matthew, manifests, neither they nor any other human can reproduce with angels —be they fallen or not. But, if, in their un-amalgamated, African stature, there is any distinctions in features, in talents, in physiology, in athleticism, in hair and or skin texture, in bone strength, in dental qualities, in reproductive powers, etc., then none who consider themselves to be logical dare to presume that such divine endowments which diverge from the inheritances graced to other races are negative qualities, and if they are opposite to the qualities inherited to the white race, then why should we presume the African-inherited qualities to be inferior? If we must be negative, why cannot we use the same evidence to show that whites are the beasts of the field? Yesterday, such a conclusion may have been blunted by the fact that whites are up and blacks are down, but today we have been enlightened to see that the least are to be made the greatest and the greatest the least. In other words, Babylon’s tree is on schedule to be hewn.

However, before the *mustard seed*, the beam-free jurist, can clear the air that you have poisoned, your ignorance of Bible knowledge must be exposed. Are Africans, Europeans, Asians deemed by God to be inhuman? Surprisingly, the Bible, by use of colorful and symbolic communications, expresses the degenerate station of all fallen humans. Therefore, by use of metaphor,

***All People are Characterized as Beasts***~~~~~. This use of an animal face to describe all races does not even exclude the Lord’s chosen, Israel, as shall be shown. The only humans that are given proverbial status as humans are those defined as rulers and leaders; such is heaven’s complete definition of manhood. We can validate this conclusion by simply pointing to the creation story: “...***God said, Let us make man in our image, after our likeness: and LET THEM HAVE DOMINION over...every creeping thing*** (every beast)...” —Gen 1: 26. To decipher, we must remember that Father is the quintessential Man, the One whose image we reflect; there is no other who is more manly or manlier. The likeness of Adam to God, his manhood, was not just his physical features; it was more importantly to this discussion, his ability to rule, his gift of dominion. Had he not fallen in seduction, he would be described with the face of Man, of God, and his children who presided under him in non-leadership capacity would, by use of metaphor, be described as beasts, dominated creatures for again, the gift to man in God’s dominion is to rule over beasts. His sons, men who faithfully complied with His religion, are called the sons of God, the natural-born leaders who are also known as “son of man”. This is different in meaning than the label, sons of men; “men” represent the plurality of people. They are humans who were genetically not graced with the talent to lead in justice and judgment. Cain having slain his brother, Abel, meets that description. Seth was the first son on record who came through Adam and who bore the image of God, the authority and the skill to have dominion; thus, the Bible says of him, “***And Adam...begat a son in his own likeness, after his image; and called his name Seth...and he (Adam) begat (other) sons and daughters.***” —Gen 5: 3, 4. Adam’s likeness, of course, was the likeness of God; relegating to Seth the iconic image of a man —not a being who was a subordinate to man, a beast.

The world is replete with wicked rulers, evil men such as Hitler, because, according to Gen 6: 2, the sons of God married the daughters of men; in other words, the divinely appointed leaders disregarded their commitment to the church (Hitler ruled —not by Christianity as you assert but by—the occult) and united with the daughters of men who, like Cain, were at war with their righteous standards. “***...the sons of God saw the daughters of men that they were fair; and they took them wives of all which they chose...and they bare children to them, the same became mighty men...men of renown***” —Gen 6: 2, 4. This produced a peculiar mixture or amalgamation of evil men with government skills. The fact that men of dominion or empowerment are defined symbolically in the Bible as men, as opposed to being referred to as beasts, is not a statement of divine proximity or approbation; instead, it is a statement of their quality to be “men of renown”. Likewise, those whom they rule, when they are defined as beasts, do not designate their disapproval or rejection by heaven as inferiors.

**SIDE BAR:** Please note that this analysis is based upon reading the Bible as it has been handed to us; it does not install fanciful, unsubstantiated meanings to either Adam or Seth’s name so as to make its lessons suggesting, as white supremacists do, the non-biblical notion that the name, Adam, means he who blushes with redness, a quality, assumed by them, to be limited to the White race. Such verbal tap dancing by those who do the opposite is phony and unacceptable as a manner to

**approach Bible faith; instead, it is to teach by fables, the practice which EGW condemned. This will be the case unless they garner their conclusions from the renderings of the men who blessed the world with Christianity and whom Jesus ordained to represent Him in *John 17*.**

All people who fell under Adam's government could accordingly be depicted by use of an animal icon, but such did not make them literal beasts. Thus, we can easily debunk your inane allusion to *Jonah 3: 8* without giving it any closer inspection. Nebuchadnezzar's experience, whereby he was demoted from his throne and transformed into a beast aptly enlightens us on this point: He ceased to acknowledge the authority and oversight of God, just as *Babylon the Great* so does today. That failure to praise God is an animal quality for animals cannot do as David, the Lord's chosen ruler, His main "man", promised to do when he said, **"I will lift up mine eyes unto the hills, from whence cometh my help. My help cometh from the Lord, which made heaven and earth"** —Ps 121: 1, 2. The beasts of the field cast their vision towards the earth; they do not look for help from heaven; some even hide their faces in the holes of the earth, the hole of the asp. Furthermore, God's ordained rulers seek Him for their power and their grace; they do not look to the works of their own hands: their scientists, economists, politicians, et al. for their help. Because of his failures in this regard, Nebuchadnezzar was dethroned and, to make the Lord's point, he was transformed into a beast. This fact nullifies your expression of bigotry towards blacks for it shows that Anglo Saxons, men who indeed have been installed into power by God for these past 500 years, are about to be demoted as the plight of ancient Babylon so neatly illustrates: They are about to be relegated to the status of men of non-renown, to the beasts of the field.

***"<sup>3</sup>So he carried me away in the spirit into the wilderness: and I saw a woman sit upon a scarlet coloured beast...<sup>5</sup>And upon her forehead was a name written...Babylon the Great...<sup>7</sup>I will tell thee the mystery of the woman, and of the beast that carrieth her...<sup>18: 2</sup>...Babylon the great is fallen, is fallen...<sup>3</sup>For all nations have drunk of the wine of the wrath of her fornication..."***—Rev 17: 3, 5, 7; 18: 2, 3.

To drill more deeply into this point, I must reiterate that the Lord refers to His own, Israel, as beasts of the field, as sheep, according to *Ezek 34*. This we will read as we proceed, but first, pertaining to His sheep, we are also told the following in Psalms:

**"O God, why hast thou cast us off for ever? why doth thine anger smoke against the sheep of thy pasture"** —Ps 74: 1.

**"But made his own people to go forth like sheep, and guided them in the WILDERNESS like a flock"** —Ps 78: 52.

**"So we thy people and sheep of thy pasture will give thee thanks for ever: we will shew forth thy praise to all generations"** —Ps 79: 13.

