

**“THE THIRD ANGEL’S CIRCUMCISION”**

**Caption:** “ *Spiritual Circumcision is the spiritual power of champions in faith; it is the power to reverse the impulse of the heart and to make mid-course changes in direction. Such heart circumcision by Three-Angel-Messages-receiving Adventists is honored by heaven because it proves our meekness and our humility. In fact, the practical knowledge that the Lord will lead us in His path, a direction which seems to violate yesterday’s light, a trail which requires that we follow Him whithersoever He, the Lamb, goeth, is the final seal of our triumph...*”

*Monthly Dispatch*



October 16-2013

**Section I: Unholy Nose Smoke**

Dear Ari Kondas,(Pseudonym)

■Someone by the name of Sherry Bresica, a sister with whose work I am unfamiliar, wrote to you regarding the issues of our discourse, and you in turn published it with your reply. My follow-up response, this letter, pertains to you and your comments to Sherry; it does not pertain to Sherry. Consider it another melodious and lyrical note of the cock’s crow to awaken you so that you, and all who read this reply, may be ashamed of your prior denials of the Lord and correspondingly become converted to receive the impending morning light. Before unleashing upon you the full resonance of its harmonious tune, I must say that I cannot keep up with your multiple pseudonyms; therefore, consistency of record mandates that I deny your request to change your pseudonym and that I reply to you using your well-established name, Ari Kondas or Ari.

Sherry’s comments, words, seemingly given without a theological bias, appear to resonate in truth and accordingly become useful for all who seek to gain better health. A portion of which she wrote is as follows:

*“... Your body simply doesn't have a way to deal [with] these chemicals and it cannot metabolize them. So they end up as acidic wastes, taxing your liver, accumulating in your blood, organs and tissues and making you toxic, sick & fat. So if you feel bad, are taking medications and are overweight (be honest now), then...a likely reason is that you are taking in a LOT of food additives..... Isn't that right? The truth is, the human digestive system is designed to consume REAL food -- fresh fruits and vegetables, whole grains and lean meats, poultry and fish. That's what our bodies depend on for nourishment, proper digestion, elimination of wastes and maintaining a good acid-alkaline balance. Humans have eaten these foods in their natural states for thousands of years...until recently, of course.”*  
—Sherry Bresica, Mon Aug 26, 2013, 12: 15 AM, color-green emphasis and parenthesis belong to her E-mail.

Sherry wisely included the consumption of flesh as being a component of the proper diet. However, to promote the non-biblical doctrine of vegetarianism, to violate the thrust of the SOP whereby we are commanded to resolve all doctrinal disputes by the Bible, to dominate the church with your private theology, you make the following claim by altering Sherry’s words:

*“ The truth is, the human digestive system is designed to consume REAL food -- fresh fruits and vegetables, whole grains. That's what our bodies depend on for nourishment, proper digestion, elimination of wastes and maintaining a good acid-alkaline balance. Humans have eaten these foods in their natural states for thousands of years...until recently, of course.”*—Ari Kondas’ E-mail reply to Sherry’s appeal, Sunday, August 25, 2013, 10: 26PM

Simply by eliminating from Sherry's dietary assessment the essential place of flesh, you greatly alter her message pertaining to good health. In this, your reply, laced with the bias of your discredited, theological advocacy, you advance a new gospel, that of *Bio-Physio, Anthropological Theology*. In your opening salvo to your new philosophy, your claim of man's historical diet and its accompanying health effects is far from the truth. Neither science, nor Bible—not even the SOP— can support your insertion of vegetarianism into Sherry's claim. The fact of the matter is that the vegan diet (a loosely deployed, short-handed term used in this letter for vegetarian), the EG-White-inherited underpinning of your bias, when it is practiced without hypocrisy or “cheating”—a rarity in the SDA world— requires that the vegan-diner use some of the very additives and supplements which Sherry decries. By a vegan forcing upon himself such nutrient deficiencies, they actually legitimize the work of the bio-chemical food industry. Ironic indeed it is that the people who decry unnatural food additives are the ones who need them the most. Their vegan diets are devoid of many of the essential components to health such as vitamin B-12, trace minerals, iron, along with a whole stream of proteins and amino acids, etc.

This reply was not intended to be a research paper; so I will not give a complete list of all the nutrients that are missing in the diet of the devoted vegan. I will merely discuss

***The Most Dire Aspect of Vegetarianism.***~~~~~. The starkest and most striking deficiency of the vegan diet is worse than malnutrition, and it must be brought to the forefront of this discussion. It is not a malady that can be cured by the lab technician's additives—unless he supplies his diners with intoxicants—for it is a spiritual or ethereal quality. It is summarized in the joy of eating, the thing which drives all to work hard so as to receive its anticipated benefits. This pleasure cannot be excluded from the Lord's design. Such euphoria, such a “natural high” cannot be minimized—especially by a people, Adventists, whose claim it is to be rooted in the spiritual dimension of man. Flesh, more so than any other food, brings to our lives much joy. Speaking of *Bio-Physio Anthropology*, why do you think that historically the entire world has celebrated achievements, weddings, holidays, commemorations, victories, etc, by the consumption of flesh? Ancient Israel was no exception. David's celebration of the return of the Ark expresses this point: “**14 And David danced before the Lord with all his might...19 and he dealt among all the people, even among the whole multitude of Israel, as well to the women as men, to every one a cake of bread, and a good piece of flesh**” —2Sam 6: 14, 19. Of course the men of the world today would do better if they limited their diets to biblically-defined, clean meats. But that aside, to see the Lord's point in the matter, David's celebration, though useful to me, a Davidian, by all means should not be construed to be the Bible's only example. Consider His commanded commemoration to Israel's freedom from Egyptian slavery (Passover). Also, consider the Lord's promised celebration for the day when the prodigal son is to return from his years of destitution, etc. Likewise consider the flip side of the coin: Consider Israel's resultant misery in the wilderness when they—being ignorant of the Lord's deeper purpose— repined to Moses because of their lack of flesh. With all this evidence, the question must be asked, How do Adventists, a people also now deemed ignorant of the Lord's deeper purposes, overcome their wilderness-wondering frustrations so as to celebrate? How do they express their joy, their euphoria? Indeed! —to opt for the resolution which Sherry laments—they turn to the white-coated, lab technician and implore him to conjure for them fake meat in his Dr. Frankenstein-styled, beaker-and-test-tube-filled laboratory.

Perhaps a better question is, have Adventists, since 1844, had a reason to celebrate? They have not, and for good, spiritual reason made apparent to those amongst them who discern the Lord's deeper purpose: From 1844 until recently, that period of time, was prophetically intended to be a period of solemnity—not jubilation. But, that very period makes the point: To commemorate the *Antitypical Day of Atonement*, the day which began heaven's judgment, the Bible mandated that they, Laodicea, the highest church, the people ordained to commemorate the judgment, afflict their souls on that day: “**And this shall be a statute for ever unto you...ye shall afflict your souls...whether it be one of your own country, or a stranger that sojourneth among you**” —Lev 16: 29. To appreciate this point in fullness, remember our *Three-Angels'* call to judgment, a point more carefully expounded below. For now, to put it simply, in the type, the church, by Divine edict and so as to sacrificially honor heaven's efforts to make His church AT ONE with God, heaven commanded them to make themselves more

## The Mustard Seed Advent, Newsletter, 13: VIII, Fall edition

miserable. Correspondingly, the same sacrifice must be deployed in our day, in the antitype, the day from 1844 until present. Let us not be confused in our customs: We were called to an hour—not to an eternity—of misery, self-affliction, so as to honor an hour of solemnity. This is why heaven inspired EGW to install vegetarianism. But there has been a transition in soul affliction. The *mustard seed*, in its paper, *Atonement and Amazingly Sufficient Grace*, explains the transition of affliction from vegetarianism to another “soul affliction”, the fast that Christ—not Jesus—has elected. He calls us to the fast of charity for the prodigal son, the sons of America’s former slaves which EGW, by citing *Isa 58*, pointed to us:

**“Some plead their poor health—they would love to do if they had strength. Such have so long shut themselves up to themselves, and thought so much of their own poor feelings, and talked so much of their sufferings, trials, and afflictions, that it is their present truth. They can think of no one but self, however much others may be in need of sympathy and assistance. You who are suffering with poor health, there is a remedy for you (What remedy? vegetarianism, of course not!). *If thou clothe the naked, and bring the poor that are cast out to thy house, and deal thy bread to the hungry, ‘then shall thy light break forth as the morning, and thine health shall spring forth speedily.’* Doing good is an excellent remedy for disease. Those who engage in the work are invited to call upon God, and He has pledged Himself to answer them. Their soul shall be satisfied in drought, and they shall be like a watered garden, whose waters fail not”**—EGW, *Testimonies for the Church*, Vol 2, p 29.1; parenthesis added (scriptural reference belongs to quote).

She says more. Many of her references which show that in the final work, our Gospel commission will be taken **“out of the common order of things”** can be found in the articles entitled, *Vegetarianism, a Fallen Fortress*, Parts I & II. I invite all to examine them on the MSA’s website ([mustardseedadvent.com](http://mustardseedadvent.com)) to peruse at least those articles. Short of that, at least examine the below statement imploring us to reassess our original fast, formally installed after 1844 under Jesus’ now-withdrawn administration, and embrace the new soul affliction, the one that Christ (the Spirit who spoke through Jesus) has now installed:

**“... I have been shown that those who refuse these opportunities for doing good will hear from Jesus: ‘As ye did it not to one of the least of these, ye did it not to Me. Please read Isaiah 58:’ ”**

**“*‘Is it such a fast that I have chosen? a day for a man to afflict his soul? is it to bow down his head as a bulrush, and to spread sackcloth and ashes under him? wilt thou call this a fast, and an acceptable day to the Lord? Is not this the fast that I have chosen? to loose the bands of wickedness, to undo the heavy burdens, and to let the oppressed go free, and that ye break every yoke?... Then shall thy light break forth as the morning, and thine health shall spring forth speedily...’ ”***

**“This is the special work now before us. ALL OUR PRAYING AND ABSTINENCE FROM FOOD WILL AVAIL NOTHING unless we resolutely lay hold of this work. Sacred obligations are resting upon us. Our duty is plainly stated. The Lord has spoken to us by His prophet.”**—EGW, *Testimonies for the Church*, Vol 2, p, 33, 34; (scriptural reference belongs to quote).

So you see, to emphasize vegetarianism in a day when the *Three Angels’ Messages* calls for a change to charity, will avail the church of nothing. Truly, Adventists have had no reason to celebrate, and they seek to multiply their misery by doing that which today can be considered a vain endeavor, the removal of clean flesh from their diets. They, the strict vegan, cannot even project forward with delightful memories of the Thanksgiving meal. Instead, all they have to look forward to is a meal comprised of a manufactured, bio-chemically engineered turkey—just like brother Hall’s plastic turkey described in a recent newsletter. And this, many of them do, while they decry the proliferation of processed foods in their diets. Adventists resultantly have no embedded commemoration of joy in their hearts from their youth. There is a serious ramification which has developed from their lives devoid of dietary delight, and this is it: This is why the Bible condemns Adventists of suffering from severe spiritual depression—not the least of which is the malady of misery. In their misery they need this letter of analysis for their misery has psychological implication: They are not even aware of their wretchedness. The Lord says, **“...*I will spue thee out of my mouth. Because thou sayest, I am rich, and increased with goods, and have need of nothing; and knowest not that thou art wretched, and miserable...*”**—Rev 3: 16, 17.

When reading the Bible with the intensity which the Lord intended and commanded, when absorbing every word therein contained, we can discern that the Lord Himself, Christ, experienced the reversal of depression by means of a flesh diet. Accordingly, He receives the same euphoria, the high, the antidote to wretchedness and misery which comes merely by the fantasy, the anticipated consumption

### [The Third Angel's Circumcision, Oct- 16-2013](#)

of flesh. Evidently, our creation after His likeness pertains to our spirituality as well. We, those of us who claim His righteousness, are literally His “soul brothers”. Thankfully today, like never before, we affirm at least as much; we can discern His name, His identity and character by virtue of the revelations of His anointed, the promised son of David, now amongst us. For the *mustard seed* opens the Bible and adds strong meat —no pun intended— to this discussion. We can now know that, nearly 4300 years ago, Christ became overwhelmed with deep depression and regret that He had even made man. So miserable did man make the Lord that He destroyed the world by a flood. Afterwards, His wrath was only turned by Noah’s sacrificial barbeque whereby he offered up to the Lord the aroma of clean flesh on the fire. The Bible says, “***And Noah builded an altar unto the Lord; and took of every clean beast, and of every clean fowl, and offered burnt offerings on the altar. And the Lord smelled a sweet savour; and the Lord said in his heart, I will not again curse the ground any more for man’s sake; for the imagination of man’s heart is evil from his youth; neither will I again smite any more every thing living, as I have done***” —Gen 8: 20, 21.

Ari, I must ask, how do you cure your depression? I hope that it is not by holding fast to the delusion of the expired vegan discipline nor to your embrace of your newly installed *Bio-Physio-Anthropological Theology* for such can never uplift your spirit. In those disciplines, you are forced to foster lies, instigated by hell, such as the one released by you when you said, in your above-mentioned E-mail, that man’s health has historically been enhanced by a vegan diet. You have been told several times that the Lord created man with a flesh-digesting organ, a gall bladder. All carnivores/omnivores have that organ; no herbivores were created with it. Thus, by your spurious doctrine, you insult the righteousness of Christ. After the creation, He pronounced His work to be good. But you, by your dark gospel, pronounce Him to have made a mistake by installing within man a useless, flesh-digesting organ, one that cows, sheep, horses, etc. were never given. This is why such a doctrine is so dire: It leaves you at hazard and without a cure.

Jesus, in Rev 2: 17, promised the Christian overcomer the solution to your dire malignity; He promised, in the day of victory, new doctrine which will reverse your misery, this He called

**Hidden Manna.**~~~~~. The Lord also spoke of this during His testimony: He told the disciples, “...***I have meat to eat that ye know not of***” —John 4: 32. It is this meat which I have offered as a final point of encouragement to Davidia and to you. But, pride, hardness of heart —your most fundamental problem— has eroded from you the qualities of salvation, meekness and humility. You do not want to acknowledge that you are wrong even if, by your continued stubborn stance, you, in turn, indict the righteousness of Christ. You have been trained as I have been trained: to be an upstanding Adventist who embraces the *Spirit of Prophecy* (SOP). Counterintuitive to that objective, you have missed the central jewel contained within her work, the lesson that this movement will only succeed as does the camel in his passage through the needle’s eye, by divestiture of all of his load, yesterday’s doctrines. Such is a SOP-and-Bible-validated theme that I call *Justification by Nullification*, the spiritual quality made manifest in all gallant Bible movements will yield for you this manna. It is the spiritual power of champions in faith; it is the power to reverse the impulse of the heart and to make mid-course changes in direction. Such heart circumcision by *Three-Angel-Messages*-receiving Adventists is honored by heaven because it proves our meekness and our humility. In fact, the practical knowledge that the Lord will lead us in His path, a direction which seems to violate yesterday’s light, a trail which requires that we follow Him whithersoever He, the Lamb, goeth, is the final seal of our triumph. This theme, though hidden from our eyes until now, is dominant throughout the Bible. Consider some examples:

- 1) **Camel passage through the needle’s eye as a requisite for Kingdom entry** —See Matt 19: 24. **Anciently, to enter into a city, a traveler was required to unpack his camel so that he, the camel, and his luggage could enter through the narrow portal. This illustrates our similar passage into salvation.**
- 2) **The *Pearl of Great Price*, you must acquire it by selling all of your former acquisitions.**
- 3) **The *Parable of the Talents*, the Nobleman, Christ, at His departure, gives His servants, money, doctrine, and promises to return. At His return, He reprimands the wicked for not doing what**

## The Mustard Seed Advent, Newsletter, 13: VIII, Fall edition

the righteous servant did with His “talents”: He condemns them for holding on to His money and for not risking it or investing it to receive a greater increase.

- 4) The rich young ruler—he, to gain perfection, was required to sell all that he had. His wealth represents yesterday’s Adventist doctrines, the old manna.
- 5) The *Ordinance of Humility*, in our communion service, we must remove our socks and shoes so that the Lord can wash our feet.
- 6) The illustration of *Manna in the Wilderness*—today you gather it and consume it; tomorrow it is of no use; it spoils.
- 7) The symbolic *Ordinance of Circumcision*—the head covering that God installed over the penis—even though it was inspired by His creation—must, to accommodate maturity, be removed, painful though it may be.
- 8) The saying of the Lord, in answer to the question, why did not His disciples fast: “**Can the children of the bridechamber mourn, as long as the bridegroom is with them? but the days will come, when the bridegroom shall be taken from them, and then shall they fast**” —Matt 9: 15.
- 9) The Lord’s admonition of new wine or, in other words, wine that is not intoxicating or doctrines that are made plain: “**Neither do men put new wine into old bottles: else the bottles break...but they put new wine into new bottles, and both are preserved**” —Matt 9: 17. Bottle breakage is also a metaphor; bottles represent the purveyors of doctrine, the ministers. We are to expect new doctrines that will meet with opposition from yesterday’s ministry, the “old wine” bottles.

**SIDE BAR<sup>1</sup>:** *Definition of Doctrinal Nullification/It is the legitimate relinquishment of a well-established doctrine, one embraced as an inspired and revealed tenet of faith given to discern righteousness. It is a doctrine that has beforehand been temporarily established for the benefit of the church but has outlived its purpose and requires disavowal because advanced teachings eclipse it in application. Caution must be taken to define doctrine because, though it may have been expressed in the writings of a New-Testament prophet, if the doctrine was never understood or taught, it must be considered as hidden until the day of its revelation and therefore, it cannot be considered a doctrine subject to nullification. —Derek W. West, mustard seed*

You have heard the expression, “holier than thou”. Contrary to our historic application of that expression, it is not a condemning reference to saints who judge other saints. Instead, it was given to describe—not our demeanor of judgment to the other religious institutions of the world, but—the SDA Church’s demeanor to the Lord Himself. They unwittingly arrogate to themselves a higher standard of holiness, vegetarianism, than the holy diet which the Lord, by His law, has installed and which He, today, seeks to reinstall. After all, He defined His law which allows the consumption of clean meats as His holiness. He said,

“**For I am the Lord that bringeth you up out of the land of Egypt, to be your God: ye shall therefore be holy, for I am holy. This is the law of the beasts...To make a difference between the unclean and the clean, and between the beast that may be eaten and the beast that may not be eaten**” —Lev 11: 45-47.

“**...ye shall not walk in the manners of the nations...for they committed all these things, and therefore I abhorred them....Ye shall therefore put difference between clean beasts and unclean...ye shall be holy unto me: for I the Lord am holy, and have severed you from other people, that ye should be mine**” —Lev 20: 23, 25, 26.

Instead of compliance, SDA vegetarians purvey hostility. In their arrogance in this regard, they no longer become a delight unto Him; instead, by their self-perceived holiness, they become a smoke in His nose. He said,

“**<sup>2</sup>I have spread out my hands all the day unto a rebellious people (The MSA’s plea to His SDA, gentile, ministry), which walketh in a way that was not good, after their own thoughts. <sup>3</sup>A people that provoketh me to anger continually to my face...<sup>5</sup>Which say, Stand by thyself, come not near to me: for I am holier than thou. These are a smoke in my nose, a fire that burneth all the day. <sup>6</sup>Behold, it is written before me: I will not keep silence, but will recompense, even recompense into their bosom....<sup>8</sup>Thus saith the Lord, As the new wine is found in the cluster, and one saith, Destroy it not:**

**for a blessing is in it: so will I do for my servants' sakes, that I may not destroy them all. <sup>9</sup>And I will bring forth a seed out of Jacob, and out of Judah an inheritor of my mountains: and mine elect shall inherit it, and my servants shall dwell there”** —Isa 65: 2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9.