This allusion to animals, the Lord ascribes to His own because of their subjugation, subjugation first to Him via the house of David and then, while under punishment, to others. In fact, in the judgment depicted in *Ezek 34*, iconic, animal status wins for some men salvation; whereas and to the contrary, those who are called men, "shepherds", are therein eternally damned. By use of allegory, Christ affirms the mission statement that you, in ignorance, gave to Him (Christ): the yearning to search and to save His people, the lost sheep of the house of Israel. Above you said, "*Christ, who was Yahweh in the flesh, said: 'I am not sent but unto the lost sheep of the house of Israel' in Matthew.*" This He does, we shall see, by looking amongst Jesus' appointed Christian leaders in America, those "mighty men", those "men of renown", whom the Lord in *Ezek 34* calls the shepherds. He promises to save Israel, His sheep; He promises to condemn their overseers, the people whom He calls men of 'cruelty', in other words mighty men who, like as the antediluvians, led the planet to the curse of judgment:

***"<sup>2</sup>◆◆◆SON OF MAN◆◆◆, prophesy against the shepherds of Israel... Thus saith the Lord God unto the shepherds; Woe be to the shepherds of Israel that do feed themselves! should not the shepherds feed the flocks? <sup>3</sup>Ye eat the fat, and ye clothe you with the wool, ye kill them that are fed: but ye feed not the flock. <sup>11</sup>For thus saith the Lord God; Behold, I, even I, will both search my sheep, and seek them out. <sup>12</sup>As a shepherd seeketh out his flock in the day that he is among his sheep that are scattered...<sup>23</sup>And I will set up one shepherd over them, and he shall feed them, even my servant David; he shall feed them, and he shall be their shepherd. <sup>24</sup>And I the Lord will be their God, and my servant David a prince among them...<sup>31</sup>And ye my flock, the flock of my pasture, are men..."*** —Ezek 34: 2, 3, 11, 12, 23, 24, 31.

### *Star Trek and Kingdom Immigration*

Before our analysis, please note that two shepherds are mentioned: first, the cruel ones and second, the divinely ordained one, a man to emerge called David (the son of David). The other non-animal Power is defined with a station that is above the men: He is the Lord, and He promises for Himself a higher station above both groups, He promises to be God to the proverbial animals, the sheep; He is to be God also to His appointed shepherd.

To understand further, it should also be noted that Ezekiel, while being referred to as the “son of man”, issues the prophecy for the prophecy begins by saying, “*Son of man, prophesy against the shepherds of Israel*”. Resultantly, it is the son of man, antitypical Ezekiel, who is herein projected to fully explain its meaning; only thus could he prophesy against the shepherds of Israel as commanded for to prophesy against them is to explain their standing in God’s judgment—it is to show to America that which Daniel, a servant who was also called the “son of man” (see Dan 8: 17), likewise prophesied against Babylon. This letter fulfills this, Ezekiel’s commission; it prophesies against the shepherds. Antitypical Ezekiel, the *mustard seed*, is here prophetically called the “son of man” because he is indeed, antitypical David; he succeeds in dominion of the earth where Adam failed; he separates the sheep from the goats of *Matt 25*: He heeds the word of the Lord; he submits his will to the Father, for again, the Bible tells us that God is the quintessential “Man” and Adam was His son (see Luke 3: 38). But He is not the only man described in the Text: Without a doubt, the Lord calls the shepherds, American people of “renown” and of empowerment, men; they, like Nebuchadnezzar have reached their day of demotion. As stated, because they were beforehand given dominion, in this Text they are not given animal identity. However, He also explains that Israel, a people acknowledged by history as being afflicted by the white Anglo Saxons, are beasts, but then He acknowledges that, outside of the metaphor, they too are men. Reread the Texts above to ascertain these points of refinement. You, Skip, will see that in all of this, your analysis, the conclusion of your work is invalidated because the Texts show that metaphoric beasts will be the target of the Lord’s personal search for salvation. He says, “*Behold, I, even I, will both search my sheep, and seek them out. As a shepherd seeketh out his flock...*” The lesson can be capsulized to reveal that, in a literal sense, the people of the Lord’s last-day search are actual men, people who, although afflicted, are indeed people of the Lord’s highest regard even though they may not yet be ordained leaders. Hence, by your own logic, your argument is canceled for the Lord punishes the iconic men of injudicious deportment, and He saves the metaphoric animals. Thus, in final analysis, one must ask, what is the benefit of your lofty perch of exultation?

Not just Genesis—this analysis of leadership is not dissimilar to the story of the Exodus. Accordingly Moses and his black wife, Zipporah, were both shepherds; such was the reason why he, Moses, was given the rod of God (see Exod 4: 20), the symbol of empowerment.



“*Thy way is in the sea, and thy path in the great waters... Thou leddest thy people like a flock by the hand of Moses and Aaron*” —Ps 77: 19, 20. To the contrary, Egyptian-liberated Israel needed much guidance; accordingly, they were considered to be the proverbial sheep of Moses (with His shepherdess wife Zipporah, the two were joined as one), the actual shepherds by occupation. This path began Israel’s star trek, their 3500 year path to become bright stars for the Lord’s crown. Aaron’s role is secondary to this analysis. But the fact of the matter is that by claiming that blacks are animals does not, even if it were true, imply the Lord’s disinterest to save them; instead, it shows that they need guidance by His shepherd and shepherdess.

Isaiah helps to express this lesson, the Lord’s metaphoric deployment of the term, beast, even further. In chapter 11, He expresses the day of peace when the wolf and the leopard, the lion and the bear, non-Christian gentiles, will no longer seek to do that which has gripped your spirit: They will not hurt other people. As a brief prelude to this exegesis, we must define the people of your great admiration, the Saxons. Acknowledging the Anglos as being people of English descent, according to the Reverso Dictionary, the Saxons are defined as follows:

[**Saxon : a member of a West Germanic people who in Roman times spread from Schleswig across NW Germany to the Rhine. Saxons raided and settled parts of South Britain in the fifth and sixth centuries a.d.**]

During World War II, emerging with animal passion, both Britain and Germany, the full domain of the Anglo Saxons, were relentless in their attempts to destroy each other. History shows their episodes of great violence and brutality. It shows how they, with their gifts of scientific skill, sought to destroy their own seed. Should the MSC be as unkind as you, David Baker, and condemn them as animals, people whose genius it is to create and deploy weapons of mass destruction? Should it define them as spiritual animals and then assert that such blood-thirsty people cannot be saved in the Lord's Kingdom? Of course not! —for the MSA knows the love of the Father and His desire to save all branches of the human family, the sons of God and the sons of men. But how can He save them seeing that they have sought over the past 1500 years to kill each other and all other people who got in their way? *Isa 11* shows that His servant David a.k.a. the “son of man”, from the line of Judah, more specifically, the house of Jesse, will be empowered to tame their vicious and predatory natures.

*“<sup>1</sup>And there shall come forth a rod out of the stem of Jesse, and a Branch shall grow out of his roots. <sup>2</sup>And the spirit of the Lord shall rest upon him, the spirit of wisdom and understanding, the spirit of counsel and might, the spirit of knowledge and of the fear of the Lord...<sup>6</sup>The wolf also shall dwell with the lamb, and the leopard shall lie down with the kid; and the calf and the young lion and the fatling together; and a little child shall lead them. <sup>8</sup>And the sucking child, shall play on the hole of the asp, and the weaned child shall put his hand on the cockatrice den. <sup>9</sup>They shall not hurt nor destroy in all my holy mountain: for the earth shall be full of the knowledge of the Lord, as the waters cover the sea”*  
—Isa 11: 1, 2, 6, 8, 9.