The seed of Jacob is the triumphant inheritor —this theme will have much more resonance as we proceed into the newsletter. For now we must know that today, if you hear His voice, harden not your hearts. You must determine to cease from your rebellion. Let the re-installation of the Lord's holiness, the embrace of His table defined in *Lev 11* become your new manna. It will cure your deep depression as you take upon yourself the fast that He has opted for His people. Let this letter serve you as it served Peter in the type yesterday: In your experience of denial, let this letter become as your second warning broadcast, the second crow of the cock, to awaken you to the imminent break of dawn, the coming rise of the Lord's light just as it rose upon ancient Jacob after he battled with Christ at Peniel. As it stands now, you are not a dietary practitioner to His delight; you are a rebel, a smoke unto His nose.

## **SECTION II: Reveille, Peter's Triumph**

In the past two newsletters, the issue of *Dress Code* was thoroughly and resoundingly enmeshed in new light from the MSA. It was new wine for the non-intoxicated bibbers to seize upon. It was also the bankers usury purveyed to the righteous so as to multiply their unburied and invested talents. It was the ordained scissors prepared to fully remove the divinely-installed foreskin so that their “heads” may shed Laodicean misery and receive full cleanliness and joy. In essence, we showed that righteousness must not be defined by Anglo-centric ethics harbored in the hearts of yesterday's divinely-appointed prophets and, more specifically, much of the requirements imposed upon female dress reflect, instead of a Bible ethic, an adopted or gentile-inherited ethic. It expressed that adult females should enjoy the same right as adult males under the Bible ethic of equity. It showed that women vary in their dimensions of beauty and that it is inequitable and oppressive, when dealing with their happiness, to allow some women to flash acceptable dimensions of their beauty while others, required to conceal their legs, are disadvantaged by standards of dress. After all, legs, like facial features, hair, height, smiles, voices, etc., can be quite alluring to men making their revelation a source of joy for both.

Other points were also put forth and were so done because the *mustard seed* believes that men and women in the church are to receive parity on this side of the Lord's Kingdom and it vociferously denounces any who teach that either males, females, free or bond must wait until the resurrection to receive their

**Sexual Equality after the Grave**~~~~~This third discourse on the issue of *dress reform* will show that this newly found freedom comes with reform in church leadership. Such is represented, this section will show, by the antics of ancient Peter as he, in the antitype advances to his day of promised conversion. Reverberations continue from the jolt of this reformation pertaining to our dress code. It is indeed just as our prophets have promised it to be: **“the Lord will work in this last work in a manner very much out of the common order of things”** —EGW, *Evangelism*, 118; also TM, 300. Suffice it to say that Jesus and Christ suffered on Calvary; Jesus died to free both men and women, and His sacrifice should not be minimized by the addition of new crosses for the saints to bear —whether they be male or female. Men today ought not to depend upon the supernatural powers of women, their ability or faithfulness to cover themselves so that every alluring, divinely-installed quality gifted to them by God is concealed. By so pursuing or vesting their salvation in the power of another, a proverbial Eve, they, the Lord's appointed leaders, deny to themselves the fullness of His victory placed before them. This counsel is needed because they, unwittingly, rely upon her willingness or her fidelity to sacrifice her own happiness so that their freedom from all sin and temptation can be wrought. The thinking can be summarized as follows: If women cover their beauty, then I can be made strong in the Lord; hence, my righteousness is vested in Eve. Simple logic in this matter causes us to reflect upon the prayer which Jesus commissioned for His disciples to pray when He taught them to request of Father —not Eve— **“lead us not into temptation; but deliver us from evil.”** If such a prayer were to be answered by concealing from men the allure of women, then men in prison would be our spiritual champions. Instead, they fall deeper into sin and into evil. From this we can learn that, instead of

## The Mustard Seed Advent, Newsletter, 13: VIII, Fall edition

seeking to be shielded from beauty, men must instead forge such a victory by the power of their own connection to Christ. It is wrong to demand that women offer themselves as an additional sacrifice, so that men in church can avoid distraction and receive salvation. If men so easily lose their focus on the Lord, then they must assume responsibility for their own weakness: Eve cannot again be blamed for Adam's failures.

As He did yesterday, Christ will do so today: He will call Adam's name and demand of him an explanation for his violations. This is the essence of manhood: Yet, Tony Hall, in an obvious retort to the MSC's pronouncement declaring that men and women are equal in the Lord and that dress codes for women and none for men is the fruits of inequity and injustice, wrote the following announcement:

*Beloved Brethren,*

*"One of our sisters will be making the presentation this Friday night. The subject line gives you a small glimpse of what will be unfolded. Although this subject is sensitive, it is one that has to be seen in its proper setting according to the Rod's point of view, prior to the restoration of God's Kingdom."*

*"So, after the woman sinned she fell under the government of man. But that which Eve lost by deception, shall be restored by redemption. Thus again the equality of both shall be established as kings and queens. Therefore, 'There is neither male nor female in Christ Jesus.' Christ himself confirms the idea in the following expression: 'or when they shall rise from the dead, they neither marry, nor are given in marriage, but are as the angels which are in heaven.' 2 SR p. 168."*

*"This does not speak of 'equality' as certain women's movements do, It does show God's ideal will for the entire race, and His people Israel in particular. As such it is a vital subject and requires our attention." —E-mail, Tony Hall, Wed 8/28/2013 7:53 PM.*

Interesting indeed is his conclusion. Brother Hall, gives to us a new doctrine, *Sexual Equality after the Grave*. So as to justify his sexism and oppression of women, he cited the Lord's statement: "**For in the resurrection they neither marry, nor are given in marriage, but are as the angels of God in heaven**" —Matt 22: 30 — and hopes for us to study his oppression from that vantage point. In this statement by the Lord, He is clearly expressing criteria for a special group of people, those who have died, for only can such saints become resurrected. All must now be braced with this discussion for resurrection is central to the *Three Angels' Messages*: Immediately after they sound their themes of enlightenment, a blessing is promised upon those "patient saints" who keep the faith of Jesus. Tony's ministry does not promote such faith for, contrary to his insinuation, there is no promise of kingship/queenship purveyed upon that class, the resurrected saints. Furthermore, the context tells us that it is only the people who are to come forward in the resurrection who are to be categorized as the angels, people who do not marry, for this, Christ's statement, cited by Brother Hall, was made in order to answering the question, "...**in the resurrection whose wife shall she be of the seven?**" In Tony's deployment of this Scripture to justify his bigotry, his Peter-esque refusal to feed the Lord's sheep, he suggests that women who are alive at the coming of the Lord's Kingdom either must die to win equality or must eternally suffer under bigotry. Otherwise, such women today, again women who will live in this time of perfect freedom, cannot receive the Lord's promise to win life uninterrupted by death.

With *Testimony-of-Jesus* validation, Paul defeats Tony's doctrine of female oppression. He does so when speaking of the Kingdom "cloud" of the saints, their proverbial ascension above the plight of sinful humanity —indeed, their ascension above death— says, "...**the dead in Christ shall rise first: Then we which are alive and remain shall be caught up together with them in the clouds, to meet the Lord in the air: and so shall we ever be with the Lord**" —1Thes 4: 16, 17. (For more on the "cloud" see *Atonement and Amazingly Sufficient grace*, 30-Nov-2008.) Instead of this glorious outcome for women, Tony insinuates that all must first die, then be resurrected, to receive their equity. Meanwhile, no such restriction on men is given to us by him; they have no dress code but are free to dress themselves and still anticipate life without death. This obnoxious doctrine, made applicable to those whose hope it is to win life without ever tasting death —the vast majority of the saints to be saved who shall comprise the first and second fruits— is an abomination which all must now decry for it defies the Lord's masterful and genius creation in Eden. It is a doctrine unworthy of any Davidian —men after the Lord's own heart— for a Davidian, an Adventist who models himself after ancient king David, must

### *The Third Angel's Circumcision, Oct- 16-2013*

acknowledge the glory of the human body. David himself proclaimed: **“I will praise thee; for I am fearfully and wonderfully made: marvelous are thy works; and that my soul knoweth right well”** —Ps 139: 14. Contrary to this.

The *mustard seed* yearns to meet personally with Tony so as to mend his ways and correct his errors. This yearning has been communicated by

*Past Letters of Appeal*~~~~~On several occasions, the *mustard seed* has proposed to discourse with Tony and his audience during his phone-in studies. The last of which was sent recently to answer his seemingly earnest appeal for the same:

Brother Hall's invitation:

To: *Derek West*

*Bro. Derek, if you believe me, us to be in error on the pre-existence of Christ, bring a presentation to the phone conference, and let us reason together. Please except my invitation for a public forum, along with Q&A. I know you share your emails and replies with your list, so for those who may read this email, if Derek is not willing to come to a public forum and one of the others of the same view are willing, the invite is for you as well. Hope to hear from some representative of MSC.*

*Bro. Tony Hall*—Tuesday, July 16, 2013 10:13 AM

**REPLY:** from *mustard seed* to Brother Hall,

*I would be glad to make a presentation to your forum; however, it must come with some simple pre-stipulations: It must be done to a direct audience, face to face. Others can phone in, but you and your people must be in direct presence. I will travel to your pre-stipulated site, conference hall, home, etc, and allow you and your equipment to record the discourse. Also, I must be free to adjudge time limits for presentation, usually 70-80 minutes not including time to answer. Third, I must be allowed to bring a few of my supporters: my wife, my technician, etc. We have a conference scheduled in your area at the end of the month, why not dedicate that Friday night for this purpose.*—Derek West, Saturday, July 20, 2013 6:56 AM.

Derek

**SECOND REPLY:**

*We responded positively to your proposal for a study and Q&A and we are still awaiting your response to the below response to your invitation.*—Derek, Mon 7/29/2013 9:26 AM

Derek

The importance of this discussion launches this letter more deeply into the theme of the *Three Angels' Message* and now brings forth to our attention the

*The Breaking of Dawn*~~~~~ Below, is a short snippet from EGW's work, a counsel given by her which seems to perfectly epitomize the prevailing ethic inherited from her pertaining to our dress-code doctrine. Take care to note that, by use of dress code, EGW, under the pen of inspiration, established a definition for sin and consequently a formula for salvation. This she does without a clearly applicable Bible reference, a teaching that is ripe for nullification. Such, accordingly, becomes the perfect illustration to show the test of the hour, the need for a new-wine directive applicable for the saints as they meander in the dark ever anxiously anticipating the break of dawn:

**“I have received several letters from sisters inquiring my opinion in regard to wearing corded skirts. These questions were answered in a letter which I sent to a sister in Wisconsin. I will give the letter here for the benefit of others: ”**

**‘We as a people do not believe it our duty to go out of the world to be out of the fashion. If we have a neat, plain, modest, and comfortable plan of dress, and worldlings choose to dress as we do, shall we change this mode of dress in order to be different from the world? No, we should not be odd or singular in our dress for the sake of differing from the world, lest they despise us for so doing. Christians are the light of the world, the salt of the earth. Their dress should be neat and modest, their conversation chaste and heavenly, and their deportment blameless.**

**‘How shall we dress? If any wore heavy quilts before the introduction of hoops, merely for show and not for comfort, they sinned against themselves by injuring their health, which it is their duty to preserve. If any wear them now merely to look like hoops, they commit sin;**



## The Mustard Seed Advent, Newsletter, 13: VIII, Fall edition

**for they are seeking to imitate a fashion which is disgraceful** ” —EGW, *Testimonies for the Church*, Vol 1, 424, 425.

Remarkably, with this reference, EGW devotes little if any standard of warning for men and their dress needs. Do they have instinctive righteousness? —all must now inquire for our salvation hinges in the balance. She gives no answer or accounting for the relationship of the attire of men as it reflects upon neatness, modesty, comfort and worldliness. Like Tony Hall and Ari Kondas, she seems to suggest that men naturally inherit a skill to please God with their attire —else they would be included in her counsel. Knowing that this cannot be the case because the *Testimony of Jesus* affords both men and women the opportunity to become sons and daughters of God —this they may do merely by subordinating their wills to that of the expressed will of the Father— then we must answer a sobering question: Why did heaven inspire EGW to advance counsel that is devoid of divine justice? It could only be the case because such counsel was timed to be invalidated, traded in to the money changer, circumcised from our “heads”, replaced with new wine, etc, in the day when the *Three Angels* declare themselves more distinctively. This resolution unfurrows to us a new, Bible-based epiphany; that is, while we abide in darkness, the Lord leads us by His hand with the heretofore unbelievable biblically-validated tactic to be clarified below, with darkness. This He does for His children, those who suffer from the spiritual immaturity spoken of by Paul: “<sup>11</sup>***When I was a child, I spake as a child, I understood as a child...when I became a man, I put away childish things.*** <sup>12</sup>***For now we see through a glass, darkly...***” —1Cor 13: 11, 12. The same leadership governed Israel; they received the Lord’s dark speeches: “<sup>5</sup>***And the Lord...said...If there be a prophet among you, I the Lord will make myself known unto him in a vision, and will speak unto him in a dream.*** <sup>7</sup>***My servant Moses is not so...*** <sup>8</sup>***With him will I speak...apparently, and not in dark speeches***” —Num 12: 5-8.

There are accordingly two levels of prophets, those like EGW who lead us by dreams and visions, and the highest prophet, the one made comparable to Moses. Moses being the purveyor of plain speech gives to us sunny, lucid, cut-and-dry, explicit communications. His must accordingly reiterate, in plainness, the *Testimony of Jesus*, the Words which Christ delivered to us and promised to reiterate today (See John 14: 24-26). EGW, on the other hand, being comparable to Aaron and Miriam, gave to us the Lord’s dark speeches. But such darkness, she said will one day change —the time of sunrise:

***“When light goes forth to lighten the earth, instead of coming up to the help of the Lord, they will want to bind about His work to meet their narrow ideas. Let me tell you that the Lord will work in this last work in a manner very much out of the common order of things, and in a way that will be contrary to any human planning. There will be those among us who will always want to control the work of God, to dictate even what movements shall be made when the work goes forward under the direction of the angel who joins the third angel in the message to be given to the world. God will use ways and means by which it will be seen that He is taking the reins in His own hands.”*** —EGW, *Testimonies to Ministers*, 300.

Key point to note: Definitive doctrines come when the “third angel” is given assistance by another Angel; before that time, we have theological, Holy-Spirit-inspired darkness. For a certainty, our dress code is to change; it is to reflect a manner that is “***very much out of the common order of things***”, but this is to occur “***When light goes forth to lighten the earth***”. Such an expression is merely another metaphor: darkness removal to bring elucidation. It refers to a period that the Bible defines as sunlight for only then, at sunrise, does light on earth go forth to lighten it. Thus we have the answer to our perplexity: When the church emerges from her dark ages, her long period of night, or, to put another way, the period of EGW and VTH’s inspiration, she will become circumcised. Her doctrinal foreskin which —in the plainest of terms— has hooded her diminutive head, a covering that has indeed been given to her by the Lord, as was EGW’s dark speeches likewise given to her, will be removed. In the context of this news release, men and women will be free to dress themselves. But, it must be quickly added, such is a very minuscule aspect of this enlightenment. More importantly, this time is now fast approaching; it is not yet here, but, as with the crowing of the cock, the announcement of its imminent arrival is being declared by this newsletter. We are being told that the church is approaching the break of dawn.

An Angel, Christ, joins another angel, the *third angel*. This we must know for he lightens the earth. Who is this *third angel* that is to win partnership with Christ? If he were EGW, then we could expect

### *The Third Angel's Circumcision, Oct- 16-2013*

that, possibly, heaven would ratify her teaching pertaining to *dress code*, *health reform*, vegetarianism, the *nature of Christ*, the *pre-existence of Jesus*, etc. But we need not enter into controversy, for EGW said that she is not the one to enlighten the earth; she is not the *third angel*. Instead, her message was to prepare us for his day:

**“I have no specific time of which to speak when the outpouring of the Holy Spirit will take place —when the mighty angel will come down from heaven, and unite with the third angel in closing up the work for this world; my message is that our only safety is in being ready for the heavenly refreshing, having our lamps trimmed and burning. Christ has told us to watch; ‘for in such an hour as ye think not, the Son of man cometh.’ ‘Watch and pray’ is the charge that is given us by our Redeemer. Day by day we are to seek the enlightenment of the Spirit of God, that it may do its office work upon the soul and character. O, how much time has been wasted through giving attention to trifling things. Repent and be converted, that your sins may be blotted out when the times of refreshing shall come from the presence of the Lord”** —EGW, *1 Selected Messages*, 192: 1, also, *Review and Herald*, March 29, 1892.

Remarkably, The *third angel* closes the work; this he does by receiving help from the Lord himself for EGW prescribed that **“the times of refreshing shall come from the presence of the Lord.”** Hence, not only does she teach that she is not the *third angel* but is rather one who is to prepare us for his enlightenment, but she also concurs that the Angel who is to come to his aid is the Lord Himself and that His coming is referred to as the coming of the Son of man. Since, he, the *third angel*, is to combine the three messages into one, **“The three angels' messages are to be combined, giving their threefold light to the world...This represents the giving of the last and threefold message of warning to the world”** —EGW, *Manuscript 52*, 1990 also *7BC* 985.6— then we have our answer. All others have been given inspired darkness; their work could neither conjoin nor explain the *Three Angels' Message*; they could not, being spiritually uncircumcised, therewith lighten the earth. The unifying *third angel* is a depiction of antitypical Peter, the one, at the break of dawn, to whom the Lord has given the

**Keys to the Kingdom.** ~~~~~ Because Peter, through the prompting of Father, was the only one who could dash the opinions of the church and discern that Jesus was the Christ, the Son of the Living God, he was so honored. He perceived what the commoners failed to discern; he understood what the leaders of the church failed to perceive; he even understood far beyond what the other disciples, including the Christian champion, the eventual-disciple-to-emerge, Paul, could understand; subsequently, he was given station above all of the other dark purveyors of light in the church and the world. Peter was given the same power promised to Jacob and mentioned briefly above: He was promised circumcised, Peniel power —after all, was not his old name Jacob, that given to him by Rebecca and Isaac, removed/circumcised? Both Peter and Jacob were given the promise of power with God and with man, power to bind on both earth and in heaven. Necessarily then, both Jacob and Peter are iconic figures which represent the *third angel*, and his election for the Kingdom of heaven is as a grain of *mustard seed*. To help in our understanding, we should read the promise given to both men:

**JACOB'S AUTHORIZATION:** “And Jacob was left alone; and there wrestled a man with him until the breaking of the day...And he said unto him, What is thy name? And he said, Jacob. And he said, Thy name shall be called no more Jacob, but Israel: for as a prince hast thou power with God and with men, and hast prevailed...And Jacob called the name of the place Peniel...And as he passed over Peniel THE SUN ROSE UPON HIM...” —Gen 32: 24, 27, 28, 30, 31.

**PETER'S POWER:** “<sup>14</sup>...and they said, Some say that thou art John the Baptist: some, Elias; and others, Jeremias, or one of the prophets...<sup>16</sup>And Simon Peter answered and said, Thou art the Christ, the Son of the living God. <sup>17</sup>And Jesus answered and said unto him, Blessed art thou, Simon Barjona: for flesh and blood hath not revealed it unto thee, but my Father...<sup>18</sup>And I say also unto thee...upon this rock I will build my church; and the gates of hell shall not prevail against it. <sup>19</sup>And I will give unto thee the keys of the Kingdom of heaven: and whatsoever thou shalt bind on earth shall be bound in heaven; and whatsoever thou shalt loose on earth shall be loosed in heaven.” —Matt 16: 14, 16-19

## The Mustard Seed Advent, Newsletter, 13: VIII, Fall edition

One point to note for further clarification: Peter was not, at that time of blessed pronouncement, given the keys to the Kingdom; instead, the gift was a futuristic promise to him for the Lord said, “***I will give unto thee the keys.***” If yesterday’s standards are to change, then such changes must come only by the authority, Peter, the one inspired by Father to recognize Christ and the one given the power to loosen on earth the standards which he, by examination of the Law and the Testimonies, can loosen including vegetarianism, dress codes, human sexuality, and unequal standards between men and women. He has the keys to the Door of the sheepfold, and the Door represents Christ, by Him all men must enter into the Kingdom.

Many are tempted to ignore the Lord’s testimony pertaining to Peter because they do not understand the hidden meaning conveyed by it. It was “darkly revealed” in anticipation of today’s clarification exhibited by this very letter. The promise to Peter conveyed in *Matt 16* has been slighted because, as a literal vow, all can clearly see that it did not come to fruition. Peter did not bring us victory over hell; instead, he died an inglorious death at the hands of the devil. He did not purvey victory over death, AKA. the gates of hell. He did not usher in the Kingdom; he didn’t even enlighten the gentiles: Peter had no keys. Finally, Peter did not bind in heaven any of his findings upon the earth. To the contrary, in his vision of *Acts 11*, he rejected his heaven-inspired commission to teach the gentiles. Like his rejection of Christ on Calvary, Peter refused, three times to compassionately take the gospel to the gentiles until that mission was taken from him and given to Paul. The record shows the following:

**PETER’S GENTILE AVERSION:** “***5I was in the city of Joppa praying: and in a trance I saw a vision, A certain vessel descend, as it had been a great sheet, let down from heaven by four corners; and it came even to me:... 6I considered, and saw fourfooted beasts of the earth, and wild beasts,... 7And I heard a voice saying unto me, Arise, Peter; slay and eat. 8But I said, Not so, Lord: for nothing common or unclean hath at any time entered into my mouth... 10And this was done three times: and all were drawn up again into heaven... 15:7 Peter rose up, and said unto them, Men and brethren, ye know how that a good while ago God made choice among us, that the Gentiles by my mouth should hear the word of the gospel, and believe***” —Act 11: 5-8,10, Act 15: 7.

**PAUL’S SUBSTITUTE COMMISSION:** “***1Then Agrippa said unto Paul, Thou art permitted to speak for thyself. Then Paul stretched forth the hand, and answered for himself... 13At midday, O king, I saw in the way a light from heaven, above the brightness of the sun... 14I heard a voice speaking unto me...in the Hebrew tongue, Saul, Saul, why persecutest thou me... 15I am Jesus whom thou persecutest... 16I have appeared unto thee for this purpose, to make thee a minister and a witness both of these things which thou hast seen, and of those things in the which I will appear unto thee; 17Delivering thee from the people, and from the Gentiles, unto whom now I send thee***” —Act 26: 1, 13-17.

We can now know for sure that Christ’s covenant to Peter, making him the master of the Kingdom, the man with the keys to the Door, applies to the one whom Peter prefigured, antitypical Peter, the *mustard seed*, the promised son of David, the promised Elijah who was to restore all things, the antitypical Moses, Jacob the overcomer —pick your iconic biblical metaphor. We also know that the promise to Peter was proverbial because David’s son was promised the same gift when the Lord told ancient David, “***12I will set up thy seed after thee...and I will establish his kingdom. 13I will establish the throne of his kingdom forever***” —2Sam 7: 12, 13. It was said of David, with even more affirmation, , “***23I will set up one shepherd over them, and he shall feed them, even my servant David... 24and I the Lord will be their God, and my servant David a prince among them***” —Ezek 34: 23, 24. Inferentially therefore, the Lord’s futuristic promise to give to Peter the keys must be a ‘dark covenant’ intended for our understanding today, the day when “***...the angel...joins the third angel in the message to be given to the world ...***” It is the day when the sun rises on Jacob, the day when his name is changed to Israel and clarity is thereby conveyed to the church. The clarity is here: All three figures —at least— David, Jacob, and Peter represent the Lord’s end-time, shepherd, the man who enters into the fold, through the Door, with the keys. He is the one who is to lead EGW —EGW, an icon for triumphant Adventism; EGW, Adventists locked in misery and wretchedness— to their salvation:

: “***Soon after this I had another dream. I seemed to be sitting in abject despair (SDA ministry of Misery and wretchedness), with my face in my hands...Just then the door opened, and a person of***

### *The Third Angel's Circumcision, Oct- 16-2013*

beautiful form and countenance entered. **HE** looked upon me pityingly and said: ‘Do you wish to **SEE JESUS?** ...Take everything you possess and **FOLLOW ME.**”

“I heard this with unspeakable joy, and gladly gathered up all my little possessions, every treasured trinket, and followed my **GUIDE.** **HE** led me to a steep and apparently frail stairway...”

“Finally we reached the last step and stood before the door. Here my guide directed me to **LEAVE ALL THE THINGS THAT I HAD BROUGHT WITH ME.** I cheerfully laid them down; **HE** then opened the door and bade me enter. In a moment I stood before Jesus...” —EGW, *Early Writings*, p. 79-81, Parenthesis added.

Especially must we know that Peter was an emblem and that all of his quirks, his acts, and his words were a depiction of the work, both positive and negative, that is to pertain in the day, today, when the Kingdom is to be installed. This cannot be considered a dubious resolution because, in the same pronouncement extolling Peter—in the very same context— He, Christ, likewise condemned him by calling him Satan and eternally detaching Himself from Peter demanding that he get behind Him. How could this be? How could Satan be given the keys to the Kingdom and then be cast behind Christ, a description of being cast in hell? Such, if not carefully analyzed, suggests absolutely no hope of salvation—no wonder that we find ourselves “**sitting in abject despair**”. The wise, those who have heeded EGW’s commission to master the science of the Lord’s prayer to close His work, the prayer of *John 17*, will know that Peter, the man who walked with the Lord, was a man ordained by the Highest Himself, by Father, for the express purpose of conveying to us the end-time establishment of the Kingdom. She said, “...**The instruction given me by One of authority is that we are to learn to answer the prayer recorded in the seventeenth chapter of John. We are to make this prayer our first study. Every gospel minister, every medical missionary, is to learn the science of this prayer...**” —EGW, *Testimonies for the Church*, Vol 8, 239.

Notice the petition to Father by Christ in that prayer: “**<sup>1</sup>Father...I have manifested thy name unto the men which thou gavest me out of the world: thine they were, and thou gavest them me...**<sup>20</sup>**Neither pray I for these alone, but for them also which shall believe on me THROUGH THEIR WORD;...**<sup>22</sup>**and the glory which thou gavest me, I have given them; that they all may be one, even as we are one**” —John 17: 1, 6, 20, 22. Careful inspection of these Texts will manifest the science of salvation: that Christ’s prayer for glory was a petition that was especially to be ordained to the end-time disciples. He expressed that they should receive the glory which Father gave to Christ. What glory could surpass that of the keys of the Kingdom? This is the question which the naysayer must now ask. We have positive affirmation from the *Testimony of Jesus* that it was not to be given to the original disciples, including ancient Peter; instead, it was to be given to those who would believe on Christ “**through their word**” and works. Thusly does the *mustard seed* quite profusely quote Matthew, Mark, Luke, John, and Revelation. Also, thusly should Davidia be concerned and feel shameful pertaining to their mistreatment and diminution of the *Testimony of Jesus*, the words of Jesus, “the faith of Jesus” recorded by the disciples.

Indisputably then, Peter had a dual, antitypical application of leadership. The positive aspect was to represent the church triumphant which, at the risk of iconic overload, is the antitypical Eve in her prophesied glory, which is also the onset of that promised day when she, her seed, is to dash the head of the serpent. That is to be the very day when the pristine woman of *Rev 12* who was clothed with the sun—which is merely a depiction of the church shrouded in the *Testimony of Jesus* at dawn—defeats the devil’s mouth-spewed flood. But we cannot, in study, ignore the negative facet, the rebellious side of Eve and of Peter, the side eternally dismissed from Christ (cast behind Him), the side that, in *Gen two*, was to receive the surety of death. When Peter was called Satan, he prefigured the aspect of the Christian church that would eternally deny Christ and His commission. As Eve made it her purpose to feed to Adam the poisonous fruit, so too does Peter, by his rebuke of the Lord, represent the obscure side of the Christian church who, while eternally sealed in darkness, seeks to allure the world to drink of the cup of her fornication, which is her illicit-wilderness communion with the serpent; it is her temptation of the Christian world to join her in rebellion against Christ. Thusly, is she in *Matt 16*, denounced as Satan and, in *Rev 17 & 18*, denounced as the scarlet-enshrouded whore.

As Peter has a two-sided character, likewise does the church whom Peter prefigures. In this verdict, we do further affirm by noting that only **ONE** woman, led by heaven, fled into the wilderness to find her place, but **TWO** women, according to *Rev 12 and 17*, therefrom emerged. And since this light is now bursting upon the church, we can rest in the surety, as occurred in the type in the beginning, that both are to emerge from their hiding place “amongst the trees” when the church, Adam and Eve, is described as hearing “*the VOICE of the Lord God walking*” (Gen 3: 8), the voice who calls the sheep. The voice of triumphant Peter is sent by the Lord to call the true Christians. Heretofore, we did not grasp Christ’s appraisal of His own testimony for though we are only now understanding it, He beforehand told us as much, when, at the close of His ministry, making reference to His entire three-and-one-half-year ministry, said, “**These things have I spoken unto you in proverbs: but the time cometh, when I shall no more speak unto you in proverbs, but I shall shew you plainly of the Father**” —John 16: 25. The promises, and admonitions, the rebukes, the praise given to Peter were, by this definition, included in the proverbs spoken then but to be unraveled only today. Meanwhile, Paul, a man **NOT** given the keys to Christ, indeed affirmed His proverbial words by revealing that, in this day, we would leave the earlier doctrines of Christ, the milk doctrines within which he, Paul, enveloped his teachings.

In part, this fact proves what the Catholic Church sought to relay to us: that the Lord’s *Matt-16* promise to Peter applied to her, the Catholic Church’s authority generously making her leadership to be an icon of Peter’s excesses. [**Icon, a pictorial representation : a conventional religious image...Webster**] The truth that Peter founded her as the church is made pure only in the sense that both she, like he, spewed forth the devil’s lies. This we know because the doctrines which the whore seeks to force upon all from her cup of fornication is the doctrine of the Tri-Unity coined by Constantine. Today, we must command away that sophistry; we must tell the devil to get behind us. But, short of this, at least we have, from the overview of Catholicism, more foundation to discern that Christianity has always deployed Peter as an icon of church leadership. The Catholics were not alone: The SDA ministry has also understood that he, Peter, was pre-figurative of the highest-ranking church, acknowledging herself to be the same, for whenever they seek to disfellowship a brother, they cite their power to do so by quoting the Lord’s promise made to Peter, his power to bind and loosen.

At least, with regard to these points of doctrine, as crude as they may have been deployed by yesterday’s scholars of darkness, we can further certify that the Lord handpicked Peter for the express purpose of sending to us hidden meaning pertaining to the leadership of the *House of David*, the final disciples who are to rule the church by their gift of the keys to the Kingdom. In other words, for the entirety of Christ’s ministry of three-and-one-half years, we can know for a certainty that when we read of the actions and deeds of the literal man Peter, so as to give to us an illustration, a metaphor, a proverb to describe the *mustard seed*, His end-time servant, we are given a lesson pertaining to the one upon whom the sun is scheduled to rise. This exactly explains the Lord’s records pertaining to the life and the promises of several other Bible champions such as Jacob, Moses, David, Zerubbabel, Immanuel, etc. But there is one major difference; it is a distinction which explains the seemingly over supply of icons or ensamples: For, of all the Bible men used to exemplify the last-day work, Peter is the only one who, in the type, actually and literally embraced the identity of the Lord; declared that He was sent by a Higher Power, God; and received the Testimony which He conveyed 2000 years ago and promised to re-convey today. Such makes him the quintessential icon to understand Kingdom entry; it shows us that he indeed has the understanding of how man is saved; he has the keys to unlock our confusion. All others have fed us misunderstandings. Wm Miller’s 1844 mistake about the second coming of the Lord makes him merely one man among many. In other words, if he, EGW, VTH, Peter, Paul, James, John, could give to us Bible lessons to enlighten us pertaining to the Kingdom, then the Lord, to accommodate such a revelation of truth, would have expressed that the Keys were in their hands meaning, they would have been given the path to lead us home. Instead of that reality, the Lord has given to us the *Doctrine of Nullification*, the requirement expressed in EGW’s dream of meeting Jesus, to “**leave all the things**

that (she) had brought with (her),” the doctrine that purveys Paul’s incessant theme: “...*whether there be prophecies, they shall fail*” —1Cor 13: 8.

**SIDE BAR<sup>2</sup>: Doctrines which Adventists are required to leave pertains to themes that they teach or have taught and have understood. The references deployed by the mustard seed, though found in the writings of EGW, do not pertain because they are themes that they never lauded, taught, or understood. For example, they never taught that which the MSC extracts from the SOP pertaining to the Three Angels’ Messages, or the man who escorts EGW up the stairs, through the Door, to the feet of Jesus, etc.**

Christ, with the *Doctrine of Nullification*, well prepared us for the day when we must sell all of our wealth to acquire the *Pearl of Great Price*, another Kingdom metaphor. It will come in a day of Peter’s victory, the day of

*Peter’s Conversion*~~~~~The problem with giving to the original disciple, Peter, the assignment to bind up the law and the testimonies, giving him the very assignment of Immanuel as recorded in *Isa seven & eight*, the dilemma that we face by the Lord’s gift to him of the keys to the Kingdom, is that Peter was unconverted.

“<sup>19</sup>...*whatsoever thou shalt bind on earth shall be bound in heaven: and whatsoever thou shalt loose on earth shall be loosed in heaven...*<sup>21</sup>*From that time forth began Jesus to shew unto his disciples, how that he must ...suffer...*<sup>22</sup>*Then Peter took him, and began to rebuke him...*<sup>23</sup>*But he turned, and said unto Peter, Get thee behind me, Satan: thou art an offence unto me: for thou savourest not the things that be of God, but those that be of men*” —Matt 16: 19, 21-23.

This problem of defiance persisted throughout Peter’s career. Consequently, Peter, the actual man, would have excluded from his ministry all gentiles; he refused to devour and eat or to assimilate them into the Kingdom, as the Lord put it, he loosed them on earth. This we have already determined, as shown above: Remember, he refused to feed the Lord’s gentile flock and the mission, as indicated by virtue of his own inspired dream, was taken from him. Beyond that, the Gospel mission, “*Go ye therefore, and teach all nations...to observe all things...*” —Matt 28: 19, 20— was given to a substitute shepherd, Paul. But that substitute was not covenanted to receive the keys, the power to bind and loosen. Hence, all of humanity is dependent upon the promised emergence of Peter’s antitype.

Before proceeding, a sobering point must be herein inserted: All who have exclusionary doctrines of embrace, doctrines which lock out any human based upon non-biblically-legal standards, exemplify Peter’s unconverted bigotry. Especially is this the case if their exclusions of said people are done by virtue of popular, societal sentiments for to do so manifests that one does not affirm their love of the Lord according to Jesus’ standard when He asked Peter, “*lovest thou me more than these?*” This love is clarified more fully below: Put simply for now, such leaders refuse to feed His sheep. So as to not digress, another point to be clarified below is that, during the interim, the lapse between Paul’s gentile-purveying substitution and Peter’s eventual, last-day reception of the keys, Jesus — not Christ— holds in protective forbearance “*the keys of hell and death*” as revealed in Rev 1: 18.

Peter’s failure does not condemn him in the judgment —this by verdict of the one, the *mustard seed*, who has been given the keys. After all, he merely served as the stage actor to portray to us the science of salvation. Like a movie screen actor, by Father’s genius, Peter showed us how the gentiles were to be rescued from Satan. Like all church leaders in that era who have fallen, only the grave and then the resurrection will convert the literal man, Peter. But in this reality, he, having died, cannot be defined as the man who has been given the keys for the keys promise victory over hell and thereby precludes the death of its possessor. If they could not, then what would be the point of obtaining them by the Lord’s gift and being made impervious to the gates of hell? For further validation we should now recall that the serpent’s beguiling sting in the garden was the curse of death, and this is the curse that antitypical Peter, through Christ’s gift, was to reverse. This is exactly why the Lord conveyed upon him the opposite of the curse: He called him blessed. Likewise must all who entered under Paul’s administration pass through the grave and receive membership by resurrection; for only in so doing, can the *mustard seed*, unlock the door for their entry; there is only one Kingdom, and antitypical Peter was promised the keys to it.

## The Mustard Seed Advent, Newsletter, 13: VIII, Fall edition

What was the purpose of the 11 disciples? All of the disciples, except Judas, were honored by Christ in His closing prayer for He thanked Father for giving them to Him. They were esteemed because they received from Christ the identity of the Father. Prior to the *Testimony of Jesus*, Israel, the church, presumed that Christ, the God of the Old-Testament, was Father, the Highest. The existence of a then-to-fore never recognized Authority, a Power that was above Christ —the God of Abraham, Isaac, Jacob, Moses, David, et-al; Christ, they did not discern. Christ, in His prayer to the Father proves this point: He said, **“I have manifested thy name unto the men which thou gavest me out of the world: thine they were, and thou gavest them me...Now they have known that all things whatsoever thou has given me are of thee...Neither pray I for these alone, but for them also which shall believe on me through their word”**—John 17: 6, 7, 20. This explains why Peter’s acknowledgment to Christ’s query, **“Whom do men say that I...am”** was so revolutionary; He said what none others discerned. He did not say, though art God but, **“Thou art the Christ, the Son of the living God.”** Hence, speaking of “keys”, a key lesson must accordingly be learned: We see that no matter how unsuspecting, common, and undistinguished may appear the man in the antitype, the Lord vests His victory over the gates of hell, in the day of the transformation, in that very man. Peter, being called Satan, expertly makes this point: that our senses can neither decipher nor deduce the one whom the Lord has elected. Accordingly, none dare look to the life of that antitypical man and accordingly, by their inane standards, challenge his, the *mustard seed’s* certification to hold the keys.