Knowledge of the Lord conveyed by His anointed is that which tames the beasts—how profound! You say, ***“Why would Yahweh God speak through ‘a beast of the field’ a savage heathen African?”*** He does so metaphorically, to tame the nature of the savage beast in men. The wolf, the lion, the leopard, the bear, the asp, the cockatrice all represent predatory humans, whether leaders or followers, across the globe who will unite with the Lord in Palestine to grow from their villainous natures before they are to be transported to heaven when Jesus returns. This conclusion points to the Kingdom of peace promised by the prophets and the certified disciples. To affect this result, His son, the promised son of David, is to emerge in full regal authority: showing that he is a mature man, he, a righteous man of “renown”, judges in “wisdom”, “knowledge”, and “might”. To boot, after the Spirit (He whom you have blasphemed) rests upon this promised son of David, he produces a son, an immediate, next-generation descendant, one who is also given human identity—not of an adult but—the identity of a young child. That son of the son of David will not be afraid of the predators; a little child, Mahershalalhashbaz (see Isa 8: 1-8), shall lead them.

Why is this so? Why depict some men as diverging and different predatory beasts? It can be explained by use of the example of the civil rights experience of blacks in America. Ever since slavery ended some 150 years ago, blacks vested their destiny in the hope that our white oppressors, due to human aging, would die and their bigotry would pass with them, and their children would manifest more sympathy and kindness. In this presumption, we underestimated the laws of genetics. We dared to dream that their sons, men seemingly more adaptive and progressive, men who grew up with blacks and who befriended them, would be less hateful. We did not consider the genetic component to cruelty in men. To our consternation, though others, through immigration, took pity for our plight, many of the young white Anglo Saxons Protestants who inherited leadership in this land continued to forward the ethos and the mantle of their fathers. Again, we ask why this is so? The Lord uses such metaphors of beasts, the lion, the leopard, the wolf, the asp to show that men, unless converted, do not change. Just as a baby lion, though cute, cuddly, and friendly at first, will grow to survive as his fathers before him—he will never solve his problems by becoming a leopard, a bear, or an asp, etc.—just so do humans reflect the personalities of their ancestry. Indeed, this point speaks to the reason why you defend Adolf Hitler on your website. You say of him the following, ***“Sadly Christian Hitler had no death camps.”*** This genetic quality will never change. Instead, the son of David, having learned the lesson of Adam's predisposition, having learned to refuse the evil and choose the good, will take charge. He being the master of immigration will be empowered, and he accordingly will enforce peace. Father loves the personalities inherited to all the races, but as in the circus, to perform to His expectations and

## *Star Trek and Kingdom Immigration*

His ovation, they must be tamed. The son of David is the lion tamer: He will bring the asp from his hiding hole.

To reiterate in greater clarity, some human nationalities have a spirit of aggression and they approach the desires and needs of life as did their fathers before them; their faces have not changed. Anglo Saxons, Nigerians, Chinese, Aborigines, et-al, have a personality signature; we call it a stereotype; it expresses the way that their brains are genetically wired to survive on earth. It is only through conversion that they overcome their embedded, carnal impulses. Without this conversion, each of the nationalities on earth reacts to the righteous under the brand of their own genetic heritage and do so without self-control. Hence, until the day when the Lord establishes His Kingdom in Palestine, fully knowing that the nations would continue to war amongst themselves, He integrated them with the seed of Israel, the seed of lamb-like peace. He promised as much when He said the following:

*“Behold, the days come, saith the Lord, that I will sow the house of Israel and the house of Judah with the seed of man, and with the seed of beast...But this shall be the covenant that I will make with the house of Israel; After those days, saith the Lord, I will put my law in their inward parts...and they shall be my people”* —Jer 31: 27, 33.

He promises to inbreed Israel with the seed of leaders, men, as well as followers, a.k.a. beasts, when He scattered Israel among the nations, and this integration has calmed and limited their hostilities. Consider as an example the Iraq war after 911, the war that has brought this nation to the brink of insolvency and collapse, nearly 90 percent of blacks in 2003, the days leading up to that war, were polled to be against attacking the Nation of Iraq, but their opinion could not overwhelm the general population of this land. Such men, led by George Bush and his WASP conservatives, wanted to exact revenge on the innocent, on people who were not even associated with the attack of the Twin Towers; essentially, the beast in them demanded a human sacrifice to cure their pain and relieve their wounded pride. Who, one might reconsider, are the real animals? Thankfully, as bad as it may have been in WWII and in other engagements, the destruction heaped upon the planet was mitigated, in part, by the Lord’s above promise of genetic engineering. But still we battle, and even in this land we have the war-mongering party, the conservatives, the men who sought, all these years, to keep Jerusalem in shackles, and, in opposition to them, we have the freedom fighters —many among them, it might also be added, are people who claim to be of Jewish descent. The balance has left Jerusalem with only partial victory which is indeed partial humanity. America’s founding fathers and their constitutional rendering has proven to be prophetic: Blacks have only been able to receive fractional empowerment: Blacks have been relegated to

*Play by the Hole of the Asp*~~~~~. Should we celebrate the deadly cunning of the asp; should we glory in his destructive nature and his (scientifically engineered) fangs? If not, then we cannot celebrate the parallel and equally deadly behavior that we witnessed from the Anglo Saxons as they seek to attack their targeted enemies. A great example is brought to the fore in their participation in both world wars. They sought to destroy each other in those battles; therefore, their own judgment of their value to the world, despite their ingenious intellect, suggests —not self-perpetuation but— an uncontrolled desire for self-annihilation. Thankfully for them the *mustard seed*, the Lord’s voice in this hour, disagrees with their low self-estimation. In WWII, Germany, the Saxon land graced with brilliant scientists, showed their destructive venom. Countless innocent people and valuable cargo traveling the Atlantic went down to watery graves after being blasted by German U-boats (submarines) that hid beneath the surface. They used their scientific talents to deploy nerve gas and mustard gas, and their enemies greatly suffered also in WWI. They did the same by air in WWII: The first to use long-range missiles, in itself a genius feat of engineering then, they deployed their God-given talents in science to launch their bombs with the express purpose of creating random terror and destruction against a people, leaders and followers, of their own ethnicity, the English. The scenes of screaming babies, distraught hysterical women, and devastatingly bewildered men all aimlessly wandering about in devastated communities, still haunt the minds of the peaceful. Who can now doubt that genius in man can work to his own downfall? If his talents lie in the hands of the devil it is a curse and not a blessing to the earth; he can never be a son of God for he does not know that which the ordained disciples, as expressed through Luke’s record, commanded of us: to know the love of God (Luke 11: 42). England and

America (whose immigrated/integrated populous beforehand struggled against the WASP in leadership to stay out of the war), like an enraged beast seeking revenge, used violence to respond in kind. Instead of those nations seeking to comply with Christian standards, they too selected civilian populations and instead of targeting merely military installations, they indiscriminately burned many towns in Germany causing great civilian suffering. They did the same on the people of Japan. During those years, America was under great stress; in spite of this, blacks, the people whom you, David Baker, call animals, were not even welcomed to deploy their artistic talents to bring cheer to the public through song, through ministry, through art, through sports, through oratory—all mediums to convey their spirit of wisdom and knowledge. The leaders of this land fought the Lord's purpose to integrate the seed Israel (Jerusalem) into the seed of man and beast.

Sadly, the same Asp-hole subtlety and venom prevails in the church and with the work of David Baker and his comrades. Having no regard to the fate of the people to whom they peddle their poison, ever eager to defame EGW, they, in compliance with their carnal natures of aggression and hatred, they, and indeed many elders in the SDA Church who remain hidden in their proverbial asp holes, seek to resist the Lord's efforts to save by attacking EGW, by blaspheming the Holy Ghost, and doing so merely because they do not like her supposed ethnicity. All the while they, in snake-like fashion, pretend to object to her theology and the integrity of her work. In so doing, they are now made to look like fools for rejecting the 1844 message against the very words of Jesus, the God whom they pretend to serve. The unsuspecting of your motives, brother Baker, the immature who play by your hole, those who still imbibe on the sincere milk of the word, the suckling children, are endangered. Having never studied the works of EGW, when they indulge you in your literature, they become bitten by your fangs, and the *mustard seed* is the only one with the antivenin. You do not stop there: Your aggression is also directed against the Holy Spirit Himself. You seek to resist His work by claiming it to be the work of the devil, and again, this, as shown with great precision in *Newsletter 14.1*, is defined by the Lord to be an unpardonable sin. This you do in order that other innocent people will not embrace His light. The Lord spoke to your work when He said, ***“Woe unto you, lawyers! for ye have taken away the key of knowledge: ye entered not in yourselves, and them that were entering in ye hindered”***—Luke 11: 52. Not only have you assigned for yourself the flames of hell, but rather than to merely sit and wait for the day of your own bludgeoning slaughter at the hand of the Lord, you, in Nazi, U-boat fashion, seek to torpedo the hopes of all others, seeking to inherit to them your fate. The Bible describes your work in this regard as hunting your brethren with a net.

***“Woe is me!...there is no cluster to eat: my soul desired the firstripe fruit. The good man is perished out of the earth: and there is none upright among MEN: they all lie in wait for blood; they hunt every man his brother with a net”***—Mic 7: 1, 2.

These things make your inventive genius a curse to the church. She does not need your camera skills. Your subterfugic attack on EGW does not win for you any honor: It does not display the boldness of the lion, the bear, or the wolf; rather, it suggests the subtleness of a dust-eating, slithering serpent, a snake, an asp-hole dweller. Yet, with the emergence of a just government under the re-established House of David, the innocent who share your serpent-like subtlety, your lion-like aggression, your predatory spirit, will be transformed into gentle creatures who will have their talents preserved. This will allow them, in the kingdom, to become people of peace so that ***“...the sucking child shall play on the hole of the asp, and the weaned child shall put his hand on the cockatrice' (a snake's) den.”***—Isa 11: 8.

Let us examine more of your serpent like qualities: You claim to disparage blacks because they are animals; as shown by the *Three-fifths of a Man Clause* of the US Constitution, this has been a common theme throughout American history. If nothing else, it proves the consistency of opinion that has historically prevailed in this land—you are not alone. What is shocking is that it prevails in the SDA Church, the place where God has gathered the good people of the planet, and there seems to be no voice to decry it: True indeed, ***“the good man is perished out of the earth.”*** This attitude itself, and the desire to conceal it, is an inherited moniker, an Anglo-Saxon personality signature. Other nationalities, consider the Spanish and the Portuguese, likewise hated blacks, but having a different inherited ethnicity they did not hate with the same tactical style of affliction. Instead, they freely integrated other

### *Star Trek and Kingdom Immigration*

races, including blacks, into their ranks as soon as they became Christians; they did so out of Christian, brotherly duty. When it came time to afflict, they at least took a more honest approach. Instead of this level of honesty, you seek a loophole to Christian charity: You pretentiously re-define blacks as inhuman. What can be said, different shepherding strokes for different shepherding folks. The righteous of each, those who overcame/overcome their carnal impulses, will be granted salvation in accordance to the terms expressed in *Matt 25*. This is why *Isa 11* expresses different human groups as figuratively different beasts to be tamed. Not all abide within the proverbial “asp hole”!

I contend that the white supremacists of this land do not truly believe that blacks are animals; such is a facade and a pretense: It is the cloak behind which they hide. Instead, many of them tremble because they behold their talents, and in violation of the specifics of the Law, they covet them while using the animal card to mask their insecurities. Such is truly serpent like in nature. We can be assured of this conclusion because many whites, conservatives and liberals, have great love for their animals, their dogs and cats, their horses and cows, etc. This impulse may not in itself be an offense, but at least it must be acknowledged so as to make the point that they freely express such love by their passionate embrace of them. Go to the park; visit the communities; turn on the television and see the facts: Instead of demanding that people rid themselves of such clearly inferior creatures, they, like Nebuchadnezzar, extend their proverbial tree so as to yield to the animals great protection: “*The tree grew...and the sight thereof to the end of all the earth: The leaves thereof were fair, and the fruit thereof much, and in it was meat for all: the beasts of the field had shadow under it...and all flesh was fed of it*” —Dan 4: 11, 12. Today you see great expressions of love by the Anglos and the Saxons for the lower creatures; around them, they act as people completely devoid of insecurities. In fact, many preach them into God’s Kingdom with great sincerity. You see their animals, against the warnings from veterinarians, licking them in the face; you see them living in their homes; you see them sleeping in their beds; you even see them, in the parks, picking up their waste after them. All of these things blacks historically, having a different inherited proclivity, have abhorred. But many whites love to think that their animals have intelligence: Much of their modern culture envelopes around anthropomorphisms [**REVERSO, Anthropomorphism: ...the idea that an animal...has feelings or characteristic like those of a human being**]. They depict them as creatures that can talk, solve problems, and express human emotions. If, by calling blacks beasts, whites were not expressing their hidden insecurity, if they truly thought that blacks were animals, then true to their compassion, they would show to them the same love as they do for their real and actual animals. After all, blacks can truly talk and solve problems; they truly do have human feelings and intelligence. To the contrary, it is the judgment of this “beam-free judge”, a man who, after having the *Matthew-seven*-depicted beam cast out of his eyes, is authorized to judge, and he concludes that whites, in cockatrice fashion, seek to conceal their insecurity pertaining to blacks by feigning a belief that they are non-human animals.