This finding does not suggest that Derek West has proverbial skeletons hidden in his closet. To the contrary, like ancient Peter, he has, through communion, exposed the path of his feet; he has undergone the removal of his socks and shoes so as to have his feet cleansed. After all, this was the price of **“PART”**-nership with Christ (John 13:8). All of the *disciples indeed* have heard his confessions of sins and reproaches. In spite of this, many others will criticize his work, seeking to tell him how to teach his message by assailing him with indictments which violate deeply embedded, social protocol—but not Bible law. In this aspect of theology, Peter’s acting performance yesterday gives to us great benefit. Remember, how he failed in his attempt to mimic the Lord by seeking, of himself, the power to walk on water (Matt 14: 28-31)? Can we mock him for petitioning the Lord for such a grand display? If not, then we cannot mock Derek West for boldly believing the Lord’s promises and prematurely seeking the power of resurrection. After all, why should not the man given the keys have the Peter-esque bold zeal to use them? Beyond that, Scriptures show that the Lord will shortly honor Derek’s petition of resurrection and do so in a mighty way; hence, it is the mockers, whose actions must be deemed premature. Others condemn Peter’s antitype for legally justifying female on female sexual expression—is not that the duty of the man with the keys? Was not Peter commissioned to feed the sheep? Instead of this, the mockers desire for Peter to remain true to yesterday’s unconverted form and detach from those whom they, by non-biblical standards, deemed to be beyond salvation. In so doing, such men devoid of charity correspondingly indict themselves as false shepherds, men who cannot sacrifice popularity to rescue the Lord’s flock. There are many other points to express Peter’s conversion today: Some assail the *mustard seed* for boldly claiming to be the promised son of David. They do so merely by virtue of a societal snafu, one which condemns men when they point to themselves. The Lord Himself violated their false standard. He said, **“I am the way, the truth, and the life: no man cometh unto the Father, but by me”**—John 14: 6. If Peter is given the keys, should not he likewise point to himself to show the way to the Father’s Kingdom? Furthermore, if the *mustard seed* failed to announce himself, then such would manifest his failure at conversion; it would show his denial of the Lord for, after the communion, the Lord stated, **“He that receiveth whomsoever I send receiveth me”**—John 13: 20. Consequently, the man that is sent by the Lord must be the first to give the acknowledgment of his mission; he must receive himself if others are to be strengthened by his mission.

Accordingly, no matter how brilliant, each star, before this day of Peter’s conversion, could not show to us the way of salvation. In this finding we must include all teachers, prophets, apostles, ministers, et-al, men who have become acclaimed as prominent gospel lights during the long and “dark” ages of Christianity, the time before our sunrise. None during the entire 2000 years of Peter’s rebuke of the Lord, can preach to us anything about heaven, the Kingdom, judgment, life-after-death, life-without-

### *The Third Angel's Circumcision, Oct- 16-2013*

death, the *Second Coming*, or any aspect of salvation. To serve humanity in this capacity, you must have the keys to the Kingdom. Did not EGW intimate as much:

*“...The contest is between the commandments of God and the commandments of men. In this time the gold will be separated from the dross in the church. True godliness will be clearly distinguished from the appearance and tinsel of it. Many a star that we have ADMIREd for its brilliancy will then go out in darkness. Chaff like a cloud will be borne away on the wind, even from places where we see only floors of rich wheat. All who assume the ornaments of the sanctuary, but are not clothed with Christ's righteousness, will appear in the shame of their own nakedness.”*—EGW, *Testimonies for the Church*, Vol. 5, 81

To add exactitude to her prophecy, the New-Testament stars actually fade as a natural God-ordained phenomenon. As true as it is that the bright stars of the night all become invisible after sunrise, likewise is this finding to now to become real theologically. All of their doctrines are in error and none can ever have their anti-Christ teachings certified when the sun rises upon Jacob. This includes Peter, Paul, James, John, Wm Miller, EGW, VTH, etc. This is also why the Apostle John, the very champion who was inspired to write the book of Revelation, even he, depicting the *disciples indeed* in this hour, was reprimanded by the Comforter for having “great admiration” for the whore, the Woman of *Rev 17 & 18* who is merely the icon of Peter's unconverted alter ego. He was given more light to cure his admiration so as not become complacent with her obsolete milk doctrines. What was the basis for his admiration? —he saw the mighty advances of Jesus' work that were about to become nullified for the greater glory to come. This was depicted by her elaborate decoration:

*“...And there came one of the seven angels which had the seven vials, and talked with me, saying unto me, Come hither; I will shew unto thee the judgment of the great whore...So he carried me away in the spirit into the wilderness: and I saw a woman...arrayed in purple and scarlet colour, and decked with gold and precious stones and pearls...and when I saw her, I wondered with great admiration. And the angel said unto me, Wherefore didst thou marvel? I will tell thee the mystery of the woman, and of the beast that carrieth her...”*—Rev 17: 1, 3, 4, 6, 7.

Paul, in several places, likewise described this day when the Christian stars fade. In one such statement, he said,

*“...whether there be prophecies they shall fail...For we know in part, and we prophesy in part. But when that which is perfect is come, then that which is in part shall be done away. For now we see through a glass, darkly; but then face to face: now I know in part; but then shall I know even as also I am known. And now abideth faith, hope charity, these three; but the greatest of these is charity”*  
—1Cor 13: 8-13.

All are guilty of purveying imprecise light during the night, and this is so because Peter, the Christian ministry, has, until now, yet to become charitable. Saying no more on this in this segment, we must proceed to learn that, if nothing else, this phenomenon of fading, inspired lights perfectly illustrates the need of spiritual circumcision of the heart, AKA *Doctrinal Nullification*. Even doctrines of diet, of dress, of human sexuality —no matter the doctrine, all— can be nullified by the *Testimony of Jesus*. The Lord said, **“I am the door of the sheep. All that ever came before me are thieves and robbers”** —John 10: 7, 8. This means that before the Lord takes over the fold by sending His elect, all beforehand, at best, represented unconverted servants; men like Jacob: They all have sought, without Peter's ordination, to steal by supplantation, a place in the fold. To enter the Kingdom through the Door —as did EGW show in her vision partially recorded above from *Early Writings*— all are now called to strip themselves of every possession; they must leave it at the Door as their guide commands. This means, quite simply, they must leave their form of godliness, their pretentious embrace of the Bible, their scarlet clothing. We must cease to pretend to abide in Christ while we bow in obeisance to the men who seek to crucify Him: We must desist in our admiration of the whore. Today, Jacob must change his identity; he must prepare to receive the sun so as to therewith enshroud himself and thereby enlighten the earth. To mix metaphors, this is Peter's conversion; he will apply the scarlet, the Lord's blood, and he will give to you His fire-tried gold and the pearl of great price.

Even more is required to be said before we close this super paragraph: The day of Peter's conversion is the day when He will fall back into the embrace of the Lord, and this is just as promised:

**“Simon, Simon, behold, Satan hath desired to have you, that he may sift you as wheat: But I have prayed for thee, that thy faith fail not: and when thou art converted, strengthen thy**



## The Mustard Seed Advent, Newsletter, 13: VIII, Fall edition

**brethren And he said unto him, Lord, I am ready to go with thee, both into prison, and to death. And he said, I tell thee, Peter, the cock shall not crow this day, before that thou shalt thrice deny that thou knowest me**” —Luke 22: 31-34.

Worded more poetically with the phrase that all remember, the Gospel of Mark reiterates the last sentence:

**“And Jesus saith unto him, Verily I say unto thee, That this day, even in this night, before the cock crow twice, thou shalt deny me thrice”** —Mark 14: 30.

What is the meaning of the rooster’s crow? The Lord said it; thus, Father commanded it to be said, and we can accordingly be certain that it has proverbial meaning.

Before that can be determined, all must notice, by Father’s dictated testimony, that none of the disciples will gain strength; hence, none will garner entry into the Kingdom, none will win victory over the devil’s gates, none will receive the glory which Christ promised for the disciples in *John 17*, His closing prayer, until Peter is converted for only thereafter is he commissioned to strengthen his brethren, the other disciples. Even more remarkable, the Lord had no endogenous conversion, no provisions of strength for the other disciples —His prayer, He said in *Luke 22*, was only for Peter to be strengthened. As shown above, Peter’s own vision, expressed in *Acts 11*, shows that even after Pentecost, after he spoke in tongues to his Jewish brethren from distant lands, after he converted 5000 of them in a day, after the Comforter was vested to him and his brethren, he was still unconverted. He did not yet then have the strength of which the Lord prayed. To reiterate, this we know —not merely because he refused to include the gentiles, but also— because he was crucified by hell.

After Peter denied the Lord thrice, Jesus impeached him by challenging his, Peter’s love for Him, for the Lord. He asked Peter three times, “lovest thou me?” The first inquisition brings a special lesson, the Lord asked, **“Simon, son of Jonas, lovest thou me more than these...Feed my lambs”** —John 21: 15. This question shows the nature of Peter’s denial and the requisite of his eventual conversion: He must not do as he did on Calvary and reject the Lord for fear of societal condemnation; he must, to be converted, love the Lord more than he loved being accepted by the community. This is the very reason why the Lord cited Peter’s ancestry calling him “Simon, son of Jonas”. Peter did not want to tarnish his status —not just in the community and the church, but— in his family for anyone at Calvary who stood by Jesus was guaranteed to tarnish their family name.

Sure, it was an honor to walk with the Lord when He displayed great miracles, but being arrested and condemned in criminal court greatly effaced the Lord’s social stature, reduced Him to a commoner, a social miscreant, and it effaced His regal appeal. This was the opposite of what Peter and the disciples anticipated. Their commitment became weakened; their knees shook from under them; they fled from Jesus’ reality. The Lord’s desire is that the disciples become strong, and their faltering in this mission continues, after 2000 years, to forestall the advent of the Kingdom. Understanding of this fact also clarifies Peter’s duty. We can now better see the time of his conversion, but more insight is needed into the Lord’s ultimate goal, that Peter may strengthen his brethren.

The lessons of the church are vast requiring much more to be written; unfortunately, to forestall attention fatigue in the reader, we must close this issue by describing Peter’s

**Bewilderment by the Cock’s Crow**~~~~~. The Lord promised to Peter a prophecy that has antitypical significance to be made plain today. He said, **“...even in this night, before the cock crow twice, thou shalt deny me thrice.”** We have never been made to analyze the specifics of this proverb; yet it is replete with significant meaning. The promise is that when the cock was to crow the second time, Peter was to have already uttered his third denial, and, more specific than that, he was to have so done —not at dawn, but— at a time when it was still dark or night, a time just before dawn. But what is most remarkable is the actual event unfurled: Peter felt justified after the first denial; his heart was stout after the second, and even after the third denial of the Lord, Peter stood unruffled, confident, and self-assured. It was only moments after the third denial, when he heard the resonance of the cock’s crow, the second time, that he was smitten with regret and deep pangs of remorse. The record confirms this exact finding: **“But he began to curse and to swear, saying, I**

**know not this man of whom ye speak. And the second time the cock crew. And Peter called to mind the word that Jesus said unto him...And when he thought thereon, he wept**" —Mark 14: 71, 72.

This pronouncement by the Lord, along with the actions of Peter, is indeed proverbial. What broke Peter's spirit and reduced him to tears is the same phenomenon that will ultimately reach Davidia—but too late! Irrefutable evidence, afforded to them while it is yet dark, while yesterday's stars still shine in full luster, will convince them, the men appointed to be Jesus' shepherds, those who cling to the *Rod*, that they too have denied the Lord and have lost their chance of glory when their light begins to shine: the proverbial time of impending daylight when the 'sun rises upon Jacob'. You see, in Peter's hour of trauma, he remembered the *Testimony of Jesus* but only when the cock crowed twice. This was his bewilderment. Reverso Dictionary: **Reveille** [rev -ə-lē] is the bugle call which reminds soldiers that the night's rest will soon end. Roosters, in nearly every culture, tongue and age have their own call of reveille, one that we all recognize. They too have been ordained to alert all men huddled in the long darkness of the night that the sun is about to rise. Men of antiquity, until recently, did not have reliable methods to discern the time; they depended upon the miracle of the cock's crow. When it is dark, you often have no idea that morning is about to break, and the cock's crow represents irrefutable evidence that will validate the *mustard seed* as the Lord's voice, the man whom He has sent.

Former saints, men like Peter who denied the Lord, who take Him and rebuke Him for proclaiming contradictory theories about the Kingdom, will be told, Get thee behind me Satan —this will happen only after the cock crows twice. When a parallel event occurs, the *lightening flash* described in *Matt 24*, when the *Special Resurrection* takes place and yesterday's stars of the *Three Angels' Messages* emerge from their graves by the hand of the man whom the Lord has sent—a miracle that will be broadcasted by the electronic media like lightening from east to the west—they will then remember the *Testimony of Jesus* which they have formerly ignored and against which they stood in bold defiance—some with unyielding and cursing obstinance (Cursing: calling the work of the Comforter the work of the devil). Sure, they have enshrouded themselves in the Word and the *Spirit of Prophecy*, but they have done so pretentiously. This has been their scarlet and purple—just like the whore of *Rev 17* was so likewise decked. The book of Exodus, chapters 26 through 38, shows the deep spiritual sacredness of these colors: They were ordained of the Lord to represent sanctuary redemption (Ex 25: 4, 26: 1, 31, 36, etc), a perfect addition to this hour of judgment, this hour of the *First-Angel's Message*. Such colors of sanctuary specification, as shown above, are also the color of His blood, the *Testimony of Jesus*. All Christians desire sanctuary salvation; thus, they claim it as their clothing as they pretend to honor Jesus, the *Sin Offering* of the Sanctuary. After all, the keys to the Kingdom, the authorship of our salvation, must come from within the sanctuary curtains and they are, by commandment, of the colors blue, purple, and scarlet. But their hypocrisy of divinely ordained service, with the second crowing of the cock, is exposed. This is the day when triumphant Peter, receives the keys, and militant Peter, is disgraced.

The first crowing of the cock has been given no significance for it represents the first dispensation of the New-Testament Gospel. The church purposefully was established in ignorance of its meaning for it was declared to them in proverb. After all, the Gospel shows that after He promised the gift of the keys to Peter, the Lord charged, "...*his disciples that they should tell no man that he was Jesus the Christ*" —Matt 16: 20. Regardless of this, they were commissioned to take the message of Jesus' first advent to the gentiles; it was merely a message, in simplicity, of Jesus' works, His proverbially spoken words, His power to save, and His soon return. By this and by no other Gospel was the world converted to the woman, to antitypical Eve. She fed to all of Christianity the life and works of Jesus and did so while not discerning or acknowledging the hidden presence of Christ that abode upon Him during Jesus' three-and-one-half years of ministry. This is affirmed by virtue of the woman of *Rev 12* being described fleeing to the wilderness, the land of the gentiles, enshrouded only with the sun. She taught the proverbs revealed to her by Christ through Jesus. Evidently then, the apostolic commission after the resurrection, represents the time of the first crow of the cock, the first rising of the sun upon the earth. But as the Bible promises and as the SOP affirms, we are looking for the second rising.

## The Mustard Seed Advent, Newsletter, 13: VIII, Fall edition

Peter perfectly fits the one, described above by EGW, who is to go “*forward under the direction of the angel who joins the third angel in the message to be given to the world,...*” He is perfect because, to reiterate, the angel who is to join the *third angel* represents Christ, the Arch Angel. Peter, when his feet were washed at communion, was promised partnership with Christ. He is the one, in the type, who prefigures the Lord’s licensed teachers, those whose feet were ordained for that mission of PARTnership with the Lord. Before that coming day of sunrise—at the risk of redundancy—they have denied the Lord throughout the Christian dispensation of 2000 years, and the sun will soon set on their work. Hence, the whore’s cup should no longer become our beverage. Yesterday’s sins, done in ignorance, merely express the fulfillment of first crowing of the cock; it did not reach them; their hearts were uncircumcised; their heads were covered in darkness. And such was by divine provision for the Atonement manifests that Jesus, the *Sin Offering*, was purposed so as to remove sins of ignorance. This He does only for those who accept the revealed theology of His sacrifice, a component of Peter’s keys. Thus, nearing the end of the long night of darkness, when the Lord’s elect emerges today, the day when the Lord sends him, the *mustard seed*, to point them to His testimony, to make it plain and easy to understand, and to prepare them for the Kingdom—or, as the Lord prayed, to strengthen his brethren—then this is the day when the egregious nature of their denial will be made to resonate.

*“As they ‘sent a message after Him, saying, we will not have this man to reign over us,’ the only conclusion admissible is that shortly before His return, Christ shall inform His ‘citizens’ that He is taking ‘the reins in His own hands’ to set up His kingdom, and that they, upon hearing the announcement shall refuse to submit themselves to the one through whom He is to rule. Observe that in the message which they ‘sent after Him,’ his servants did not say, ‘we will not have You to reign over us,’ but rather, ‘we will not have this man to reign over us.’ What they objected to was Christ’s reigning over them through someone else. Clearly, then before He is coronated, and prior to His return to reckon with His servants, He appoints a ‘man’ to reign over them in His stead. Whereupon they say to Him, by their attitude and stand toward His message, ‘We will not have this man to reign over us,’ although ‘this man,’ as we now see, is the antitypical David (the ‘simple means’), the visible king.”*—VTH, 8T, 71

How dare you announce yourself as the Lord’s elect, how self-promotional; we will not have this man to reign over us!—they say. Remember, according to *John 13*, if you receive the one whom He sends, you receive Him; parity of analysis demands that, therefore, if you deny the one whom He, Christ, sends then your denial is also registered in heaven against the Lord Himself. The *mustard seed* was specifically sent to Davidia, those who boast that they are the Lord’s shepherds who have the knowledge, the keys, to the Kingdom. They therefore represent the highest and most advanced station of Peter in his darkness; they are those who reject the message that Jesus is the Christ, the Son of the living God. Instead of this they teach that which is popular, Constantinian theology, the doctrine of devils. Put simply, they teach that Jesus pre-existed as Christ and that He is the same as God. In so doing, they are deserving of the charge of prostituting their faith, whoredom. They are not to be confused with Babylon for they are not seated on the *scarlet-colored* beast. But, when the Gospel of charity to Israel is uniformly rejected by Christians; then the Christian world will have completely ensconced herself in Peter’s uncharitable, alter ego, Eve seduced by the serpent. They will be condemned as Satan, people who “**savourest not the things that be of God, but those that be of men**” —Matt 16: 23. Dress reform today demands that we remove our scarlet and purple garments, that we no longer shroud ourselves in the whore’s representation of Christ. This will be the commission of all Christians who will seek entry into the Kingdom.

How can they, Davidia, avoid such a downfall; how will they ever know so as to avoid their pit of humiliation? They simply need to run from hypocrisy and avoid the practice which they have decried all these years. They need to investigate every message which comes to them in the name of the Lord and compare it to the Bible as well as their “blue” book, the *Spirit of Prophecy*. Those who cannot do so will, at reveille, find that the lock to the Door has been changed (Circumcised) and that the cherished keys in their hands is of no use. This will cause their utter bewilderment. Having received this great light, we are now prepared to conclude this letter by recognizing

*The Standard of Peter’s Conversion*.~~~~~. Peter’s path of bigotry clearly has defined for us the very snare that will entangle the church and the obstacle, the stepping stone which

### *The Third Angel's Circumcision, Oct- 16-2013*

we must surmount. Instead of a blotch on his record, Peter's reluctance to feed the Lord's sheep was a successful chess move. No other conclusion can be forged because, beforehand, moments before Calvary, with great biblical notification and drama, the Lord washed Peter's feet. That deed certified his salvation and cleansed all of his beforehand and future steps including his refusal to feed the sheep. In this we can know for a certainty that Peter's initial refusal to have the Lord to wash his feet, and the Words from Christ which followed, Words dictated by Father for Him to articulate, were all part of the impassioned screen play, the staged drama of salvation.