A great day of conversion is on the horizon for the NON-SDA whites —indeed all races— in America, a day of salvation. Just as Martin Luther King depicted in his *I Have a Dream* speech, God has a plan to bring them into His fold, but the admission of the members from “all nations” gathered in America, should they so choose to embrace His salvation, comes with a caveat: Having rejected the long years of appeal from His formerly ordained ministry, the SDA Church, they must accept a lower post, a non-leadership station in the eternal church. This option will be afforded to the “sheep” that are positioned on the “king’s” right hand: those who have sought to extend welfare relief for the least of the ‘king’s brethren.’ He will invite them, just as EGW promised in *Southern Works* (cited above) when he says,

**“...Come ye blessed of my Father, inherit the kingdom prepared for you from the foundation of the world: For I was an hungered, and ye gave me meat...Inasmuch as ye have done it unto one of the least of these my brethren, ye have done it unto me”** —Matt 25: 34, 35, 40.

As shown more thoroughly in 14.1, the door of leadership graced to the “faithful” will then have been shut to them. Having, through spiritual inertia, rejected the SDA light, having refused to spiritually immigrate through her open portals when graces to do so was afforded to them, the door of salvation by faith will then have been shut to them for it will have then been shut even to the rebellious and ignorant in the SDA culture as such men would have been their prospective spiritual mentors, had they,

the Christian world, faithfully entered that church to study its light. This is just as described in Luke 13: 25; accordingly, the SDA members who refuse to advance in the light of Davidian theology are rejected because of their lack of faith. Correspondingly the **NON**-SDA Christians (Babylonians), the targets of Adventist evangelism, are also not to be saved by faith's reward. Instead, they will at least be afforded the opportunity to be saved by their charity, their works, the very charity to those relegated to be the least amongst them.

Hence, in so teaching, none dare venomously assail the *mustard seed* with the charge of teaching hatred and racism; it does not condemn all whites; even the racists among them who exist outside of the SDA Church can enter in! Instead, the *mustard seed* shows their salvation as non-leaders in the eternal Kingdom. After all, this definition of salvation was the only benefit, these past 2000 years, which Christians have sought from God, salvation as a citizen and not as a ruler. They will be lions: not the lion tamers. They will be serpents: not the serpent charmers. They will be sheep: not the shepherd. But if such is not good enough, there is still even now a slim window of opportunity to emerge from the world, join the church, and become a faithful *mustard- seed* Davidian Adventist, a *disciple indeed*, a son/daughter of Man, the Man. Such is the very reason for the distribution of this letter to all Adventists and former Adventists and leaders. In further affirmation of this lesson, we must acknowledge that this assignment of promotion in the eternal Kingdom speaks to the Lord's message declared by His authenticated and foot-washed disciple in *Luke 19*. Therein he showed that it was not enough to be a Christian; one must increase their faith, their talent. They must be Davidians; they must believe by faith in the Word for David promised that the faithful will cast their eyes upward above the earth; they will become pastors after the Lord's own heart. Upon so doing, such men are made rulers over others who are to be saved, they are promised to be made "rulers over cities"; "***And he said unto him, Well, thou good servant: because thou hast been ♦♦♦FAITHFUL♦♦♦ in a very little, have thou authority over ten cities***"—Luke 19: 17. Obviously, those over whom they rule, the occupants within the "ten cities", were not men of faith; they were not "faithful" for had such men been faithful, then Luke's promise of leadership would pertain to them also. Again, He says, "***...because thou hast been faithful...have thou authority over ten cities.***" Remember, the rulers are allegorized as the men and the people whom they rule are depicted as the beasts, and the option for this grace has been extended, since 1844, to all races.

The Lord said, "***...Who is my mother? and who are my brethren? And he stretched forth his hand toward his disciples, and said,...whosoever shall do the will of my Father...the same is my brother, and sister, and mother***"—Matt 12: 48-50. Amazing!—Christ is not only to have sheep and servants but brothers and sisters. This fact requires our studied attention. We must note: To be Christ's brother or sister makes one a son of Man because both Christ and Jesus define Themselves to be Sons of Man; therefore, to be Their brother/sister means that Father, by virtue of this Gospel, shall, by adoption, inherit—not servants in this analysis but—additional children into His intimate family circle. Since only *disciples indeed*, perhaps as many as 120, have braved the opposition and have boldly ignored the social stigmas, they win this honor. It is their gift because, unlike the beasts of the field, all men are bipedal, they stand on their own two feet. Accordingly, such men pass through the Lord's communion to become His brethren; their feet are eternally ordained; they are washed by the hand of the Lord. They become antitypical Moses, God's rod-bearing shepherds. All others will fall under their dominion of justice and righteousness. Only in this way can the beasts of the field be tamed so that all hazards are removed as the newly-to-be-converted children of the world, the immature saints, are gathered in. Only then can the sucking child "play on the hole of the asp."

The people of the *mustard seed*, being from multi-cultures, have taken the wise route to the Kingdom: They have accepted the administration of the *mustard seed*, and having acceded to his unpopular call, their hearts bleed for Jerusalem, and such is not so done by camouflage or dissembling. Prophecy expresses it best; it shows the victory of the Lord's afflicted sheep and the "MEN", the "SHEPHERDS" who will tend to them. It shows that they will indeed be true shepherds: Davidians, men—not with animal hearts but—men after the Lord's own heart, His brethren and sisters:

***"<sup>12</sup>Go and proclaim these words toward the north, and say, Return, thou backsliding Israel, saith the Lord; and I will not cause mine anger to fall upon you...<sup>13</sup>Only acknowledge thine iniquity, that thou***

## Star Trek and Kingdom Immigration

*hast transgressed against the Lord thy God, and hast scattered thy ways to the strangers...<sup>14</sup>for I am married unto you, and I will take you one of a city, and two of a family, and I will bring you to Zion:<sup>15</sup>And I will give you pastors according to mine heart, which shall feed you with knowledge and understanding...<sup>17</sup>At that time they shall call Jerusalem the throne of the Lord; and all the nations shall be gathered unto it, to the name of the Lord, to Jerusalem: neither shall they walk any more after the imagination of their evil heart.” —Jer 3: 12-15, 17.*

Subtlety and subterfuge, the gripping impulse to hide one’s motives through pretense, is evidently the last spiritual vice to surmount. To be a pretender is to be an asp-hole dweller. Mustard-seed Adventists, the pastors after the Lord’s own heart, move themselves above that quality of the human heart for it is an impulse of fear, one that violates the *First Angel’s Message*, the commandment to fear only Father. It also violates the theme of the *Second Angel’s Message*, the cry that Babylon need no longer to be feared; she is fallen. Having so advanced from the hole to the hill top, they are the highest spiritual immigrants. Rather than hide their motives, they either stand by them or they forsake them depending upon their Bible validated light. Only such men, pastors after the Lord’s own heart, can represent Christ; only they can teach and uplift Jerusalem, the sons of America’s former slaves, His afflicted. Many scan the landscape of America and, if they are honest, will find it implausible that the people whom they see, the people who fill the prisons, the ghettos, the streets, the dope dens, and the back alleys could ever become exalted to Jerusalem, the throne which is to rule the planet as the Bible so promises. But the faithful walk by the Spirit and not by the flesh. Accordingly, they overcome their consternation as they learn the lesson of the

**Genetics of Human Leadership**~~~~~. Israel, the Lord’s quintessentially elected, genetically installed leaders, was cast down and humbled; America was correspondingly, albeit temporarily, established to be their overseers, their pastors who ruled but not according to the Lord’s heart. Space will not allow for the evidence of this finding to be fully expressed as it has so been done in other publications. Suffice it to say that the Lord has made Israel low so as to win glory for His name when He lifts them up, that they may be deployed to save the world. But if you, Brother Baker, are not satisfied with this aspect of Bible theology, the fair-minded person will be and he will at least consider a history lesson. Apart from indigenous Americans, African Americans are the only ones whose populous in this land stems from random selection. And this selection makes them appear, in the narrow view of the thoughtless observer, to be inferior. The ancestors of African Americans were brought to this land involuntarily, and this historical fact, combined with their history of affliction, explains their failures to fully to assimilate. The Lord does not blame the white man nor the devil; He takes credit unto Himself for their troubles. He says,

**“But this is a people robbed and spoiled; they are all of them snared in holes, and they are hid in prison houses: they are for a prey, and none delivereth: for a spoil, and none saith, Restore. Who among you will give ear to this? who will hearken and hear for the time to come? Who gave Jacob for a spoil, and Israel to the robbers? did not the Lord, he against whom we have sinned?...” —Isa 42: 22-24.**

In contradistinction to their involuntary captivity and consequent delivery to America, all other people came by their own initiative in search of better opportunity. Some sought wealth, others political freedom, others religious freedom. But think long and hard on this thought: People who willingly uproot themselves, their family, their connections; their historical homelands to journey to another land are a very uncommon and unique breed of “MEN”; they are men of great valor. The Exodus story, cited above, exemplifies this point: Moses, the shepherd, met with much trouble simply because Israel could not accommodate themselves to being uprooted and compelled to immigrate to a new land. In fact, they desired to kill Moses and negotiate with Pharaoh their re-internment into slavery. This lesson translates well into current history: People who voluntarily immigrate to improve their lives are leaders, people of faith whom God desires to shepherd the less courageous. America was initiated with such talent. In fact, she drained the earth of men of such gravity, and accordingly, she dominates all endeavors and pursuits to improve the planet. This is the reason, in part, to explain how her tree became so great. It may seem to an American that such a passion of immigration is a ubiquitous quality in the hearts of all men, but to the contrary, this quality in humanity is not a common impulse at all. Only small numbers

from the original homelands of the immigrants are willing to brave such a major change in life; the others instead desire to willfully remain behind as people frozen in inertia.

**[REVERSO: inertia : apathy, deadness, disinclination to move, drowsiness, idleness, immobility...If you have a feeling of inertia, you feel very lazy and unwilling to move or be active]**

Knowing that initiative to uproot oneself is the essence of leadership, we become empowered to discern the reason why above, in *Jer three*, the Lord promised to evangelize Israel and then to take—not all of them but—merely one of a family and two of a city and bring them to Zion. This signifies that not all of Israel that is to be redeemed will be rulers, only 144,000 of them. They will be relocated in the center of the capital of Palestine, Mt. Zion, in Jerusalem, the place where David called the sides of the north. He said, **“Beautiful for situation, the joy of the whole earth, is mount Zion, on the sides of the north, the city of the great King (the great king, the promised son of David)”**—Ps 48: 2. This point is reinforced by Bible history: Anciently the elders were taken from their homeland in Palestine, and much akin to a Washington DC congressman, they were brought to the capital to represent to the king the interests of their constituents back home: **“And the rulers of the people dwelt at Jerusalem: the rest of the people also cast lots, to bring one of ten to dwell in Jerusalem the holy city...And the people blessed all the MEN, that willingly offered themselves to dwell at Jerusalem.”**—Neh 11: 1.

But the point must not be lost for it translates well to the theological immigration that wins for a man rulership over cities. Knowing this quality of human nature, we can know that among those who have immigrated to this land, America, we can anticipate an abundant concentration of special talent which cannot be anticipated to prevail among those who were delivered here involuntarily. Next we must stretch ourselves beyond that conclusion and reach even higher by discerning that God loves all of humanity and appreciates all of their inherited talents. Hence, we have the mandate from Paul to be charitable (A mandate that, beforehand, the church erroneously distorted to mean to be loving). But many who have immigrated, upon revisiting their homeland, are stricken with the reality that their fellow countrymen from the old world, people whom they left behind, do not have the same quality of leadership, manhood, the quality of dominion which Father graced to Adam. Such is made manifest by the lower standard of living and the blithe in which the non-immigratory people happily abide. Thus, in America you have two basic groups of people: Those who have inherited leadership, and those who have not.

If the reader still has difficulty understanding this estimation of human spirituality, consider it like a marathon race. In such a race, the hordes of the untrained are easily dominated by the men skilled in long-distant running. To elongate the example so as to fit the discussion, they too will manifest a genetic ability to inherit to their children this, their talent. Hence, unless you are the promised branch to extend from the root of ancient David’s father, Jesse, unless you have been graced with the spirit of the Lord so that you have “the spirit of wisdom and understanding”, you will find yourself ill-equipped to explain the successes and the failures of the marathon contestants. The beam is still retained in your eye and you cannot fairly judge. You are likely to make a mockery of the hordes in the race that failed and give undue praise to those who have succeeded. Blacks in this land have distended from a people of random selection; they possess many other talents, some of which may not include financial, economic, social acuity. Resultantly, being ruled by shepherds with the proclivity to afflict, those amongst their numbers who have divergent skills are exploited or fleeced by the leaders, the woeful shepherds, because of their great zeal to be cruel.

Another example is in order to defend the Lord’s sheep. The land of England, the place of the Anglo Saxons whom David Baker celebrates, helps to make this point: It, like America, has a large immigration population; however, unlike America, all her immigrants, including her vast throngs of blacks from Jamaica, have voluntarily relocated themselves to that land in search of greater livelihood. My wife was brought to that very land from Jamaica to unite with her family at the age seven. 20 years later, I, an African American, journeyed there to marry her in her secondary homeland. The week before the wedding, acting as a naïve tourist, I often freely ventured the streets of Nottingham, many times by myself. I was shocked by the precautions I was given. The Jamaicans of my newlywed family were fearful of my safety amongst the indigenous white population. I was told to avoid groups of the young white men and be very careful of the panhandlers of the same description; I was warned of their

### *Star Trek and Kingdom Immigration*

criminal proclivity. This was a culture shock to me: the black Jamaicans enjoyed a good reputation; many of the native whites had one akin to that of Blacks in this country. What is even more puzzling, a phenomenon requiring inspired “wisdom and understanding”, is that anyone who has visited Jamaica knows that her streets are fraught with many crimes, much destitution, a vast amount of beggars, and great poverty. How do we account for this disparity? The only way is to understand the genetics of leadership: Progressive people immigrate in the search for a better life, the non-progressives, stay home and seek to live day to day by God’s graces and their own devices. They are people who, out of fear, are locked in human inertia.