If Father's stamp of approval was not expressed through Peter's ministry, then He would not have set the stage with so many object lessons to depict his work. If those have been forgotten, then the reader should revisit the opening article of this newsletter. The Church was led into the wilderness; indeed, a place was therein prepared for her; this was done after centuries of Jewish theology which trained them to contemptuously disdain the gentiles. As shown, such expresses the concept of circumcision, the removal of foreskin which creation endowed to us, a custom that was deeply imbedded in Hebrew history to prepare us for this hour of heart circumcision. This is antitypical Peter's job to explain by use of the life of the screen actor. And when this knowledge is conveyed, we will keenly discern heaven's machinations for human salvation, we shall all be taught of God.

I know, the Bible says that God is not the author of confusion. In this letter we shan't wrest with this saying of Paul's found in *1Cor 14*, for he, as the substitute teacher, promised that all of his inspired, prophetic renderings, being milk in nature, shall cease and be done away; this scarlet must be removed as were the curtains which separated the Most Holy from the Holy Place. Peter, also by the impress of the Spirit, gave to us this caution:

**“And account that the long suffering of our Lord is salvation: even as our beloved brother Paul also according to the wisdom given unto him hath written unto you; as also in all his epistles, speaking in them of these things; in which are some things hard to be understood, which they that are unlearned and unstable wrest...unto their own destruction. Ye therefore, beloved, seeing ye know these things before, beware lest ye also, being led away with the error of the wicked, fall from your own stedfastness”**—2Pet 3: 15-17.

Everybody in the gentile wilderness can be considered within the realm of Peter's warning about Paul's work for all are unlearned and accordingly unstable. Worse than that, none of the Jews who converted to Christianity, except antitypical Peter, can reverse such instability. After all, the Lord prayed for the glory of those who would believe on Him through the word of the original disciples, a group which did not include Paul. To avoid damnation and receive salvation, they must discern **“the long suffering of our Lord”**. After all, this speaks to the summary of the *Three Angels' Messages*; it yields the reward that is promised to the patient saints, the saints who **“keep the commandments of God, and the faith of Jesus”**—Rev 14: 12. They will rest in antitypical Peter's strength and consolation: They will discern that if Jesus could willingly suffer long, indeed lead us in our ignorance through 2000 years of Pauline theology, then we can abide in peace until the sun rises, until the day when Peter's reception of the keys is made manifest to the world, until the trumpet blast of reveille. For example, we now know that Father indeed did author confusion: He created the foreskin, both, of the penis and of the heart. He did so in order that we can suffer the eventual pain of its removal to receive glory. In fact, He commanded Christ to define for us the confusion that He intended by commissioning Christ to say to us, through the lips of Jesus, **“Think not that I am come to send peace on earth: I came not to send peace, but a sword. For I am come to set a man at variance...”**—Matt 10: 34, 35. Also, in regards to confusion, the Lord said, **“I thank thee, O Father, Lord of heaven and earth, because thou hast hid these things from the wise...”**—Matt 11: 25.

A key news release can now be issued: That is, the teachings of any prophet, priest, teacher, if not validated in the *Testimony of Jesus*, then it is a doctrine which, if not certified by the *mustard seed*, is one that you do not understand and which threatens to lead you away **“with the error of the wicked”**. That path, is the path of the whore. This includes the Adventist, EGW inspired, VTH affirmed, diet and dress code. Instead of oppressing women to preserve men for salvation, one lesson which Paul delivered is the lesson of charity, the lesson of our test for redemption. It is the message of compassion for the Lord's sheep which includes all of the oppressed for it is the rescue of the “least of these my

## The Mustard Seed Advent, Newsletter, 13: VIII, Fall edition

brethren.” It shan’t be nullified because, heretofore, the Church taught us that Paul spoke of love and not King James’ deployment and interpretation, “charity”. Such is the standard of Peter’s conversion.

### Section III: The Wind of Inspiration.

When, in the early days of the *mustard seed*’s evangelical call to the Church, it developed a dialog with a courageous Bashan, spin-off group entitled *Heralds of the King* (HOK). During the heights of that doctrinally engaging, soul dance, which climaxed in 2006, much literature was distributed to those who were affiliated with the HOK. From that successful contact, The MSA received a great bounty; our mailing list was increased with many interests, one of whom is a man who, though not part of the HOK or Bashan, became an attached target of focus. He, a man whom I will pseudonymically call *Da’-van*, is the target of counsel for this section. His path of error, as is also the case of that of Ari, brother Hall, and brother Edwin, et-al, shows to all entanglements which Peter must prevail.

*Da’-van*, after nearly seven years of communications, has reported back to us recently that he has yet to finish his first book, *Journey Through the Murky Bogs*. It is a book of nearly 200 pages. He has accordingly breached his covenant for, that book, as with all MSC books, is sent at great expense: No financial remuneration is required; however, the reader is charged with the duty to read the literature. Heaven measures one’s steadfastness to that covenant. Though it was greatly inspired and even today is still uplifting, the brethren from that time have read volumes and volumes of added literature and now, in true Davidian fashion, their cups runneth over. Ever merciful, the MSA—through my efforts, the efforts of Vinnette, along with at least one other sister and a brother, who was formerly from the HOK—continued to seek his salvation in the Lord.

Letters of Communication~~~~~. After years of back-and-forth communications from the *mustard seed* to brother *Da’-van*, letters answering objections and urging him to read the literature, the following letters summarize our closing, spiritual litigation: Monday, August, 12, 2013, 2: 48 AM, from him to my wife, Vinnette:

*“Vinnette, when true inspiration is in our midst, a real impact is made on the church. Unfortunately, this is not and has not happened since 1955. When it really happens, God’s sheep will know. But nevertheless, I do pray for you and your husband and those who follow your ministry. For nothing can be done against the truth but for it”*

**Vinnette’s truncated response, Monday, August 12, 2013, 12:22 PM:**

*“Da’-van, The sheep have heard! Could it be that you...are not His sheep?! ... “ Unless you have studied with the “man of wisdom” described in Mic six, then, though you may be an expert purveyor of Rod prophesies, you have not seen His, Christ’s name, and you cannot resultantly believe on Him. How could any become ‘one even as They are One’, the Christ-defined prescription for unity, if they do not know the distinctions between Christ and Father? All such individuals are not disciples and are not included in Christ’s prayer; they can never become one. To their great discredit, they have made “one-sided development(s), which no future training will ever fully correct.” (EGW, 8T, 239) ... Please read the attached article to become more enlightened if you are truly seeking knowledge.”*

**Da’-van’s response, Thursday, August 15, 2013, 6:30 AM, Subject, *Final Movements Rapid* (Parenthesis added):**

*The ‘man of wisdom’ is not just one man... Vinnette, I know that you and Derek can go back and forth forever on this and kindred topics. I believe that we are in need of the Living Spirit of Prophecy in our midst and at present, I have not seen anyone that meets that criteria. When God is at work, and this gift is active, God’s people will know it. No(sic) one is rocking the church or Davidia in any significant way at the present time. Sorry, I’m on vacation and have to go out with the family at present. I will read your article when I can (another covenant of promise from him!), but the last time I seriously studied Derek’s writing, I found them to be confusing. Although, I must say that he does provoke interesting thought.*

**Da’-van’s final response (Given out of order; more interim letters to follow), Friday, September, 20, 2013, 2: 13, Subject, *Final Movements Rapid* (Parenthesis added):**

### *The Third Angel's Circumcision, Oct- 16-2013*

*Vinnette, I know the mark of true inspiration. My heart did not burn within me when I (attempted to) read his books. Don't waste your time with me Vinnette. I must be about my father's business. I will continue to be respectful and courteous to you. But I'm far from seeing even a spark of inspiration in Derek's theories.*

---

**(A) Summary Comments before more letters are reviewed:** *Da'-van*, here seeks to suggest, by sophistry, that he has actually read the MSC's work. What is hidden in his claim is that, as he began to read, he did not feel moved for, in all the correspondence over the past seven years, he has always acknowledged that he has not finished reading the work. It should be added, much literature has been sent to him since *Murky Bogs* in 2006.

Brother *Da'-van*, by his profession, is looking for that which he has not been promised, the whirlwinds, the earthquakes, and the fires of attention-grabbing excitement. Contrary to his assessment, the impact of this work on the church and the world—as was likewise the case with the opening judgment in heaven in 1844—is historically enormous; however, at this time, Father has purposed that its movements should be indiscernible to the human, carnal senses. This is promised by Father to change: The day of excitement and tumult will come only at a time just before the 'sun rises upon Jacob/Israel.' For what should *Da'-van* be now looking?—that which it promises. He is only promised what Elijah conveys, *the still-small Voice*. The same Voice which rested, clandestinely upon Jesus and spoke through Him. This we know for the Lord said to the church pillars yesterday, "...**ye believe not, because ye are not of my sheep, as I said unto you. My sheep hear my voice, and I know them, and they follow me**"—John 10: 26, 27. Sure the church saw Jesus' work, but so quiet was the Small Voice who spoke through Him, that none in the church—we know by the disciples' very testimony to the Lord in *Matt 16*—could discern the presence of their long-anticipated Messiah when He walked amongst them. This fact explains why they had various erroneous answers to the Lord's question, "**Whom do men say that I the Son of man am?**"

The Father sent the Son, as Peter witnessed in *Matt 16*, and He did so after commanding Christ the exact words that He, Christ, should reveal through the lips of Jesus. In the fulfilling process of Christ's commission, among many other things, Christ revealed a doctrinal jewel which applies to *Da'-van's* concern: He promised the manifestation of the Holy Spirit in two separate and distinct expressions. To understand more fully, think of it by use of a simple metaphor: the promise of receiving mail by the mailman twice in one day. Additionally, there is/was another manifestation of the Spirit which shall only be discussed to a limited degree. It was the promise that was made—not by Christ but—by Jesus and it pertained to the original disciples, a promise of Pentecostal power (Acts 1: 8). But for our end-time edification, both of Christ's Father-dictated revelations were to be totally unobservable to the carnal mind. The first exhibition of the Comforter was merely to actuate the promise to guide us into all truth. Put another way, the Comforter was promised to Zion by Christ, in His first mail-delivery mission, to teach us and guide us—not by speaking a message of His, the Comforter's, own engenderation but—by relaying to us, in the day of Judgment, those doctrines which Christ would, in that hour, command Him to deliver to us. It was to be a letter from Christ to the Church via the Comforter. In other words, during His first, post-Pentecostal expression, He was promised to speak only for Christ! Descriptive of this preliminary manifestation, we were told, "**when he, the Spirit of truth, is come, he will guide you into all truth: for he shall not speak of himself, but whatsoever he shall hear, that shall he speak...he shall receive of mine, and shall shew it unto you...**"—John 16: 13, 14.

The prophet Joel spoke of this deep subject when he prophesied,

**"<sup>1</sup>Blow ye the trumpet in Zion, and sound an alarm in my holy mountain...for the day of the Lord cometh...<sup>2</sup>a day of darkness...as the morning spread upon the mountains: a great people and a strong there hath not been ever the like...<sup>11</sup>And the Lord shall utter his voice before his army: for his camp is very great: for he is strong that executeth his word...<sup>18</sup>Then will the Lord...pity his people...<sup>23</sup>Be glad then, ye children of Zion, and rejoice in the Lord your God: for he hath given you the former rain moderately, and he will cause to come down for you the rain, the former rain, and the latter rain in the first month...<sup>26</sup>and ye shall eat in plenty and be satisfied, and praise the name of the Lord your God...<sup>27</sup>and ye shall know that I am in the midst of Israel, and that I am the Lord your God, and none**

## The Mustard Seed Advent, Newsletter, 13: VIII, Fall edition

*else: ...And it shall come to pass afterward, that I will pour out my spirit upon all flesh; and your sons and your daughters shall prophesy, your old men shall dream dreams, your young men shall see visions*” —Joel 2: 1, 2, 23, 26-28.

Order of events must be noted: Reveille is declared in Zion, the place of the Lord’s ordination of His people, His singular—not plural—“*holy mountain*”, “*Zion*”. The trumpet is blasted and a small band of righteous saints, faithful believers called “*a great people and a strong*”, are to emerge. Evidently, it describes a people who are made strong by virtue of Peter’s conversion. It too, like the second crow of the cock, comes before the morning spreads upon the “*mountains*”, before the gospel is taken to other denominations of lesser theology. Please also note the specifics of this, the *third-angel’s* work for the non-Zion-dwelling Christians: To them, first comes the rain, in the first month, then comes supernatural manifestations when the Spirit is poured upon all flesh. As you can see, much mastication is required before we can advance our understanding of the two deliveries of the Comforter from this Text.

But, our purpose here is not to unfurrow yet another study subject: It is to describe the rain to fall in the first month so as to illustrate the Comforter’s two deliveries of wisdom’s light. This promised rain is obviously the revelations of doctrines (see Deut 32: 2) for at least one doctrine showered upon them, the children of Zion, is the precise name of the Lord. This we can know because the children of Zion are made to “*be satisfied, and praise the name of the Lord*”. The children of Zion—not Zion herself, but—Jerusalem, the 144,000, are here described as milk-fed and dependant babes who are about to be satiated, Christian devotees who have already tasted Christianity but only to a moderate degree. In other words, before this promised first month, they subsisted only upon the “former rain”, the power of the first sunrise, the Pentecostal outpouring of the Spirit some 2000 years ago—the topic into which we cannot now digress or examine. The former rain they had only in moderation, and resultantly—the Texts reveal that—it could not make them happy. Hence, they are promised more doctrine upon which to feast, and that added outpouring, orchestrated by Christ, will cause them to rejoice. What a more careful exegesis of the full chapter will show is that this first exhibition or delivery of the truth which enlightens the children of Zion is delivered to them by ministry, the ministry of the champions from Zion, the great people and a strong. Irrefutably then, such doctrines must represent the combining of the *Three Angels’ Messages* by the *third angel*. It was the reveille-trumpeting blast sounded in Zion, and such doctrines must have accordingly been the result of the Comforter’s promised delivery of “all truth” to make the disciples strong in answer to the Lord’s prayer of *Luke 22*. This consolidation of the *Three Angels’ Messages* could have only been endowed to the *third angel* sometime just before the first month for only then can it be relayed to Jerusalem by the saints-made-strong so as to baptize them into their ranks. Subsequently, after the first month, the children of Zion will know, in a time before they are doused with the Lord’s Spirit, that the Lord Himself, the Angel who will have united with the *third angel*, is in their midst. For the Text says, “*ye shall know that I am in the midst of Israel...afterward...I will pour out my spirit upon all flesh.*”

Before it, the doctrinal rain, is to be finally outpoured, it was to have begun its iterations in the days after the 1844 experience; it, the first expression of the Comforter, graced to us the *Spirit of Prophecy*.

But it did not stop with EGW, VTH, and MJB; it continued onward with this ministry beginning sometime in the mid-1990s. That ministry, the *mustard seed*, then began to give conclusive and summary clarity to the *Three Angels’ Messages*. It was a rain not yet to be given to Jerusalem who was then contained within the Sunday-keeping churches. Such was the first delivery of the Comforter promised to us in *John 16*.

This theme may seem complicated, but it is no more so than is the wind which bloweth where it listeth. Therefore, the reader is encouraged to forbear as Peter unfurrows to them the keys. To understand salvation, you must understand these two expressions of the Comforter as manifested in the MSC’s work, and this cannot be understood fully unless you continue to read this letter for we have only defined the first expression of the Comforter; we have yet to explain the second delivery from heaven which makes the saints strong and prepares them to enlighten the globe.

### *The Third Angel's Circumcision, Oct- 16-2013*

Marvelous indeed is it! —there was promised a second visitation of the Holy Spirit in this generation. Christ, at Father's behest, gave promise to that second and final delivery which the righteous do now behold. It is a purveyance of doctrine that is likewise in disharmony with the E-mail correspondent's expectations of great, church-rattling eruptions of excitement. Davidians, in their false expectations of excitement, are trapped. This has befallen them simply because they have shunned the inspired, MSC revelations, the first manifestation of the Comforter, contained in its early writings. For had they honored their covenant, they would have discerned that It/He, the Comforter, then conveyed to them what the MSC still teaches: It gave them their assignment of charity; it pointed them to the "daughters of Zion" so that they, along with Peter, may overcome. This first manifestation was purveyed to Davidia by the MSC as it cited the work of the Bible and *Spirit of Prophecy* which, in its early days, proved to them that the 144,000 would emerge —not from the ranks of the SDA church but— from the sons of America's former slaves. The MSC book, *From Beyond the Rivers of Ethiopia*, completed in 1998, a time before the name of the Lord was revealed to the MSC, gave clear, irrefutable evidence of this finding. Yet, having that literature in possession for nearly seven years, *Da'-van*, perhaps by harboring another spirit, one of militant Peter who rejects charity, has not fully read it. Instead of that, he seeks others to relay to him the message of the MSC. The below letter was sent to him from another sister whose faith grew out of the 2006, MSA Davidic evangelical interlude. Before defining more of the second manifestation of the Comforter, we should read that letter:

**MarLynn's appeal to Da' van, Monday, Sep 23, 2013, 9:16 PM:**

**Da' van,**

**Expecting to readily receive detailed information from the MSA "members" is like asking the wise virgins of Matthew 25 to share, with the foolish virgins, their extra oil - the oil that they each have personally taken time and effort to "purchase" for themselves. Also, we are careful not to, as Matthew 7:6 admonishes, *give that which is holy unto the dogs or cast our pearls before swine*. This is partially why you have been directed to read and study the MSC (Mustard Seed Chronicle) for yourself. You must be willing to take the time and the effort to *purchase* and ingest these meat-in-due-season truths without expecting someone else to cut it and fork-feed it to you.**

**I don't know how much you have read or studied the MSC to this point. There is a lot to study and it may seem, at first, as though the teachings are "a bit scattered and confusing;" but, if the Holy Spirit has led you to this message of the hour, and you keep asking questions because you have *an ear to hear what the Spirit says* (Revelation 2 and 3) and are truly drawn to this message, then I implore you to not give up but to dig even deeper for the gemstones in its fertile soil. With patience and persistence, it will all come together for you and you'll be able to see clearly (the *beam* will have been removed). Derek is merely *bind(ing) up the testimony* (Isaiah 8:16), the *testimony of Jesus*, and *seal(ing) the law* among us disciples by using the inspired method of Isaiah 28:10, 13.**

**I have been studying the MSC since 2006. No one else in my family, my neighborhood, workplace, etc. studies with me; only the *disciples indeed*. I study it not because I'm attracted to Derek himself but because my heavenly Father has drawn me to this message of the hour. I have an ear to hear what the Spirit says. I've never been so filled with joy and peace as I have been since I started studying the MSC. So, I will continue to walk this straight and narrow path of righteousness into eternity.**

**Da' van, you must think as a *little child*, as Christ says in Matthew 18:3: "Except ye be converted, and become as little children, ye shall not enter into the kingdom of heaven."**

**Be the student, be a good listener, be humble; truly, you will receive a great reward.**

**In Christ,**

**MarLynn (name, Da van, substituted)**

---

**(B) Additional Summary Comments to above Letters:** Before more can be said of the Comforter's second manifestation to this generation, the point must be reiterated in deeper penetration: The change which caused Davidia to spurn the *mustard seed* and to reject the new target given by Him/him for our ministry during His first appearance, the move away from lukewarm Laodicea to the people who occupy the streets and lanes of the city, Jerusalem, manifests that there is no need for the waves of exploding excitement in the church to accompany the Comforter's gentle winds of refreshing. The Lord does not thus seek to thereby seize their attention. Brother Houteff gave a statement which buttresses the thrust of this letter by showing, with revelations pertaining to the emergence of the Kingdom, the keys that



## The Mustard Seed Advent, Newsletter, 13: VIII, Fall edition

would be placed in the *mustard seed's* hand. He also capsulized to us the tactical deployment of the Holy-Spirit-Inspired light when he wrote the following:

*“Mustard seed being the smallest of all seeds this parable shows that that which is to start the Kingdom will be very insignificant, contrary to all human expectations. Nevertheless, like the mustard plant becomes the biggest of all herbs, so the Kingdom shall grow and become the greatest of all kingdoms. This being contrary to all human planning, it is but natural that those who are like Nicodemus, and continue to be ashamed to be identified with something that is unpopular, hated, and insignificant, will as a result be left out of the Kingdom”* —VTH, *2Timely Greetings*, 11 p 6.

The second commission is distinguished by the Commissioner Himself: It comes when the Father—not Jesus or Christ—sends the Comforter. He gives to us, by the Comforter's hands, our second and final allotment of mail for the day. His new, second-phase mission was promised to be one that was to remind the church of the *Testimony of Jesus*, the lessons that He, Jesus, taught while He was yet with the disciples. After all, those words were Father's very own words, statements that were directly dictated from Father to Christ and left for our record.

*“...and the word which ye hear is not mine, but the Father's which sent me. These things have I spoken unto you, being yet present with you. But the Comforter, which is the Holy Ghost, WHOM THE FATHER will SEND IN MY NAME, he shall teach you all things, and bring all things to your remembrance, whatsoever I have said unto you”* —John 14: 24-26.

It is of utmost importance to seize upon this point. Father commands the Comforter to reiterate His words which Christ spoke to the church 2000 years ago. But, if that revelation is not difficult enough to chew, yet another duty is added to further explain the Comforter's second expression: the declaration of the name of the Lord—the very truth which the **CHILDREN OF ZION** are promised to know when the first month comes. Evidently then, the strong saints who emerges from Zion will relay both packages of mail that they have received from the Comforter; Joel called it “the rain and the latter rain”. The one through whom the Holy Spirit is to channel His utterances must know, teach, and elevate the name of Christ—just as did Peter in *Matt 16* likewise distinguished himself above the church of his day—for He is to be sent in Christ's name. This is why the daughter of Zion, described above from *Joel two*, is promised to rejoice in satisfaction. Such joy and satisfaction, they could not have received before the first month, because during that long epoch leading up to the outpouring of the *latter rain*, they only had the Pentecostal definition of Christ and the accompanying and temporary Pauline explanations; they did not know the name of the Lord; they confused Him with Jesus. Thus were they further promised in Joel, *“And ye shall know that I am in the midst of Israel, and that I am the Lord your God, AND NONE ELSE”*—Joel 2: 27. They will know that the Angel has united with the *third angel* to lighten the earth. Is this not the very signature of the *mustard seed's* work, the revelation of the *Testimony of Jesus* and the name of the Lord? And since Father orchestrates it by the purveyance of the Comforter, even though the church does not honor it, it must be a monumental event in earth's history. Who then would dare to call His work insignificant?

First one purveyance of the Comforter via the command of Christ, then a second by a Separate Personality, Father—is this method of salvation complex? Is it difficult? It is not apparently to the 12-ordained masters of the planet, the job for which you apply, the *disciples indeed*. They have walked in its advancing light step by step, and this has ascended them to the highlands and has allowed them to avoid the “avalanche”. To others, if this seems too confusing, scattered or difficult, then they should have never prayed to be taken on this trek, this SDA mission to learn of Christ, for much of His work—creation being just one example—is not fodder for the simple mind. These findings, over the last 80 years, Davidia has been carefully prepared to receive them. The findings are the very keys to the Kingdom after which Davidia has prayed and has been taught to seek, yet, they are the very doctrines which you say, *“...I'm far from seeing even a spark of inspiration in Derek's theories.”* Your vision has been blunted by several influences: One is your refusal to spurn hypocrisy and investigate for yourself the light which has been revealed by the first and the second manifestation of the Spirit. This you should have done, as others who, in 2006, so did; instead of anticipating a fourth-of-July, fireworks eruption of excitement in Laodicea. Then you could have honored heaven and used your influence, as so did Sister MarLynn above, by authenticating the light and the work of the MSA.

### [The Third Angel's Circumcision, Oct- 16-2013](#)

Although, a complicated fold in this truth must be told: We, the church and the world, will indeed receive much tangible excitement. As explained above in this newsletter, earth-jolting marvels will indeed shortly come and will indeed accompany this work. When it does, it will convince all that Immanuel walks among us, and such a reality will cause Jerusalem, Zion's daughter, to rejoice. But that sign, the "lightning flash", the "cock's crow", will indicate it to be too late for the members of the SDA Church. Like rebellious Peter, when they hear it, they will become saddened. Out of divine principle, heaven does not desire to win the support from Zion—a people who hypocritically profess to walk by faith and not by sight—by titillating their faith carnally with supernatural marvels. Before these marvels come, Laodiceans will be sealed in their Peter-esque denial of their Lord. Instead of buoying their spirits, that marvelous evidence will be deployed to titillate the attention of Jerusalem so as to recover them, the Lord's lost sheep, those who have not dealt treacherously with heaven by violating their covenant to the SDA, Spirit-of-Prophecy-inspired call to duty, the call to devote spiritual energy to study so as to become approved workmen (2Tim 2: 15). No, in this hour, heaven will not finish the covenant to Jacob by honoring covenant breakers in Zion. The marvels will be dedicated to save Jerusalem, the sons of America's former slaves, amongst whom the 144,000 will be found. Like as at Pentecost, the brethren of lower station will benefit from the Lord's grandiose displays of **POWER**; the church, Davidia included, will be left to weep and gnash their teeth all because they did not remember or take heed to that which the Father commanded Christ to reveal to us about the advent of the Spirit: He does not come by human senses of discernment; instead, He comes like the wind. ***"The wind bloweth where it listeth, and thou hearest the sound thereof, but canst not tell whence it cometh, and whither it goeth: so is every one that is born of the Spirit"*** —John 3: 8.

If these words seem harsh, the reader should mellow that emotion by seeing the *mustard-seed's* efforts. Assuming that reading handicaps may prevail with *Da' van*—not everybody, including the *mustard seed*, is a proliferate reader—this author has offered to personally travel from Pittsburgh to California to study with brother *Da'-van*.

---

**Vinnette's appeal, Thursday, August 15, 2013, 12:50 PM** (Parenthesis added):

*If you are on the lookout for the voice of the Lord to direct you, then wisdom demands that you allow the Lord Himself to define for you the manner that we are to anticipate the arrival of His light. (Let the wind blow where it listeth) We must therefore use scriptural definitions and not inherited whims for antitypical David is His elect; He is the only one with voting power. Why don't you request Derek to visit with you so he can clear up your confusion? We will travel to your pre-stipulated site, home to study with you.*

---

**Da'-van's response, Thursday, August 15, 2013, 4: 38PM:**

*Vinnette, I would not want you and Derek to come all the way to California just to study with me. I have read several of Derek's books, but I just have not seen the importance of his message. I know some of the details of his message, but it does not seem to me to be present truth..*

---

**(C) More Summary Comments:** Apparently, *Da'-van*, you have some other issues with the MSA's work, with Peter's commission to 'bind and loosen', which has now surfaced; it, again, speaks to the issue of charity. Before surfacing your concern, EGW's prediction of your reaction, some 150 years ago, must be first cited.

***"Dear Brother D: I recollect your countenance among several others that were shown me in vision in Rochester, New York, December 25, 1865 ... You have not followed closely the footsteps of our Redeemer, therefore you are unprepared to walk even as He walked. As you listen to the words of truth, your judgment says that it is correct, it cannot be gainsaid; but immediately the unsanctified heart says: 'These are hard sayings, who can hear them?' You would better give up your efforts to keep pace with the people of God, for new and strange and trying things will be continually arising; you will have to stop sometime, and you may just as well stop now, and better than to go further."*** —EGW, *Testimonies for the Church*, Vol. 1, 543

Now we must divert back to your letters: You wrote the following:

## The Mustard Seed Advent, Newsletter, 13: VIII, Fall edition

**Da'-van's response, Friday, September, 20, 2013, 2:09 AM:**

*Vinnette, the reason people refuse to study with Derek is because his theories are confusing and hard to understand. Out of all the books he's written, I don't see any new message. The difference between Christ and Jesus? Really? How important is that? And his talk on Lesbianism was the last straw for me. Derek is talented in teaching and writing. He can "out-write" anyone on the planet with a storm of words. But this does not make his views valid. I'm sorry, but you cannot draw me into controversy with something as unimportant as Derek West's teachings. He will have to give an answer to God for all the darkness and confusion he has spread in misleading and deceiving SDA's and DSDA's*

---

**(D) More Summary Comments:** Earlier in your discourse with Vinnette, you wrote about your work in California, a work that is akin to Brother Hall's work in West Virginia, a work that you both call "laying siege" against the mother church, a paltry allusion to Bro. Houteff's teachings from *Ezek four* whereby Ezekiel was told to lay siege against Jerusalem, a work that the *Rod* interpreted as a struggle to reach Laodicea, an attempt to sigh and cry against the abominations in the SDA Church. That communication fits neatly with the above, 20-Sep-2013 letter and accordingly, I must couple them together before I respond to either.

---

**Da'-van's letter of Saturday, August 3 2013, 11: 16 AM:**

*Yes, I've seen these. I want to organize a siege against an openly gay SDA church here in southern California that the conference sued and lost in court...now they are untouchable...but a siege would be in order...* (Ellipses belong to the quote)

---

Now that we have heard the preponderance of your letters, I can proceed into the next super paragraph so as to fully expose the fallacies of theology which have entrapped you. Only in so doing can there be hope to extricate you from the folly of your entanglement.

***Disparity of Presumptuous Judgment***~~~~~. Preliminary question: Why would any Davidian, a people who have been under assault by the SDA Church for the past 80 years, advocate, in anyway, in the favor of her legal actions which she, in "blindness", has prosecuted against another fellow church member? The only possible answer is that they have presumptuously concurred theologically and, from the mutual encampment of their dark, spiritual, avalanche-hazardous lowlands, have embraced what they consider to be a righteous judgment. This you have done while, at the same time you have spurned the *Righteousness of Christ*. Paul clearly states, as cited in the *Rod*, that only those who have consumed strong meat, men, according to Paul and the *Rod*, who have left the principle doctrines of Christ, can discern between good and evil.

***"For every one that useth milk is unskillful in the word of righteousness: for he is a babe. But strong meat belongeth to them that are of full age, even those who by reason of use have their senses exercised to discern both good and evil. Therefore leaving the principles of the doctrine of Christ, let us go on unto perfection...Of the doctrine of...eternal judgment"***—Heb 5: 13, 14; 6: 1, 2.

Much work has been already done to validate, for this hour, Paul's above rendering that has, until now, been hidden in his work. It agrees with the *Testimony of Jesus* and His multiple revelations which prove the MSC's *Doctrine of Nullification*, its call for circumcision. Beyond that, it predicts the dissolution of even Paul's very own doctrines for he, by confession, fed with milk and not meat (Another MSC revelation; see 1Cor 3: 1, 2). We must accordingly conclude, since you see no use for the doctrine which declares the name of the Lord—the doctrine which distinguishes between Christ and Jesus—that you have not left or abandoned the "principle doctrines of Christ" and are still a milk-fed babe (See your above-cited latest letter to Vinnette, dated 20-Sep-2013). As such a milk-fed, crib-crying babe, you cannot conduct such a siege because you, by your written profession, are gagging on the very strong meat to which Paul referred; accordingly, you cannot discern between good and evil. Think carefully on this point for if the SDA church cannot comply with God's law, how can you forge a partnership with their legal counsel by assuming that they are right with regard to US Constitutional law? Being that they lost in court, then have you not, with your protest, squarely and ill-advisedly triangulated yourself in the cross hairs of both the Government and the church? Beyond that, your case is even direr: You have very foolishly condemned your cause by likewise isolating yourself in the cross hairs of the Lord's very-own

### [The Third Angel's Circumcision, Oct- 16-2013](#)

gun sights. Speaking of your folly, He says, **“If ye will not hear, and if ye will not lay it to heart, to give glory unto my name, saith the Lord of hosts, I will even send a curse upon you, and I will curse your blessings...Behold, I will corrupt your seed...”**—Mal 2: 2, 3.

Before you “lay siege” against the ever-proliferating tide of “gay rights” in this land, should you not have first sought Peter, the man with the keys, for his inspiration? You feel, by virtue of your antiquated understanding of the *Rod*, the burden to sigh and cry against the abomination in the SDA Church, but you cannot even get that simple commission correct—how then can any trust your wisdom in US Constitutional law? **[To deny people the right to worship, even though they may be open sinners, is not merely Peter-esque, but it violates the First Amendment of the US Constitution]** This I say, because the *Rod* demands that you decry ALL abominations; instead of doing that, you and Davidia have customized your siege commission to the themes which are merely personally offensive—not to Christ, nor to Father, not even to the *Rod*, but—to your own presumptuous judgments. The Lord’s message to you is that you have greater issues for which to sigh and decry, biblically-defined, SOP-affirmed abominations, harbored deep within your heart, and within the heart of Davidia, issues against which the Lord requires you to lay siege against yourself so as to remove them.

To expound upon the abominations harbored within your heart, your letter expresses no value in the discernment between Jesus and Christ. The Bible proves that Jesus was begotten nearly 2000 years ago; He was conceived in the womb. This was His beginning!—He did not pre-exist the day of His conception. It further proves that Christ was the one who created the heavens and the earth; He is without beginning of days. The Father sent Christ to clandestinely abide upon Jesus so as to declare His, Father’s, saving Gospel to humanity. If you cannot distinguish between Jesus and Christ, then you certainly cannot learn of the Father. This creates a disparity in your presumptuous judgment, your conclusion that such a doctrine is of no benefit. The disparity is this: Adventism began with the *Three-Angels’ Messages*. EGW promised that they will be declared again at the closing of the work and will be done with greater distinction and clarity (See CH 520 & 7BC 978 and 984, cited below), and they will be spread all over the world just as this letter has so been done:

**“This is our work. The light that we have upon the third angel's message is the true light. The mark of the beast is exactly what it has been proclaimed to be. Not all in regard to this matter is yet understood, and will not be understood until the unrolling of the scroll; but a most solemn work is to be accomplished in our world. The Lord's command to His servants is, ‘Cry aloud, spare not, lift up thy voice like a trumpet, and show My people their transgression, and the house of Jacob their sins.’ Isaiah 58:1. A message that will arouse the churches (Sunday-keeping churches) is to be proclaimed. Every effort is to be made to give the light, not only to our people, but to the world. I have been instructed that the prophecies of Daniel and the Revelation should be printed in small books, with the necessary explanations, and should be sent all over the world. Our own people need to have the light placed before them in clearer lines.”**—EGW, *Testimonies for the Church*, **1904**, Vol 8, p 159 (parenthesis added).

At the end of EGW’s administration, 1904, the *Three Angels’ Messages* were not understood, and suffering under this confusion, all in the church who use her work to define that light, even Davidians, suffer from the spiritual myopia of which she described. Only this work has given it clarity—especially with but not limited—to its revelations on the duality of Jesus, the hidden presence of Christ who abode upon Him.

To illustrate the *mustard seeds’* new and advanced light, consider that the *First Angel’s Message*, the very beginning of this Adventist-commissioned declaration imposes the following demand: **“...Fear God, and give glory to him; for the hour of his judgment is come; and worship him that made heaven, and earth, and the sea, and the fountains of waters”**—Rev 14: 7. You cannot comply if you identify the Father, God, with Constantinian theology, the teaching that Father is the Son. Neither can you do as commanded and give glory to Christ, the Author of Creation, if you confuse His work with that of Jesus’. For, we are commanded to recognize Him/Christ with praise or to **“worship him that made heaven, and earth, and the sea, and the fountains of waters.”** It is no wonder that this message must be declared in greater clarity at the close of the work. **“The fourteenth chapter of revelation is a chapter of the deepest interest. This scripture will soon be understood in all its bearings, and the messages given to John the revelator will be repeated with distinct utterance”**

## The Mustard Seed Advent, Newsletter, 13: VIII, Fall edition

—EG White, *Seven Bible Commentary*, 978: 2: 6. Christ created the world; Jesus, being begotten some 4000 years afterwards, was not present at that event. Therefore, to be victorious Adventists, we must heed Paul's promised mission for the triumphant and "***leave the principles of the doctrine of Christ and go on unto perfection***" so as to understand this light "in all its bearing"; we must learn to worship Christ, the Dove who abode upon Jesus and the One who created the earth (John 1: 1-5, 14).

It was promised above to Brother Hall that more of the keys must be learned. An insertion here will help both you and him: If there is no distinction between Jesus and Christ, if the doctrine is of no value, then all must answer the following question: Which One promised to Peter the Keys to the Kingdom and the victory over death, the gates of hell? If Jesus pre-existed as Christ, as the churches teach under Catholic influence, then He would have to have been the one to have made the promise. Why then did He, Jesus, speaking of Himself, make the following declaration: "***I am he that liveth, and was dead; and, behold, I am alive for evermore, Amen; and have the keys of hell and of death***" —Rev 1: 18. Evidently, the promise to give to Peter the keys was made by Christ as He clandestinely hid Himself on Jesus and spoke through Him. Equally evident it is that, at the end of the *former-rain* dispensation, at the time when the light of the seven churches expires —the very time when the 'mighty Angel unites with the *third angel*'—Jesus, having only those shamed and disreputed seven churches, cannot be said to have the final one, the church triumphant for to have seven churches is not to have eight of them. The triumphant church is the one promised to the one who would, as EGW urged, fully explain and proclaim the *Three Angels' Messages*, the message of "deepest interest". Jesus therefore, holds the keys, just as explained in *Dan seven*, until the day of Peter's conversion, the day when he strengthens his brethren, for Jesus takes the Kingdom and gives it to the saints: "***And there was given him dominion, and glory, and a kingdom, that all people ...should serve him...But the saints of the most High*** (saints who belong to Father) ***shall take the kingdom, and possess the kingdom forever, even for ever and ever***" —Dan 7: 14, 18. We now have our Third-Angel-Message link of the book of Daniel and Revelations, and it clarifies the simple fact that Jesus gives the *mustard seed*, antitypical Peter, the keys which He held for him until his day of triumph, the day when Peter will feed ALL of His sheep.

Yet, instead of joining Peter, you have now been cited as one who seeks to lock men out of the Lord's Kingdom, and you do so, seeking to illegally remove the mote from their eyes while you cherish your own abominations, the beam which blinds you. You should know that which Peter needed to know: Gentiles commit abominations; this spiritually is what they do best; accordingly, Peter aptly defined them thereby as disdained, unclean animals. Hence, to isolate specific abominations, when the church has a commission to feed the gentiles, reflects a major misunderstanding in Christology. Before describing those abominations which interfere with your struggle against the church, the perplexing issue of "gay" sexuality must be re-addressed with more clarity. This I will do by making reference, neither to gentile-cultural whims, nor Anglo-Centric ethics, nor Pauline-partial prophecies, but to the

***The Law, the Basis of Judgment***~~~~~. The cause of the "gay-rights movement" is a topic which must be understood from the prospective of the Law of God—not the US Constitution—for It will be the basis of Kingdom jurisprudence. "***But in the last days...many nations shall come, and say, Come, and let us go up to the mountain of the Lord, and to the house of the God of Jacob; and he will teach us of his ways...for the law shall go forth of Zion, and the word of the Lord from Jerusalem. And he shall judge among many people***" —Mic 4: 1 -3. Paul, Jesus' ordained, substitute teacher until Peter's eventual conversion, likewise re-addressed this question of homosexuality in the book of Romans. Some mention of it has likewise been uncovered in the *Testimony of Jesus* and is delineated more fully, from that vantage point, in *Murky Bogs*, the book which you have failed to read in seven years. Many have referenced the Law and Paul to garner a doctrinal position thereto pertaining; however, they have not done so with the benefit of the keys to salvation, the keys which have power over death and hell; hence, like you, they have merged Bible law with human customary sentiments.