Unlike the uncharitable judges amongst us, people of human inertia are not condemned by God; instead, He has always sought to protect them as children by giving to them shepherds, protectors who lead them to clear water, bountiful pasture, and protection from aggressors; in this sense, our governments are ordained by Him. Of ancient Israel, the Lord expressed this very theme for His people of OT times: He showed His past desires to protect the laity though He was opposed by their leaders, called Jerusalem. He said, *“O Jerusalem, Jerusalem, thou that killest the prophets, and stonest them which are sent unto thee, how often would I have gathered thy children together, even as a hen gathereth her chickens under her wings, and ye would not!”* —Matt 23: 37. Jerusalem was the elders; their constituency, true to the point of this study, are called her children. Today, He will greatly improve the yearnings of His flock to thrive by giving them pastors after His own heart, Davidian pastors.

Unfortunately, the shallow-minded antagonists, gripped with hostility and covetousness, will deploy for ill purposes the very wisdom of this news release and do this so as to forge a basis to justify discrimination against blacks in this land; they will do so based upon their now Bible-newly-affirmed status as sheep. This conclusion cannot be forged when careful analysis and wisdom prevails. How can any point to people of a darker complexion and non-Anglo features, as David Baker has so done with EGW, and argue that they are devoid of leadership qualities and should not be hired as managers when many generations have passed since they, Africans, have been deposited in this land? Not only must they not become the target of discrimination because none can tell for sure if some of the kidnapped slaves were indeed sons of leaders, but there has also been much interbreeding with the other men of immigration which has transferred talent but which has left the black features nearly intact. Simply put, why should we presume that, merely because he has copulated with an African, the Anglo Saxon has failed to genetically transfer his talents to the next generation? Such would be a racist statement which diminishes and impugns the genetic virility and capacity of the Anglo-Saxon people. Or, for that matter, why should we conclude that an African cannot likewise gift to the future generations the benefits of his God-given talents? Remember, leadership is not a quality of skin complexion or facial features—you cannot just look at pictures of EGW and claim that she has nothing to contribute and is a “born liar”—instead, we have learned from Genesis that leadership is a quality of genetics. One may be as black as midnight; yet, his ancestry may have distended from the genetic formula of divine dominion—be it from his red or yellow, black or white, potential, ancestral parentage. This means that, even though the Anglo Saxons may be skilled at engineering—to be sure other races likewise have that skill—a black man may have inherited, by his intermingled ancestry with Anglos, with the orient, with progressive Jamaicans, etc, the qualities of expert engineering along with the qualities of a pure heart. Hence you may have a dark-skinned scientist who has the power to solve the world’s suffering—without subterfugic aggression, a man like Booker T Washington or others. Not all men abide in the hole of the asp.

Accordingly, a great disservice is done—not just to blacks but—to America herself by allowing the continued exclusion of black people based upon their complexion and features. We are being cheated out of divinely graced talents. Affirmative action to preclude the predatory aggression of the ill-informed must continue as a liberal grace from the ‘good shepherds’, the men and women who will stand on the right of the soon-to-emerge king. If EGW was indeed a woman of African heritage, then she makes the point. This conclusion, after all, is the conclusion of the son of Man, the one who has embraced the will of the quintessential Man, The Father, when he separates the sheep from the goats

and rewards the true Christians and punishes the fanged, pretenders who, by fear and insecurity continue to poison the populous.

IN COLLUSION

This event is to occur today, the “last day”. It is not the day that Jesus is to return; it is the day when Christ returns, the day when He comes to

*Make up His Jewels*~~~~~. David Baker and his comrades may not appreciate the Lord’s sheep, but, as shown, he neither speaks for God nor are his opinions a reflection of the Lord’s heart. When we grow to understand that God has His own opinions then we will give to Him at least as much respect as we extend to each other and do so by recognizing that our thoughts are not His thoughts; our ways are not His ways; our opinions of human worth and value are not His opinions—unless we are true Davidians, men after the Lord’s own heart.

With this short discourse, we see the pretension that prevails in the SDA Church and with the antagonists of EGW. Skip Baker becomes an icon to represent all those who have united to leave the church in the 1980s under the guise of scriptural integrity. In him you have a depiction of a highly favored, member, in highest standing of the upper echelons of church management who left—not because he loves the Lord but—because he hates the way that Father loves; he hates the inclusion of black people in the plan of salvation. They are the men who are truly gripped with the erroneous, “shut-door” doctrine. Think of the duplicity: How does a man go from rejecting *Testimony-of-Jesus* validated, original-disciple authenticated, EGW articulated, scriptural doctrines of the *Shut Door* and the *Investigative Judgment* to the doctrines that Africans are inhuman beings who are eternally lost? The conclusion is inescapable: Like the Anglo Saxon war machine which Skip celebrates, they have not deployed their intellect in righteousness, and the void of their theological dementia is now exposed.

Yet, the SDA Church herself is not without culpability. They have pursued a long warfare against the Lord beginning with their opposition of VTH in the 1930’s. They too refused to broaden their understanding of the Bible by investigating all claims which blossomed within her ranks and her laity. They have been at war with the very essence of the concept of Mustard-Seed Theology, the theology that greatness grows from small things. Now, being inexperienced in climbing the ladder of truth, they have become handcuffed by the sophistry of deceivers. Yesterday, the Lord did not, all at once, reveal the entirety of His evidence to defend the truth. To the contrary, as declared in *Matt 11*, He allowed the devil to play his hand, to bring forth his insidious objections, and because Father wisely hid much of His buttressing evidence from the wise and prudent, including the prophets, He can now reveal those things unto the meek, the babes. This tactic has exposed the folly of EGW’s detractors. He sent reinforcement of yesterday’s light through his unpopular channels to test the hearts of men. Now, because they, the SDA Church, reject the light of the *mustard seed*, they cannot even defeat a simple argument which proclaims the exclusion of some humans from salvation.

Skip Baker affirms and the Lord said, “**I am not sent but unto the lost sheep of the house of Israel**”—*Matt 15: 24—Ezek 34* shows His fulfillment of that purpose, but, that statement, contrary to its superficial rendering, excludes no human from salvation. This, the church can never discern because they reject the light of the hour, they are all at a loss to understand. They are befuddled because, as shown in the first part of this release, Jesus is a separate and distinct person from Christ. Christ, while invisibly resting in Jesus, spoke through Him for the duration of His, Jesus’ ministry, and Jesus was afterwards sent to all nations, including Africa. He gave His gentile ministry authority over the planet, even over Israel, until the day when Christ fulfills His commission to gather His own. This is the day when He exclaims: “**Behold, I, even I** (not Jesus or anyone else) **will both search my sheep, and seek them out**”—*Ezek 34: 11*. It is no wonder that there is a movement within the church to eternally banish from salvation the emergence of Jerusalem, the Lord’s lost sheep. But, looking forward to the day of their salvation, the Lord, while speaking through the lips of Jesus, said of them, “**O Jerusalem, Jerusalem, thou that killest the prophets...Behold, your house is left unto you desolate. For I say unto you, Ye shall not see me henceforth, till ye shall say, Blessed is he** (the son of Man, the *mustard seed*) **that cometh in the name of the Lord**”—*Matt 23: 37-39*. The very fact that this debate rages in the hearts of men, all whom Father loves, the very fact that the humanity of blacks is a point of contention

### *Star Trek and Kingdom Immigration*

points to American blacks as the chastened of God who have the house of desolation. Hence, the wise will look to them as the proverbial Jerusalem; they will not look elsewhere. They will not anticipate the opposite; they will not presume that the righteous leaders of Israel are to emerge from a pristine, well-established and empowered house, Babylon the Great. Thankfully, the Lord will keep His word. Though, at the end of the age, Jesus will come so that every eye shall see; beforehand, Christ will honor His word and invisibly return and rest upon the son of David, then He will rescue His own! When, when will He do this?! “When He cometh, when He cometh, to make up His jewels.” **“*And they shall be mine, saith the Lord of hosts, in that day when I make up my jewels; and I will spare them, as a man spareth his own son that serveth him*”**—Mal 3: 17.