Paul's work, that which has been presumed by the church these past 2000 years to have eclipsed the Law, condemn to death both men and women who engage in same-sex relationships. He said, "***Being filled with all unrighteousness, fornication, wickedness, covetousness,...debate,***

**...whisperers...haters of God...Without understanding, covenantbreakers, without natural affection, implacable, unmerciful: Who knowing the judgment of God, that they which commit such things are worthy of death...**—Rom 1: 29-32. The clarity of his “anti-gay stance” is defined earlier in the chapter and, at the end is summarized as people “without natural affection”. In fact, the list of offenses worthy of death is quite lengthy and has accordingly been truncated in this letter. Not just men with same-sex passions, but no one has been able to comply with Paul’s renderings. This explains why all, since Paul’s days, including Paul himself, have fulfilled his prophecy and have indeed gone down into the grave. Many who have been sexually compliant and who have only harbored “natural affection” in their sex lives, have sins of equal grievance: They are **unmerciful**; they are hypocritical, ‘church-siege setters’ or “**debaters**”; they are **covetous**; they are willfully ignorant people who refuse light, or, as Paul put it, they are “**without understanding**”. Some, like you, promise that, upon reception of literature, they will read it, and then, after nearly seven years, they breach their word. Such are deemed to be “**covenant breakers**”. In Paul’s judgment, they stand—not on higher ground but— side by side with the advocates of gay-rights. This you should have considered before orchestrating your siege.

I am glad that Paul’s words, as he predicted throughout his writings, are scheduled to be done away. I am also glad that the Lord showed to the *mustard seed* the day of Peter’s conversion, the day when he will receive from the Lord the keys and thereby convey to all the standard of Kingdom-entry so that their membership therein shall be bound on earth by him and then ratified in the books of heaven—the very work which Jesus performed in the *Judgment for the Dead*. For this, I am glad because I can become clothed with the sun and be stripped from scarlet which the whore wears as she, and her adherents, ‘wrest Paul’s writings to their own destruction’. But first, Peter must be willing to stand tall amidst the condemnations of the world so as to rescue the Lord’s sheep, men and women, some with bad habits condemned by Paul, can gain victory after hearing and receiving the Lord’s call in the wilderness amidst the forest of trees and reverse their curse of death.

In fact, Paul, in the very context of his portrayal of death sentences for the wicked, gave to us the invalidation of his own pronouncement. Though he promised death to all, he further stated an accommodation: “***For as many as have sinned without law shall also perish without law: and as many as have sinned in the law shall be judged by the law***” —Rom 2: 12. Here we see that judgment by the Torah, not the US Constitution or the SDA Church’s legal arm, will measure the church and those that have therein sinned. This finding is fitting for your concern because people in the SDA Church who practice “unnatural affection” are people who have embraced the law and have thereby sinned in the Law—it shall judge them. It will determine if they receive eternal life or death. You, a Davidian, have forgotten that the judgment measures men and determines their status with God. Thus, here we can see that, amidst all of the offenses delineated by Paul, saints will be measured by the Law for Kingdom entry. This means that a debater and a whisperer can be saved if they bask in Peter’s authorization. But if they refuse, they will fall under Paul’s edict. The just will be resurrected with their sins removed as defined in *Dan Seven*, the chapter which shows Jesus receiving the Kingdom. The Law accommodated for sin with Atonement; in fact, it is part of the divine law (See Lev 16: 29-34); it is the reason why we, the saints, must afflict our souls with the fast that, not Jesus but, Christ has ordained, the fast—not of vegetarianism but— of charity. Such a thing could not be discerned until the *third angel* is enlightened:

***“And the Lord spake unto Moses, saying, Also on the tenth day of this seventh month there shall be a day of atonement: it shall be a holy convocation unto you; and ye shall afflict your souls...For whatsoever soul it be that shall not be afflicted in that same day, he shall be cut off from among his people”*** —Lev 23: 26, 27, 29.

The point is, all people, whether they lived and then died in the faith or whether they seek Kingdom entry without the grave, will be measured—not by societal taboos that rank one sinner above the other but—by the Law. Hence, though the unmerciful man, the male homosexual, the debater, the whisperer, etc, may have died, His redemption will be determined by Sanctuary, legal proceedings, by grace received from the Mercy Seat. The Atonement of *Lev 16*, carefully deciphered by the MSC, shows the purveyance of both mercy and condemnation for those who have committed purposeful sins, like

## The Mustard Seed Advent, Newsletter, 13: VIII, Fall edition

homosexuality, and unknown sins, like covenant breaking. I cannot herein define those parameters. The letter, *Atonement and Amazingly Sufficient Grace*, a document urged upon you and sent to you, gives the full details —so you see, undeniably, my work is very significant for this hour.

Father's pronouncement in the *Testimony of Jesus —Testimony of Jesus*, an aspect of righteousness which, by the way is included in the Atonement protocol for sin removal according to Ex 25: 21 & 22—precludes salvation to those who blaspheme against the Holy Spirit. This is His judgment. You, my brother, have rendered as invalid the distinction, expressed by the Father, between Jesus and Christ. The Spirit was sent by Father to otherwise declare; hence, you must first examine your own standing in the judgment before you can contemplate laying siege against the SDA homosexuals of California and decrying their abominations. For the word promises that, “...**All manner of sin and blasphemy shall be forgiven unto men: but the blasphemy against the Holy Ghost shall not be forgiven unto men**” —Matt 12: 31.

When you read the MSC's work, you will know to choose the good and refuse the evil for you will discern that the

***Law Differentiates between Males and Females***~~~~~. The Law does indeed forbid ‘mankind to lie with mankind as with womankind’ (Lev 18: 22) and as a nation, Israel —not the gentiles, not the “wilderness people”— was commanded to greatly resist liberal policies for men who violate this law (See 1Kings 15: 11, 12). However, you have a great point of confusion in your attempts to legislate human sexuality, one that precludes you from differentiation between men and women. Each, in the garden received different curses; each in the Law have both similar and different provisions —proving that the Lord, in His calculus, can integrate and differentiate— why then cannot the church adopt this, Christ's righteousness? Even though Anglo-centric society presumes to the contrary, the Law does not stipulate a communal, same-sex regulation for men and women. To give just one example, it says, “**There shall be no whore of the daughters of Israel, nor a sodomite of the sons of Israel**” —Deut 23: 17. The prohibition of whoredom which is the illicit, illegal, indiscriminate abuse of the female body by sexual intercourse with men, is the crime which the Law imposes upon women. These are the standards which Paul promised will judge the world when he declared, “**as many as have sinned in the law shall be judged by the law.**” This differential requisite between sons and daughters will be integrated when Peter is converted and given the keys, and many nations will, in that day, seek his wisdom on this issue. Females, who have had sexual intercourse with other females, though spurned by popular culture, have no legal basis to petition the Lord for atonement for their practices; the Law simply does not legislate on how they decide to embrace and kiss their female friends. Therefore, they should first seek to be purged from the sins which do indeed violate the pre-stipulations of the Law. You would be wise to not seek to usurp Christ's righteousness by adding your own requirements and making Christ's wisdom “the last straw”. If the Law did indeed issue such an imposition, then, knowing how injudicious the gentiles are, then perhaps breast feeding of female daughters would likewise be outlawed. Yet, there are regulations to the female-on-female intercourse: They are forbidden to so perform by wearing strap-on devices which mimic the male's genitalia (see Deut 22: 5). Consequently, though admittedly tepid about this dimension of my ministry, the *mustard seed* has become converted; he deems to feed and nurture all of the Lord's sheep —even those thought to be “queer”. Though it may be the “last straw” for you, he does not use his keys to deny entry to any sheep who potentially may hear the Lord's voice. In so feeding, he will define for them the basis for their atonement. To fail to minister to such people, indeed even to all males seeking victory over their bad habits of sexuality, is to, after 2000 years, fail to become a partner in Christ (John 13: 8). This sin, this failure to understand, by Paul's very own verdict, lies at the door of all former, inspired Christian leaders, including EGW and VTH.

More clarity must be given to males who have fallen into homosexuality: The gospel demands that, should they desire to escape Paul's penalty of death, they must fall under Peter's converted ministry: ‘They must deny themselves, take up the cross, and follow the Lord.’ As they have likewise overcome all of the other besetments with which they were born, ie, failure to speak, failure to walk, failure to feed themselves, failure to cleanse themselves, so must they gain victory over their sinful habits of sexuality

### *The Third Angel's Circumcision, Oct- 16-2013*

with which they may be convinced that they were likewise born. Circumcision is painful! But, the path to atonement with Father is to walk the steps of Christ, to embrace His righteousness, to deny your will so as to favor the will of the Father in your life: This did Jesus even unto Calvary. After all, were we not taught, indeed commanded to pray, "...*Thy kingdom come, Thy will be done in earth, as it is in heaven*" —Matt 6: 10? This is to be our victory over Paul's judgment, the grave, in the day when his gospel portrayal is removed.

Think of it this way: Many claim that King James was a homosexual. They further claim to have retrieved as evidence, his love letters from the archives. A man who has done such great work, who gave to us the inspired version of the Bible, what will be his standing in the judgment? We could likewise ask, What will be the standing of the fornicator, the whisperer, the coveter, the covenant breaker, etc? As shown in the second section in my letter to brother Hall, those who are resurrected will not be sexual beings; therefore, King James, upon resurrection, will not continue in that temptation, and provided that he has been cleansed by the blood of Jesus and his sins were removed, he, like all other redeemed sinners can find his seat in the Kingdom. After all, he died; and in so doing —by virtue of, not the *Sin Offering*, but the *Burnt Offering*— he paid the price of sin just as did Paul, Peter, James, John, EGW, VTH, etc. (More of this is explained in the Atonement document). All must find their salvation, not in the whims of the Anglo-centric culture, but in the *Mercy Seat* which is stationed above the Law. But, for those who seek atonement without entry into the grave, they must heed Peter's commission to break their bad habits and overcome their vices. Only in so doing can Peter open the Door of the Kingdom to them.

Therefore, as you lay siege against the 'gay' SDA churches, as you decry their abominations, you must first cast the beam from your own eye. Your denial of the Lord, your refusal to embrace His name, is a sin worse than homosexuality. Even the devils acknowledge the distinction between Christ and Jesus (See *Luke four*); how can your soul become blacker than theirs? Even they, in their warfare against heaven, did not dare to assail the authority of God; it was Christ whom they resisted. Until He was anointed, there was no war in heaven. The battle was between the devil and his angels and Michael and His angels. Lucifer is quoted as desiring to be like the Most High (*Isa 14*). Your attack against the *Testimony of Jesus*, your desire to elevate the teachings of VTH and EGW above it makes you a sinner of the highest calling. Therefore, you have no sympathy in heaven with your siege against the gay-rights movement in the churches.

If you truly seek after righteousness, if you desire to reform the church, then, why not heed the formula left on record for the *disciples indeed* today, in the day of salvation, so as to accomplish that very mission? Why not

*Look unto Abraham and Sarah*~~~~~? One more word must be said about human sexuality. Hopefully, all who have read the MSC's work, its recent newsletter pertaining to "Lesbianism" (see MSC, Newsletter, 2012, XII) need no further explanation. It becomes clearer and clearer that females of Bible times were not precluded from sexually titillating each other, the thing we today call Lesbianism. This they were not so constrained because such actions aided them in the Law; it precluded them from committing whoredom, a crime worthy of death. Hence, such excesses were for their own good: It preserved their chastity and then their lives.

This is the only understanding which can explain why many of the female champions of the Bible, those who gave posterity to Abraham's blessing, had handmaids for them, as mothers to Israel, could not be listed among the adulterers and the whores (see *Isa 57: 3*). This includes Sarah, she had Hagar; Rebecca, she had Deborah and others (*Gen 24: 61*); Rachel, she had Bilah; Leah, she had Zilpah. All of these champion women who had this form of female help, when they were called to cook and clean, did not assign such work to their handmaids; they did it themselves —and were very skillful at it showing that they developed their talents after years of practice and without help. Clearly then, their handmaids were not deployed as domestic servants. What purpose then did the handmaids serve? —they slept with their mistresses and served them, nursed them, in their "sickness", their monthly biological eruptions that were associated with their hormonal/menstrual cycles.



## The Mustard Seed Advent, Newsletter, 13: VIII, Fall edition

Think carefully on this matter: Women have their menstrual period on a monthly basis; they also, especially as young girls, are driven, by virtue of the same biological cycle, the need for sexual relief due to divinely-installed patterns of hormone distribution. Men do not have what the Bible termed this “sickness”. Beyond that, the marvels of science, orchestrated these past 2000 years by Jesus, adds even more insight as it show what the ancients did not know: Men, we now know, are drawn to females, because of the surge of the hormone, testosterone. If we did not have it cruising through our blood every day, it is doubtful that women could pique our interest; we men simply would not be compelled to seek female companionship —no matter how short their skirts. But women, during their cycle, likewise have, among other female hormones, that which is termed the male hormone, testosterone, the same bio-chemical power which makes females alluring to men. They have the same hormone but to a milder degree—but degrees can vary from female to female and from the young to the old. Normally, their testosterone serves to drive them to seek relief of sexual impulses by aid of the passionate embrace of men. But, depending upon the level, the balance, and the early-life experiences, it can also make them more masculine and aggressive. In such circumstances, if not controlled, it may grip them with the same passion that men have towards women. Or, it could do the opposite: It could drive females, in an overwhelming way — females made ignorant of their options — with desires to have illegitimate, heterosexual relief, whoredom.

Young girls not only have more abundance, but they also have less experience in controlling this explosion of hormones, and the ancients, perhaps families with wealth, appropriately resolved this perplexity by giving to their pubescent daughters the services of a handmaid —many of whom they kept throughout their marriages and their lives. Why would they forge such a strategy? This they would do because men are not instinctively jealous of contact with other females by their prospective spouses, and it was the highest honor to deliver such a daughter, as a virgin, one having never known a man, to her eventual, honorable husband. Such an ideal was lauded by Lot pertaining to his two daughters, but the men of Sodom, to the Lord’s chagrin, were not thusly encouraged. They suffered from unnatural affection.

Deeper reiteration into the point: Many men, as shown by popular culture today, may disparage their wives for their former heterosexual licentiousness, and especially in times of drunkenness, they heap jealous rage and abuse upon them, but, rather than having the same reaction to women who were formerly titillated by other females, they are instead, themselves, more greatly intrigued by their past sensual aberrations. For young girls in puberty, the surge of testosterone is a major problem for family and society if not carefully managed in accordance to the Law. Consider the resolutions of other cultures, nations who will come to Jerusalem to learn the Law from Peter. Some, to preclude female explosions of pubescent passion, pound their young, developing breasts to a point of gross physical alteration, some remove the clitoris (female circumcision), some, as was the case in English culture, precluded their daughters to own property, and the ones who could not secure a husband, they prostituted them. Others, in the orient, practice infanticide killing infant girls; others fed them to volcanoes to appease the gods. The list of injudicious deeds heaped upon females may go on and on —God knows much better than do I. In our culture, we do much worse: We prey upon them, steal their virtue, and then trash them to live the lives of whores. So many women live lives of misery because of our illegal impulses. Why do you think that today the Internet crime of perverse men seeking to exploit young girls is so prevalent? —they know of these cycles of passion and use their knowledge to exploit innocence and ignorance.

These things aside, consider that none of the handmaids mentioned above had husbands; this prevailed throughout their entire lives. Thus, the handmaids were even legally “at hand”, at the service of their mistresses, the service of Sarah, of Rachel, and of Leah for them, upon their willful discretion, to give over as sex mates to their husbands. This they did without the guilt of sin against either Sarah or Abraham, the biblical matriarch or the patriarch. This we know by the Lord’s recommendation of them, of Abraham and Sarah, for our consideration in the day of salvation. Had the handmaids been married, such would have been considered adultery. Instead of that, their legal wives, as “mistresses” to the handmaids, had full sexual authority over them, over their maids.

### [The Third Angel's Circumcision, Oct- 16-2013](#)

There is a special meaning pertaining to this when the Bible mandated that those who follow after righteousness study the personage of Sarah. It says,

*“Harken to me; ye that follow after righteousness, ye that seek the Lord: look unto the rock whence ye are hewn...Look unto Abraham your father, and unto Sarah that bare you...for a law shall proceed from me, and I will make my judgment to rest for a light of the people. My righteousness is near; my salvation is gone forth, and mine arms shall judge the people”* —Isa 51: 1, 2, 4, 5.

Imagine, people in the last day, the day when the Lord's salvation is near and light for the people has come, we are commanded to examine both Abraham and Sarah to secure the Lord's perspective of righteousness. How can any comply with this commandment when both have beforehand been deceased for years? The only way is to examine, in this case, Sarah's life as recorded in Scripture. But we have already done this! The church truly has, but it has not so done through the eyes of the Lord's wilderness-walking voice. One can look, and then they can look more carefully. That is why we have microscopes —is it not?! All, by this ministry, can choose the good and refuse the evil. They can microscopically examine the Bible account of Abraham and Sarah's life as the *mustard seed* has done and answer issues of judgment which require careful jurisprudence so as to *“judge the people”*. You cannot look unto Sarah, for the purposes of the Law, and not discern meaning from her intimate relationship with Hagar. In fact, our close inspection shows that the Lord did not bless Sarah with a child until after she gave to her husband, Abraham, her handmaid to wife. Afterwards, the Lord blessed both women and their sons. So close was it to her life's walk that she, in ignorance, assumed that if Abraham impregnated Hagar, the child would be biologically linked to her, Sarah, the “mistress” to Hagar. Rachel and Leah suffered under the same biological misconception. We cannot receive the Lord's righteousness until we decipher the meaning of this record. Thus, praise the Lord, our light has come, and soon we will be able to blaze it —both deliveries of the Comforter— to the daughter of Zion!

*Closing comments to Da'van* ~~~~~. There are other reasons for the Law to permit females to choose other females over taking a husband. Especially is this so in today's culture. A woman puts her life in jeopardy the moment she says, “I do” and gives herself to a man. The Law, as clearly expressed in other writings, mandates the spirit of its compliance: It was to be obeyed only when it was for your own good for it was given for our good —see *Deut 6 & 10*. A woman who is frightened of male dominance, of having a man to rule over her, does not have to surrender to her Edenic curse, that given to her after the fall; instead, she can refuse to marry. Do not women in marriage often cry out against their men accusing them of being overbearing and controlling? She also can refuse the pains and sufferings of child birth; the angry, physical assaults of a potentially eruptive husband; the hazards of communicable diseases received from an unfaithful man. In fact, women who suffer under such abuses, by the *Testimony of Jesus*, are free to leave their husbands; they merely cannot take to themselves another man.