Christ will make His trek from the stars to the earth and will begin to win for all humanity salvation, but He will begin by making up His jewels, by gathering Jerusalem. They, 144,000 of them, will harvest the earth from the new headquarters of the earth, Palestine, and after educating them all in the Lord and allowing them to learn of Him, Jesus will make His visible trek to the earth to take us to heaven. Let us all now turn our hearts to the Lord so that we can receive Him today.

As part of the closing section of this newsletter, 14.2, a reply from another correspondent must be inserted. This person likewise received newsletter 14.1; however, he quickly dropped its appeal upon examining one of the doctrines contained therein. He wrote the following:

*“I was interested in the information below, but then visited your page and found this:”*

***“Do you believe that, though hated in the world, the Sons of America’s former slaves represent the people of the Bible called Jerusalem, the leaders of Israel yesterday who paid double for their sins, soon must become the people of our first-fruit harvest, aka, the 144,000?”***

*“This teaching is not compatible with E.G. White’s teachings. Why are you doing this? Don.”*—Don Riley, Sat 4-5-2014, 12:35 PM.

As thoroughly explained in 14.1 and many other of the MSC’s publications, the *mustard seed* heeds EGW’s appeal to never use her work to test doctrine, to supersede the Bible, to resolve doctrinal disputes. The MSC further shows that the mission to turn back to the Bible to secure all doctrines is her most dominant theme. More so than the *Sabbath*, *Vegetarianism*, the *Three Angel’s Message*, etc.—she resoundingly hammers home this theme. Barely a page can be turned without hearing this mandate echoed from her pen. Those who ignore this signature appeal from her are the unfaithful ones, the men and women destined to lose their salvation. Therefore, the above quote from the Baptismal Vows of the MSA cannot be cross examined by the questioner’s logic. On my website, I have more than 80 quotes from EGW which cites her mandate to never measure the Bible by her work. Below I will cite just a few:

***“No one can search the Scriptures in the spirit of Christ without being rewarded. When man is willing to be instructed as a little child, when he submits wholly to God, he will find the truth in His word. If men would be obedient, they would understand the plan of God’s government. The heavenly world would open its chambers of grace and glory for exploration. Human beings would be altogether different from what they now are, for by exploring the mines of truth men would be ennobled. The mystery of redemption, the incarnation of Christ, His atoning sacrifice, would not be as they are now, vague in our minds. They would be not only better understood, but altogether more highly appreciated.”***—Ellen G. White, *Christ’s Object Lessons*, 114:1

***“God’s Holy, educating Spirit is in His word. A light, a new and precious light, shines forth from every page. Truth is there revealed, and words and sentences are made bright and appropriate for the occasion, as the voice of God speaking to the soul. The words of truth will grow in importance, and assume a breadth and fullness of meaning of which we have never dreamed. The beauty and riches of the word have a transforming influence on mind and character. The light of heavenly love will fall upon the heart as an inspiration.”***  
—Ellen G. White, *Christ’s Object Lessons*, 132.

***“The Spirit was not given –nor can it ever be bestowed– to supersede the Bible; for the Scriptures explicitly state that the Word of God is the standard by which all teaching and experience must be tested. . . . Isaiah declares, ‘To the law and to the testimony: if they speak not according to this***

**word, it is because there is no light in them' (Isa. 8:20). ”**

—Ellen G. White, *Great Controversy*, Introduction, p. vii, also 3 Selected Messages 30.5

Many more such references can be supplied; indeed, the MSC, eager to pursue its own chronicles, stopped listing such references nearly eight years ago. It does not need to, as an honorable saint of heaven and as a respectful student of EGW's divine inspiration, validate its biblical findings pertaining to Jerusalem from her work. Indeed, the notion that Jerusalem, mentioned often in the Bible, is many times making reference to a people and not a literal city is, in itself, an original finding of the *mustard seed*. See *Matt 23* cited above, “***O Jerusalem, Jerusalem, thou that killest the prophets, and stonest them which are sent...***” to illustrate this point. Yet, in addition to much of the proof given already in this letter, I will close with the below quote from EGW. This I will do—not to violate her creed and establish doctrine from her work but—to show the writer's ignorance to that which she indeed did write. As a prelude to that statement (other such statements were already supplied above), a brief background is necessary. During the 1960's the social and political culture in America was in an upheaval. Then, blacks had the audacity to demand inclusion into the leadership roles of the church. As a result, the SDA Church, in a spirit of compliance, liberalized many of its policies, and they did more: To show that their founders were not racists they published EGW's work recorded nearly a century ago, writings to her son Edison. Edison made it his mission, in the late 1890s to evangelize the “the colored” people of the south. Her encouraging letters to him were hidden by the publishers. In fact, Uriah Smith, it was shown, threw her work entitled, *Southern Works* in the trash. Luckily, a more respectful janitor recovered it giving to us many jewels of truth including the following statement:

**“There are souls among the colored race that can be reached, and the very kind of labor which their circumstances require should be put forth, that they may be saved. When these souls are converted to the truth, they will become partakers of the divine nature, and will go forth to rescue their fellow men, to lead those who are in darkness into light. They can be helped in their low estate, and in their turn can contribute to the good of others”**

—Ellen G. White, *Southern Works*, 29.

To expand upon this statement so as to apply it to the 144,000, one needs to first understand the full mission assigned to them. Without repeating, in this letter, that which has been written in prior publications, suffice it to say, that they are the ones who become partakers of the divine nature. They receive the cloak, the righteousness of Christ, and, installed with that authority and power, they save the world, just as EGW clearly states. For more on this topic, I refer you to many of the articles published on the website. The article entitled, *Mock Racism and the Superbowl of Truth* is a good starting point. My book, *From Beyond the Rivers of Ethiopia, My Suppliants*, is another, *144,000 and Victory from Psychosis*, etc. Sadly, statements such as the above one from her work yields a departure of many from the faith, the very men who now pretend to object to her work by dissemblance and camouflage. This is the very reason why we had the upheaval in the church in the 1980s. It was a backlash to the inclusion of blacks in leadership, a backlash to giving them the face of manhood and status as sons of God.

---

More letters could have been inserted into this news release; however, for the sake of brevity, I must prepare this document for release. May you, the reader, dutifully share this document with those whom you love and influence by forwarding to them the broadcast of this letter, with the link attached, to it.

Sincerely,

Derek West