Therefore, when you indict the *mustard seed's* Bible-based, sheep-compassionate judgments by saying that the *mustard seed's* liberal doctrine pertaining to Lesbianism is “the last straw”; you, in effect, seek to take his keys and lock Sarah from the Kingdom. And how can you seek to do thusly? Was not she Abraham's jewel, the very blessing through whom he received a great and mighty nation? The same applies to Rebekah, Rachel and Leah.

In final analysis, the MSC does not speak in terms of Lesbianism for that is a loaded word which only has abusive and erroneous political meaning in our culture. It is a label which we have placed on certain human behavior which inspires, because of ignorance or people “without understanding” making them assume that women whom they have thus labeled are special creatures, a different sex, people who require special marital standards. Anciently, the females who had handmaids did not see themselves as couples outside the marital circle. Thus did the Lord forbid men to put away their wives except in cases of fornication, which does not include the sexual expressions of a wife that is conducted with other women; such was not a just basis for marital annulment. But, in final analysis, you cannot predict the reaction which the Spirit will have on the church nor can you judge His renderings by your narrow, cultural standards. Instead, you must charitably allow your education to come as *“the wind bloweth where it listeth.”*

### Section IV: Darkness of Bashan's Charity Void

This past September, 2013, from the eighth to the fifteenth, Vinnette and I took a vacation to her homeland, Jamaica. Since she left as a seven-year-old child to be reared in England, until now (Sept 2013), she has not returned to her homeland. We brought two of our four children with us, the other two could not breach their schedules so as to meet family, enjoy the relaxation, and commune together. We offered to them room at the beach-side resort which we had secured. Below is a picture.

I received a letter from my brother Edwin that expressed suspicions for my motives. Curiously enough, his letter and my reply greatly contribute to the doctrines of this letter, the broadcast of the *Three Angels' Messages* after circumcision. It is

#### A Bashan Letter of Disgrace ~~~~~.



Before quoting him and issuing my reply, allow me to further preference my comments with some brief history. Edwin has been a devotee to Bashan ever since I converted him to their feeding pasture in 1979. Though in their proverbial dressing chamber all these many years, his sentiments perfectly capture those of militant Peter and expresses the reasons why the Lord can no longer use them as His voice.

*Hi Derek*

*"... As you mentioned your trip to Jamaica, I thought "Oh no" here we go again and he's getting more and more desperate trying to feed off sheep in the Bashan pasture or Bashan rejects, and it is amazing how I survived all these years with Bashan, despite your demoralizing influences, actions; and endeavoring to exploit some weakness among God's people. How unlike Christ!"*

*"I believe much of that was deliberate; using underhanded mind games assisted by underhanded*

*false brethren planted there at Bashan helping you by fanning the flames, to get a following any-which-way and causing problems! But, Praise the Lord, Bashan has survived all that and we've prospered, and we're headed to the Kingdom, regardless of the enemies means and tactics! That is what it means to be blessed as a result of faithfulness."*

*"Derek, my burden isn't for me, it's for you. Hopefully, you'll come to your senses and realize that this is all a delusion you are dealing with, and that it is all about self. The perception is that the evolution of your ministry is headed for a crisis, getting more and more aberrant, irrational, dangerous and even unlawful. You need to be careful with dealing with people from the Islands, these people are desperate and will use any means to get access to the United States, and involvement with them makes you liable, by incriminating you as an accomplice. Most of the people who couldn't cut-it with Bashan is because they have character problems, haven't you learn that lesson? I understand that many of these Bashan cast-offs there in Jamaica were beggars, panhandlers and self-seekers. Beware, they'll bleed you financially!!"*

*"Well, I'll leave it at that. You know Derek a lot of the other split-offs are doing quite well just printing and distributing Rod literature and they are doing a relatively nobler work than you, although causing trouble, but they are doing as God requires, which is pleading with mother. Apparently, your ministry has no such aim, work or purpose and it's for your good that you come to this realization, and it is my prayer you do so before it is too late."*

*"... Please, for your own sake, make the corrections necessary to avoid the disaster looming ahead and look to the place where you last saw the light. You are a man of ability, and still a young man, your wife is also still young, so why not return back to the labor market and do your ministry part time? Dad felt the same way. Use your desk-top publishing abilities and publish Rod Literature: You'll get quality and stable followers if you do. These*

### *The Third Angel's Circumcision, Oct- 16-2013*

*Bashan cast-offs you habitually prey upon are all a bunch of bad fish, tares, dross and worthless material, and if you were led by God you would do like Bashan and have nothing to do with them! That is my burden and personal Christ-like appeal!*

*Very sincerely yours,*

*Your brother Ed*

Edwin prefaced his above appeal by making his letter personal and private, “between the both of us”. But I must not withhold from the Lord’s sheep any personal possession, dropped into my lap by the Lord, so as to preserve honor from any —especially His enemies, those who hate His name. Edwin, in his letter, speaks of the perception pertaining to my ministry; such could only refer to the theological perceptions of Bashan leadership: This leads me to assume that his thoughts are reflective of their sentiments. Thus, by authority of *Matt seven*, I do judge them by his letter.

Looking beyond his misplaced suspicions, Edwin exposes his failure to convert to Christianity. Referring to people who are impoverished, Edwin besmirches islanders, cast-offs from Bashan calling them “beggars” and “panhandlers”. His description of Bashan’s sentiments exposes their true ethics: They are Christian pretenders, a people who are most uncharitable. Sadly, unless the poor people of Jamaica bring to her coffers more enrichment, they are greeted with such suspicion. But she claims her mission is to enlighten the Church! —we learned that Jamaica’s population is full of Adventists and former Adventists— you would think that Jamaica would be considered, by them to be a highly regarded target for evangelism instead of a land of pariahs. Evidently and to the contrary, none of the Islanders of the Caribbean can look to Bashan to find light to relieve their suffering under poverty. Evangelism’s goals aside, it gets worse: Apparently, Bashan leaders seem to have little regard even for the large preponderance of her loyal flock, the people of the Islands. Men and women who are under the delusion that they bask in Bashan’s love, should know from this letter that the truth is on the table: They are assured by Edwin’s accounting of Bashan’s ethics, that their kindred countrymen are looked upon with great disdain. But it is the hour of Father’s judgment, and He has an answer which is to bring relief to all who are trapped under the impoverishing affliction of sin, even Caribbean Islanders: He has revealed to us through His star, Christ

***The Anomalous Mystery of God’s Love*** ~~~~~. The only people in Davidia, islanders or not, whom I have found to be doctrinally false are those who, while pretending to honor the *Rod*, have declared war on the Bible. This, to me, is one of the Lord’s tests for all saints. Bashan Davidians do not stand well under this test. As to those who follow my leadership, I needn’t measure them by Bashan’s evil surmisings. To prove their fidelity to this work, the Lord has given yet another test: Faithful tithing for the saints and charity from its leader towards the impoverished. This Test does not require a measurement of ethnicity for it is fool proof: It exposes the pretenders and reveals the faithful who abide in the fold. On a personal level, contrary to Bashan’s ethics, I have had a positive experience with Jamaicans in my life: She has truly and greatly blessed my soul. My wife, a Jamaican—a case in point. There are also those islanders who have boldly stepped forward to embrace this light, and their integrity stands tall in the eyes of the Lord.

But our family vacation has highlighted —not just the blessings to me but— those graced to the world by the island of Jamaica, and I am glad for the opportunity, in this context, to express my findings. No people, in the aggregate or personally are without sin, but we can know that the English-speaking world has recognized the gift, graced to them by God, which comes from that land. This same theme I found myself explaining to my driver in Jamaica, a man who made a living transporting tourists. He likewise verbalized disparaging words of frustration about his own countrymen —perhaps out of apologetic embarrassment for me. Contrary to the disparaging assessments of many, I explained to him that Jamaicans have earned a very-well-established reputation for their work ethic. They are extremely industrious contributors to Assyria —the Assyrian, the English-speaking world comprised of a people who are keen to notice and appraise a steadfast commitment to hard work and industry. When I say Assyria, I am deploying a scriptural denomination which includes England, Canada, and the USA. After World War II, knowing of their work ethic, England urged Jamaicans to migrate to her very own homeland so as to aid her in the man-power shortages in which she, England, suffered. Early in the 20<sup>th</sup>

## The Mustard Seed Advent, Newsletter, 13: VIII, Fall edition

century, America also sought their help as migrant-farm workers, Vinnette's dad included. They also sought them, in labor, to assist in the building of the Panama Canal, an endeavor that has greatly blessed the world. From that call to work, Jamaican men by the thousands relocated themselves to that formerly foreboding, mosquito-saturated swamp of malaria. It doesn't stop there: Recently, it was reported to me that Canada has lured several hundred Jamaican truck drivers to meet her need for that skilled-labor post. They know that if you can, with large trucks, haul material through the steep, meandering, and narrow hills of Jamaica, you can drive anywhere. On a more personal note, I point all to Vinnette, my wife. Heaven has guided her life, from a Jamaican culture to become the world's eternal queen. In the 32 years of our marriage, at times when I have collapsed in my easy chair with exhaustion, she would continue to work yielding immeasurable benefits to my home and to this work. My burden is not to elevate one group above another, but duty demands that I must take the opportunity to unfold to all the knowledge of God and show the anomalous mystery of His love. When you understand it, you will discern why we must worship Him—not from narrow-minded prejudice but—in spirit and in truth.

The truth is that, though Bashan may not appreciate the level of industry exhibited by people of the islands, God greatly loves them. This fact, though murky in the hearts of the obtuse mind, signifies that they have value that may be imperceptible to the inattentive observer. Proof of God's prudence to love Jamaica and her people, is exhibited by the contributions from that land that He has therewith graced the world as expressed above. To discern more, one must first ask, how does such a small island produce such a large volume of valued, human labor talent that has been spread over the globe? As an answer, we have learned that they have large population rates, and they eat, for the most part, wholesome foods which preserves their health and longevity—qualities which other places on the globe incessantly beseech from God. Much of their diet includes fish directly and personally taken from the ocean; goats which seem to wander the countryside, fruits which, in great variety, are grown throughout that island. But speaking of the children, both Vinnette and I were smitten by the number of them swarming the Island. So bountiful is their blessing that, to educate them, in a day when America, due to an aging population, is shutting down schools, their schools are so crowded that they need to educate them—even their little ones—in two shifts: from seven to 11 AM and from 12 to five. The lesson in this is presented with a question: The *mustard seed* cherishes Jamaica's gifts from God, the Assyrian seems to likewise relish it; why cannot Bashan? They see the population as beggars to be excluded; hence, I can only imagine their sentiments towards Jerusalem, the sons of America's former slaves.

My heart, now converted to Christ, causes me to ponder: When will the saints learn to trumpet the Love of God? Is this not a requisite for salvation? The Lord said, "...**except your righteousness shall exceed the righteousness of the scribes and Pharisees, ye shall in no case enter into the kingdom of heaven**" —Matt 5: 20. Here we have triumphant Peter's keys, but in this Text comes only half the answer to my ponderable. To find the answer in fullness, we must examine the Pharisaical strengths which the Lord demanded that we emulate and their falterings which He demanded that we exceed. The strengths, the practice of carefully paying tithe—paying them today to His reveille-trumpeting *third angel*—yet, we must also examine the Pharisaical weakness which is their failure to teach the love of God. This failure we must surmount, and Edwin's letter gives me the occasion to exemplify this standard. Jesus said, "**But woe unto you, Pharisees! For ye tithe mint and rue and all manner of herbs, and pass over judgment and the love of God: these ought ye to have done, and not to leave the other undone**" —Luke 11: 42. Denying people entry into the fold or expelling them therefrom, merely because they are beggars, manifests that, after 53 years of Bashan's ministry, a dark void, a black hole, in their divine requisite of charity. Thankfully, Christ, the Star of God who was sent from heaven, has the key to the bottomless pit (Rev 9: 1, 2), the key promised to Triumphant Peter.

When we become charitable as the Bible demands of the Lord's shepherds, when we reach out to brighten the day of those men whom we have discounted as self-seeking beggars, then our eyes will be opened. We will see reasons to explain why

*God so Loves the World* ~~~~~. But first I must ask Edwin, When will the phony and pretentious "shepherds" on Bashan Hill stop feeding themselves in seclusion while they abide behind their forested fortress of security—rebellious Eve's wilderness retreat, the place to hide

### *The Third Angel's Circumcision, Oct- 16-2013*

her nakedness? When will they stop hording the Lord's tithe to attract only the people who reflect the image of the Assyrian, a people who look like her founders? In this they seek to feed themselves. When will they venture to proverbial Jamaica and learn to give their bread to the hungry?

On our vacation, we were located during those seven days on a resort in Trawlany, Jamaica. I was told that it was the poor side of the country. On one occasion, we traveled from there in the Northwest of the island to the opposite corner, to Kingston, Jamaica's capital. There we met for the first time Vinnette's younger cousin. She was a remarkable woman of affluence who has a home in the heart of that capital city amongst all of the dignitaries and foreign embassies. She took time off from work to drive us to different sites. I might add, she was not even an Adventist. That fact added to my positive impression of her spirituality. In fact, I must say that her demeanor summarized the entire vacation for me and perfectly juxtaposes itself from the Bashan ethic, the ethic which all must now disinherit. This woman was not disparaged by the poverty which crowded the hot streets of Kingston. With us packed in her small car, as she idled at the stop lights, invariably, a person of desperation would signal her and approach her car, but she was prepared to deal with that interruption of her day and her plans. She had a cash fund in her car and therefrom, without the appearance of provocation, would hurriedly open her window and give to the street beggars before they had the chance to past her car for the next one idling behind her. My heart was wounded because I did not, in kindred sensitivity, prepare myself with such a treasury or fund. Hers quickly ran out; mine was never prepped to be opened. Truly the poor will bleed your coffers, but the Lord, the One who gave to you your means, will quickly refresh and restore. This must become our faith! In this, I learned a lesson from that experience: Whenever, as a man of God, you visit a land, you must be prepared to extend God's love to the beggars. After all, this is the very reason why He sent Jesus. He too was flocked by beggars and people in dire need, and His fund of restoration was never exhausted.

But as you give, you must seek to recognize that you can only give because you have received. What does this mean? Just as the *mustard seed* benefitted in its ministry, likewise, it is from that land, Jamaica, which the Assyrian has greatly benefitted in his own commerce. Indeed, where would the world be without the Panama Canal? He has wisely learned of their marvelous gifts and talents. This, in a penetrating way, speaks to the reason why God loves the world: He, in divine wisdom, knows that every land and every people have talents that the world, to be successful must exploit in righteousness for its own future survival and benefit. As He has given to us variety of fruits; variety in clean fish; many choices in clean, cud-chewing beasts, multi-variable lands of topography, climate, and minerals; likewise has He looked beyond the narrow, Anglo-centric culture and given to us much variety of men and women of great virtue. God's love for all of humanity is not just gratuitous; it is not merely charitable; neither should it be deemed to be foolish or blinded from reality. He knows that the people of the world must be united under good governance to yield true happiness. This will happen when they are elevated to serve Him in the day when they will no longer give all of their talents to the Assyrian: ***“For it shall come to pass in that day, saith the Lord of hosts, that I will break his yoke from off thy neck, and will burst thy bonds, and strangers shall no more serve themselves of him: But they shall serve the Lord their God, and David their king, whom I will raise up unto them”*** —Jer 30: 8, 9. This is the anomaly of His love. Peter, triumphant Peter, will righteously deploy their talents in a just, appreciative, and equitable service. Jamaica is not poor because they are a people devoid of industry or value; the land of Jamaica has been cast into eternal poverty because the world, instead of building that land in Christian cooperation and equity, has syphoned away all of her talents leaving only the impoverished behind. The Lord said, ***“...ye have the poor always with you”*** —Matt 26: 11. But when the people of industry are syphoned away, the numbers of the poor are accordingly exaggerated. Only the merciful and charitable will perceive this truism. In this light, the wise man will not disdain the beggars of Jamaica.

***Closing Counsel to Bashan*** ~~~~~ Again, I close by answering your counsel that is recited below. You, my brother Edwin, gave the following advice:

*“Please, for your own sake, make the corrections necessary to avoid the disaster looming ahead and look to the place where you last saw the light. You are a man of ability, and still a young man, your wife is also still young, so why*

## The Mustard Seed Advent, Newsletter, 13: VIII, Fall edition

*not return back to the labor market and do your ministry part time? Dad felt the same way. Use your desk-top publishing abilities and publish Rod Literature: You'll get quality and stable followers if you do."*

The Bingham family, indeed all from the forum of the 1930, *Rod* epic, have failed in their duty of compassion and charity. Remember, it is the greatest virtue according to *1Cor 13*. In the case of Bashan, they have failed—not just with their commitment to people of the Islands but also—with their charity towards you. Yet, you foolishly seek to eternally seal yourself under the thumb print of their discretion and seek to prescribe to me how to teach my light. This is an obvious attempt to ignore the Lord's desires so as to pacify Bashan. This you may choose to do, but Vinnette and I will not disdain the freedom which the Lord has given to us, freedom from the roster of the Assyrian's exploits. We are glad to use it, even at the cost of becoming universally unpopular, so as to declare His name. To mix spiritual metaphors so as to define the folly of your counsel: How should David point Israel to their day of righteous service, their day of joy, when he himself, should he succumb to your suggestion, will have given up his own freedom in order to serve under their very own yoke master? If David is to free Israel from the Assyrian yoke, should not he, himself, be made free of the same? If David, and then the 144,000 are never freed to work for Christ, then how will Father save the world that He so dearly loves? Put another way, how will the *third angel*, having been circumcised, begin his mission to enlighten the earth?

Likewise do we see the absurdity of your appeal to dedicate our efforts to the SDA church! Vinnette is skilled, by profession, in the delivery of new life. She spent more than 30 years doing expert work in the field of *Labor and Delivery*. Her very training was a nurse midwife, one authorized by England to deliver babies. Accordingly, we both well know the sheer folly of seeking to give birth to one who is years beyond the embryonic stage of life, one who, in scriptural parlance, has already been *born again*, or baptized into the SDA faith. Neither do we, nor—for that matter—any Davidian, now have a commission to seek after the dark angel of Laodicea; he is guaranteed to be spued out of the Lord's mouth; after all, this removal of his ministry is to be the result of the *Third Angel's Circumcision*.

Even by the *Rod*, we were pointed to the day when the servant would declare that the church has refused the Lord's supper invitation. Upon the arrival of that day, the day when the servant repines to the Lord that none would receive His invitation, were we not told—did you miss the *Rod's* citation of *Luke 14*—in the study which showed all true Davidians that the day would come when we would, after the refusal, be sent out to the streets and lanes of the city so that we may seek after the poor and the halted? How is it that you seek to teach the *Rod* by ignoring its very own light? Don't you get it?—Jacob, the *mustard seed*, has been commissioned, by the Lord's very edict (Gen 31: 13), to leave Laban's ranch. He no longer tends to Laban's flock neither does he seek to steal his, the Seventh-day-Adventist's sheep. Today, Jacob has been empowered to manage his own flock without Laban's oversight. This he does in wisdom for he well knows that, against the thrust of your claim, there are no longer any good "quality and stable" sheep on Laban's ranch: "**And he said, Lift up now thine eyes, and see, all the rams which leap upon the cattle are ringstraked, speckled, and grised: for I have seen all that Laban doeth unto thee...arise, get thee out from this land...**"—Gen 31: 12, 13. Should you not heed this wisdom, then it is you and your Bashanian cohorts who are headed for certain disaster.

Derek